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## PREFACE

This volume contains three main groups of papyri. One was prepared for publication by Dr Adam Bülow-Jacobsen, another by Dr J. E. G. Whitehorne, both as doctoral theses in the University of London under the supervision of Sir Eric Turner; this original version has been revised against the papyri, and to some extent reworked, by Mr Parsons and Dr Rea. The third group was studied at a seminar in the Istituto Papirologico G. Vitelli of the University of Florence, under the direction of Dr Coles; each member of the seminar contributes one text; their versions have been revised and translated by Dr Coles. We owe further substantial contributions to Dr S. A. Stephens and Dr J. C. Shelton.

The content is miscellaneous. There are fragmentary but interesting pieces of New Comedy (3431-2), a handsome Strabo (3447) and an even more handsome Dinarchus ( $3436-7$ ) which have an interest for the textual critic; 3441 adds to the history of an Odyssean crux, 3454 to the history of Greek punctuation. 3455-6 include new items of metrological information. Among the documents, we note especially 3479 for the consuls of 361, 3495 for the time and motion of Oxyrhynchite fishermen, 3477 for the anacrisis of slaves, and 3482 for the transference of catoecic land; 3463 and 3476 shed new light on the ephebate at Alexandria and Antinoopolis; 3470, 3472 and 3473 add to our knowledge of the temples - the admission of their priests, the uses of their precincts, and the miscellany of sacred junk that they accumulated.

We record our warm gratitude to Dr H. M. Cockle, who compiled the indexes; and to the Cambridge University Press for the accuracy and elegance of their production.

|  | P. J.P. |
| ---: | ---: |
| April 1982 | J.R.R. |
| E. G. T. |  |
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## TABLE OF PAPYRI

## I. NEW LITERARY TEXTS

3431. Anon., New Comedy
3432. Anon., New Comedy
3433. Menander, Fr. 740 K.-Th. ${ }^{2}$
3434. Unidentified Prose

| SAS | Late 2nd cent. ${ }^{1}$ | I |
| ---: | :--- | ---: |
| SAS | Early 3rd cent. | 8 |
| JB | Late ist cent. |  |
|  | B.C.- Istcent.A.D. | II |
| AB-J | 3rd cent. | $\mathbf{I 5}$ |

## II. EXTANT LITERARY TEXTS

3435. Demosthenes, Olynth. i $22-8$
3436. Dinarchus, In Demosthenem 7-8, 1о8-1 II
3437. Dinarchus, In Philoclem 17-22
3438. Homer, Iliad i 499-523
3439. Homer, Iliad v $1-23$
3440. Homer, Iliad xvi 6ı 2-54
3441. Homer, Odyssey x i-24
3442. Homer, Odyssey xi 330-66, 373-403
3443. Homer, Odyssey xvii 409-37, 460-77
3444. Isocrates, Evagoras 6-12
3445. Lycophron, Alexandra $747-56,764-9,850-3$
3446. Lycophron, Alexandra $1239-50$
3447. Strabo, Bk. ix
3448. Thucydides, i 40
3449. Thucydides, i 42
3450. Thucydides, i 99-105, 116-17, 120
3451. Thucydides viii

AB-J 2nd cent. 17
JEGW 2nd cent. 22
MM 2nd-3rd cent. 25
TD 2nd-3rd cent. 28
AB-J and cent. 30
$\mathrm{AB}-\mathrm{J}$ 2nd-3rd cent. 3 I
$\mathrm{AB}-\mathrm{J}$ and cent. 33
JEGW and cent. 35
JEGW 3rd cent. 38
MSF 2nd-3rd cent. 4I
JEGW 2nd cent. 44
JEGW 2nd cent. 46
JEGW Earlier 2nd cent. 47
JEGW 2nd-3rd cent. 73
JEGW 3rd cent. 75
AB-J 3rd cent. 76
JEGW ist-2nd cent. 87

## III. SUBLITERARY TEXTS

3452. Greek-Latin Glossary
3453. List of Просшбiaı


JEGW 2nd cent.
AB-J 2nd-3rd cent 93
PJP 2nd cent. 97

## IV. METROLOGY

3455. Metrological Text
3456. Metrological Fragment
3457. Metrological Fragment
3458. Metrological Fragment
3459. Metrological Fragment
3460. Metrological Fragment
JCS 3rd-4th cent. 99

JCS 3rd-4th cent. 103
JCS ist-2nd cent. Io5
JCS 3rd cent. 105
JCS 3rd cent. 106
JCS 2nd-3rd cent. 107

I All dates A.D., except where noted.

## V. OFFICIAL DOCUMENTS

3461. Record of Transfer Tax on House
3462. Account
3463. Application for the Ephebate
3464. Application for a Summons
3465. Report of Episceptae
3466. Petition to an Archidicastes
3467. Petition
3468. Petition to a Prefect
3469. Official Letter

3470-3471. Sworn Declarations by Priests
3472. Letter concerning the Idios Logos
3473. Declaration of Temple Property, etc.
3474. Application for a Loan of Seed Corn
3475. Report of Dike Superintendents
3476. Sworn Declaration of ' $A \pi a \rho \chi \eta$ '
3477. Application for Anacrisis
3478. Formula for a Subscription
3479. Deed of Surety
3480. Petition to a Beneficiarius
3481. Declaration of a Shipper

JEGW 25 Aug. 46 в.c. 108
JEGW ist cent. b.c. 109
JEGW Between io Jan. and 29 Aug. $5^{8}$ II2
JEGW c. $54^{-60}$ II7
JEGW 63/4 I 20
JEGW 8i-96 122
JEGW 2 Sept. $98 \quad 125$
JEGW ist cent. 126
DF ist cent. 129
AB-J 22 Dec. i3I 131
JEGW 28 Aug. 149 I38
AB-J i6I-9 I4I

RP 197/8 146
GB 16 Mar. $220 \quad 149$
AB-J 17 Sept. $260 \quad 152$
AB-J 29 Aug.-II(?)
Nov. $270 \quad 155$
AB-J Early 4th cent? 158
AB-J 36I? 158
AB-J c. 360-90 161
LP io Sept. $442 \quad 163$

## VI. PRIVATE DOCUMENTS

3482. Cession of Catoecic Land
3483. Contract
3484. Freight Contract
3485. Loan of Money
3486. Order for Payment in Grain
3487. Receipt for Part-repayment of Loan
3488. Lease of Land
3489. Lease of Land
3490. Loan of Money
3491. Marriage Contract
3492. Account
3493. Loan of Wheat
3494. Loan of Wheat
3495. Fishing Account
3496. Notice of Credit in Grain
3497. Notice of Credit in Grain
3498. Sale and Cession of Land
3499. Synchoresis
3500. Marriage Contract
3501. Invitation to an Epicrisis
3502. Account

| GMS | 8 Oct. 73 в.c. | 165 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| JEGW | Early ist cent. | 173 |
| JEGW | 27-33 | 174 |
| JEGW | 23 Aug. 38 | 177 |
| MCD | 41/2? | 180 |
| RDS | I Oct. 65 | 181 |
| SSF/JRR | 70 | 184 |
| JEGW | 72 | 187 |
| AB-J | 140/1 | 190 |
| AB-J | 157/8 | 191 |
| AB-J | 161-9 | 198 |
| IA/GS | 23 Feb. 175 | 202 |
| SA/RF | 23 Feb. 175 | 204 |
| DF | 2nd cent. | 206 |
| AM | Dec. $214 / \mathrm{J}$ an. 215? | 218 |
| ES | 216? | 220 |
| AB-J | 27 Oct. 274 | 221 |
| AB-J | c. 298? | 227 |
| CR | 3 rd cent. | 228 |
| AB-J | $3 \mathrm{rd}-4$ th cent. | 230 |
| AB-J | $3 \mathrm{rd}-4$ th cent. | 231 |

## VII. PRIVATE LETTERS

3503. Double Letter
3504. Private Letter
3505. Papontos to Alexander
3506. Harbaethus to Thermuthion
3507. Apollonius to Sarapodorus

| JEGW | Later ist cent. | 233 |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| JEGW | ist-2nd cent. | 234 |
| AB-J | 2nd cent? | 236 |
| PP | 3rd cent. | 238 |
| JEGW | 3rd-4th cent. | 239 |

## VIII. TEXTS FIRST PUBLISHED ELSEWHERE

3508. Oath of a Dike Overseer
3509. Plato, Republic, Bk. i 330 a 2-b4
3510. Notice of the Deaths of Slaves
3511. Private Account
3512. Sale of Wine

3513-3521. Orders to issue Wheat and Wine

| RH | 16 Apr. 70 | 244 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| RH | 3 rd cent. | 245 |
| RH | $79 / 80$ | 247 |
| RH | Earlier 4th cent. | 248 |
| RH | 26 Feb. 492 | 249 |
| SAS | May 260 or 282 | 250 |


| IA | $=$ I. Andorlini |
| :--- | :--- |
| SA | $=$ S. Arci |
| GB | $=$ G. Bastianini |
| JB | $=$ J. Bingen |
| AB-J | $=$ A. Bülow-Jacobsen |
| MCD | $=$ M. C. Donnini |
| TD | $=$ T. Dorandi |
| RF | = R. Ferrini |
| DF | = D. Foraboschi |
| SSF | S. S. Foulk |
| MSF | $=$ M. S. Funghi |
| RH | $=$ R. Hübner |
| MM | $=$ M. Manfredi |
| GMS | $=$ G. Messeri Savorelli |


| AM | $=$ A. Moscadi |
| :--- | :--- |
| LP | $=$ L. Papini |
| PJP | $=$ P. J. Parsons |
| RP | $=$ R. Pintaudi |
| PP | $=$ P. Pruneti |
| JRR | $=$ J. R. Rea |
| CR | $=$ C. Römer |
| JCS | = J. C. Shelton |
| ES | $=$ E. Shepherd |
| SAS | $=$ S. A. Stephens |
| GS | $=$ G. Sodini |
| RDS | = R. D. Sullivan |
| JEGW | J. E. G. Whitehorne |

## LIST OF PLA'TES

| I | $\mathbf{3 4 3 1}$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| II | $\mathbf{3 4 3 2}, \mathbf{3 4 3 3}, \mathbf{3 4 4 6}, \mathbf{3 4 5 4}$ |
| III | $\mathbf{3 4 3 6}$ |
| IV | $\mathbf{3 4 4 7}$ Frr. I $4^{-1} 5, \mathbf{3 4 5 2}$ |
| V | $\mathbf{3 4 6 1 , 3} \mathbf{3 5 0 1}$ |
| VI | $\mathbf{3 4 6 3}$ |
| VII | $\mathbf{3 4 7 2}$ |
| VIII | $\mathbf{3 4 8 2}$ |

## NUMBERS AND PLATES

| $\mathbf{3 4 3 1}$ | I | $\mathbf{3 4 5 4}$ | II |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{3 4 3 2}$ | II | 3461 | V |
| $\mathbf{3 4 3 3}$ | II | $\mathbf{3 4 6 3}$ | VI |
| $\mathbf{3 4 3 6}$ | III | $\mathbf{3 4 7 2}$ | VII |
| $\mathbf{3 4 4 6}$ | II | $\mathbf{3 4 8 2}$ | VIII |
| $\mathbf{3 4 4 7}$ | Frr. $14^{-15}$, IV | $\mathbf{3 5 0 1}$ | V |
| $\mathbf{3 4 5 2}$ | IV |  |  |

## NOTE ON THE METHOD OF PUBLICATION AND ABRREVIATIONS

The method of publication follows that adopted in Part XLV. As there, the dots indicating letters unread and, within square brackets, the estimated number of letters lost are printed slightly below the line. The texts are printed in modern form, with accents and punctuation, the lectional signs occurring in the papyri being noted in the apparatus criticus, where also faults of orthography, etc., are corrected. Iota adscript is printed where written, otherwise iota subscript is used. Square brackets [] indicate a lacuna, round brackets () the resolution of a symbol or abbreviation, angular brackets $\rangle$ a mistaken omission in the original, braces $\}$ a superfluous letter or letters, double square brackets $\llbracket \rrbracket$ a deletion, the signs ' ' an insertion above the line. Dots within brackets represent the estimated number of letters lost or deleted, dots outside brackets mutilated or otherwise illegible letters. Dots under letters indicate that the reading is doubtful. Lastly, heavy arabic numerals refer to Oxyrhynchus papyri printed in this and preceding volumes, ordinary numerals to lines, small roman numerals to columns.

The use of arrows $(\rightarrow, \downarrow)$ to indicate the direction of the fibres in relation to the writing has been abandoned for reasons put forward by E. G. Turner, 'The Terms Recio and Verso' (Actes du XVe Congrès Internationai de Papyrologie I: Papyrologica Bruxellensia 16 (1978) 64-5). In this volume most texts appear to accord with normal practice in being written parallel with the fibres on sheets of papyrus cut from the manufacturer's roll. Any departures from this practice which have been detected are described in the introductions to the relevant items.
The abbreviations used are in the main identical with those in E. G. Turner, Greek Papyri: an Introduction (2nd edn., 1980). It is hoped that any new ones will be self-explanatory.

## NOTE ON INVENTORY NUMBERS

The inventory numbers in general follow a set pattern, of the form $20{ }_{3} \mathrm{~B} \cdot 37 / \mathrm{D}(3)$ a. Here ' 20 ' is the number of the present cardboard box; ' 3 B' refers to Grenfell and Hunt's third campaign at Oxyrhynchus; ' 37 ' is the series number given within that year to the metal packing box; ' $D$ ' indicates a layer of papyri inside that box. A few inventory numbers have the form A.B.3.2/A(6); these refer to a separate series of boxes.

# ADDITIONS AND CORRECTIONS TO PAPYRI PUBLISHED BY THE EGYPT EXPLORATION SOCIETY 

I 43 verso ( $=$ WChr. 474). Cf. BASP 18 (1981) $76-7$.
47. This is to be dated more precisely between A.D. $83 / 4$ and Oct.-Nov. A.D. 88, ZPE 40 (1980) 78-9.
 NHI 1230. 4 as corrected in BL III 227, IV 90. Cf. BL I 314.
134 26. For Tapouc $\theta\left[\left(\right.\right.$ ivov $\left.\left.^{2}\right)\right]$ read probably Tapouc $\epsilon\left[\beta_{\tau}\right]$. P. Pruneti, I centri abitati, 198-9.
169 (description). Edition in Stud. Pap. 19 (1980) 5-7.
 P. Mich. III $170.1 \mathrm{n} . \mathrm{J} . \mathrm{E} . \mathrm{G}$. Whitehorne.
 2669 in., P. Mich. III 170. i n. J. E. G. Whitehorne.
261 ( $=$ MChr. $346=$ Sel. Pap. 1 6o). 6. For $\Lambda \eta \nu \in i o v$ read $Z \eta \nu \in i ́ o v . ~ X L I X ~ 34868 n$.
336 (description). Edition in L'Antiquité classique 50 (1981) 753-8.
 495 5. For K $\rho \iota \epsilon \theta \dot{v} \rho \epsilon \iota$ read KєркєӨ́vpєı. P. Pruneti, I centri abitati, 79.
 504 i5-16. For the reading and restoration cf. XLIX 34829 n.
648 (description). For $\Psi a \lambda \beta \omega ́$ read probably $T v \chi \iota \nu] \psi a \lambda \beta \dot{\omega}$. P. Pruneti, I centri abitati, 21 I.
IV $71523-4$. In the translation for ' $1 \frac{7}{8}$ ' ${ }^{\text {read }}$ ' $\frac{7}{8}$ '. J. Rowlandson.
 (1981) 107.
 J. R. Rea.

735 (= ChLA IV 275).8. For $\pi \rho a \iota \tau \omega$ read $\pi \rho a \iota(c i \delta i o v)$ Cóv ( $\eta \mathrm{c}) . Z P E 42$ (1981) 108.
$79420-1$. Perhaps restore $\left.\Theta_{\mu}[o c]\right|^{21} \theta \hat{\omega} \theta \iota \nu$. XLIX 34893 n.
800 (description). Edition in CE 54 (1979) 131-3.

 abitati, 204. (Perhaps read rather r'́cc, 'so far, to date', cf. the second payment to
 тотан[í(auc)] $E[$ ]. P. Pruneti, $I$ centri abitati, 44.
1106 ( $=$ ChLA IV 237).5. For $\mu \epsilon \tau^{\prime}$ read $\kappa a \tau^{\prime}, Z P E 42$ (1981) 109 n. iा.
1173. Cf. $B A S P_{17}$ (1980) $155-65$.

1208 21. For $[\dot{v}] \pi \grave{\epsilon} \rho a \check{a}[\lambda \lambda] \omega \nu$ restore $[\dot{v}] \pi \grave{\epsilon} \rho a[\dot{v} \tau] \hat{\omega} \nu$. XLIX 349830 n.
X 1235. Cf. Prometheus 6 (1980) 97-1o6.
1270 5. The archidicastes Celer might be identical with the soldier in P. IFAO III in. H. Devijver, Anagennesis 1 (1981) 210-18.

1271 (= ChLA IV 266). 2. For Маєкєацйс read Маркєаиท̂с.

12. Read and restore perhaps $K$ Tal(endas) Octobres. ZPE 42 (1981) 108-9.

1285 106. For $K$ [ ${ }^{\prime}$ ] $\mu$ a read perhaps $T$ [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ] ка. P. Pruneti, I centri abitati, 88, 206.
1312 (description). For тò сєкои(?) àтò Пєктиєúтоу єи́рі́скєтає read тоськои - still obscure


1334. On the era date, still a puzzle, see $B A S P_{\text {i }}$ (1980) ino.
1356. Cf. $B A S P_{17}$ (1980) $155-65$.

XI 1364. Cf. G. B. Kerferd (ed.), The Sophists and their Legacy (Hermes, Einzelschr. 44), 81-91.
 2-4 n.
1575. The date is 26 May, A.D. 338. BASP 17 (1980) 116.

XIV 1635 8-io. For the restorations see XLIX $34828 \mathrm{n} ., 9 \mathrm{n}$.
XV 1797. Cf. G. B. Kerferd (ed.), The Sophists and their Legacy (Hermes, Einzelschr. 44), 81-91.
1798. Cf. Amer. Yourn. Anc. Hist. 4 (1979) 97-101.

XVI 1917 56, 58. On $\kappa \epsilon \lambda \lambda(i a$ ?) in this text see Proc. XVI Int. Congr. Pap., 524-5.
1912 123. For Пaєîoc read $\Gamma_{\rho \alpha \epsilon i ̂ ̀ o c . ~ P . ~ P r u n e t i, ~ I ~ c e n t r i ~ a b i t a t i, ~}^{11} 1$.
1918 recto in. $\Lambda \epsilon \omega v i \delta o v$ may be a place name rather than a personal name. P. Pruneti, I centri abitati, 96 .
 Cynopolite nome. XLIX $34893 n$.
1991 4-6. The date is 18 September, A.D. 6oi. Z. Borkowski.
XVII 2079. Cf. Mnemosyne, ser. 4, 32 (1979) 128 - 37.
2086 recto. Cf. Mus. Crit. 13-14 (1978-9) 245-9.
2094. Further fragments of this piece are published as 3445 below. Dr W. E. H. Cockle has cleaned 2094. The improved readings which follow are his, except where noted: 922-3. Much-damaged traces remain from the ends of these lines. 922 possibly $\left.\Pi_{\epsilon \lambda]}\right] \eta \varphi \varphi[\iota o c$
 93 I read $\pi] \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \phi \rho[\iota] \kappa \omega ́ c$ (P.J.P.) $932 \mathrm{read} \epsilon \kappa \tau \iota /[\nu \omega \nu$ (P.J.P.) $933 \mathrm{read} \mu \dot{\eta} \lambda] \omega[\nu] \tau \varphi \varphi$
 read $\delta o \rho]]_{i}^{[ }[\kappa \tau \eta] \tau o \nu \quad 1362$ two letters' space after $\epsilon \rho \rho \nu$, a trace like a short-mark in the margin 1367 read $\beta \lambda$ áßac 1368 read $\dot{\eta}$ ıóva $1369 \mathrm{read} \Lambda a] \pi \epsilon \rho c i ́ \omega \iota$.
XVIII 2158. Cf. $B A S P_{17 \text { (1980) 155-65. }}$ (
$2174{ }^{11}$. Cf. Mus. Crit. $13^{-14}$ (1978-9) 149-51.
2202. The top of this document, with the invocation formula, is probably lost. $C E 56$ (1981) 116.

2204 5. For Пavíac read Cтavíac. P. Pruneti, I centri abitati, 185.
2207 27. For Mє ${ }^{2}$ ádou 'Poú $\notin \omega c$ read $M \epsilon \gamma$ ádov Moú $\chi \epsilon \omega c$. P. Pruneti, I centri abitati, 108.
XIX 2225. Cf. Mnemosyne, ser 4, 32 (1979) 119-27.
XX 2245. Cf. Phil. Class. I (1977) 45-50; BPEC N.S. 27 (1979) 79-103.
XXI 2291. Cf. Emerita 48 (1980) 249-50.
XXII 2338. Cf. $B A S P 18$ (1981) 77-8.
XXIII 2369. Cf. $C Q$ N.S. 30 (1980) $23-9$.
XXIV 2411. Cf. SDHI 44 (1978) 452-60.
XXVII $2459{ }^{1}$. Cf. R. Pintaudi, Misc. Pap., 60.
2478. The top of this document, with the invocation formula, is lost. CE 56 (1981)

 (i.e. aì $\tau o \hat{v}=\tau o \hat{v} \pi \omega \mu a \rho i ́ o u)$, read 'and perform all the estate tasks usually contributed by him' (i.e. aùtồ $=\tau o \hat{v} \pi \omega \mu a \rho i \neq 0 u$ ). I. F. Fikhman, Klio 63 (1981) $605^{-8}$; cf. id. in Proc. XVI Int. Congr. Pap., 476.



XXXI 2565. Cf. $Z R G$ Röm. Abt. 97 (1980) 91, 96.
25887 . For $\dot{\psi} \varphi$ read ovt. XLIX $34863 n$ n.
2611 16-17. Cf. $B A S P 18$ (1981) 74.
XXXIII 266524 n . The statement that year 13 (Constantius and Galerius) and i (Severus and Maximinus) has not appeared in the documents is wrong. R.S. Bagnall and K. A. Worp, Regnal Formulas, 30.

XXXIV 2719. Another text similarly entitled c $\eta \mu a c i a(P$. Osl. inv. 1621 ) has been published in Symb. Osl. 56 (1981) 103-4. 9. For oiкía é $\pi \tau a ́ c \tau \epsilon \gamma$ oc cf. Proc. XVI Int. Congr. Pap., 522-3.


```
    XXXV 2744 ii 32-6. Cf. Anagennesis I (1981) 23-30.
    XXXVI 2745. Cf. Stud. Pap. }19\mathrm{ (1980) 45-7.
    2767. Cf. Proc. XVI Int. Congr. Pap., 519-20.
    XXXVII 2811 5. Cf. Mus. Crit. 1 3-14 (1978 9) 41-3.
```



```
        under XLII 3047 7. J. R. Rea.
    XXXIX 2890 front. Cf. Aegyptus 59 (1979) 91-6.
    XLI 2949. Cf. ZNTW }72\mathrm{ (1981) 216-26.
    2967 II. P. Pruneti, I centri abitati, 183, doubts the reading \mu\epsiloń<\eta(c) то(\pia\rho\chiíac) Cкй
        [\tauó](\pi\omega\nu), since many other references show that Sco was in the Upper toparchy.
        Inspection of the original confirmed that everything after ro(map\chiiac) is doubtful, and
        no convincing solution was reached. R. A. Coles
    2969. Cf. Proc. XVI Int. Congr., 519-20.
    2993. Cf. Proc. XVI Int. Congr., 519-20.
    2994. Cf. Proc, XVI Int. Congr., 519-20.
```



``` category of (lands) revised in alternate years'. See also under XXXVIII 2847 ro-ir. J. R. Rea.
3057. Cf. R. Pintaudi, Misc. Pap., 289 and PI. XII.
3070. Cf. C. Gallavotti, Museum Criticum \(13^{-14}\) (1978-9) 363-9.
XLIII 3096. Cf. Aegyptus 59 (1979) I \(40-4\).
```



``` name. P. Pruneti, I centri abitati, 95.
XLIV 3182. Correct the date in the heading from 22 August to 23 August. This is the date of the main body of the text, Mesore \(30=23\) August (line 16). The notice was delivered on Mesore Epagomenon \(2=25\) August (line 18) and the duty was to be done on Mesore Epagomenon \(4=27\) August (line 7). Cf. XLVI 3293 1 \(_{3} \mathrm{I}_{4} \mathrm{n}\).
XLVI 3285. Cf. \(Z R G\) Röm. Abt. 96 (1979) 268-7ı.
328922 n . The note is wrong. The Caesar whose name is lost must have been Saloninus, because the year is the sixth of the reign and Saloninus had already replaced Valerian Caesar in Mesore of the fifth year, see XXXI 2560 23. J. R. Rea.
32982 n . To the references for ко́рифос add SB XII 11129. 5-6 = P. Mich. Michael (Diss. Ann Arbor 1966; University Microfilms 1970) 27. 5-6. It is there interpreted as a proper name.
3307. Cf. Proc. XVI Int. Congr. Pap., 433-4, 439-40.
3314. Cf. Scritti.... Montevecchi, 407-I I.
XLVII 3317. Cf. ZPE 42 (1981) 27-30.
333321 n . There were four, not three, villages called Psobthis in the Oxyrhynchite nome. The same mistake was made in 33585 n . See XLIX 3503 i 3 n . and now P. Pruneti, I centri abitati, 223-6.
33585 n . See above under 333321 n .
```



``` of the papyrus of \(\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \kappa \lambda(\eta \dot{\eta} \rho о v)\) - or \(\kappa \lambda(\eta \dot{\eta} \rho \omega \nu)\) - 'A the first edition, \(Z P E 24\) (I977) Taf. II, makes it very clear how \(\kappa \lambda^{\prime} a \rho\) came to be misread as кат. J. R. Rea.
P. Oxy. Hels. 44. i. For tò . read tò §. The date is February/March, A.d. 324 : BASP 17 (1980) in 6.
```



```
P. Tebt. II 27I. Plate in Archiv 16 (1956) Taf. I (C; opp. p. 32).
556 (description). Edition in Akten XIII Int. Papyrologenkongresses, 207-1 r.
586 (description). Edition and two plates in Akten XIII Int. Papyrologenkongresses, 211 1-14, Taf. I and II.
III. i 690. Plate in Archiv 16 (1956) Taf. IV (L; opp. p. 49).
692. Cf. CQ N.S. 30 (1980) 23-9. Plate in Scrittura e Civiltà 4 (1980) Tav. I Ib (between pp. 40 and 41).
697. Plate in Scrittura e Civiltà 4 (1980) Tav. 12 (between pp. 40 and 41).
O. Fay.
\({ }^{17} 7\) (P. Fay. p. 324). Cf. R. Pintaudi, Misc. Pap., 103-4.
4. Cf. \(Z P E_{42}\) (1981) 23-5.
```



## I. NEW LITERARY TEXTS

213 B. 28/L (2-4) a-c

3431. Anon., New Comedy

(a) Fr. $14.5 \times 13.1 \mathrm{~cm}$. Late second century
(b) Frr. $2+3 \quad 9.3 \times 18.3 \mathrm{~cm}$.

These fragments written by the same hand form parts of two columns from a dialogue of New Comedy. Fragment I, which retains upper and left margins of c. 2.0 cm ., consists of the beginnings only of 20 lines of dialogue in an iambic meter. Fragment 2 has upper and lower margins of c .25 cm . and is joined at the upper right corner by fragment 3, which retains only its upper margin; frr. $2+3$ preserve 26 lines of iambic trimeter. Fr. I does not join frr. $2+3$, but may well come from an immediately preceding or following column. All the pieces are badly warped and frr. $2+3$ are stained and brittle, especially at the upper right. Writing is with the fibres; the backs of all pieces are blank.

The hand is practiced, rounded and upright, but uneven; the scribe used a blunt pen and tended to ligature his letters, which are medium to large in size. The letter shapes, varied in size and irregular in formation ( $a$ sometimes has the form $A$ ), are likely to belong to the end of the second century (cf. Roberts, GLH pls. I3b and 20). Lectional signs include paragraphi on fr. I, dicolon at fr, 2. I, high stops at fr. 2. I, 5, 18, 19, grave accent at fr. 2. 16. There is an elision marked at fr. 2. 16, but scriptio plena at 19 . Iota adscript is written at fr. 2. 15, but neglected at I 8 . The text is corrected twice by the original scribe (fr. 1. 5, fr. 2. 1) and there are no other uncorrected errors. At the foot of frr. $2+3$ what appears to be a second hand has written $26=$ number of lines in the column (see Turner, GMAW introd. 19).

The meter of frr. $2+3$ is consistent with iambic trimeter throughout with, at most, I-2 syllables lacking from the beginnings of lines $\mathrm{I}-6$. A trochaic scheme would produce two anomalous lines, 3 (no median dieresis) and 6 (divided resolution), while lines io and 19, which would have no median diereses, discourage belief in iambic tetrameter. For metrical reasons alone fr. i cannot be made to join frr. $2+3$.

The context of fr. I is lost; the conversation at the top of frr. $2+3$ seems to be about the property of one of the speakers which his (?) sister (1.3) has taken or received; there is talk of a cloak and possibly dinner (1.5) which may be gotten from the sister. It is likely that $\pi \rho o ̀ c \tau a u ́ \tau \eta \nu,[\pi] a \rho a ̀ ~ \tau a u ́ \tau \eta c ~ a n d ~ \chi \rho \eta c i ́ \mu \eta$ all refer to the sister. The situation would seem to require:
(I) speaker A who has a sister (1. 3),
(2) a son and daughter (1. I8),
(3) Moschion (1.22), who is no doubt one of the speakers,
(4) speaker B, who is parent, friend or slave of A.

The most economical equation is:
(1) speaker $\mathrm{A}=$ Moschion = son,
(2) sister $=$ daughter,
(3) speaker $B=$ parent of $A$,
though other arrangements are possible.
While such characters are standard in New Comedy, one play does suggest itself. If lines $3^{-6}$ are correctly restored, there is a more than fleeting resemblance to Terence's Hauton Timoroumenos, 964 ff . when Chremes, having determined to give his son Clitipho's inheritance into the keeping of his daughter's husband says:
ei commisi et credidi.
ibi stultitiae semper erit praesidium, Clitipho. victus, vestitus, quo in tectum te receptes. CL. ei mihi.
Though the resemblance is not strong enough, especially in the rest of the column, to identify this fragment very securely with Terence's Greek antecedent, it does suggest possible lines of restoration: 7-1 3 might well be part of a father's speech about the benefits of such an arrangement, 19-25 an argument between father and son.

Since the beginnings and ends of lines are lacking, part distribution is tentative. The easiest division, following the papyrus, gives one speaker (B) $[\kappa]$ ai cô̂ $\mu$ '́poc $\tau i$, treats $\pi \hat{\omega} c{ }^{\epsilon} \mu \circ \hat{v}$; as an interjection of A , with B continuing until movqрóv (1. 5). Therefore, côv, c $\hat{\eta}$ and $c \hat{\omega} \nu$ all refer to the same person - A. Restorations are given exempli gratia.

I am more grateful than I can say for the help I have received from Professor E. W. Handley and from the late Professor T. B. L. Webster.

Fr. 1

|  | $\mu$. [ | j ${ }_{\text {a }}$ [ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\epsilon \alpha \nu$. [ | $\epsilon \in \square \nu$. |
|  | каı[ | $\kappa \alpha<[$ |
|  | $\pi \epsilon \pi$ [ | $\pi \epsilon \pi$ [ |
| 5 | $a \nu^{\pi} \epsilon \rho \theta \epsilon[$ | ${ }_{\alpha}^{\prime \prime} \nu \pi \tau \epsilon \theta \epsilon[$ |
|  | $\tau \iota \pi$ [ | $\tau i \pi[$ |
|  | очко[ | оข่ко[ |
|  | тov. [ | тòv $\chi$ [ |
|  | $\epsilon \rho \omega$. . [ | $\epsilon \rho \omega \ldots$. . [ |
| ıо | $\pi a \lambda \iota \varphi[$ | $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \iota \nu$ [ |
|  | $\alpha \delta \delta \delta \omega c[$ | à $\delta i \delta \delta \omega c[$ |
|  | $\mu a \lambda \lambda o v .[$ | $\mu \hat{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$ ov .[ |
|  | $\epsilon \alpha$. . [ | $\dot{\epsilon} \dot{\alpha} \nu \bar{\nu} \delta$ |
|  | $\tau \iota \pi \pi \rho[$ | тíc $\pi \rho$ [ |
| 15 | $\alpha \subset \tau \in \iota \circ \cup[$ |  |
|  | $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \eta \subset[$ | $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \eta \eta \subset$ |
|  | єıсоıкıаг[ | єic оікіа⿱ [ |
|  | $\theta \rho \in \psi \omega c$. | $\theta \rho \epsilon \in \psi \omega$ c¢ [ |
|  | $\mu \eta \theta \epsilon[$ | $\mu \eta \theta \epsilon$ [ |
| 20 | . . .].[ | . . [].[ |
|  |  |  |

Fr. I. I .[, high trace with rounded trace below, $a \quad 2$.[, low dot, $a, \delta, \lambda \quad 4 \pi[$, second $\pi$ ligatured to $\epsilon \quad 5$ The $\pi$ is placed between $\nu$ and $\epsilon$, so appears to be an insertion ( $=a \tilde{a} \nu \pi \epsilon \rho$ ), rather than a substitution of $\pi$ for $\nu(=\pi \pi \epsilon \rho) \quad 6 \quad \iota[$, $\iota$ is ligatured to next letter which is formed like the $\pi$ in line 48 .[, left half of $\chi$ or $\lambda \quad 9 \ldots[$, feet of $3(?)$ letters $\quad$ o $\psi[$, high wedge-shaped trace, right corner of $\nu \quad 12$.[, two dots in vertical alignment, $a$ ? 13 ..[, an upright with descender slanted to right ( $\nu$ ? ), then low horizontal $15 \nu$ [, high trace, suitable for $\nu \quad 18$.[, high rounded trace, $\epsilon, o \quad 20 \ldots$, tops of 2-3 letters, then wedge of $\delta$ or $a ;$.[, upright with cross-stroke, $\tau, \pi$ ?

Fr. 2


Frr． $2+3$
1 （B）$\kappa] a i ̀ ~ c o \hat{v} \mu \epsilon ́ \rho o c ~ \tau i ́ ; ~(A) ~ \pi \hat{\omega} c \epsilon ́ \epsilon \mu o \hat{v} ;$（B）$\pi \rho o ̣ ̀[c \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$ ，
$\tau] \hat{\omega} \nu$ с $\hat{\omega} \nu \delta \epsilon ́ \delta \omega \kappa \alpha c$ ои̉ $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \mu \kappa \kappa \rho[o ́ v \cdot \nu \hat{v} \nu \delta \epsilon ́ ~ c o \iota$,


5

$\kappa о \mu \iota \epsilon \hat{\imath} \pi] \alpha \rho \alpha ̀$ таи́т $\eta \subset$ є̈ $\tau \epsilon \rho о \nu \cdot \epsilon \cup \cup[-\cdots-$
$\pi \rho о \nu о о ⿱ 䒑 䶹] \epsilon ́ \nu \eta ~ \tau \epsilon \pi о \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \kappa \alpha \grave{\imath} .[--\cup-$
－－－－］coı $\chi \rho \eta с і ́ \mu \eta \gamma \epsilon \nu[\dot{\eta} \subset \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$.

10

－－u－－］катахє́ovcí cov ．［u－
］．oc $\dot{\alpha} \pi o \lambda \epsilon i ̂ ~ \subset[~$
－－－－－－］$\delta^{\prime} \alpha{ }_{\alpha} \nu o i \xi \notin \iota \tau[\dot{\eta} \nu \quad \theta \dot{v} \rho \alpha \nu$ $\epsilon] \rho \epsilon \iota \subset \propto!\mu \eta \theta$ ．．［．］．［

15
］т̂̂ı Sóvтı каi $\mu[$ ］$\epsilon \omega \kappa \dot{\eta} \subset \epsilon \mathcal{T}^{\prime}$ ov $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ ．［

（A）－－v］v vị̂̂ $\theta v \gamma a \tau \rho i ́ \tau$＇ov̉．［
－－－］т̣ỗo．（B）ov̉ $\pi \rho o \alpha \iota \rho o \hat{\mu} \mu\left[\alpha \iota \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \in \gamma \omega ́\right.$
20

（A）$\dot{\alpha}] \pi о \mu \nu \tau \tau o ́ \mu \in \nu[o c ;$

］$\theta$ о $\mu \in \nu$ ．с．¢［
－－－－］aúvòc ó coфıcтй［c－u－
25 （A）тoîoc c］офıсти́c；$\chi \rho \hat{\omega} \lambda \alpha[\beta \grave{\omega} \nu-\ldots-$


Frr. 2 +3 . Warping has dropped the lines of fr. 3 below those of fr. 2. I .[, after tail of $\rho$ low trace, o, ? 2 .[, foot of descender of $\rho \quad 3$..[, traces of two rounded letters, first with cross-bar, $\epsilon c$ ? 4]., low ink with part of ligature above, c most likely .[, c broken and written rather low, ligatured to $\epsilon \quad 5] \pi$.oy, initially two verticals topped by a horizontal, $\pi$ or $\tau ;$ then a high wedgeshaped trace with ink from a vertical descender directly below and a similar low trace to the right, a or $\nu$ most likely, then $o$ followed by $\lambda_{c}$ or $v 6$ ]., high, sloping descender, probably $a$.[, median trace, curving down, $v$ or $\tau \quad 7$ ]., median horizontal, from $\epsilon$ ? .[, wedge-shaped base with ink dot above, $a, \delta \quad 9$ ]., horizontal, $\tau, c$ ? After $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota$, top of $\epsilon, \xi$ or $\chi$, feet of $\epsilon \tau$ curving right, aı .[, two traces in vertical alignment, the upper appears to slope down slightly, $a, \delta, \lambda, \chi, \nu \quad$ 1о .[, a small rounded trace, consistent with c 1 I .[, high downward-sloping trace, $a, \delta, \lambda, v 12$ ]., high dot, $\tau, c$ ? 13 ]..[, traces of two rounded letters ].[, ink on a broken fibre, possibly from a previous letter .[, median ink consistent with $\tau \quad 14$ After $\iota$, top half of a rounded letter ( $c, \theta$ ), followed by traces of a triangular letter and foot of $\iota$, cal? .. [.].[, broken fibres with traces of at least three letters; the first is rounded and may be $\epsilon$, the ink before the lacuna may be the foot of a descender, after the lacuna a median horizontal trace 16 .[, $\lambda, \chi \quad 17]$, low trace, from $\delta$ ? 18 .[, $\theta$, c 19$]$., trace of horizontal, $]_{\tau} \pi \rho o a t$ : to the top left of iota, unexplained ink, like the left-hand point of a diaeresis $20] \mu$, only right descender remains 21 ]., trace of horizontal 22 ], rounded trace consistent with c 23 ].., the first is $\epsilon$ or $\theta$; then rounded letter closed at top,o or just possibly right half of $\omega$. After $v$, median trace with low dot to right, as if from sloping descender; a possible, but o unlikely. After $c$, high trace, $\iota, a, \eta$, or even top of small pointed o 24 ] , trace of upright and ligature remain 25 Small rounded trace above left shoulder of $\phi$; descender from line above? 26 ]., upper right portion of $\rho, o, \theta, \phi$; after $\epsilon \iota a$ broken $\iota$ rather than dicolon; . $[, \lambda, \chi$.

I-5 Each line begins slightly to the left of the preceding (see fr. I), so there should be one letter missing from line $1,1-2$ from 2 and 3 , and 3 from lines 4 and 5 .

I $\pi \hat{\omega} \subset \dot{\epsilon} \mu \mathrm{\imath} \hat{\mathrm{p}}$; should be an interjection by a second speaker, cf. Ep. 957, Asp. 167, Sam. 578-9; if this assumption is correct, then a high stop was used to indicate the change of speaker (see Handley, Dysk. p. 45).
 to be adverbial since $\delta \epsilon ́ \delta \omega \kappa$ кac needs an object.

2-4 The construction requires an antecedent for єice $\lambda \theta_{0}^{\prime} v \tau \iota$ and either a finite verb or an infinitive for $\dot{a} \in i$. Since the beginnings of lines 4 and 5 are unlikely to admit either, the ends of 2 and 3 offer the only available space.

4 ]ятov: c rather than $v$ or $\pi$. Only $2-3$ letters are missing; a word of the shape $¥-\cup$ is required, e.g. äтıcтоv, á $\rho \epsilon \subset \tau o ́ v, ~ a ̆ \rho ı c \tau o v, ~ \eta ̈ \delta ı с т о \nu . ~$

5 ] $\pi \nu o v:$ the traces are consistent with ] $\pi \nu o \nu,] \pi a \iota o \nu$, ] $\pi \nu o a \iota$, ] $\iota \tau \nu o \nu$, only the first of which seems likely. $\delta \in \hat{i}] \pi v o v$ is the requisite length and can be made to give reasonable sense.
$\pi o \nu \eta \rho o ́ v$ : better taken as an interjection than as a modifier of $i \mu a ́ \tau \iota o \nu$. Either by A or a third speaker, cf. Dysk. 220, Pk. 390, Heros 17.
ćadv $\theta\left[\right.$ : most likely a condition to be completed in the next line, though $\epsilon \frac{a}{a} y$ cannot be ruled out.
7 ] $\varsigma \nu \eta$ : surely a feminine participle, $\pi \rho \circ \nu \circ \circ \nu \mu] \epsilon \nu \eta$ or sim.

 things will defend you', though other meanings are possible, cf. Ep. 505.
 see Mayser I.I. §7. 2b. Given ${ }^{2} v o i \xi \in \iota($ line 13), the subject is likely to be a door, cf. Ep. 1075-6.
 or 3. 15.3: oivoข... катахє́оขтєє.

14 ] $\rho \epsilon \iota \varsigma \xi_{l}$ : apparently also below, line 26 . An aorist infinitive or middle imperative of $\epsilon \rho \epsilon \iota \delta \omega$ or



 (or sim.) for a son and daughter'?

19 ovं $\pi \rho \circ \alpha \iota \rho \circ \hat{v}[\mu a t:$ or sim. The scriptio plena here apparently indicates a change of speaker (see Handley, Dysk. p. 43). The line may belong to either speaker, but if áro $\mu \nu \tau \tau o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu$ oc is rightly restored at the beginning of line 20, then the speaker is probably an old man (see below 21).


 (cf. Ter. Phorm. 682 and K-T 427 ). See also Chr. Theodoridis, ZPE 26 (1977) 50-1.
$\left.{ }_{2} 3\right] Q_{\rho} \mu \in \nu . c . \kappa[$ possibly a form in $-\mu \epsilon \nu$ oc, but the traces are difficult; neither $\eta$ nor o will suit the termination; possibly a small, broken $a$ ? Since the traces are not in vertical alignment, it is unlikely to be a dicolon.

24 ]aủtòc ó coфıctý[c: 'the sophist himself'? Presumably the tone is disparaging, cf. Photius, s.v.


25 [ $\pi 0$ ioc c]oфictйc; or sim.? with a change in construction following?
 a vocative cannot be ruled out; if so $\Lambda \alpha ́[\chi \eta c$ ?

Fr. 4
]. [

$$
\text { ] } \operatorname{ya\tau }[
$$

]. [

I Traces of two letters $\quad 2] \nu$, a vertical, $\nu, \mu \quad 3$ Traces of $2-3$ letters, square in shape, $\pi, \eta$, $\tau$.

Fr. 5

$$
\text { ]. } \epsilon \cup[
$$

Initially a high dot, then rounded letter with cross-bar; then $\nu$ or broken $\mu$.

3432. Anon., New Comedy

364 B.98/E (2-4) a
$6.3 \times 12.4 \mathrm{~cm}$. Early third century
This scrap contains what appears to be part of a dialogue from New Comedy written as prose. It is written across the fibres on the back of an account, two pieces of which were subsequently joined to form the writing surface for the present text. The papyrus itself is coarse, with left and bottom margins preserved, but broken at top and right. The break at the right is even; all of the letters along this edge are intact, and in some cases there is a space before the break, which suggests that the papyrus had a flaw, perhaps a vertical crease or join which predated the writing and along which it was later broken. There is also a vertical crease which bisects the papyrus, the letters on the left of which are slightly higher than those on the right. The hand is upright and quickly written (note the rho made in one movement) with separate capitals that have some affinity with the mixed style; it is not unlike XLII 3005, though the letters are more irregularly formed. It should no doubt be dated to the early third century. Tremata are the only lectional aid (4); there are at least two itacisms (8, II) and one error (il).

The individual lines that remain are consistently metrical and can be most economically reconstructed as iambic trimeters; this supposes some $1-1 \frac{1}{2}$ metra (4-6 syllables) missing from each line. Trochaics, while theoretically possible, do not allow a consistent pattern; a variation of $5^{-1} 5$ syllables per line is too erratic to be acceptable. The iambic pattern gives a prose line length of $2 \frac{1}{2} 3$ metra in a fairly broad column of 25-28 letters.

While dramatic texts written as prose are relatively rare, at least two parallels exist - a neariy contemporary Oxyrhynchus papyrus (edited by E. W. Handley as P. Turner 5), containing the prologue of Menander's Kitharistes (with a prose line length of $1_{2}^{\frac{1}{2}-2}$ metra), and VI 864, a third-century fragment of an anthology with a passage in tragic iambics (the line length is uncertain). There is nothing to suggest that this piece is from an anthology, but I suppose the possibility cannot be excluded (see note on 1 I below).

The dialogue, in so far as it is recoverable, seems to be standard New Comedy fare; there is mention of a Moschion (7), a child (5), and someone's mother (2) who may be a speaker, if the vocative is correctly restored. The dialogue may have to do with the revelation of parentage or the disposition of a child (see below, notes on 5 and $\mathrm{I}_{3}$ ), but the fragment suggests no particular play, nor can the speakers' parts be assigned with any conviction.

```
            \tau[3-4]cv.\epsilon...\nu[
            \mu\eta\tau[..]\epsilon\iota\pi\epsilon\muoルса[
            ка\mu.[.]о\nu\tau\rhoıса日\lambda.[
                акоисо\nuїкє\tauєvш[
\deltaьо\nu\gamma\epsilon\gammaо\nuє\tau\epsilonк\nuо[
        \tauочроьфрасо⿱ото[
        \muє\nuоспрн\nu\muос\chi[
        \pi\rhoак\tau\epsilon\tau\alphav\tau\alphaфа\nu[
        о\mu\nuv\muı\piа\nu\tauасто[
```



```
\betaа\zetaє\iota\zetaє\tauооитосо[
\tau\epsilon\subset\pi\alpha\rho\alphaа\gamma\mu\epsilon\nu\eta\nu [
катє\lambdaа\betaо\nu\eta\piо\rho\dot{\eta}[
```

$\tau[3-4] c v . \epsilon \ldots \nu[$
$\mu \hat{\eta} \tau[\epsilon \rho] \epsilon i \pi \epsilon ́ \mu \circ<c \alpha[$ $\kappa \alpha \mu a ̣[$.$] ov \tau \rho \iota c a \theta \lambda_{\iota}[$ ӓкоисор，ікєтєч́ш［
5 －$\delta \iota o \nu$ ү́́ $\gamma о \nu є \tau є ́ \kappa \nu о[\nu$
－тov нoı фрácov ó $\tau o[$
－$\mu \in \nu o c$ 市 $\mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ Mocх［í $\omega \nu$
－трактаı таи̂та ф．$\alpha v[$
ö $\mu \nu \nu \mu \iota$ то́vтас то［さ̀c $\theta \epsilon о$ ùc
－$\delta \operatorname{tov} \mu \in \nu[\mathrm{I}-2] \varphi[.] . \operatorname{ov}[$

－тєстараүнє́vך $[$
$\kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon ́ \lambda \alpha \beta o \nu ~ \eta ’ \pi о \rho \eta[$
$1 v_{.}, \pi$ or $\nu ; \ldots$, shallow，curved base with slanted descender，$\beta, \zeta, \xi$ ；then looped foot，bottom of $\alpha$ or $\epsilon$ ，then $c, \epsilon, \circ$ ；possibly $\dot{v} \epsilon \epsilon \xi$－or cuv $\epsilon$－$\quad 3$ ．［，low curved trace，possibly $\alpha, \lambda, \mu$ ，$\nu$ ，but not $\epsilon$ ， Also there appears to be ink above the break，an omission added above the line？ $4 \ddot{\alpha} \kappa о и с о \nu$ ，suits better than áкov́ $\omega>7 \eta$ ，apparently the left half of $\eta$ rather than $\epsilon$ ．The papyrus is broken here along a vertical fold 8 Read－$\pi \rho \alpha \kappa \tau a l: \phi a \nu[$ right wedge of $\phi$ rather than $\rho$ ；high traces above tip of descender with extraneous ink to right？Io ］$\varphi[$ ，shallow bowl shape at midline；$\nu, \mu$ or $\omega$ ；］．，either $\rho$ or $\phi$ 12 After $v$ ，a blank space the width of one letter．Punctuation？ 13 Above $\eta[$ ，a rounded trace，as if a correction or insertion，but not $\kappa$ or $\mu$ ．

The following metrical scheme is offered exempli gratia：
$2 \mu \eta \tau\left[\right.$. .]: either $\mu \hat{\eta} \tau \epsilon \rho$ or $\mu \eta \tau \epsilon \epsilon^{\prime} \rho^{\prime} ; \mu \dot{\eta} \tau \eta \rho$ is too long for the space; $\mu \dot{\eta} \tau$ ' or $\mu \dot{\eta} \tau \epsilon$ (with elision neglected) too short.
$\epsilon i \pi \epsilon \in \rho o t$ requires a question in the vicinity; the phrase usually is placed within the question, but may occasionally follow. Perhaps the line should be punctuated [ $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu] \mu \eta \tau\left[\epsilon^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}\right] ;$ єint $\mu \circ 几$., with ca[ beginning the answer.
$c a[$ is difficult; I find no parallel for the obvious restoration, a form of ca申́c used with $\epsilon i \pi \epsilon \in \mu o t$, though it may go with an answer.

3 каца[.]ov: after $\mu$, the low hooked trace precludes any vowel but $a$, after which only one letter can be missing. Articulations that treat all letters as part of the same word (e.g., forms of кá $\mu \nu \omega, \kappa \dot{\alpha} \mu a \tau o v$, $\kappa a ̆ \mu а \chi o \nu) ~ e n t a i l ~ d i f f i c u l t i e s ~ i n ~ m e t r e ~ a n d ~ s e n s e . ~ A r t i c u l a t i o n s ~ t h a t ~ d i v i d e ~ t h e ~ l e t t e r s ~ i n t o ~ t w o ~ o r ~ m o r e ~ w o r d s ~ s$ include $-\kappa а \mu a(=-\chi \underset{a}{\mu} \mu a)$ at the end of the preceding line, with aspiration unmarked; -ка at the end of the preceding line, either as a verb termination (presumably belonging to an answer) or from, e.g., кака́, $\delta \epsilon ́ \kappa a, \epsilon \not v \epsilon к а$. The remaining letters $\mu a[$. $] o \nu$ may be, e.g., $\mu a[\lambda\langle\lambda\rangle]$ ov or $\mu a[\lambda]^{\prime} \lambda^{\prime}$ ov (if the ink above belongs to a correction), or $\mu \dot{a}[\tau] \dot{o} v$, an epexegetic oath (cf. fr. 31 I K-T and Schol. Aristoph., Ran. 1374) with $\tau \rho \iota c a ́ \theta \lambda_{c}[\epsilon$. However, nothing seems obviously correct and the possibility remains that there is an omission or error here.

4 ăкоисоv, iкєтєv́ш: 'listen, I beseech you'; the construction is frequent. Cf. Dysk. 907; Sam. 518, 719 ; Pk. 5 10; Ep. 365, 430. Perhaps followed by cє or c' $\epsilon \gamma \dot{\prime}$.

5 - $\delta \iota o \nu \gamma^{\prime} \gamma \sigma \nu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \in \nu \circ[\nu: \pi a i] \delta \iota o \nu$ is the easiest restoration here and at line 10 ; if correct, the thought
 є́к тои́тov; тє́кขo[ $\nu$ should be either a vocative or an appositive.
 expression.

9 ö $\mu \nu \nu \mu$ : cf. Aristoph., Th. 274; and Men., fr. 279 K-T: ŏ $\mu \nu v \mu i$ cot tòv ${ }^{\circ} H \lambda \iota o \nu$.
$10 \mu \epsilon \nu[.] \varphi[$.$] . ov: the restoration M \epsilon \nu[a ́] \nu[\delta] \rho o v$, while tempting, cannot be justified by the rest of the text. This scrap is wholly unlike a collection of Sententiae in which the name of the poet might be expected to occur (cf. Jaekel, Menandri Sententiae, Comp. I 2, 4, II 3), nor is it an hypothesis or commentary on a play. There is the remote possibility that line $10: \delta_{\iota o \nu} \mu \epsilon \nu[.] \nu[].$. ov $=$ the name of a play + author, e.g., $\left.{ }^{\prime} E_{\nu \chi} \epsilon \iota \rho i\right] \delta \iota o \nu M \epsilon \nu\left[\alpha^{a}\right] \nu[\delta] \rho o v$, and that this piece is from an anthology of dialogues, but other restorations are possible, e.g., an infinitive $\mu \epsilon \epsilon^{\prime}[\epsilon \epsilon] \nu$ or perhaps $\mu \epsilon \nu[\epsilon \hat{i}] \nu$ following ơ $\mu \nu v \mu \iota$.

II $\beta a \zeta \epsilon \zeta \zeta \tau$ тооитос: apparently at least one error and an itacism are involved. If trimeter, the easiest correction assumes that the scribe intended a form of $\beta a \delta i \zeta \omega$ and anticipated by writing $\zeta$ for $\delta$ (cf.
 o[ (with line end after $\left.\beta a \delta_{\iota} \zeta \dot{\epsilon} \tau \omega\right)$; (2) $\beta a \delta_{\iota} \zeta \zeta_{\epsilon} \tau \omega\langle\tau o \iota\rangle$ ov̀ $\tau o c$ o[ or sim.; (3) $\beta a \delta i \zeta \epsilon \tau{ }^{\prime}\{0\}$ ovitoc o[ with aspiration unmarked.

12 -тєстараү $\mu \epsilon \eta \nu$ : probably катастарácc $\omega=$ 'tear into shreds' rather than старácc $\omega$; compare
 $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \theta \hat{\eta} \tau a \kappa a i \quad \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \kappa o ́ \mu \eta \nu$. The participle is more likely to refer to an object (e.g., a garment) than a person. Compare also the compound סtactapácco in P. Ant. I 15 (= Austin 240), used of a cloak, presumably a birth token: $\chi \lambda a \mu u ́ \delta o c ~ \ddot{\eta} \mu \iota c u ~ \delta \iota \epsilon \subset \pi a \rho a \gamma \mu \epsilon ́ v \eta c ~ \pi a \lambda a \iota a ̂ c . ~$
 sim.

Right-hand part of 30 lines from one column, with upper margin. The hand is an informal capital of medium size with a slight slope, roughly bilinear except for $\beta$, $\phi, \psi ; \iota$ is often smaller than the normal letters; $o$, in two strokes (as $\epsilon, c$ and $\theta$ ), often has the shape of a heart; $\tau$ sometimes has the cross-bar broken. The interlinear space is rather narrow. The writing may be roughly ascribed to the Julio-Claudian period: cf. P. Amst. I or the more stylised hands of XXIV 2387 (E. G. Turner, $G M A W$ no. 15) or XXXI 2545 (GMAW no. 37), assigned 'end of i b.c. or early i A.D.'.

No punctuation or other lectional signs; elision is tacitly made (5, 16). Iota adscriptum is written. In 15 cvvi $\rho \epsilon i \beta \epsilon \iota$ is more probably a spelling for long iota than a mere itacistic error. Omissions of a letter have been corrected above the line in 5 and 26 , possibly by the first hand. The back is blank.

The first 18 lines are quoted as a diatribe in iambic trimeters from an unidentified comedy by Menander (fr. $740 \mathrm{~K} .-\mathrm{Th}^{2}{ }^{2}$ ) in [Plut.], Consolatio ad Apollonium $103 \mathrm{c}-\mathrm{e}$, the Plutarchean authorship of which has been defended by recent editors of the text (Babbitt, 1928; Hani, 1972). The stage situation (a slave, probably a paidagogos, sententiously encouraging his young master) is too common in New Comedy and the themes handled (equality of human kind, instability of fortune, need to accept misfortune if moderate) are too general ones in Menander to venture a guess at a more precise ascription of the fragment. What remains of the 12 additional lines in the papyrus seems too scanty to give a better chance of identification. There are some indications (cf. 19-21) that a more vivid dialogue resumes in this part of the text. No dicolon appears at the end of the lines, but a change of speaker could have been simply indicated by a paragraphus under the lost beginning of some verses.

The text of the papyrus seems to be of fair quality. It supports several emendations ( 2,5, II and 18) to the text of the Moralia, whose quotations probably derive from a hellenistic gnomologion (cf. J. Hani, Plutarque, Consolation à Apollonios, 1972, 49-50) and has some interesting variant readings of its own ( $1,2,4$ ). It confirms the opinion that the manuscripts of the Moralia are rather on the poor side, as their archetype already was, and that the readings peculiar to the Planudean recension ( $\Pi$ ) may generally be discarded as byzantine conjectural alterations of a closed tradition. However it must be put to the credit of the Moralia tradition that the papyrus confirms
 ${ }_{15} 5$ кàá (cf. A. W. Gomme-F. H. Sandbach, Menander. A Commentary, i973, 719-720).

The text of $\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{I} 8$ has been collated with Paton-Wegehaupt-Gärtner, Moralia $\mathrm{I}^{2}$, 1974. The present edition benefited from a first study of the text by Mr David Hughes.
]єт $\omega \nu$.[...... .]oc
]. $\tau \epsilon \delta \iota a . \epsilon \lambda .[.] \varsigma$
]vтvхшขаєı
] $\omega \mu$ одо $\eta к є є с о \iota$

]лıтоוсаитоıсуоноוс
]cactovaєpa
] $\alpha \gamma \iota \kappa \omega \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu \lambda a \lambda \omega$
]каєлоб.[.]. .[

] $\theta а \tau \tau о \nu \pi \rho о с о \gamma к о \nu к а \iota \pi а \lambda \iota \nu ~$
] $\eta \tau \alpha[$. ] $\omega \iota \operatorname{ovov\theta \epsilon \nu \lambda a\mu \beta a\nu є\iota ~}$
]!каєب[.]ac̣ $\theta \epsilon \downarrow є с \tau а \tau о \nu \gamma а \rho о \nu$
]؟т.[.].[.]!коขо $\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota \pi \rho a \gamma \mu a с \iota v$
]. $\pi \lambda \epsilon \in[]. с \tau а с \nu \nu \tau \rho \epsilon \iota \beta \epsilon \iota к а \lambda \alpha$
$]_{\rho}[]. a \lambda \lambda o[.] \tau \alpha \tau[]. о \phi \iota \mu a \pi \omega \lambda \epsilon \subset а \subset$
]ст! $\mu є \tau р \iota а с о к к а к а ~$
]тод $\quad \pi \eta \rho \rho \varphi[.] \epsilon \rho \epsilon$
]. . $\mu a \tau$. .[.]. $\delta \alpha[$ ]. $\lambda \omega c a \gamma \nu[.] \varphi$
].vтoठa[...].ル
]. оוс $\mu .[. ..] \phi о \rho \in \iota$.
] $\pi \rho a \gamma[$
] ${ }^{〔} \kappa . \omega \nu \epsilon[\ldots . ..] . \nu$
]. . . $\iota \tau \tau \rho[\ldots . . .]_{o \mu \epsilon \iota}$
]. . $\epsilon . o[. . . . . .]. a \lambda \omega c$
]тac.[..].[....]. $\lambda \iota c$
]. $\phi[$ ].[ ]. . [ ]. [

 $\llcorner\pi \rho a ́ c c \omega v$ ä $\beta$ оú $\overline{\epsilon \iota ~ к а i ̀ ~ \delta ı \epsilon\lrcorner v \tau v \chi \chi \hat{\omega} v ~ a ̉ \epsilon i ́, ~}$















]. . $\mu a \tau$. .[.]. $\iota \delta \alpha[$ ] $\eta \lambda \omega c \dot{\alpha} \gamma \nu[0] \hat{\omega}$
]ọขтo $\delta^{\prime}$ á $\left[\gamma\right.$ vo $\left.^{\prime}\right]$ ¢íc ]. ouc $\mu \epsilon[\ldots$. . .] $\phi$ о $\rho \in \hat{\varphi}$. ] $\pi \rho a \gamma[$ ] $a^{\prime} c^{\prime} \kappa . \omega \nu \epsilon[\ldots ..] . \nu$

]. .є.o[....... . $] a \lambda \omega c$ ]rac .[..].[. . . $\mu$ ]ọ́入ıc ]. $\phi[$ ]. ].. [ ]. [

1 .[: foot of oblique as of $\lambda$ (not $\nu$ ) 2 ]. : upper part of vertical $a_{\text {. }}$ : right tip of $\tau \quad \lambda$. [: end of low curve $(\epsilon, c ;$ not $o, \omega) \quad 5 \mu$ added above the line between $c$ and $\epsilon \quad 9$ do .[.]. .[: foot of upright; one letter lost; foot of upright; bottom arc as of $\epsilon$ Ooc ( $\lambda_{o \gamma_{[ }[c] \tau \epsilon[o v ~ s u i t a b l e) ~}^{14}$. $\tau$.[.].: left arc of circle as for $o[$.$] or \omega$; shallow curve 15 ]. 7 : see commentary 19 ]..: lower end of descending diagonal as for $a$ or $\lambda$; in the upper left corner of the space, part of a sloping vertical (rather unsuited to $\gamma$ ), it is not excluded that both traces could be parts of a $\nu \quad \tau .[$ : right side as of $\omega$ or o; upper tip of a letter .[.]. : part of a high curve; space (if the following letter is narrow); traces of upper end of upright (joining above horizontal or curve coming from the left as for $\mu$ or $\pi$ ?) $\delta \alpha[: \alpha$ is probably the last letter of the line, but it could be followed by a very low letter (a small $\iota$ as in 4 ), thus $\tau \delta a$ or $\iota \delta a[\iota]$ 20 ]. $\lambda$ : faint traces of part of a cross-bar (?) and of bottom of an upright or of a lower curve $] \varphi$ : right side as of or $\omega$, but bottom of curve more angular than expected 21].: high right side as for o ]. u: upper curve as for $\epsilon$ or $c 22$ ]. : traces of lower part of curve (as for $o, \omega, \theta$ ) or upright (as for $\iota$ with oblique serif at the foot, cf. 4) $\mu$. [: ends of vertical and horizontal curves joining above, perhaps with left end of a cross-bar as for $\epsilon \in \epsilon$. [: left tip of oblique of $v$ rather than a high stop 24 ]. $\kappa$. : foot of diagonal as for $a$ or $\lambda$, above $c$ has been added; after $\kappa$, traces of a high oblique element as for $\rho, \tau$ or $v$ ]. $\nu$ : tip of descending oblique as for $a \quad 25$ ]...ıa: before $\iota$ upper curve of $\rho$ (or $\beta$ ? ) 26 ]. . $\epsilon, o[$ : right-hand arc (o or $\omega$ ); left tip of high horizontal ( $\tau$ ?); $\epsilon$ or $\theta$; left oblique (if not part of preceding $\epsilon$ ) and foot of upright as for $v \quad 27$ c.[: upright and top as for $\tau, \gamma$ or $\pi$ ].[: diagonal ]. $\lambda$ : top right arc as for o 28 ]..: triangular letter, probably $a$ ]. [: upper part of oblique ( $a, \lambda$, $\delta, \nu$ )
[N.B. In these notes, ' $\Pi$ ' refers to the codices Planudei of Plutarch; the papyrus is referred to as 'pap.'.]

I $\check{a} \lambda[\lambda \omega \nu$ pap. (suppl. Parsons) : $\pi \dot{a} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ codd. The lectio difficilior of the papyrus is a better approach to the contrast 'you ...the others', whereas $\pi a ́ v \tau \omega \nu$ looks like a trivialisation introduced by [Plutarch] or his source in quoting the text. For $\alpha \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o t$, the 'general case' with the implicit announcement of an exception, cf. Lycurgus, Leocr. $102 \mu o ́ v o v ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu a ̆ \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \pi o \iota \eta \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$.
$2 \tau \epsilon$ pap. (iam Schaefer): $\gamma \epsilon$ codd.
$\delta_{\imath} a_{\tau} \epsilon \lambda_{\epsilon}\left[i \bar{i} \mathrm{c}\right.$ pap.: $\delta_{\imath a \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i v}$ codd. The variants illustrate the alternative constructions of $\dot{\epsilon}^{\prime} \phi^{\prime} \dot{\omega} \iota(\tau \epsilon)$, with the future indicative or the infinitive, cf. E. Schwyzer, Griech. Gramm. II 681. The author of the Consolatio, or the gnomology he used, seems to have been responsible for introducing the infinitive, with a clear loss to the dramatic effectiveness of the speech.
$4 \dot{\omega} \mu о \lambda o ́ \gamma \eta \kappa \epsilon$ pap.: $\dot{\omega} \mu о \lambda o ́ \gamma \eta \subset \epsilon$ codd. We should not exclude the possibility that $\dot{\omega} \mu \circ \lambda o ́ \gamma \eta \kappa \epsilon$ represents a late hellenistic confusion of perfect and aorist. But it is more likely that the new reading of the papyrus offers another improvement to the text. Here, in the first part of the dilemma, the aorist $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \dot{\epsilon} v o v$ expresses an open condition in past time, not a counterfactual condition, cf. the present indicative $\dot{\alpha} \gamma a v a \kappa \tau \epsilon i c$. Then the perfect $\dot{\omega} \mu \circ \lambda_{o}^{\prime} \gamma \eta \kappa \epsilon$ (if right) opposes the promise made at the birth of the child ('́ $\gamma \dot{\prime} v o v$ ) to the permanent engagement of a god that the promise still holds good; compare the other perfects ( $\dot{\epsilon} c \tau i$



 Untersuchungen z. griechischen u. römischen Konsolationsliteratur (1958) 64-5.

I I ŏ $\gamma$ коь pap.: оiктоv $\Pi \Phi$, ű $\psi$ oc rell. The papyrus confirms the old conjecture of Duebner against oiктov (this reading will have developed in two stages: first OIKON was corrupted to OIKON, then oíкov was wrongly 'corrected' to oiккоv, with a tragic flavour; this destroyed the contrast ö $\gamma \kappa о \nu-\tau а \pi \epsilon \iota \circ$ о́т $\eta \tau a)$ and $\dot{u} \psi o c$ (an emendation in the sub-archetype $\Delta$ ? or an intrusive gloss, which had survived the corruption of ö $\gamma \kappa о \nu$ and was taken as a correction in part of the early medieval tradition?).

13 The papyrus agrees with most of the MSS. against ác $\theta \in \nu \in ́ c \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu$ of AE (part of $\Pi$ ) and $\stackrel{\omega}{\omega}$ of $\Pi$.

14 o]iккоронєital : the papyrus confirms the unanimous reading of the MSS. against the suspicion and emendations of Western philology: cf. A. W. Gomme-F. H. Sandbach, Menander : A Commentary (1973) 720. We have therefore to interpret the text as transmitted: we face a choice between a practically unattested use of the middle, and a passive with a connotation that is quite unfamiliar to us (a comic touch of high-sounding obscurity, as e.g. in the 'philosophical' tirades of Gorgias, Dyscolus 271-98?); and a further choice between the two possible meanings of the dative ('by...' or 'for...'). An interpretation 'man sees his life determined by' (or 'organised for. . .') 'the most ambitious undertakings'

$15 \delta]$. : the MSS. of the Moralia give $\delta \dot{\eta}$, with the exception of $\Theta v$ (a sub-family of the $\Delta$-group), where $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ is read, the vulgate of modern editions. The papyrus has traces that might be accommodated to either reading. In $\pi \lambda \epsilon$, the lower part of the right-hand upright of pi is clear; before that, some way to the left, apparently part of an upright, at half-height; before that, apparently a very short horizontal trace, level with the letter-tops. One interpretation would be $] \xi \pi$, so that the short horizontal represents the cap of epsilon, and the upright is the left-hand side of pi; but we should have to assume a pi rather wider than usual. The alternative is $] \eta \pi$, where the upright represents the right-hand side of eta, and the left-hand side of pi is lost in the rubbed area; but we have then to interpret the 'short horizontal' as part of the first upright of eta. On balance, the former looks more likely; but there is no certainty. Philological considerations are equally indecisive. Editors print $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$. But, were it not for the unusual position of $\delta \dot{\eta}$, the variant ${ }^{\delta} \tau a v \delta \dot{\eta}$ is not only a lectio difficilior, but is more appropriate to the movement of the phrase, with the value of $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ ö $\tau a v ~ \delta \dot{\eta}$ in Plato, Lysis 217 d; cf. on öтav $\delta \dot{\eta}, \mathrm{J}$. D. Denniston, Greek Particles 219 f .

 reading; Míac is attested as a slave-name (e.g. Aristoph., Wasps 433), but not in Menander.
$25]$. . . $\alpha \tau \rho \circ[$ could be divided as . . $\rho \iota \alpha \tau \rho \rho[\pi$ or $\tau \rho \rho[\phi$.
3434. Unidentified Prose

373 B. 87/L (1)a $5 \times 17 \mathrm{~cm}$.

Third century
On the back of the larger fragment of 3439 (Iliad v), written across the fibres and upside down in relation to the Homer, there are line-ends of an unidentified prose-text. The longest line is of c .2 .5 cm .; about the original line-length we know only that it was less than 7.5 cm ., since the back of the smaller fragment is blank. The hand is a rapid, sloping example of the 'Severe' ('Mixed') style, to be compared with that of 223 (Roberts, GLH 2ra) and assigned to the third century. There are no lectional signs.

The hand suggests a literary or subliterary text, rather than a document. But the genre and content remain uncertain. The way the text is placed upside down compared to the Homer suggests that it could be a commentary on the front-text, which could be consulted by turning over the roll without changing hands (cf. Turner, GMAW p. 16 n .4 ). But none of the surviving scholia to the passage are compatible with the remains. The most substantial verbal clue is line 8 ]ato $\rho o$, , which can hardly be articulated in any other way than $\dot{a} \pi \grave{o} T_{\rho o \iota-}$. Tpoí|[ac might again point to a Homeric story. $T_{\rho o l} \mid[\zeta \hat{\eta} \nu o c$ might fit a story about Theseus (e.g. he arrives in Athens, 9; his father does not recognise him, IO; his stepmother Medea plots against him, I4, and persuades Aegeus to kill him, I7). The text could then be commentary, mythography, dramatic hypothesis, and much else.

> ]. . . . [
$] \lambda \lambda \llbracket a]^{\prime} o^{\prime} \llbracket \chi o \rrbracket[$
]тотє
]тоขтєтаบ
5
] $\pi \epsilon \xi \in \rho$
]. $a\left[\beta \rrbracket^{\prime} \lambda^{\prime} \eta \iota\right.$ -
] $\epsilon \subset$. . $\tau \alpha v$
]aтотрои
]. caceic
10 ]. $\gamma$ voop
]єкцор
$] \mu \in \nu \eta$
]. $\theta \eta$.
]тpulạ
15 ]. $\gamma \alpha \rho$
] $\epsilon$.
]токтєє
]. . $\epsilon \pi \iota$
]. . .
2 Perhaps $\alpha] \lambda \lambda a \chi o[v$ corrected to $a] \lambda \lambda o \mid\left[\theta_{\iota}\right.$.
$5 \epsilon] \pi \epsilon \xi \epsilon_{\beta}\left|[\chi-, v] \pi \epsilon \xi \epsilon_{\beta}\right|[\chi-$ ? The former can have an intellectual as well as a physical sense ('pursue', 'discuss' a subject; cf. on 7).

6 The first trace is consistent with kappa: which allows the guess that $E]_{\kappa \alpha \beta \eta \iota}$ was corrected to $E]_{\kappa \quad \lambda \eta \iota \text {. If this is right, we may have a narrative of Theseus' expedition to Marathon, and meeting with }}$ Hecale, and then a retrospective account of his earlier arrival in Athens and encounter with Medea: the same elements, but in chronological order, in the Diegesis of Callimachus' poem (Call., ed. Pfeiffer, I p. 227). Then e.g. $4 \operatorname{\tau av}|[\rho-, 5 \nu] \pi \epsilon \rho \xi \epsilon \beta|[\chi-(\kappa \rho v ́ \phi a \ldots \neq \dot{\epsilon} \xi \epsilon \lambda \theta \dot{\omega} \nu$ Dieg.).

8 Apart from the suspect $\tau \rho o \alpha^{\prime}$ (quoted and glossed filum in $C G L$ ), the only possible words in $\tau \rho \circ$ are $T \rho o i ́ a$ and $T \rho o \iota \zeta \dot{\eta} \nu$ and derivatives.

9 -] прсас or - ]ясас єи?
io arvoou-?
14 If the word ended with the line, $\mu \eta]_{\tau \rho u t a ¢}$ seems the only possible supplement
15 ] $\eta$ rap, ]. . rap?
16 e.g. $\epsilon \pi$.
17 а]токтєі| $[\nu$-.

# II. EXTANT LITERARY TEXTS 

3435. Demosthenes, Olynth. 1 22-28

43 5B.70/C (1-3) a
$21 \times 19.5 \mathrm{~cm}$.
Second century
Eight fragments joined together form the remains of four columns, which were c. $6.3-6.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. wide and c. 14.6 cm . tall. Spaces between the columns are $1.4-1.6 \mathrm{~cm}$., upper margin c. 3.4 cm . The lower margin is not complete, but the height of the roll may be guessed at about 23 cm . Cols. ii and iii consist of 28 lines; col. i probably had the same number. Line endings are regular and occasionally a line filler is used. The average number of letters per line is 21 , individual lines varying between 17 and 24 . Written in this way the first Olynthiac would have taken up some 16 columns or a roll of c. 1.3 metres. All three Olynthiacs could have been accommodated in a roll of less than four metres. The back is blank.

The hand falls in the large category of the 'informal round' style, analysed in E. G. Turner, GMAW pp. 24-5, cf. 106 and pls. 62-4. The overall impression is light and graceful, although the shapes of individual letters vary considerably. Some alphas are formal and pointed, others rounded. Upsilon shows three different forms, from the very formal to the cursive. Other letters show minor variations. Serifs occur frequently. There may be a degree of conscious archaism, but the examples of formal upsilon, divided formal tau, and of kappa falling into two separate halves, suggest that this is not a late hand. It belongs probably to the second century, possibly to the early part of it.

Punctuation is by paragraphus, sometimes combined with a high stop. In two cases there is a high stop without trace of a paragraphus (ii 17 , iii 3 ). There are no accents or breathings. Iota adscript is written regularly in the dative singular, but not elsewhere. Full and elided forms are written indifferently, and where elision is written it remains unmarked.

The text has been collated with and supplemented from Butcher's (OCT), but in order to get the fullest impression of the medieval manuscripts I have consulted Vömel's copious apparatus (Halle, i857).

The text shows the usual eclecticism compared with the manuscripts. Once it agrees with the vulgate against $S Y$ (iv i), once with $Y$ against $S$ (iv 8). In ii 9 the papyrus has $\pi \rho o ̀ c \tau \grave{\eta} v \chi \dot{\omega} \rho a \nu$ like cod. Aug. 2, which was placed in Group 2 by Vömel but not used by Butcher; all others have $\pi \rho o ̀ c \tau \hat{\eta} \chi \dot{\omega} \rho a$. In iii 16 it goes against Y , but we cannot tell whether it followed $S$ or $O$. There are apparently nonsensical
 apparently replaced by another of similar sound, in iii $17-19$ there was a substantial unexpected variation, see nn.

Parts of §§ 25-26 are also extant in XV 1810 ${ }^{5-7}$ (pp. 195-6).
i
$\tau]$ о̣ụ $\lambda \iota \mu \epsilon$
vac каı тас аүорас $\epsilon \tau \iota] \delta \omega c ̣ o \iota$
$\nu \alpha \tau \alpha \Theta \epsilon \tau \tau \alpha \lambda \omega \nu$ aтo $\tau] \frac{\rho u ̛ \tau \omega \nu}{}$
$\lambda a \mu \beta a \nu \epsilon \iota \nu \epsilon \iota \delta \epsilon \tau o v \tau] \omega \nu$ a
$\pi о с \tau \epsilon \rho \eta \subset \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota \tau \omega \nu \chi \rho] \eta \mu \alpha \tau \omega \nu$
єıс стєขоу коньঠך $\tau] a \tau \eta \subset$
$\tau а с \tau \eta \subset \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ a $\lambda \lambda \alpha \mu \eta \nu]$ тоv
$\gamma \epsilon$ Пaıova каı тоv $I \lambda] \lambda$ дрıьv
кає $\alpha \pi \lambda \omega<$ тоитоис $\alpha \pi a \nu]$ тас ].

## ii

 сӨaı $\tau \alpha \pi \rho a \gamma \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \kappa \alpha!\pi[\rho \epsilon \subset \beta] \epsilon$ ย̣o §24 $\mu \epsilon \nu o u c \epsilon \phi$ a $\delta \epsilon \iota$ каı $\varsigma[\tau \rho a \tau \epsilon]$ ч̣o $\mu \epsilon \nu \mathcal{\nu}$ $\tau \alpha[c]$ тouc $\alpha \lambda \lambda$ [ouc $a \pi a \nu$ ]тac $\lambda_{0}$ $\gamma \iota \zeta o \mu \epsilon \nu o v c ̧\left[\epsilon \iota \Phi_{\iota \lambda} \iota \pi \pi\right]$ ọc $\lambda \alpha$

 $\tau \eta \nu \chi \omega \rho \alpha \nu[\pi \omega \subset$ av avтov о七єє $\theta \epsilon \epsilon \tau о \iota \mu[\omega c \epsilon \phi$ v $\mu a c$ $\epsilon \lambda \theta \epsilon \iota \nu \epsilon \iota \tau$ [оvк $\alpha \iota \subset \chi v \nu \epsilon \subset \theta \epsilon$ $\epsilon \iota \mu \eta \delta$ a $\pi \alpha[$ [Ooı $\alpha \nu \in \iota \delta v \nu a \iota$ $\tau$ єкєוvoc $\tau[a v \tau \alpha$ тоıךсаı кa! $\rho \circ$ ソ $\in \chi \circ v[\tau \epsilon \subset$ ov $\tau o \lambda \mu \eta$ c $\epsilon \tau[\epsilon \epsilon \tau \iota \tau \alpha \nu \nu v \nu \omega a \nu \delta \rho \epsilon \subset$


 $\epsilon \kappa \epsilon!\chi \chi \eta \pi \sigma \lambda \epsilon[\mu \epsilon \iota \nu \eta \pi a \rho v$ $\mu \iota \nu$ єкє!ขov. [ $\epsilon a \nu \mu \epsilon \nu$ үaן av $\tau \epsilon \chi \eta \tau a \underset{\tau}{\tau} \omega \nu \quad O[\lambda \nu \nu \theta \iota \omega \nu v$
 $\tau \eta[\nu]$ єкєєขov [какшс тоь
 оєкєเa้ таvтๆ[ $\nu$ а $\delta \epsilon \omega с к а \rho$ $\pi[o] v \mu \epsilon \nu \circ \iota \epsilon \alpha \nu\left[\delta \epsilon \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu a \Phi_{\iota}\right.$ $\lambda_{\iota} \pi \pi$ ос $\lambda_{\alpha} \beta[\eta \tau \iota c$ аито⿱ к к $\omega \lambda v$ $c \in \iota\{v\} \underset{\sim}{\delta} \in \cup \rho \circ \beta\left[a \delta_{\iota} \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu \Theta_{\eta} \beta a \iota o \iota\right.$

## iii

$\mu \eta \lambda_{\iota a \nu} \pi \iota \kappa \rho \circ \nu \epsilon \iota \pi \epsilon \iota \nu \eta$ ка!
сиขєıсßадоисьข єто[ı] $\mu \omega \subset ~ a \lambda$
 $\chi$ о!о८ $\tau \epsilon$ ov $\tau \epsilon \subset \phi \cup \lambda a \tau \tau \epsilon \iota \nu \epsilon$

 $\lambda_{\eta<є \tau a \iota} \tau \omega \nu$ aто $\pi \omega \tau a \tau \omega \nu$
 $a \nu$ оф. $[\lambda \iota с к а] \varphi \omega \nu$ о $\mu \omega с є \kappa \lambda \alpha$
 ... $a \lambda \lambda a \mu \eta \nu \eta \lambda] \iota к а \gamma \in \subset \tau \iota$ $\tau а \delta \iota a \phi o \rho \in \nu \theta a \delta] \eta \kappa \epsilon \iota \pi!$ $\lambda \epsilon \mu \epsilon \iota \nu$ ov $\delta \epsilon$ गoरov] $\pi \rho[o c \delta \epsilon \iota \nu$ $\eta \gamma о v \mu a \iota \epsilon \iota$ үар v $\mu a<] \delta \epsilon \eta$ сєıє avtove $\tau \rho \iota a \kappa о \nu \tau] a \underset{\alpha}{ } \eta \mu \epsilon$ $\rho a c \mu o \nu a c \epsilon \xi \omega \gamma \epsilon \nu] \epsilon \varsigma \not \subset a \iota$.[ $<\tau \rho a \tau o] \pi \epsilon \delta \omega \iota$. [ ] $\chi \omega \rho a s \mu \eta[$ $\delta \epsilon \nu o c$ ov $\tau \circ \subset \epsilon \nu$ av] $\tau \eta \iota \pi o \lambda \epsilon$ $\mu \iota o v \lambda \epsilon \gamma \omega \pi \lambda \epsilon \iota \circ \nu a] \nu$ оч $\mu a[\iota$
$\zeta \eta \mu \iota \omega \theta \eta \nu a \iota \tau o v]_{〔} \gamma \leqslant \epsilon \rho \gamma \sigma \nu v$
тас $\nu \mu \omega \nu \eta$ ос ] єıc $a \pi a \nu \tau a$
$\tau o \nu \pi \rho o ~ \tau o v \pi o \lambda \epsilon] \mu \circ \nu \delta \epsilon \delta \alpha$
$\pi \alpha \nu \eta \subset \theta \epsilon \epsilon \iota \delta \epsilon \delta] \eta \pi$ т $\lambda \epsilon \mu$ ос
$\zeta \eta \mu \iota \omega с \in \subset \theta \alpha \iota] \kappa \alpha[\iota \pi \rho о с \in \subset] \theta \geqslant \geqslant$
ßрıс каь є $] \eta \tau \omega v[\pi \rho a \gamma] \mu a \tau \omega \nu$
$\alpha \iota \subset \chi \nu \nu \eta$ ov $] \delta \epsilon \mu \iota \alpha \underset{\sim}{[c} \in \lambda \alpha \tau \tau \omega \nu$

## iv


$\delta \eta \tau \alpha \nu \tau a[\delta \epsilon \iota ~ c u \nu \iota \delta o \nu \tau a c a$ $\pi \alpha \nu \tau a c \beta o[\eta \theta \epsilon \iota \nu$ каı $\alpha \pi \omega \theta \epsilon \iota \nu$ $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota c \epsilon \tau о[\nu \pi o \lambda \epsilon \mu o v$ тove $\mu \epsilon \nu \epsilon v \pi o[\rho o v c ~ \iota \nu v \pi \epsilon \rho \tau \omega \nu$
$\pi о \lambda \lambda \omega \varphi \varphi[\nu]$ к $\alpha[\lambda \omega c \pi o \iota o v v$ $\tau \epsilon \subset є \chi \circ v[с \iota \mu \iota \kappa \rho$ аладıско⿱ $\tau \epsilon \subset \tau \alpha \lambda[о \iota \pi \alpha$ а $\delta \epsilon \omega \subset \kappa \alpha \rho \pi \omega \nu$ $\tau \alpha \iota \tau o u ¢[\delta \epsilon \nu \eta \lambda \iota \kappa \iota a \iota \iota v a \tau \eta \nu$ $\tau o v \pi o \lambda \epsilon[\mu \epsilon \iota \nu \quad \epsilon \mu \pi \epsilon \iota \rho \iota a \nu$
 са $\mu є$ чоч［фовєроь филакєє $\tau \eta ؟$ оькє［८ас акєраьоv $\gamma \in \nu \omega \nu$ $\tau] a!\tau o v[c \quad \delta \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \gamma \sigma v \tau a c \iota \nu$ aı $\tau \omega \nu$ $\pi] \epsilon \pi о \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon \cup \nu \mu[\epsilon \nu \omega v$ avтoıs $\epsilon v \theta v \nu \alpha \iota] \rho a[\delta \iota \alpha \iota \gamma \epsilon \nu \omega \nu \tau a \iota$ $\omega \varsigma[0] \pi o \iota a \tau[\tau$ av v $\mu a c \pi \epsilon \rho \iota$ $\subset \tau \eta] \tau \alpha \pi \rho a \gamma[\mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ тoוovтoı $\kappa]_{\rho}[\iota] \tau \alpha \iota \kappa \alpha \iota \tau[\omega \nu \pi \epsilon \pi \rho a \gamma \mu \epsilon \nu \omega \nu$ $\alpha] \cup \tau о \iota \subset ~ \epsilon \subset \epsilon[c \theta \epsilon \chi \rho \eta \subset \tau \alpha \delta \epsilon \iota \eta$ $\pi \alpha \nu \tau[o] ؟ \epsilon[$ ¢ $\nu \epsilon \kappa \alpha$
（vac．）
］．［

12-13 The trace in 13 is a mere speck from the top of a letter. From the end of 12 to the top of col. ii about 330 letters are missing. At an average of 21 letters per line these would cover 15.7 lines, that is, probably 16 lines, which would give col. i 28 lines like ii and iii.
col. ii
$9 \tau \eta \nu \chi \omega \rho a \nu[. \pi \rho o ̀ c \tau \grave{\nu \nu} \chi \omega ́ \rho a \nu$ Aug. 2 (Vömel), $\pi \rho o ̀ c \tau \hat{\eta} \chi \dot{\omega} \rho a$ all others. There is some unexplained stray ink round the second nu, but it does not look like a correction and the first nu is certainly intact and uncorrected.

26 єav. äv MSS.
$28 C \xi\{\nu\rangle\}$. The superfluous nu is presumably just an error.
None of the conjectural additions to the text made after $\Theta_{\eta} \beta$ aiou by older editors is likely to have stood in this line, cf. XV 1810 ${ }^{5-7} 13^{-14} \mathrm{n}$ (p. 196). It looks as if it contained 24 letters, the maximum number found elsewhere.
col. iii
$5 \beta \circ \eta \theta \eta \subset \epsilon \tau \epsilon$. The error is shared with Pal. 1. 2 (Vömel). All others have $\beta \circ \eta \theta \eta \neq \eta \theta^{\prime}$.
${ }^{10-11}$ It seems likely that the papyrus had $\tau a[\xi \in \iota$ in place of $\pi \rho a ́ \xi \epsilon \epsilon$. This seems to give no welcome sense, and would presumably be a mere error. XV $1810^{5-7} 23$ has $\pi \rho a[\xi \in \iota$, like all others.
$12 \eta \kappa \in \iota$. Eta is certain although the left hasta and part of the crossbar are missing. $\eta_{\eta} \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \in \hat{\imath}$ OCT without entry in the app. crit., "̈' $\kappa \in i$ Teubner similarly. S has $\eta \kappa \epsilon \iota$, see Vömel, pp. 10-11 .

15 The doubtful letter is very badly damaged, but alpha seems better than theta ( ( $\rho \mathbf{a}$ ког ${ }^{2} \ell^{\prime}$ ).
16 Either hóvac ( S , vulg.), or $\mu$ óvov ( O ) seems to have been here. Y omits it.
 $\lambda a \mu \beta a ́ v \epsilon \iota$. Here in 16 the trace after $\gamma \in \nu]_{\epsilon ¢ \theta a \iota \text { (in which the theta is certain, though damaged) is an arc }}$ from the lower left of a rounded letter, i.e. not $\kappa[a \iota$, and in $18 \lambda a \mu \beta a \nu \epsilon \nu$ does not appear after $\chi \omega p a c$. The absence of both кai and $\lambda a \mu \beta \dot{\nu} v \epsilon \nu$ suggests strongly that the papyrus had a variant text without linked
 Unfortunately the last surviving letter of 17 is damaged so as to allow either chi or lambda.

25 The supposed line-filler may be only an off-set.
col. iv

$4 \epsilon \pi \epsilon i c \epsilon$. This seems to be a mere error for éкєict.
22 This isolated trace is the right-hand tip of a horizontal. It seems most likely to have been part of the decoration round a colophon which is otherwise entirely lost. Cf. E. G. Turner, GMAW, pls. 14, 17, 18, 61 .

## 3436-3437. Dinarchus

The first of these items contains parts of Dinarchus, In Demosthenem, the second the end and colophon of Dinarchus, In Philoclem. They were found separately; but the close similarity of hand and format shows that they come from the same roll, or from twin rolls. The two speeches together would require c. $95+$ c. 19 columns $=$ c. II 4 columns of writing, or c. 8 m ( 26 feet) of papyrus, which is not too much for a single roll.

This was a handsome manuscript. Height c. 28 cm .; upper margin 3.5 cm . minimum, lower margin 4.5 cm .; column height 20 cm ., with about 35 lines; intercolumnium c. 2 cm .; final margin c. 9 cm . The columns are narrow, as often in oratorical texts (Turner, GMAW p. 8), c. 5 cm ., with $10-16$ letters per line; single or double space-fillers occur at line-ends. The hand is a fine example of the 'Severe' ('Formal Mixed') style, datable to the late second or early third century. Occasional accents, breathings and diaereses; punctuation by middle stop and paragraphus in

3436，but not in 3437 ；a single apostrophe in 3437 vi 12 ．The text has been quite carefully corrected，in part by the original scribe，in part by one or more other hands （one of these，which used a thinner pen，may also be responsible for some of the lectional signs）．The backs are blank．

This copy has some textual interest．It confirms certain conjectures at 3436 B i 15 and 3437 v 22 f ．，and protects a much emended word at 3436 B ii 20 ．It sides now with N against $\mathrm{A}(\mathbf{3 4 3 6} \mathrm{B}$ i $30,33 \mathrm{f}$ ．，iii 10 f ．），now with A against $\mathrm{N}(3436 \mathrm{~A} 1$ ？, 7 f ．， B iii 34 f．， 3437 ii 16 ）；and with both of them against the vagaries of $\mathrm{N}^{2}$ ．It contains a surprising number of unique readings，mostly minor additions and omissions，and variations of word－order．Note especially 3437 ii 13 f．，where the papyrus provides an unexpected supplement to an expected lacuna；and v 7 f ．，where the version of the papyrus is much more effective and rhetorical than that of the MSS． 3437 certainly confirms the view that the MSS．text of In Philoclem is of doubtful quality．

The only other papyrus of Dinarchus so far published is P．Ant．if 81，In Philoclem $\S \S 3-4$ ；the recto of the same leaf contains an unknown oration，P．Ant．II 62，perhaps by the same orator．

Collated with the text of N．C．Conomis（Teubner，1975）．

3436．Dinarchus，In Demosthenem 7－8，108－III
425B．72／M（12）

> Fr. A $6.5 \times 9 \mathrm{~cm}$. B $14.5 \times 25^{2}$.

Fr．A

$$
\begin{align*}
& \nu] \eta \zeta[\eta \tau \epsilon \omega \\
& \text { ]. . } \\
& \left.\delta_{\imath}\right] a \alpha \alpha[c \gamma \in \nu \circ \mu \epsilon \\
& \text { yạc } \zeta_{\eta}[\tau \eta \subset \in \iota \epsilon \epsilon \pi \eta \\
& \nu \in \subset \alpha[\tau \epsilon \text { ouc } \delta \eta \\
& \text { тодıc } \pi а с\left[\begin{array}{l}
\text { ov } \\
\delta v \\
\hline
\end{array}\right. \\
& \text { 【a] varaı avaү[ка } \\
& \text { cal ta dıкаıa } \pi[0 \downarrow \\
& \epsilon!\nu \text { ката } \tau o v[\tau \omega] \cup \\
& \eta \text { ßou入 } \eta \psi \in v \delta \epsilon[\text { Lc a }
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { птаи. } \omega \text { пракд [єис } \\
& \delta \iota a \tau \iota \text { ovv } \epsilon \nu \tau[\omega \iota \\
& \delta \eta \mu \omega \iota ~ c u v[\epsilon \chi \omega \\
& \rho \epsilon i c ~ \omega \delta] \eta \mu o[c \theta \epsilon \nu \epsilon \subset
\end{align*}
$$

 would suit the line－length．In that case，the papyrus must have had a different reading，or a different order of words，which gave a short extra word after $\zeta[\eta \tau \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ ．
$1 \zeta\left[\eta \tau \epsilon \omega\right.$ ：so A ：$\subset \phi a \lambda \epsilon \rho \hat{\omega} \mathrm{~N}\left(с \phi a, \mathrm{~N}^{2}\right)$ ．
4－5 $\epsilon \pi \eta] \nu \epsilon \subset \alpha\left[\tau \epsilon\right.$ ：so A N ：$\dot{\alpha} \pi \eta \lambda \lambda \alpha ́ \xi a \tau \epsilon\left(a ̀ \pi \eta \lambda \lambda \alpha \xi \alpha\right.$ a corr．） $\mathrm{N}^{2}$ ．
$6 \pi a \varsigma[a$ ：व̈ $\pi a c$＇MSS．
7 The initial alpha crossed through and dotted（by the first hand？）．


$14 \delta \eta \mu \omega \iota$ ：so $\mathrm{A} \mathrm{N}: \tau о ́ \tau \epsilon \mathrm{~N}^{2}$ ．

Fr．B

Col．i
$\gamma \rho a \psi a \nu \tau \alpha] \kappa \alpha[\theta \epsilon$
avtov $\theta a \nu]$ atov
$\tau \eta \nu \zeta \eta] \mu \iota \alpha \nu a \lambda$
$\lambda \eta \epsilon \kappa \tau \circ v] q \lambda \lambda o v \beta[\iota$ ov $\epsilon \mu \phi \nu]$ тос $\llbracket . \rrbracket a \iota>$ схрокєр $\varnothing є \iota]$ кає то $\nu \eta \rho \iota a \tau a]_{\nu \tau а} \epsilon \iota \tau \tau \eta$ $\kappa \in \phi a \lambda \eta] v a v \tau \omega \iota$ $\tau \in \tau \rho a \phi \epsilon] \varphi \mu \eta$ ovv $\left.\alpha_{\chi} \theta \in \epsilon \theta\right]_{\xi}$ auтои клаєо $\tau$ ］ос кає о
 $\lambda \nu$ дар a］！$\delta \iota к а \iota о$ $\tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu] \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \eta<a \iota \tau \epsilon$ $\tau \eta \nu \chi \omega \rho] \alpha \nu \eta \nu$ оитос $\epsilon \iota]$ кıvסv．＞ vouc $\kappa \alpha \theta]$ «єт $\eta$ си тоเauта $\pi \rho] a \tau \tau \omega \nu$ $\eta$ Tove $\epsilon \xi \epsilon] a u \tau \llbracket \omega \nu \rrbracket \eta \subset$ $\gamma \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta] \mu \epsilon \nu=\nu \subset$
 тараст $\eta с] а \mu \epsilon \varphi \eta$ $\tau \alpha \nu \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon]_{R q} \tau \epsilon \kappa \nu a$ каı $\gamma$ vı］аєкас $\tau \iota$ $\mu \omega \rho \eta<a c] \theta a \iota \tau$ $\pi \rho o \delta o \tau \eta]$ ¢ $\kappa \notin \subset \subset$ $\left.\zeta_{\epsilon \epsilon \nu} \epsilon \alpha \nu\right]_{\tau \eta v} \ddot{\psi}$ $\pi \in \rho \quad \eta \subset$ oo $\pi]_{\rho \rho} \gamma_{\rho} \ggg$ voi mod入］ouc кaı ка入оис кı］$\quad$ биvoue
 $\epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \theta \epsilon \rho a] \nu \nu \mu \nu$ $\alpha \nu \tau \eta \nu \pi a \rho] a \delta \epsilon$


Col．ii
（sio8） ］．［
（§ Іо9）$\nu[\omega \nu] \theta_{\eta}[\kappa \alpha<\phi \epsilon \rho] \epsilon \iota \nu$ $\delta \epsilon_{l}$ touc $\epsilon[v \phi \rho o]$ vouv $\tau a<\tau \eta \nu \psi[\eta] \phi \circ v$ кає ота⿱ $\Delta \eta \mu$ о c $\theta \epsilon \nu \eta c[\epsilon] \xi \alpha \pi \alpha>$
 $\nu$［ос каи тарак］$\rho о \nu$ $\llbracket \subset \rrbracket o \llbracket a \rrbracket \mu[\epsilon \nu$ ос $\nu \mu] a c$ o $\kappa \tau \iota \zeta ̧[\eta \tau a \iota \kappa] a \iota \delta a$ $\kappa \rho \cup \eta[\iota v]_{\mu}[\epsilon \iota]<\epsilon \ll \tau \circ$ $\tau \eta \subset \pi о \lambda[\epsilon \omega]$ с с $\omega \mu$ а $\alpha \pi \circ \beta \lambda[\epsilon \psi] a \nu \tau \epsilon \subset>$ $\kappa \alpha \iota \tau \eta[\nu \pi] \rho \circ \tau \epsilon \rho \sigma \nu$ $\ddot{v} \pi a \rho[$ रovca $] \nu$ §o $\xi \alpha[\nu] \alpha[\nu] \tau \eta[\iota] \alpha \nu \tau \iota$ $\tau \in \theta \in \tau \in \mathbb{\pi} \pi \sigma \tau \in \rho \circ \nu$ üтархоисаи $\delta$ о

 $\eta \pi \rho \lambda_{\iota} \subset \epsilon \lambda_{\epsilon \epsilon}[\nu] o$ $\tau \epsilon \rho q \delta_{i a}$ точтov $[\gamma] \epsilon$ $\gamma o \nu \epsilon[\nu] \eta \delta \iota a \tau \eta \nu$ $\pi \rho[\lambda]![\nu \Delta \eta \mu \circ c \theta \epsilon$ $\varphi[\eta c$ $[\eta c$

Col．iii
$\pi \rho o \varsigma[\epsilon] \lambda \eta \lambda[\nu \theta \epsilon \pi \rho \circ c$
$\tau \circ \pi о \lambda \iota \tau \in[v \epsilon \subset \theta a \iota$
$\gamma \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta \mu[\epsilon \nu \circ \nu$
$\kappa \alpha \iota \alpha \nu \tau\}[\mu \epsilon \nu$ 入o уоүрафои［каı $\mu \iota$ $c \theta \llbracket \omega \rrbracket o^{\prime} \varphi^{\prime} \tau a \varsigma \delta \iota \kappa[a c \lambda \epsilon$ үоитос $v \pi[\epsilon \rho K \tau \eta$ с七ттои ка［ı $\Phi_{\text {ор }}$
（§110）$\omega \nu \circ<\kappa \alpha!\epsilon[\tau \in \rho \omega \nu$ $\pi o \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \pi[\lambda o v c \iota \omega$ $\tau \llbracket \omega \rrbracket a \tau \llbracket \omega \rrbracket{ }^{2} \nu{ }^{\circ}[\nu \tau \alpha \tau \omega \nu$ $\epsilon \nu \tau \eta \pi 0 \lambda[\epsilon \iota a \nu$
$\tau \iota \delta \epsilon a \gamma \nu \hat{\omega}[\tau о<$ каı ov $\epsilon \epsilon \iota a \nu$［ $\pi a \tau \rho \iota$ $\kappa \eta \nu \delta o \xi a[\nu \pi a \rho a$
$\tau \omega \nu \pi \rho[o \gamma o \nu \omega \nu$
$\pi а р \epsilon і \lambda \eta[\phi о \tau о с \epsilon \nu$
$\delta_{0} \xi_{o \nu} \gamma \in \gamma[\epsilon \nu \eta \mu \epsilon$ $\nu o \nu . \tau \eta[\nu \delta \in \pi о$
$\lambda \iota v$ ouk $a \xi[\iota \omega<\in a v$
$\tau \llbracket \nu \nu \rrbracket \eta \subset$ оvठє $\tau[\eta \subset \tau \omega \nu$ $\pi \rho о \gamma о \nu \omega \nu[\delta \circ \xi \eta<$
$\delta \iota а к \epsilon \iota \mu \epsilon \varphi[\eta \nu$ a
$\phi \epsilon \nu \tau \epsilon c$ ouv［tove $\epsilon$
$\lambda$ gove кaı $\tau$［ouc $\phi \epsilon$
уакıснои［с тоус
тoutov $\tau \eta \boldsymbol{\eta}$［ocıav
кає $\tau \eta \nu \delta_{\ell \kappa[a \iota a \nu}$
$\phi \in \rho \epsilon \tau \epsilon \psi \eta[\phi \circ \nu$
каи скотєьт［ $\epsilon$ то
$\tau \eta \iota \pi a \tau \rho \iota \oint[\iota c u \mu$
$\phi \epsilon \rho \circ \nu \mu \eta[\tau \circ \Delta \eta$
 $\epsilon \subset \tau]$ ¢ кал $\omega \nu$［кауа
$\theta \omega] \nu \delta_{\text {ккqст }}[\omega \nu$

Col. i
4-5 There is a detached scrap that may provide letters from the earlier part of these lines, $] \mathbf{y} \zeta \zeta^{[ }$[ in 4 and $] \eta \xi[$ in 5 .

Io $\tau \epsilon \tau \rho a \phi \epsilon]_{Y}$ : $\tau \epsilon \in \tau \rho a \phi \epsilon$ MSS. ( $\tau \epsilon \in \tau \rho \circ \phi \epsilon$ Blass). No stop visible after nu (but the surface is damaged in part).
 Dobrée.
 кıvסévouc A . The papyrus shares the word-order of N ; but, as the spacing shows, omitted rov́c (or alternatively ovicoc).

17 After $\delta v$, an unexplained vertical trace (false anticipation of the nu following?).
19 The supplement is a little long; perhaps the papyrus had тav a $\pi p] a \tau \tau \omega \nu$.
$20 \omega \nu$ was crossed out, and $\eta c$ written above, perhaps by the first hand (the thickness and colour of the strokes are similar, although the eta takes a cursive form not found in the main text).
${ }_{21-9}$ The ink is badly rubbed; many letters would not be identifiable without the help of the MSS. text.
$29 \pi]_{R P \gamma O}$ : if the reading is right (the word-division certainly suits the spacing in 30 ), gamma was anomalous, or corrected from something else.

30 modд]ouc каи [кa入ouc: so N : кадоѝc каi тоддоѝc A .
31 кı] $\delta \delta v \nu o u c:$ so A N : áy $\omega v a c \mathrm{~A}^{\mathrm{pr}}$.
33-4 $\nu \mu \nu$ [av ${ }^{2} \eta \nu$ : so N : aủvìv $\dot{\mu} \mu \hat{i} \nu \mathrm{~A}$.
Col. ii
9 E.g. $\tau] \alpha[<\tau \omega \nu \pi \rho \sigma \gamma o$.
${ }_{15}$ 15 So far as can be seen, the papyrus had the same text as the MSS. But in that case the paragraphus is misplaced.

I7 ca $\mu[\epsilon \nu \circ \subset$ was corrected to $o \mu[\epsilon \nu \circ<$ (by a thinner pen?), by adding a right-hand arc to the sigma, and crossing out alpha.

20 сшرa: so MSS.: variously emended.


$\tau \eta ̀ \nu \pi \rho o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu$ סósav aủ $\eta \hat{\eta}$ úmápXoucav A
 a second time. The superfluous words have been deleted by expunction.
 instead): $\dot{a} \nu \tau i \theta_{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon$ MSS.

Col. iii
I $[\nu \theta \in \pi \rho o c(\mathrm{~N})$ or $[v \theta \epsilon \nu \epsilon \iota(\mathrm{~A})$.
$\left.5^{-6} \mu \mathrm{~L}\right] \mathrm{c} \theta \omega$ was written; a second hand filled in the top of omega, to make omicron, and added hypsilon above the line.

Io-I I $\pi[\lambda o u c \iota \omega] \tau a \tau o \nu$ : so $\mathrm{N}:-\dot{\omega} \tau \epsilon \rho \sigma \nu \mathrm{A} . \tau \omega \tau \omega \nu$ was written first; then the first omega crossed out, with alpha suprascript, and the second filled in to make omicron, all apparently by the first hand.
$20-1 \epsilon a v] \tau o \nu$ was written first; then $\eta c$ was added above $o \nu$, perhaps by the first hand.

т $̀$ ѝ ócíar каi סıкаíà A
$\tau \grave{\eta \nu}$ סıкаial каi $\tau \grave{\eta \nu}$ ócíal N.
33 ' $\tau^{\prime}$ ' ${ }^{\circ}[\tau 0$ : tau apparently added above the line by a thinner pen.

Two further scraps, originally assigned to the Dinarchus, probably do not belong: no placing for them has been found, and in any case the few surviving letters suggest a different hand.
3437. Dinarchus, In Philoclem 17-22

495 B. $99 / \mathrm{D}(12) \mathrm{a}+\left(13^{-14}\right) \mathrm{a}$
Height c. 28 cm .
Second/third century
Col. i

$$
\epsilon \nu \epsilon \subset \tau \omega \subset \eta c] \leqslant \rho[\iota
$$

сєшс каı $\tau] \omega \nu$ ор
$\kappa \omega \nu$ ove o] $\mu \omega \mu$ о
$\kappa о \tau \epsilon \subset \phi \epsilon \rho \epsilon] \tau \epsilon \tau \eta$.
5 $\psi \eta \phi o \nu \alpha \nu]$ т!ка
$\tau \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \xi \alpha \mu] \epsilon \varphi \rho \varphi$


$\kappa \alpha \tau о \nu \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha] \nu \tau \omega \nu$
$\tau \iota \mu \not \subset \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon \subset]$ отє
$\chi \rho \eta \mu \alpha \tau \alpha a v] \tau о \nu$
$A \rho \iota c \tau o \phi \omega] \varphi \epsilon \phi \eta$
$\pi \alpha \rho \alpha X_{\iota \omega \nu} \epsilon_{\iota} \lambda \eta$
$\phi \in v a \iota к а \iota]$ Pọ $\delta[\iota]$
$\omega \nu \tau o \nu \delta \epsilon \mu]_{\iota} \alpha \rho \circ \nu>$ (§I8)


Col. iii lost
Col. v
$\pi \rho[o] \gamma \operatorname{\sigma } \nu[\omega \nu v \mu \omega \nu$
$\pi о \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon[\iota a c$ ou $]$ ч
$\pi \epsilon \rho \Phi_{\iota \lambda}[$ ок $\lambda \epsilon]$ oục
$\mu o v o v o v[\tau o c] \mu \in v$
Col. iv
$\lambda \alpha] \mu$
(§20)

Өavaтоv катє>
$\gamma \nu \omega \kappa \in \nu \tau \alpha \nu \tau \alpha$
$\ddot{v \mu a<!\kappa є \tau \in ข \in \iota} \delta \iota$
$\delta \epsilon \tau \eta \varphi\left[\begin{array}{ll}\epsilon \xi & \alpha v] \tau \omega \varphi\end{array}\right.$ $\tau \omega \nu \in \rho[\gamma \omega \nu \kappa] a!$
$\tau \eta \subset a \lambda[\eta \theta \epsilon \iota a c] a$ $\pi \rho \delta \epsilon \delta[\epsilon \tau \gamma \mu \epsilon \nu] \eta \varphi$ $\nu \mu \iota \nu \kappa \tau \alpha \tau] \omega \nu$ к $\rho \epsilon \iota[\nu о \mu \epsilon \nu] \omega \nu$ $\alpha \delta \iota \kappa![\alpha \nu \alpha \kappa v] \rho o \nu$
 $\eta \theta \eta<\alpha \tau[\epsilon к о \iota \nu\rangle$ $\tau \eta \iota \pi a \tau[\rho \iota \delta \iota \kappa] a[\iota$ то̣ıс vop [оис $\tau \alpha] v$ $\tau \alpha \gamma \alpha \rho$ а $\mu[\phi о \tau] \epsilon$ $\rho[\alpha \delta \iota \alpha] \delta[\iota \kappa \alpha \zeta \epsilon$ [ $\tau \alpha \iota \nu v \nu \pi \rho \circ с \tau \eta \nu$ ] $\tau o v] \tau[o u \pi o \nu \eta$ $\rho \iota a[\nu] \varphi[\pi \epsilon \rho \pi \alpha$ $\subset \eta \subset \omega$ au $[\delta \rho \in c A$ $\theta \eta \nu[\alpha \iota \circ \tau \eta \subset \chi \omega$ $\rho \alpha c \varphi \varphi \underline{\varphi}[\nu] \mu \epsilon[\lambda \lambda \epsilon$ $\tau \epsilon \phi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \iota \nu \eta[\nu$
$\psi \eta \phi[o] \varphi \kappa \alpha \iota[\tau \omega \nu$ $\epsilon[\nu] \tau[a \nu] \tau \eta \kappa[a$ $\tau \epsilon \subset \kappa \epsilon \cup \underset{\alpha}{ } \subset \mu \epsilon \varphi[\omega \nu$ $\iota \epsilon \rho \omega \nu \kappa \alpha \iota \tau \omega[\nu$ $\alpha \rho \chi \alpha \iota \omega \nu \nu[o \mu \iota \mu \omega \nu$ $\kappa \alpha \iota \tau \eta c] \pi \alpha \rho[\alpha \delta \epsilon \delta о$ $\mu \epsilon \nu \eta \subset \nu \pi] o \tau[\omega \nu$

каєотє $\rho \alpha \nu \pi о \lambda v$
$\delta \epsilon \eta \subset \iota \nu \delta \epsilon \llbracket \mu \epsilon \rrbracket o>$ . $\mu \epsilon \nu a$ тоขт $\omega \nu \gg$ $\tau \omega \nu \tau \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \pi \epsilon$ $\pi \rho \alpha \kappa о \tau \omega \nu \mu \eta$ єขкатагілє८>> $\pi \epsilon \iota v$ v $\pi \epsilon \rho \stackrel{\downarrow}{\omega} \nu$
оє $\pi$ роүороє $\pi о \lambda>$ $\lambda o u$ ธ̣ $\ddot{\tau \epsilon \epsilon \mu \epsilon \iota \nu \alpha \nu}$ $\kappa \iota \delta \nu \nu о \nu с[\mu \eta$
 ¢ $\chi \rho a \nu$ a $\gamma \alpha\} \epsilon[\iota \nu$ то $a \xi \iota \omega \mu a \underset{\delta[a]}{\tau[\eta c}$ $\pi о \lambda \epsilon \omega \subset \mu \eta \llbracket \tau \epsilon \rrbracket \nu$
$\tau \iota \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \xi \alpha \gg$ с $\theta \alpha \iota \tau \eta \varphi[\pi \rho]$ oc тоuтoụ х $\alpha \rho \iota \nu>$ $\kappa \alpha \iota] ~ \tau \omega v \tau o v \delta \eta$ $\mu] o v \psi \eta \phi \iota \subset \mu \alpha>$ $\tau] \omega \nu$ кає $\tau \omega \nu>$ $\tau \eta с \beta$ ß̣ид $\eta \subset$ ато $\phi \alpha c \epsilon \in[\omega] v$ ак $\rho \in \iota$
$\beta \omega c \gamma[\alpha \rho]!$ ! $\rceil \epsilon \omega>$
$\alpha \nu \delta \rho \epsilon[\subset A \theta \eta \nu] \alpha!$
oı] $\alpha \kappa \rho[\epsilon \iota \beta \omega c \quad \tau \tau]$
$v] \mu \in \iota \subset[\mu \in \nu \pi] \alpha$
$\rho] \alpha \pi \alpha c ̧ \iota v \alpha \nu \theta \rho \omega$

Col. vi

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \pi o \iota c \epsilon[\pi] a \iota v[\epsilon]!c \theta[a \iota] \\
& \tau \alpha \iota \subset \gamma \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta \mu \epsilon \\
& v a \iota c \zeta \eta \tau \eta c[\epsilon] c \iota \ddot{v} \\
& \pi \epsilon[\rho] \tau o v \tau \omega \nu[\tau] \omega \nu \\
& \chi \rho \eta \mu a \tau \omega \nu \text { oь } \delta \epsilon
\end{aligned}
$$


$\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \tau \eta \subset \epsilon a \nu \tau \omega \nu$
$\pi a \tau \rho i \delta o c \delta \omega \rho \alpha$
єіл $\eta \phi$ отєє каи
абıкоь кає $\mu \epsilon \iota$
сод $\eta \mu$ от годи $>$
Kovt.' $\operatorname{\text {tival}} \phi_{\iota}>$
$\lambda \epsilon \tau \ddot{\ddot{\mu} \mu a c ̧ ~} \phi \alpha>$
скоขтєс ка! трат
$\tau \epsilon \iota \dot{\ddot{u} \pi \epsilon \rho ~ \tau \omega \nu}$
$\tau \eta \subset \pi о \lambda \epsilon \omega \subset \alpha[\gamma] \alpha$
(m. 2) $\epsilon \xi$ v $\mu \omega \nu$ $\theta \omega \nu$ каı $\delta \iota \dot{u}[\mu] a c$ $\epsilon \nu \delta \circ \xi \circ \circ \gamma \epsilon[\gamma \epsilon \nu] \eta$ $\mu \in \mathcal{V} \circ$

Col. i
The condition of the papyrus does not permit us to check how $\S \S_{17-18}$ in this witness related to the parallel passage in Dinarchus 1 . 14

5-6 Certainly not ávтıкат $\quad \lambda \lambda a ́ \xi a c \theta \in$ (I. 14).
Col. ii
9 Superscript correction by the first hand.
9-10 $\tau \eta \nu \pi \rho o c \pi o \imath \eta \tau o v ~ a v \tau o v: ~ a u ́ \tau o \hat{v} \tau \eta ̀ \nu \pi \rho o c \pi o i ́ \eta \tau o v ~ c o d d . ~ a u ̛ \tau o v ̂ ~ d e l . ~ B a i t e r ~ a n d ~ S a u p p e . ~$
 with a phrase in the accusative, $\tau \grave{\nu} \delta \dot{e} \mu \iota a \rho o ̀ v a ̈ \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi о \nu \kappa \alpha i \pi \rho o \delta o ́ \tau \eta \nu$, and attaches to it a string of relative clauses without coming to a main verb. Contrast Dinarchus I. I5, which concludes a similar passage
 for the sentence by adding каí $\eta \tau \tau[\omega \nu] \chi p \eta \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$, but not the expected apodosis. E. G. Sihler, TAPA 16 ( 1885 ) 126 , in his analysis of this passage, had concluded that we should probably retain the text transmitted by the MSS., given the presence of analogous anacolutha in Dinarchus. Or was a corrective variant perhaps offered in the lacuna between col. i i 5 and col. ii I ? For the formula supplied by the


 $\delta \in \hat{\imath} \ldots \tau \dot{\eta} \nu \psi \hat{\eta} \phi o \nu$.

I7 Here and elsewhere (iv I9, v 32) the papyrus employs the more complete formula instead of the むं 'A $\theta$ quaio of the MSS.

Col. iv
i ff. After $\lambda a \mu \beta a ́ \nu o \nu \tau \epsilon \subset$ the papyrus cannot have had the aúroúc of the MSS. The whole passage is considered corrupt by edd., but at 9 too the papyrus seems to be in agreement with the medieval tradition.

8 Read крьขонє́vшv.
${ }_{19}$ Cf. ii 17 n.
Col. v
5 Traces of an interlinear notation above тádau.
 in the lost upper margin. It is a curved hook open to the upper right, with a dot below. It could well be an ancora, indicating an omission, cf. E. G. Turner, GMAW p. 18, pls. 12, 34, 41. The anchor shape seems to lack its stock, unless something has been rubbed away. However, we may compare the stockless anchor in the British Museum Bacchylides, see Facsimile of Papyrus DCCXXXIII, col. 22; cf. 38, which is less clear. In col. 22 the shape is very similar to ours, except that it is open to the upper left instead of the upper right. The dot there is a high stop belonging to col. 21 .
 á $\mu$ о́тєра $\delta \iota a \delta \iota \kappa a ́ \zeta \epsilon \tau a l$, and the more elaborate Dinarchus 1. 109.

12 тavta pap. with the MSS., against toıầтa conjectured by Blass.
12-13 Read $\pi \epsilon \pi \rho а \chi о ́ т \omega \nu . ~$
14 The scribe has placed a deletion mark over the second $\tau$ but not over the second $\epsilon$, leaving us uncertain as to the tense intended. Correct, nevertheless, to $\dot{\epsilon}^{\prime} \gamma \kappa a \tau a \lambda \iota \pi \epsilon i v$ (cf. the aorist at 22-24).

21-22 $\tau \dot{o} \tau \hat{\eta} \subset \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega \subset ~ a ̉ \xi i ́ \omega \mu a ~ M S S . ~$

 after $\delta \dot{\eta} \mu \circ v$, are now confirmed by the papyrus. In 26 proleptic [кaí] seems the supplement best adapted to the lacuna, and it is tempting to explain the inclusion in the medieval MSS. (but not in the papyrus)
 the other hand, the two expressions recur elsewhere in Dinarchus, cf. 1. 41,96 , ioi and esp. $84 \pi \epsilon$ ecec $\theta a \iota$

$30-31$ Read $\dot{\alpha} \kappa \rho \iota \beta \hat{\omega} c$. The spelling with epsilon is likely to have been repeated in 33 .
32 Cf. ii 17 n .
Col. vi
I The correction recurs in the MSS. : é $\pi$ alveic $\theta a l \mathrm{~N}^{\mathrm{pr}}, \mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{pr}}$, corrected to $\dot{\epsilon} \pi a \downarrow v \epsilon i ̂ \theta \epsilon \epsilon \mathrm{~N}^{1}, \mathrm{~A}^{1}$.
3 Read $\zeta \eta \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \in \epsilon \iota v$.
 the easiest term attested by the MSS. ( $\pi$ ov $\eta \rho o i$ ), perhaps derived from a gloss whose insertion into the text was facilitated by a proleptic каí.

12 For the unusual elision of -aı see Kühner-Blass $1237-9$.
16-19 In the left margin opposite these lines stand the top and central parts of a coronis.
I 7 It is not clear whether $\hat{\epsilon} \xi \dot{\psi} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ (which is in a different hand and in which hypsilon is corrected from eta) represents an interlinear variant or simply a gloss on $\delta \iota^{\prime} \dot{v} \mu \hat{a} c$. Cf. Dinarchus i. iog, tov̀c $\vec{\epsilon} \xi$
 the lectio facilior can hardly be determined.

20 As far as can be seen the papyrus did not give the author's name above the title of the work.

## 3438. Homer, Iliad i 499-523

58/B (83) a
The papyrus contains the lower part of a column (bottom margin 3.3 cm .). There is a heavy kollesis close to the right edge. The back is blank. The scribe wrote a fairly large, heavy, sloping 'Severe Style', somewhat like I 23, XXVIII 2486 and 2487. He
added diaeresis (514), and apostrophe to mark elision (missing only in 501 ); he omitted iota adscript (519,52I).A second hand has made a correction in 519.

Collated with T. W. Allen's editio maior (Oxford, 1931). There are no significant variants.

|  | $\begin{gathered} \pi o] \lambda[v \delta \epsilon \iota \rho a \delta o c \\ ] \kappa \alpha \theta \epsilon \zeta[\epsilon \tau o \\ ] v \pi \alpha \nu \theta \epsilon[\rho \epsilon \omega \nu o c \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| 503 | $\pi \alpha] \tau \epsilon \rho \in \iota[\pi o \tau \epsilon \delta] \eta$ $\subset \epsilon \mu \epsilon \tau^{\prime} \quad$ a $[\theta \alpha v a \tau o \iota c \iota \nu$ ] $\eta \epsilon \rho \gamma \omega[\tau о \delta \epsilon] \mu о \iota к \rho \eta[\eta \nu \circ \nu$ |
|  |  ] $a \tau \alpha \rho \mu[\iota] \nu \nu v \nu \gamma \in \alpha v a[\xi$ |
|  | $\eta] \tau \iota \mu \eta \subset \epsilon \nu \in \lambda \omega \nu \gamma \alpha \rho \in \chi \in \iota$ үєра¢ [ |
| 508 | $a \lambda \lambda] a<v \pi \epsilon \rho \mu \iota \nu \tau \iota c o \nu O \lambda \nu \mu \pi[\iota \epsilon$ |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| 513 |  |
|  |  |
|  | $a \pi]_{o \epsilon \iota \pi}$ ' $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota$ ọv $\tau 0 \iota \epsilon \pi \iota \delta \epsilon \circ \subset[o] \phi[\rho \in v$ occo] $\boldsymbol{\varphi} \in \gamma \omega \mu \in \tau \alpha$ тасьv $a \tau \iota \mu o \tau \alpha \tau[\eta$ |
|  |  |
| 518 |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| 523 |  |

$513 \epsilon \mu \pi \epsilon \phi \nu[\iota] a$ : space in the lacuna for one small letter only. Read $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi \epsilon \phi \nu v \hat{\imath} a$, with the MSS.
5 I9 $a^{\nu}$ : cursive $\nu$ added by a second hand.
522 aut $\iota c$ a frequent variant for aítcc; Allen's apparatus lists cod. Ambrosianus rorg and twenty further MSS.
3439. Homer, Iliad v 1-23

37 3B.87/L (1) a
Fr. $1 \quad 5 \times 17 \mathrm{~cm}$.
Second century
Fr. $2 \quad 2.5 \times 6.5 \mathrm{~cm}$.
The item consists of two fragments, the larger of which is joined together from four smaller scraps. Together the two fragments give parts of the first twenty-three lines of Iliad v . On the back of the larger fragment there are remains of unidentified prose (3434). A blank space above the first line seems to guarantee that the book began at the top of a column, but the upper margin is too narrow to give certainty that there was no title at that point, cf. E. G. Turner, $G M A W$, pl. 49, with pp. 16-17.

The hand is a formal round script of the type of the Hawara Homer, see E. G. Turner, op. cit. pp. 25, 38, studied especially by G. Cavallo, Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, ser. ii, vol. xxxvi (1967), 209-20, with 12 plates. There are no lectional signs surviving. Iota adscript is omitted in 6 , at the only place in the preserved text where it might have appeared.

Collated with T. W. Allen's editio maior (Oxford, 1931) and with the edition of A. Ludwich (1902). The text has three variant readings, none of them important. In line 10 it has v $\iota \epsilon$, as cod. Vindob. 49, Ludwich's W, has vícc, for vié $\epsilon$. In 15 it clearly has $\phi u \gamma \in v c$, though in II the traces of the feet of letters strongly favour the correct $\Phi]_{\eta \gamma \epsilon \in \cup ̣}[c$, and $\pi \rho o \iota$ for $\pi \rho o i \epsilon \epsilon$. Both of these are against all other witnesses and can be classified as mere iotacisms, resulting, in the second case, in the loss of a syllable.

Fr. I

Fr. 2


$$
\begin{aligned}
& T \cup\rangle \epsilon \epsilon \delta \epsilon[\omega \delta \quad v \pi \epsilon \rho \omega \mu] \rho \nu \quad \alpha \rho \iota c[\tau] \epsilon \rho[o \nu
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T \nu] \delta \epsilon i \delta[\eta \subset \text { тov } \delta \text { ov } \chi \text { àıov } \beta \in \lambda] \text { oc [ } \\
& \alpha \lambda \lambda] \epsilon \beta \alpha[\lambda \epsilon \subset \tau \eta \theta \text { ос } \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \mu \alpha \zeta] \iota \circ \nu[ \\
& I \delta \alpha] \iota o с[\delta \text { атороисє } \lambda \iota \pi \omega \nu \pi]_{\epsilon \rho!}[\kappa \alpha \lambda \lambda \epsilon \alpha \\
& \text { ov }] \epsilon \tau[\lambda \eta \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \beta \eta \nu a \iota a \delta \in \lambda \phi \epsilon \iota] \text { ov } \kappa[\tau \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu o \iota o \\
& v \pi] \epsilon \kappa[\phi v \gamma \epsilon \\
& \text { ] } \nu \cup[\kappa \tau \iota
\end{aligned}
$$

```
15 1. Ф
```

45 5B. 56/E (I-3) a
3440. Homer, Iliad xvi 6i 2-54

Part of one column of a roll, preserving parts of upper and lower margins. The hand is a 'Mixed' (or 'Severe') style of the late second or early third century, somewhat less careful than I 26, illustrated in C. H. Roberts, GLH, i9a. There are no accents, breathings, or punctuation except diaeresis $(623,653)$, and only one correction (651). Iota adscript is written. The back is blank.

The original width of the column must have been c. 12 cm . The 867 verses of book xvi would have taken up twenty-one or twenty-two such columns of c. 4 r lines each or, counting a margin of 1 cm . between the columns, approximately 2.75 metres.

The text of the fragment holds no surprises. According to T. W. Allen, whose editio maior has been used for comparison, the omission of $\mathbf{6 1}^{-15}$ is a feature of the vulgate text and is therefore to be expected in a papyrus of this period, see also P. Flor. II IIO. It is not surprising either that the papyrus goes against Aristarchus and keeps 613 and $\tau^{\prime}$ in 636. In 640 єiputo for $\epsilon^{\prime \prime} \lambda u \tau o$ is shared only with Allen's D and must be wrong. Its sense is inappropriate here and the substitution can easily be explained by the common confusion of liquids, see Mayser-Schmoll I, i, pp. 161-2. In $642 \beta \rho \epsilon \mu \epsilon \epsilon \omega c \iota$ is a conflation of $\beta \rho \epsilon \epsilon \mu \omega$ and $\beta \rho \rho \mu \epsilon \epsilon \omega$ and must be classified as an unimportant mistake, cf. Mayser-Schmoll I, I, p. 72 . In 647 фóvou for фóve is a mere error, perhaps due to the proximity of Патрóкخоv. All other manuscripts have фóvч. In 65 I фóvov for $\pi$ óvov was a simple mistake, corrected probably in a second hand. There are also four iotacisms ( $641,644,645$, and 648).

$$
\begin{gathered}
\pi \epsilon \lambda] \epsilon \mu \varphi \chi[\theta \eta \\
o \beta \rho \rho] \mu o c \\
\phi[\rho \eta c \\
\phi \omega \nu \eta] c \in \tau[\epsilon \\
] \pi \epsilon \rho[
\end{gathered}
$$

] $\epsilon \iota \subset \in[\beta a \lambda o v$ $\alpha \nu] \tau \iota \circ \nu[\nu \delta \alpha$ $\pi \epsilon]_{\rho} \in O \varphi[\tau \alpha$
 $\nu] \varphi[\kappa] a \iota c \varphi[$ ] $o \xi \epsilon \ddot{\imath} \chi \underset{\sim}{\alpha}[\lambda \kappa \omega \iota$ $\epsilon \omega]$ ! к к! $\chi \epsilon \rho \subset \iota \pi \epsilon[\pi o \iota \theta \omega<$ Ai] $] \stackrel{\vdots}{\kappa} \kappa[\lambda]$ ч $\tau о \pi \omega[\lambda \omega \iota$

] $\epsilon \omega \nu$ а $\gamma \circ \rho[\epsilon v \epsilon \iota$ $o \nu \epsilon \iota \delta \epsilon \iota o \iota]_{؟} \epsilon \pi \epsilon \epsilon \subset \subset \iota \nu$ [ $\gamma] \alpha!\alpha \underset{\alpha}{\kappa} \alpha \in \xi[\epsilon \iota$
$\tau \epsilon] \lambda o \varsigma[\pi] o[\lambda \epsilon \mu o v \epsilon \pi \epsilon \omega] \stackrel{v}{\delta} \epsilon \nu \iota \beta o v[\lambda \eta \iota$
 $\mu] \epsilon \nu \quad \eta \rho \chi \circ \delta[\alpha \mu \epsilon \subset] \pi \epsilon \tau \circ$ ぃсо $\theta \epsilon[$ oc








] $\nu \epsilon \kappa \rho \circ \rho \nu$ о $\mu \epsilon \iota \lambda \epsilon \sigma \nu \omega \subset$ отє $\mu[\nu \iota a \iota$ ] $\beta \cdot \rho \epsilon \mu \epsilon \omega \subset \iota[\pi] \epsilon \rho!\gamma \lambda \lambda \alpha \gamma \epsilon a<\kappa[a \tau \alpha$


$\kappa] \rho a \tau \epsilon \rho \eta \subset \nu \varsigma \mu \epsilon \iota \nu \eta \varsigma[o c] \subseteq \epsilon \phi[a \epsilon \iota \nu \omega$
$\alpha v]$ тouc alєv op $\alpha$ ка! $\phi \rho \rho a \zeta ̣ \epsilon \tau[o$
] а афф фоyov Паттроклоv [
$\kappa \epsilon \iota \nu] o v \in \nu \iota \kappa \rho[\alpha] \tau \epsilon \rho \eta!v<\mu \epsilon \iota \nu[\eta \iota$
$\alpha \nu \tau \iota \theta \epsilon] \omega \iota$ Ca $\alpha \pi \eta[\delta 0] \nu \iota \emptyset \alpha \iota \delta \iota \mu[o c$
$a] \pi \underset{\square}{\tau} \omega[\mu] \varphi \varphi \tau \in \cup \chi \epsilon \epsilon \lambda \eta \tau[a \iota$


3441. Homer, Odyssey x i-24

37 4B. 103/B(1) C
$12.9 \times 16.3 \mathrm{~cm}$.
Second century
The upper part of a column, with a preserved top margin of 3 cm . The back is blank. The text was copied in an informal round book-hand, to be compared with X 1231 (Turner, GMAW, pl. 17); the cursive influence shows in the forms of alpha (always) and epsilon (sometimes) and in the ligaturing of $\alpha \iota$ and $\epsilon \iota$. The lectional signs comprise elision-mark, hypodiastole (i8), and high stop; diaeresis; rough breathing (in the form ${ }^{5}$ ); and relatively copious accentuation. The accents, as usual, are concentrated in places where the reader may find difficulty in interpreting the scriptio continua: proper names (2), rare words (4, 9, 10, 19), dialect forms ( 6,17 ); enclitics ( $3,7,9,10,12,18$ ); ambiguous sequences of letters ( $1,20 \epsilon \epsilon \nu \theta a$ not $\epsilon \nu \theta \dot{\alpha} \delta(\epsilon), 8 \mu \eta \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \iota$ not $\mu \dot{\eta} \tau \epsilon$, $13 \delta \dot{\omega} \mu a \tau a$ not $\delta \hat{\omega} \mu a$, $14 \phi_{i}^{\prime} \lambda \epsilon \iota$ not $\left.\phi i \lambda \epsilon \hat{i}\right)$. The signs, and the several corrections, are or may be the work of the original scribe.

Collated with the editions of Allen (1919) and Von der Mühll (1962); additional information from the apparatus of Ludwich (1891). The same passage occurs in $\mathfrak{p}^{31}$ (P. Sorb. inv. 2245 A; S. West, Ptolemaic Papyri of Homer, 223), an early Ptolemaic papyrus with many eccentric readings; and part of it in $p^{72}$ (XV 1819), roughly contemporary with 3441. Textually, 3441 offers no novelties; but provides early evidence for two rare readings ( 10,19 ) that are otherwise found only in late medieval MSS.; one of these, aú $\delta \dot{\eta}$ or $a \dot{u} \delta \hat{\eta} \iota$ for the vulgate $a \dot{u} \lambda \dot{\eta}$ or $a \dot{u} \lambda \hat{\eta} \iota($ ( O ), has special interest, since the line is a well-known crux.

The papyrus surface is badly rubbed in many places, so that dotted letters should be regarded as more than usually uncertain.




 $\mu \eta \nu a \delta \epsilon \pi \alpha \nu \tau a \phi i \lambda \epsilon \iota \mu \epsilon \kappa \alpha \iota \epsilon \xi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \in[\tau] \varphi \in \nu[\epsilon \kappa \alpha \tau \tau a$


MSS. are designated by Allen's symbols. I have not normally mentioned readings which are unique to the eccentric $\mathfrak{p}^{31}$.
$3 \pi \lambda \omega \tau \eta \Leftrightarrow[\epsilon] \varphi!$ : or perhaps $\pi \lambda \omega \tau \eta \in \varphi!$.
$4 \lambda$ i[cc] $]$ [. 1 : the deleted letter was probably alpha (by anticipation from ava-) rather than iota (with an original reading $\lambda_{\iota c}<\eta_{\imath} \iota$. . $\pi \epsilon \tau \rho \eta[\iota$, as in Pal. 45, according to Ludwich).
$a \cup a \delta \xi \delta_{\rho \rho \mu \xi}$ : the trace excludes $-\delta \rho a \mu \epsilon$ (some MSS.).
$\delta[]$ : an elision-mark may be lost in the abraded patch.
$5 \mu \cdot \mu \epsilon \gamma a p o c:$ the doubling of mu , to show that it lengthens the preceding short vowel, is familiar (S. West, Ptolemaic Papyri of Homer, 1 13) ; it was the practice of Aristophanes of Byzantium. Here there seems to be a high point separating one mu from the next; if not accidental, an early example of diastole between paired consonants (Turner, GMAW, 13)?
$\gamma є \gamma \alpha a c \varphi=$ : the traces exclude the variants -ct, -cct, -ccov.
 contracted form entered the text in or before the third century b.c., since it appears in $p^{31}$ (and as a variant in Schol. AT Iliad xxiv 604).
$\eta \beta \dot{\omega} \varphi \tau \epsilon ¢$ corrected to $\eta \beta \dot{\omega} \dot{0} \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma$, as most MSS. : $\dot{\eta} \beta \dot{\omega} \omega \nu \tau \epsilon \epsilon$ some MSS.
7 av§̧pácıv corrected to vïácıv, as all MSS.
9 к€ıT¢[ $\iota$ : the traces exclude кєivтal (W).
го кขıс $\hat{\eta} \in \nu$ : so most MSS. : кขıc८ $\hat{\eta} \in \nu$ some MSS.
 Papyri of Homer, 248). 3441 shows that aủ $\delta \dot{\eta}$, if a conjecture (West 1.c.), is an ancient one.

II $\delta$ av̂tє: alpha has an unusual dot attached to its bow, which may be a displaced elision mark. There is no elision mark after map.
aıסótクıs: not -ouc ( $\mathrm{L}^{8}$ ) or -auc (W).
$12 \tau a \pi \eta<\iota$, as most MSS.: - $\quad 1<\iota$ a few MSS., and $\mathfrak{p}^{31}$.


15 чєq६, as most MSS.: not $\nu \hat{\eta} a c\left(\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{H}^{3}\right)$, $\mu o ́ \rho o \nu\left(\mathrm{~L}^{4}\right)$, $\pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu(\mathrm{Pal})$.
16 кaı] $\mu \varsigma \varphi$, as most MSS.: aưтá $\mathrm{P}^{7}, \gamma \rho$. $\mathrm{L}^{8}$, and $\mathfrak{p}^{31}$.
$17 \epsilon \gamma \omega \nu$, as most MSS.: $\epsilon \gamma \dot{\omega} \mathrm{L}^{8}$, Eustath.
 (1.c. 250) suggests that the version of $\boldsymbol{p}^{31}$ aims partly to avoid the awkward elision of $\mu 0$. . The reading which 3441 now shows to be ancient may have the same object; it was in fact adopted (from a MS., or by conjecture) in the editions of Wolf (1807) and Bekker (1843, 1858).
$22 € \theta_{\epsilon} \lambda$ [: second epsilon apparently written as eta, which was then corrected by writing the lambda close enough to touch the right-hand upright.

23 ]. . : not immediately reconcilable with the end of катє́ $\delta \epsilon \mathrm{l}$ (MSS.).

## 3442. Homer, Odyssey xi $330-66,373-403$

172 B. $55 / \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{d})$
$18.5 \times 20.5 \mathrm{~cm}$.
Second century
The upper part of two columns, from a roll containing Odyssey xi, which was apparently one of the most popular books of the poem in Graeco-Roman Egypt (cf. J. A. Davison, Akten VIII Int. Kongr. Pap. (Wien), 5 I-8). I. 5 cm . of the top margin survive, and the margin between the columns averages 3.0 cm . Col. i originally contained 42 lines. (The whole book in this format would occupy about is columns, or 2.25 m . of papyrus.) The back is blank. The hand is an upright rounded decorated capital, of medium size; it has similarities with the Berlin Ninus-Romance (Roberts, $G L H$, i I a), which looks earlier, with the second hand of V 841 (ib. i4: Pindar, Paeans) and with III 454 (Turner, $G M A W, 62$ : Plato), and probably belongs to the earlier second century. Lectional signs, some if not all the work of a second hand, include accents, breathings, elision-marks, and marks of quantity ( 375,392 ?, 393) ; punctuation by middle stop and by high stop (which at line-ends takes the form of an oblique dash). Corrections have been made in several places, some at least by a second hand (39 I , 393). Iota adscript is not always written. Itacistic spellings 340,393 .

Collated with the editions of Allen (igig) and Von der Mühll (ig62). The papyrus has unique readings in 386 (simple error?) and 388 (obscured by damage), and a unique transposition of 390 with 391 (quite untenable). In 374 and 390 it sides with the bulk of the MSS. against a d $1 \mathrm{~L}^{4}$. In 399 it attests a variant which otherwise appears only in one fifteenth-century MS. Most interestingly, it omits 343 (so b c e). This seems to lend support to the implicit conclusion of G. M. Bolling, External Evidence for Interpolation in Homer, 26, that the line was not read by Aristarchus. For the relationship of the vulgate to the text of Aristarchus see S. West, Ptolemaic Papyri of Homer, ${ }^{1} 5^{-17}$; for a summary of line-omissions in other Homeric papyri see, in addition to Bolling, B. P. MacCarthy, CPh 27 (1932), 15i-5, and S. T. Vandersall, CPh 37 (1942), 299-306. ${ }^{1}$

[^0]Col. i
$345] a \lambda \lambda a \underset{\pi}{\pi} \theta[\epsilon \subset \theta \epsilon]$ $\epsilon \pi o]_{¢} \tau \epsilon$
$\epsilon \pi o] ؟ \tau \epsilon$
$\phi \omega \nu \eta<\epsilon] \stackrel{\tau}{ } \tau \epsilon$.
] $\epsilon \gamma \omega \gamma \epsilon$
$\alpha \nu] a c c \omega$
$350 \chi \alpha \tau]!\zeta \omega \nu$ $\epsilon \iota c]$ ㅇ $\kappa \epsilon \pi \alpha<a ̣$
] $\mu \epsilon \lambda \hat{\eta} \varsigma \subseteq[\epsilon \iota \quad]$ $\epsilon] \varphi \iota \delta \underline{\phi} \eta \mu[\omega \iota]$

$\lambda \alpha \omega]$. $\mu t] \mu \nu \in \iota \nu[]$
$\delta \iota \delta o] i \tau \epsilon$
$\kappa \in \rho \delta \iota o] \nu$ є́ı $\eta$
 ]ẹ́ $\imath \nu$
$\nu о с \tau \eta<] \alpha \nu \tau \alpha$.
$\phi \omega \nu \eta<] \frac{\epsilon}{\nu} \tau \epsilon$
єıсорош]
$\pi о \lambda]$ גоис
365
] $\kappa \alpha \stackrel{\llcorner }{\omega} \rho \eta$
єтаиро]ч̣
$\mu \in \lambda \eta c] \epsilon!$.
cı] $\omega \pi \eta \iota$

$\mu \nu \theta] \omega \varphi^{-}$ $\epsilon]!\cup a[\iota]$

] ${ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \mu \mu о \rho є \tau \iota \mu \eta \subset$.
] $\mu \eta \delta \epsilon \tau \alpha \delta \omega \rho a$
] $u \mu \in \iota$


]. . . $\alpha \pi \% ~ \delta \Gamma[0] \xi ̣ \eta c$ ]

360
$\left.{ }^{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi\right]_{\rho} \varphi$ ¢

ィס]ó!т̣-

Col. ii


 $\tau \lambda \alpha!\eta \iota<\epsilon \varphi \mu \epsilon \gamma \alpha \rho \omega \tau \alpha$ са $\kappa \eta \delta \epsilon \alpha \mu v \theta \eta[$ [сас $\theta a \iota$
 алкі́vọє крє́ıov $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ар! $\oint є \iota \kappa \epsilon[\tau \epsilon \lambda \alpha \omega \nu$








 $a \chi \nu \nu \mu \epsilon \prime \nu \eta \pi[\epsilon \rho \iota \delta a \lambda \lambda \alpha \iota a] \gamma \eta \gamma \gamma^{\prime} \rho \alpha \theta^{\prime} . . .$. . [












 Boouc [ $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \tau] \alpha \mu \nu[о \mu \epsilon \nu O \nu$


340 1．$\dot{v} \mu \hat{\nu} \nu$.
343 om．pap．：so bce．（The line $=O d$ ．vii $156.343 \mathrm{a}=$ vii 157 appears in only a few MSS．）
$353 \delta \eta_{\mu}\left[\omega \iota\right.$ ：so most MSS．：оікк $\omega$ b $\mathbf{i} \mathbf{L}^{4} \mathrm{U}^{6}$（cf．i90）．
$364 \pi o \lambda]$ dove：so most MSS．：mo入入á Zenod．，d（cf．ix 128 ）．
$374 \mu є \gamma$ крبя：so most MSS．：－oוc a d $1 \mathrm{~L}^{4}$ ．
375 єс $\eta \omega$ §
376 1．$\tau \lambda a i ́ \eta c, \mu \epsilon \gamma a ́ \rho \omega \iota$ ．Rho of $\mu \epsilon \gamma a \rho \omega$ corrected from alpha．
$386 \delta .$. ．$\dot{a} \gamma \nu \eta{ }^{\prime}$ most MSS．：aiv ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{L}^{4}$ etc．The initial letter is clearly delta，not alpha；presumably a simple mistake．The rest of the traces could be read $\gamma \because \eta$（the spacing is not so suitable for $\quad \% \eta$ ）．
$\phi[\epsilon \rho]_{¢ € \phi \rho \nu \epsilon}[\iota a$ ：so abdil：Пєрсє－cett．
$388 \alpha] \gamma \eta \gamma \gamma^{\prime} \rho a \theta^{\prime}:$ the short thick horizontal，which I have taken to be part of an elision mark，might be something else；further right，a point at half－height might be a middle stop，or part of a letter．Then apparently the lower arc of a circle $(\epsilon, \theta, o, c)$ ；then a damaged patch，with what might be the end of the cross－bar，and of the lower curve，of epsilon；then perhaps sigma（epsilon less likely）；then perhaps epsilon（unless sigma with something suprascript）；then the alpha．The MSS．have öccat or öccoı ä $\mu$＇aủr $\hat{\omega}$


389 1．оїкшє．
$390[\kappa \epsilon \iota] \vee \varphi[\mathrm{c}$ ：unexplained ink above omicron（foot of acute accent ？）．
$\pi i \epsilon \nu \kappa \tau \lambda$ ：so most MSS．：í $\delta \epsilon \nu$ ó $\phi \theta a \lambda \mu o i c ı \nu$ a d 1 L ${ }^{4}$ ．D．L．Page，Homeric Odyssey，43，believes that the reading of ad $1 \mathrm{~L}^{4}$ is more likely to have been the original one．

391 misplaced before 390 ．The first correction is by a second hand．
$\delta a \leqslant p v[\alpha] \nu$ ：the scribe may have thought of the $\operatorname{tag} \delta \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \rho v a \lambda \in i \beta w v$ ．But there is no clear sign that his nu is corrected from lambda．
$392 \pi \iota \tau$ ac：perhaps a long－mark over the alpha．
393 l．ic．The correction is by a second hand．The final stop is doubtful．
399－403 damn．Aristophanes．

400 apүa入є́ $\omega v$ ：so MSS．：גєvүa入є́ $\omega \nu$ Aristophanes．

3443．Homer，Odyssey xvii 409－37，460－77
172B． $55 / \mathrm{B}$（a）
$21.5 \times 17.5 \mathrm{~cm}$.
Third century
Part of two columns from a roll containing Odyssey xvii．There is about 3 cm ． of the bottom margin intact，and the intercolumnar margin averages 1 cm ．The columns originally contained 39－40 lines（so that，if the roll contained only this book， we have columns xi and xii from a sequence of sixteen，which would have occupied about 2 metres of papyrus）．The back is blank．The hand is of the common angular type（＇Severe Style＇）of the third century，comparable with XX 2263 and XXXVI 2745，but not so neatly made as those examples．Occasional marks of diaeresis and elision have been written by the original scribe，who also corrected his own text at line 47 I ．There is no other punctuation．Iota adscript does not appear to have been written．

Collated with the editions of Allen（1919）and Von der Mühll（1962）；additional information from the apparatus of Ludwich（1891）．Lines 4io－28 occur also in IV 783 （late first century в．c．）$=\mathfrak{p}^{17}$ ．

Col. i

410


Col. ii

```
460 .[
a\psi ava[
\omegaç }\alpha\rho\epsilon\phi
\pi\rho\nu\muчота\tauоч к[
\epsilon\mu\pi\epsilon\deltaov ov\delta a\rhoa \mu[
a\lambda\lambda акє\omega\nu\nu кє\iota\nu\etaс\epsilon ка[
[ ].[
0\eta\kappa\epsilon\nu \epsilonv\pi\lambda\epsilon\epsilon\imath\nu\nu \mu\epsilon\tau\sigma[
кєк\lambda\nu\tau\epsilon \mu\epsilonv \mu\nu!\etaчт\eta\rho[
oф\rho\rho' \epsilon\iota\pi\omega \tauа \mu\epsilon 0v\muос \epsilonч[
ov \muav ov\tau' а\chiос єс\tau\iota \mu\epsilon\tauа ф.\rho\inч!![
```




```
av\tau\alpha\rho \epsilon\mu a\nu[\tau]!\varphiooc¢ \betaa@[\lambda\epsilon \gammaас\tau\epsilon]\rho[
ov\lambdaо\mu\epsilon\nu\eta
a\lambda\lambda \epsilon\iota \piov \pi\tau\omega\chi\omegaч [\gamma]\epsilon \thetạ\epsilono\iota к\alpha[
av\tau\iotavoov \pi\rhoo \gammaа\muо\iotao \tau\epsilon\
\tauov \delta av\tau' àvt!vooc \pi\rhooc[
```

MSS. are designated by Allen's symbols. 'Vulg.' = 'all MSS. except those specifically noted'.
417 a $\lambda \lambda o \iota$ : vulg.: $a \lambda \lambda \omega \iota \mathfrak{p}^{17}$, äd $\lambda \omega \omega \nu \mathrm{Pal}$, oi ầ $\lambda \lambda o \iota \mathrm{~L}^{8}$.
420 a $\lambda \eta \tau \eta$ : vulg. : $\alpha \dot{\alpha} \eta \dot{\eta} \tau \eta \nu \mathrm{Pal}$.

$422 \tau \xi$ vulg. : om. W.

426 оф $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\boldsymbol{a}}$ a[-: vulg.: ápүадє́ $\eta \nu \tau \in \mathrm{L}^{5} \mathrm{U}^{6}$.
427 beginning $c \tau \hat{\eta}<a$ (vulg.) fits the space: $c \tau \dot{\eta}<a c \delta^{\prime} \mathbf{g} \mathbf{i} \mathbf{p}, c \tau \hat{\eta}<a \nu \delta^{\prime} \mathbf{U}^{\top}$, probably too long.
429 v pac (sigma has been overwritten or corrected, but not apparently cancelled): codd. plerique:
$\nu \hat{\eta} a \mathbf{a b} \mathbf{f} \mathbf{j k} \mathbf{l}$.


43 I c $\phi \omega$ : vulg.: $\varsigma \phi \hat{\omega} \nu \mathbf{d f} \mathbf{~ r}$.
432 om . ad 1 .
433 a ${ }^{2} \nu \nu$ : vulg.: à $\gamma \omega \nu$ Pal. Mon.
$435 \phi] a \nu \nu[0] \mu \xi[\nu \eta] \phi_{\ell}:$ vulg.: - $\phi \iota \nu$ edd. (Barnes, 171 I ; Wolf, 1807 ).
$436 \iota \pi \pi \omega \nu$ : vulg.: i i $\pi \pi \% \nu \mathrm{Pal}$.
$437 \epsilon \nu$ : vulg. : є́ $\pi i L^{8}$.
$464 \epsilon \mu \pi \epsilon \delta o v$ : vulg. : -oc Pal.
465 l. кір $\quad$ сє.


47 I $\llbracket \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \rrbracket$ : dittography from 470 rather than a substantive variant. $\mu a \chi \epsilon \varsigma \rho\left[\right.$ [: vulg.: $\mu а \chi є о \mu-\mathrm{L}^{8}$.
 475-80 athetized (by Aristarchus).
477 avт': vulg. : av̉ $\mathrm{H}^{3}$ ante corr., $\mathrm{L}^{1} \mathrm{~W}$.
3444. Isocrates, Evagoras 6-12

314 B. $13 / \mathrm{H}(1-3) \mathrm{a}$

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { Fr. } 1 & 5.6 \times 16 \mathrm{~cm} . & \text { Second } / \text { third century } \\
\text { Fr. } 2 & 6 \times 21.2 \mathrm{~cm} . &
\end{array}
$$

Parts of two consecutive columns, the second preserved for its full height, on two fragments which do not physically join (a column per fragment). The second column has 34 lines, with around 25 letters per line. The column height is 16.3 cm ., with an upper margin of 3.2 cm . and a lower one of 1.7 cm . The complete speech may have occupied some 26 columns. The hand is a medium-sized and quickly made slightly sloping capital, with some ligatures. There are frequent serifs but they are virtually confined to the left arm of $\tau$. It may be compared with XXI 2291 but that text is coarser and less regular and lacks the serifs. 3444 has high stops as punctuation which may be additions by the original hand. There is one instance of a rough breathing (29). A second hand has made deletions (37). Elision is unmarked; there is one example of scriptio plena (44). Iota adscript occurs in 52 (катa入i $i \pi \eta$ ) and is omitted in 60 ( $\dot{\psi} \delta$ aic). Assessment of line-breaks is conjectural, especially so in the upper part of col. i; the resulting uncertainty aggravates the difficulties of establishing the text offered by the papyrus. The only line-ends which certainly survive are 33 and 34 , but the reconstruction supposes nothing to be lost at the ends of 25,29 and 41. The back is blank.

The text is collated with the Bude edition (1956). The apparatus of Drerup's edition of 1906 has also been utilised. From the textual point of view there are no variants of great importance. In general, the papyrus alternately agrees with $\Gamma \Delta E$ and with $\Theta \Lambda$ (the two branches of the MS. tradition) and offers trivial variants (or errors) of its own, as expected: cf. H. Erbse in Gesch. d. Textüberlieferung I (1961), 265. The extent of the errors, which include a false correction in 37 , inspires no confidence in its text. It may be worth noting the insertion of final $\nu$ in common with the first hand of $\Gamma$ : cf. Drerup, op. cit. pp. Ixvi-lxvii; F. Seck, Untersuchungen zum Isokratestext (diss. Hamburg, 1965), p. 9.

Fr. I
Col. i
c. 7 letters $\quad v] \phi \omega[\nu \epsilon v \pi \epsilon \pi \sigma \nu \theta o \tau \epsilon c$
$\alpha] v \tau o \iota ~ \tau \cup \gamma \not \chi \chi \alpha \cup[$ [ovcıv ov $\mu \eta \nu$ סov

Fr． 2
［тоıс оут к какшс фроvovсьv］
$\alpha \lambda \lambda a \tau \omega \nu] \mu[\epsilon \nu \tau o \iota o v \tau \omega \nu a \mu \epsilon \lambda \eta$ $\tau \epsilon o \nu \tau o v] с \delta$［a入入ouc $\epsilon$ Өıcтєov акоиєıv $\pi \epsilon \rho]!\varphi[\nu \kappa \alpha \iota \lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu \delta \iota$ каเоข $\epsilon \subset \tau \iota]$ ！$a[\lambda \lambda \omega<\tau \epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota \delta \eta$ ка८
 $\nu$ рас кає $\tau \omega \nu] \tau[\epsilon \chi \nu \omega \nu \kappa а \iota \tau \omega \nu$ а入 ［ $\lambda \omega \nu$ a $a \pi \alpha \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ov $\delta \iota a$ тovc $\epsilon \mu \mu \epsilon \nu o \nu$ ］ тас тоис ка $\theta \epsilon \subset \tau \omega \subset \iota] \nu$ a $\lambda \lambda a$［ $\delta \iota a$ тоvс $\epsilon \pi a \nu o \rho] \theta[o v \nu \tau a c] \kappa \alpha \iota \tau o ̣ \lambda[\mu \omega \nu$ $\tau а с \quad a \epsilon \iota \tau]!\kappa \kappa[\iota \nu \epsilon \iota \nu] \tau \omega \nu \mu \eta$［ка入 $\omega c$

$\pi o \nu \epsilon \subset \tau \iota \nu$ o］$\mu \epsilon \lambda \cdot \lambda[\lambda \omega \pi] o!\epsilon \iota \nu \cdot a \nu[\delta \rho o c$ $a \rho \epsilon \tau \eta \nu] \delta \iota a[\lambda о \gamma \omega] \nu \in \gamma \kappa \omega[\mu \iota a$ $\left.\zeta_{\epsilon \iota \nu} \subset \eta \mu \epsilon \iota \circ \nu \delta \epsilon\right] \mu \epsilon[\gamma] \iota \varsigma \tau \sigma \nu \cdot \pi[\epsilon \rho \iota$ $\mu \epsilon \nu \gamma a \rho]$ a $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu \pi о \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ кạı $\pi a \nu \tau[o \delta a$ $\pi \omega \nu \lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu] \tau о \lambda \mu \omega c \iota \nu$ ọ $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota[\tau \eta \nu]$
$\phi \iota \lambda о с о \phi \iota a \nu]$ ovт $\epsilon \subset \cdot \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \delta \epsilon \tau \omega \varphi$［тoı ovt $\omega \nu$ ov $\delta] \epsilon \iota \subset$ avt $\omega \nu \pi \omega \pi o \tau[\epsilon \subset \nu \gamma$ $\gamma \rho a \phi \epsilon \iota \nu] \epsilon \pi \iota \chi \epsilon \iota \rho \eta \subset \epsilon \nu^{\cdot} \kappa \alpha \iota \pi[о \lambda$ $\lambda \eta \nu$ avтoıc $\epsilon \chi] \omega$ си $\gamma \gamma \nu \omega \mu \eta \nu \cdot \tau o \iota[\subset$
 таь косноь кає $\gamma$ ар $\pi$ ］$\lambda \eta$ сьацочта $[с$
 тоıс тоıทсаı каı $\delta \iota a] \lambda \in \gamma о \mu \in \nu о v[c$


## Col．ii

$\lambda \eta \theta \omega c \iota \nu]$ каı $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \tau о v \tau \omega \nu \delta \eta \lambda \omega c[a \iota$
 $c \iota v a \lambda \lambda a] \tau a \mu \epsilon \nu \xi \epsilon \nu o u c \cdot \tau a \delta \epsilon \kappa[a \iota$ voıc $\tau a \delta] є \mu \epsilon \tau а ф о \rho а с \cdot к а \iota \mu \eta \delta \epsilon \nu$ $\pi а \rho a \lambda \iota \pi \epsilon]_{\iota} \nu^{\cdot} \alpha \lambda \lambda a \pi a c \iota \nu \tau о \iota c$ ї $\delta \epsilon \subset \iota \nu$ $\delta \iota a \pi o \iota \kappa \iota] \lambda a \iota ~ \tau \eta \nu \pi о \iota \eta \subset \iota \cdot \tau 0 \iota<\delta \epsilon[\pi \epsilon$ § 10 $\rho \iota ~ \tau o u c ~ \lambda o] \gamma o u c ~ o v \delta \epsilon \nu \in \xi \in c \tau \iota \tau \omega \nu[\tau 0 \iota$

$\mu a \tau \omega \nu]$ тоис то入ıтєкоис кає $\tau \omega \nu \epsilon[\nu$
$\theta \nu \mu \eta \mu \alpha] \tau \omega \nu$ тouc $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota$ avtac $\tau \underset{\sim}{\alpha}[\mathrm{c}$
 $\pi \rho о с \delta \epsilon \tau]$ ov $\delta$ oıc o九 $\mu \epsilon \nu \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \mu \epsilon \tau \rho \omega \nu$ $\kappa \alpha \iota \rho \nu \theta \mu] \omega \nu \alpha \pi a \nu \tau \alpha$ тоьочсьv o. [
 cavt $\nu \epsilon] \chi \epsilon \iota \nu \chi a \rho \iota \nu$ : wctє av ка! [ $\tau \eta \iota$ $\lambda \epsilon \xi \in \iota \kappa \alpha \iota]$ тоוс $\epsilon \nu \theta v \mu \eta \mu a c \iota v \in \chi \eta[\iota \kappa \alpha$

 акоvov] тас $\gamma \nu о \iota \eta \delta$ av тıc $\epsilon \kappa \epsilon \iota \theta[\epsilon \nu$ $\tau \eta \nu \delta \nu \nu] \alpha \mu \iota \nu \alpha \nu \tau \omega \nu \cdot \eta \nu \gamma \alpha \rho \tau \iota c ̧[\tau \omega \nu$ $\pi о \iota \eta \mu a] \tau \omega \nu \tau \omega \nu \quad \epsilon \nu \delta о к \iota \mu о ч \varphi \tau[\omega \nu$ $\tau \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu$ о] чоната ка! тас $\delta \iota \alpha v o ̣[\iota a \subset$ $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \iota] \pi \eta \iota \tau о \delta \epsilon \mu \epsilon \tau \rho о \nu \delta \iota a \lambda,[v<\eta \iota$ $\phi a \nu \eta \subset \epsilon] \tau a \iota \pi о \lambda v \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \delta \epsilon \subset \tau \epsilon \rho[a$ $\tau \eta \subset \delta o \xi] \eta \subset \eta \subset \nu v \nu \epsilon \chi o \mu \epsilon \nu \pi \epsilon \rho \iota$ a $[\nu \tau \omega \nu$ о $\mu \omega \subset \delta \epsilon]$ каи $\pi \epsilon \rho$ тосоитоข $\pi \lambda \epsilon[о \nu \epsilon \kappa$ тоис $\eta$ с $\tau] \eta$ с поьךсє $\omega$ с оик окข $\eta \tau[\epsilon о \nu$ $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \pi o] \pi \epsilon \iota \rho \alpha \tau \epsilon \circ \nu \tau \omega \nu \lambda o \gamma \omega \nu$ [ $\epsilon \subset \tau \iota \nu$
 Oouc av $\delta$ ] $\rho a c \in u \lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu \mu \eta \delta \epsilon \nu$ [ $\chi \in \iota$
 $\tau \rho о \iota \subset \epsilon] \gamma \kappa \omega \mu \iota \alpha \zeta_{o \nu \tau \omega \nu} \pi \rho[\omega] \tau[o \nu$
 pou к]aı $\tau \iota \nu \omega \nu \eta \nu \alpha \pi o \gamma o \nu \circ$ с [ $\epsilon \iota$ ка८

Col. i
5 A spot of ink only; attribution to $\mu$ is conjectural.

14 1. кıveiv.
18 Scanty traces of ink survive before $\mu \epsilon[\gamma] \leqslant$ ¢ $o v$ but they are on the vertical fibres of the lower layer and therefore probably from ink that has penetrated, so that they will not provide satisfactory evidence for the reading.


 most MSS. and edd. (тoíc à $\nu \theta$ р́̈тoıc roùc $\theta$ єoúc $\Lambda$ and some early edd.).

28 Note that there is no room for the $\hat{\epsilon}_{\epsilon} \subset \tau$ каi of $\Theta \Lambda$.

Col. ii
32 A high spot of ink after $\mu$ of $\mu \epsilon \nu$ is presumably accidental.
33 1. $\mu \epsilon \tau a \phi$ рраíc.
34 I. є $\grave{\delta}$ ectu.
$37 a \llbracket \pi \rrbracket o \tau o \llbracket \lambda \rrbracket \mu \omega c: \pi$ deleted in error. Both deletions achieved by a light diagonal stroke, in a brownish ink by a second hand.
$3^{8}$ тодьтькоик каи: so $\Theta \Lambda$, omitting $\mu$ о́vov after подьтькоїс.
 $\chi \rho \iota c a c \theta a \iota$ to $\chi \rho \eta<a c \theta a \iota$, then (b) to $\chi \rho a c \theta a \iota$ by the use of below-line dots to delete $\eta \kappa$. But $\chi \rho \hat{\eta} \subset \theta a \iota$ would be the expected form.

420 .[: ol [ $\delta \epsilon$ is expected, but the doubtful letter is more like $v$. The papyrus apparently then contained an error or variant.

44 . ${ }^{\epsilon} \chi \in \epsilon$. The lower point after $\chi a \rho \omega$ accidental?
 most edd., ö $\mu \omega c \tau a i ̂ c \gamma \epsilon \epsilon \dot{v} \rho v \theta \mu i a u c ~ \Theta \Lambda$.

47 A mark above first $v$ of $\psi v \chi a \gamma \omega \gamma o u c t \nu$ probably accidental.
48 रvoı $\eta$ : so $\Gamma \Delta E$; ката $\mu$ á $\theta$ о $\Theta \Lambda$.
53 1. катаঠєє́стєра.
$55 \kappa \alpha \iota \pi \epsilon \rho$ pap. with most MSS., against $\kappa \alpha i \pi \in \rho i$ of $\Theta$.

58 routo pap. with most MSS., against ovico of $\Lambda$.
$59 \epsilon \nu \lambda_{\epsilon \gamma \epsilon \tau \nu}$ I. $\epsilon \dot{v} \lambda \alpha \gamma \epsilon i \nu$ with most MSS. and edd. $\epsilon \dot{v} \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu \Lambda$ and some early edd. A mark of ink above $\nu$ of $\epsilon v \lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \omega \nu$ is presumably not significant.
$62 \tau \dot{\eta} c$ : is the point over the $\eta$ intended as a circumflex accent?
3445. Lycophron, Alexandra $747-56,764^{-9}, 850-3$
(Addenda to XVII 2094)
284 B.6I/G (10-11) a Fr. $1.2 \times 4.8 \mathrm{~cm}$. Second century
Several small pieces from the same copy of Lycophron's Alexandra as XVII 2094. These new scraps show the same high proportion of lectional signs as the fragments already published. On fr. I the line-ending ] $\omega \boldsymbol{\prime}$ can be read in col. i, opposite the line-space between 751 and 752 in col. ii. The nearest lines ending in these letters (aside from 74 I and 743 , which are too close) are 704 or 705 , which would give a column of 47 or 48 lines ( 2094 fr . 5, the longest fragment of this manuscript, has a minimum of 35 lines), with a written height of c. 25 cm . and a roll height of more than 33 cm . The next nearest line ending with these letters is 684 , but a column of 68 lines does not seem likely.

Collated with the text of Scheer (1881). There is a unique variant (probably just a mistake) in 767 . In 750 a second, more cursive, hand (apparently not the same as the second hand of $2094 \mathrm{frr} .2-4,935$ ) has added an orthographic variant above the line.

For some minor amendments to 2094, see p. xviii above.

Fr. I
Col. i
Col. ii
av[
єıка̣ía үó $\mu \phi$ [оис



$\pi \rho о с к \hat{v} \mu \alpha$ [
$\pi o ̣ ̂[\nu]$ тov $\delta^{\prime}$ áụ[ $\pi \nu 0 \subset$
actبı cúvọ [८кос
$\epsilon \subset \tau \alpha \iota] \pi \alpha \rho a[\lambda \lambda o v$
$\beta v \kappa \tau] \eta \subset<\tau \rho[o \beta \eta<\in \iota$

## Fr. 2

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tau o \nu] \mu \nu \theta[o \pi \lambda \alpha c \tau \eta \nu \\
& \alpha \rho] \alpha \subset \quad \tau \in \tau \grave{t}+[\kappa] \omega[c \\
& o v] \pi \text {. } \omega \text { } \mu \dot{\prime} \lambda^{\prime} \text { ov́ }[\pi \omega
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \eta \xi] \epsilon \iota \gamma[\alpha \rho \eta] \xi \in[\iota \\
& \kappa \alpha \iota] \text { N } \eta[\rho \iota \tau о v
\end{aligned}
$$

Fr. 3
$\kappa \alpha \iota \pi \alpha \nu] \tau \alpha \tau \lambda \eta c \epsilon[\theta \quad 850$

$\eta \xi \in \iota \delta] \underset{ }{\alpha} \lambda \eta \tau \eta \varsigma[$
$\kappa \alpha \iota \delta \omega \rho \alpha \nu \alpha] \psi \in![$

750 el suprascript by a second hand. The spelling кєє Av. 299-300. Aristophanes no doubt created the form for the pun on кєipєtv. But Euphronius at least took it seriously, and held $\kappa \epsilon$ - to be Attic, $\kappa \eta$ - Doric (Schol. Aristoph., Av. 299). The corrector here perhaps intended to restore an Attic spelling suitable to the generally Attic dialect of the poem.

754 ast $\% t$ : to the right of iota, and joining it, is a short dash at middle height. If not accidental, it should be a middle stop. But do we expect a stop at all in this place?
${ }_{7} 65 \tau \epsilon \tau \bar{l}[\kappa] \varphi[c:$ the accent is damaged, and might in principle be part of a suprascript letter. T $\epsilon \tau \iota \kappa \omega ́ c$ codd. plerique: $\tau \epsilon \theta \epsilon \iota к \omega ́<~ B . ~$
$767 \lambda \eta] \theta \eta: \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta \eta c$ codd. An impossible reading; unless perhaps $\lambda \dot{\eta} \theta \eta\langle b\rangle$ was intended.
3446. Lycophron, Alexandra $1239-50$

374 B. 105/J (a)
$7 \times 6.5 \mathrm{~cm}$.
Second century
A piece from mid-column, with twelve line-ends and 2.5 cm . of the right-hand margin. The writing is small, angular, informal and rather ugly, a poor example of the style represented by $409+2655$ (XXXIII pl. ii) and assigned to the second century; the scribe has been careful to avoid ligature, but some letters give the impression of hasty execution, and the ornamental serifs on the feet of uprights are not used consistently. There are occasional accents, and perhaps an elision-mark, but not the great assortment of lectional signs which characterizes $2094+3445$. A second hand corrected the end of 1241 . A third hand, or perhaps a third and fourth hand, using a thinner pen, was responsible for the accent in 1250 and for several glosses.

Collated with the text of Scheer (1881). The papyrus may have offered a unique reading in 1241, but it is obscured by damage.

$1239 \tau] y$ : only the foot of the letter remains. But the spacing suggests $\tau] \psi[\text { rather than }]_{\tau}[v$.
1240 At the far right, two minute traces; $\pi[o \tau] \omega v$ acceptable.
 horizontal joining hypsilon just below the top, and another short horizontal at line-level; the second suits lambda, but not the first; sigma is most suggested. But $\S u \rho \rho \eta \nu o \iota ~ l e a d s ~ n o w h e r e ; ~ \tau u \rho \rho \eta \nu o l ~(-\rho c-e x p e c t e d, ~$ cf. 1248 ) and $\xi \cup \rho \rho \eta \nu \circ \iota$ are not palaeographically plausible. The scribe wrote rather slapdash; it may be that the upper trace is careless or accidental.
$\nu a \pi a l$ : the top and second upright of pi, and the whole of alpha and iota, have been written by another hand, with thicker strokes and using a rounded form of alpha which is ligatured to the iota. The original letters may have been abraded and then overwritten; more likely the original scribe wrote only vau, by parablepsy, and the corrector altered iota to pi, and added alpha iota.

1245 The accent may be by another hand.
$1246 \pi \circ \tau^{\prime}$ : the elision mark is not certain. From its position the trace might be part of the suprascript note (to be read as a damaged omicron, i.e. the article $\delta$ ); but from the colour and thickness of the ink it seems more likely to belong to the main text.
 (2)).
. : over the final omicron of o七коиооє muddled ink; apparently a deleted scholion (traces of at least two letters) rather than a cancelled lectional sign.

1247 []. $\varepsilon^{*}$ : before epsilon, spots of ink probably not accidental; before that, rubbed surface which, if it was written on, held no more than two or three letters; over epsilon, a suprascript letter consisting of a long stroke rising quite sharply to a crest and then prolonged to the right at a more gentle angle, perhaps alpha or lambda (or mu?). One guess would be $[\alpha \mu] \pi \epsilon \cdot \lambda(\psi)$ or $-\lambda(o v)$, since it was vine-tendrils over which Telephus tripped, see Tzetzes on Lyc. 206.

[].. $\varepsilon \phi o c:$ room for one letter before the first visible trace; at the end, to the right, a short high horizontal, probably a prolongation of the top of sigma (which must then have been the last letter). Just possibly Tideфoc; he, as the father of Tarchon and Tyrrhenus, occurs several times in the scholia hereabouts ( $1242,1245,1246,1248$ ). But the traces do not much favour $\eta$; and suggest $\iota$ rather than $\lambda$.

## 3447. Strabo IX

No inv. no.
Frr. $14^{-15} \quad 17.5 \times 29^{\circ} \mathrm{cm}$. Earlier second century
Numerous fragments (many first collected and identified by Mr Lobel) from a roll containing Strabo IX. This was once a very handsome copy, as the calligraphic script and ample margins show. Total height, c. 34 cm .; column-height, c. 21 cm . ( 35 or 36 lines where calculable); upper margin at least 5.8 cm . (fr. 2), lower margin 7 cm . (fr. 14); column-width, c. 6-7 cm.; intercolumnium, c. 2 cm . (fr. 14). In this format, the whole of Book IX would require about 160 columns, or 14.5 m . of papyrus. The pieces which survive are widely dispersed through the text, as the following tabulation indicates (the reconstructed columniation is generally very approximate).

| col. 1 -1 6 | - | col. 71 | Frr. 15-19 i | col. 134 | Frr. $31+2,33$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17 | Fr. 1 | 72 | Fr. 19 ii | $135{ }^{-6}$ | - |
| 18 | - | 73 | Fr. 20 | 137 | Frr. 34-6 |
| 19 | Fr. 2 | 74-94 | - | 138 | Fr. 37 |
| 20-50 | - | 95 | Fr. 21 | 139 | Fr. 38 |
| 51 | Frr. 3, 4 | 96 | Frr. $22+62+99+100$ | 140 | Fr. 39 |
| 52-4 |  | 97 | - | 141 | Frr. $40+41$ |
| 55 | Fr. 5 | 98 | Fr. 23 | 142 | Fr. 42 |
| 56-7 | - | 99-105 | - | 143 | Fr. 43 |
| 58 | Frr. 6, 7 | 106 | Fr. 24 | $144^{-8}$ | - |
| 59-60 | - | 107-8 | - | 149 | Fr. 44 |
| 61 | Frr. 8-11 | 109 | Fr. 25 | 150 | - |
| 62 |  | 110 |  | 151 | Fr. 45 |
| 63 | Fr. 12 | 1 II | Frr. 26-8 | 1 52-4 | - |
| 64 | - | 112 | - | 155 | Frr. $4^{6-8}$ |
| 65 | Fr. 13 | 113 | Fr. 29 | 156 | Fr. 49 |
| 66-8 | Fr. | $114{ }^{-17}$ |  | 157 | Frr. 50-52 |
| 69 | Fr. 14 i | 118 | Fr. 30 |  |  |
| 70 | Frr. 14 ii +15 i | 119-33 | - |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 5-2 |

In four places, groups of consecutive columns are represented (69-73, 95-6, 137-43, 155-7). But most of their fragments do not join physically; only frr. 14, 15 and 19 still have remains of two columns on a single piece.

The hand is a medium-sized upright, bilinear except for phi (whose large bowl fills the line, and whose hasta projects above and below), and ornamented with oblique serifs; it belongs to the so-called Roman Uncial type exemplified by V 844, VIII 1084 and XX 2260, which are assigned to the early second century (on the style see Cavallo, ASNP 36 (1967), 209; Turner, GMAW, pp. 25, 38 n. i). Iota adscript is written throughout. Punctuation consists of high stop, middle stop and paragraphus. Diaeresis is marked. The few accents and breathings seem from the colour of the ink to be the work of a second hand, which was also responsible for about half of the punctuation. This second hand has also cancelled a number of letters, especially superfluous movable nu, and made at least one correction (fr. 39.4), though this is an obvious one and there is no reason to think that the corrector was collating the text with a second MS.

This is the first papyrus of the extant Strabo to be published (P. Colon. inv. 586 I, edited by B. Kramer, ZPE 9 (1972), 204-1 I and again as P. Köln I 8, contains the end of Book VII, which otherwise survives only in epitome). The text offers some points of textual interest, though perhaps not as many as might have been expected in view of its antiquity. The collation has been based on the editio maior of G. Kramer (1844-52), and on W. Aly's edition (1956) of the Vatican Palimpsest, Vat. gr. 2306/2061 A; account has also been taken of the later collations and emendations collected by H. L. Jones (Loeb edn., 1927). The sigla employed are those of Jones after Kramer; $\Pi$ designates the Vatican Palimpsest (Aly's V), 'Pap.' the papyrus. For a full account of all the MSS. and modern editions see A. Diller, The Textual Tradition of Strabo's Geography (Amsterdam, 1975); on the textual tradition see also the editions of F. Sbordone (vol. I, Rome, 1964), W. Aly (Bonn, 1968) and G. Aujac \& F. Lasserre (vol. I i, Paris, 1969).

Two categories of reading deserve special mention:
(i) New readings in 3447

Excluding variants in spelling, which are confined in all instances to proper names, the papyrus exhibits eighteen new readings.

Two are due to scribal error, and may be rejected immediately: frr, 26-8. 5 f. $\epsilon i \subset \in \pi о \iota \bar{c} \subset \alpha \tau \sigma$ for $-с а \tau о$, 14 f . $\dot{a} \xi \iota \iota \mu a c \iota$ for $-\mu a \tau \iota$. Another probably represents an accidental omission, which makes still less intelligible a sentence already corrupt in


Seven are of uncertain value: fr. I. $3 \pi \epsilon \tau \rho \hat{\omega} \delta \epsilon c$ є $\epsilon \rho \eta \mu o v$ for ${ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \rho \eta \mu \nu \nu \pi \epsilon \tau \rho \hat{\omega} \delta \epsilon c ;$ fr.




Four seem to be improvements: fr. 14 i 9 oí $\tau \epsilon$ for oi $\delta \epsilon ́$ (with the support of the Homeric MSS.); fr. 14 i 20 ov̉ $\delta^{\prime}$ for oű $\tau \epsilon$ (confirming Meineke's necessary conjecture); frr. I 4 ii + I 5 i $7 \mu \grave{v} \nu$ тoívevv for тoívvv; fr. 38. 9 каi тav́т $\eta \nu$ for кai [ ] (lacuna in MSS.; aút $\dot{v} v$ suppl. Du Theil).

In the remaining cases (frr. $22+100.1-4,29.4 \mathrm{f} ., 3 \mathrm{I}+32.9 \mathrm{f}, 38$. I f f., 39.3 f .) the reading of the papyrus is uncertain, but probably different from that of the MSS.

Definite improvements to the text are therefore not very numerous, and in general the reliability of the MSS. tradition appears to be confirmed.
(ii) Agreements of 3447 with the later MSS. against $\Pi$

An interesting aspect of this papyrus is its tendency to support the readings of the later MSS. against the Vatican Palimpsest, which is otherwise the oldest witness (fifth century) and which comes from a different branch of the tradition from the other MSS. Excluding variants in spelling, which are confined to proper names (frr. i 4 ii + I 5 i $2 \mathrm{f} ., 3,4,6 \mathrm{f}, \mathrm{IO}$ ), there are ten clear instances where 3447 sides with cett.
 $9 \mathrm{f} . ; 42$. I), and only two instances where 3447 sides with $\Pi$ against cett. (frr. it 4 22;30. I). In one other place, frr. 40 +4I. II , where 3447 has $\alpha \not \partial \lambda \omega c \dot{\omega} c$, $\Pi$ has $\dot{\omega} c$ and cett. ${ }^{\circ} \lambda \lambda \omega c$ ö каí, the papyrus could be said to support both or neither. In almost all cases where the papyrus agrees with cett. against $\Pi$, their reading seems preferable to that of the Palimpsest. Of the two cases where the papyrus agrees with $\Pi$, one is a question of word-order and a decision either way seems to me impossible (fr. 30 . I); in the other, fr. 14 i 22 , their joint reading is perhaps to be preferred.

It would not be correct, however, to interpret this agreement of 3447 with cett. against the Palimpsest as evidence that the split in the MSS. tradition had already taken place at this early stage. Their tendency to agreement shows rather that in $\Pi$ we are dealing with a much more faulty text than we have in the case of cett. (cf. A. Diller, 1.c. 22), thus confirming an observation made already by Aly and Lasserre about the Palimpsest.

A residue of small fragments from this text remains unplaced. Those which may be tentatively located in one position or another are presented as 'Possible Identifications' (frr. 53-7 and 70). The rest are collected as 'Unplaced Frr.' I should like to thank Professor S. Michaelson and A. Q. Morton of the Department of Computer Science at the University of Edinburgh for their kindness in checking a number of these unplaced fragments against a computer tape of Strabo VIII-X. Their results, which are summarized in the notes $a d$. loc., show that there is no reason to suspect that we are dealing here with any other book of Strabo except Book IX.

Fr. I (395C I. 14)
$\epsilon \iota \theta$ o $\phi] \omega \rho[\omega] \nu \quad \lambda_{!}[\mu \eta \nu \kappa \alpha \iota$
$\eta \psi v \tau] \tau a \underset{\lambda}{\epsilon} \iota \alpha \nu[\eta<\iota o \nu$ $\pi \epsilon \tau \rho] \omega \delta \in \subset \in \rho[\eta \mu \circ \nu$ o $\tau \iota \nu \epsilon \subset] \epsilon \iota \pi \alpha \nu \lambda \underset{~}{[\eta \mu \eta \nu}$
5 $\tau 0 v \pi \epsilon \iota] \rho \alpha \iota \epsilon \omega[c \pi \lambda \eta$ $\operatorname{c\iota ov} \delta \epsilon \kappa] a ̣ \imath \eta$ a $\alpha \underset{\lambda}{\lambda}[\alpha \nu$ $\tau \eta$ о $\mu \omega]$ р $\nu \mu о с \tau \eta[\iota \pi \epsilon \rho \iota$ $\epsilon \cup \beta$ оıа] р каı докр [оис кає $\alpha \lambda \lambda о$ ] $\nu \eta$ сьо $о \mu$ [оь 10 ov $\tau \eta \iota \psi]$ uq $\tau \alpha \lambda \epsilon \iota \alpha \iota ~ \kappa[\alpha \iota$ тоขто $\epsilon \iota \theta$ о $\pi]_{\epsilon}[\iota]_{\rho a!}![\epsilon \cup \subset$

Fr. 3 (406C 2. 17) $\kappa \iota c \theta \epsilon \nu] \tau \omega \nu \quad \eta[\tau \eta c \lambda \iota \mu$ $\nu \eta \subset \in \pi \iota] \pi \not \approx \alpha \nu \tau \alpha[\pi \epsilon \iota \nu \omega$


Fr. 2 (396C 1. 15) $\tau \omega \nu \pi \rho о \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu^{\bullet} \rho \omega[\mu a \iota \omega \nu$
] $\delta$ vстєроv $\eta \nu \iota \kappa \alpha<u[\lambda \lambda a c$ $\epsilon \kappa$ тодьоркıас єı入єฺ [кає $\tau о \nu \pi \epsilon \iota \rho a[\iota] \alpha$ каı то ас̧[тv то
$5 \delta]$ actv $a v \tau[0 \pi] \epsilon \tau \rho \alpha \in \subset \subset[\iota \nu \in \nu$ $\pi \epsilon \delta \iota \omega \iota \pi[\epsilon \rho \iota]$ о८ко $\nu \mu[\epsilon \nu \eta$ $\kappa v \kappa \lambda \omega \iota \cdot \epsilon \pi \iota \delta \epsilon \tau \eta \iota \pi[\epsilon \tau \rho a \iota$ то $\tau \eta с$ а $\theta \eta \nu a c$ ї $\epsilon \circ[\nu$ о $\tau \epsilon$
 aסoc $\epsilon] \nu \omega \iota\left[\begin{array}{ll}0 & \alpha\end{array}\right] \kappa \beta \epsilon c \tau[o c$ $\lambda \nu \chi \nu о c]$ кац $[о \pi] \quad \underset{\rho}{\rho} \theta \in \nu[\omega \nu$ ov $\epsilon \pi]$ o८nç $[\epsilon \nu \iota] \kappa \tau \epsilon![\nu \circ \circ$ $\epsilon \nu \omega \iota]$ тo $\uparrow$ тou $[\phi \in \iota \delta \iota v \in \rho$ [ $\gamma \circ \nu$

( 400 C 2.2 (?): see fr. 53)
Fr. 4 (406C 2. 18)
]o! $[$
] $\eta \iota \pi[\rho o$
$c \in \theta \epsilon \subset \alpha \nu \rho \omega \mu \alpha \iota] \circ \iota \tau \eta \nu$ $\alpha \nu \omega$ калєєтає $\delta]$ о тотос

5 аүХоך $\epsilon \subset \tau \iota \delta \epsilon]$ кає $\left.\lambda_{\iota}\right\rangle$ $\mu \nu \eta$ о $\mu \omega \nu \nu \mu o] ؟ ~ \epsilon \nu[\tau \epsilon \nu$ $[\theta \epsilon \nu$

Fr. 5 (408C 2. 20)
$v \pi o ~ \nu \iota \phi o] \epsilon \nu \tau \iota \cup \delta \eta c \epsilon \nu \pi[\iota 0$
$\nu \iota \delta \eta \mu \omega \iota] \eta \delta \epsilon \beta \beta \circ!\omega[\tau \iota a \kappa \eta$
$\epsilon \pi \iota \phi \epsilon p] \epsilon[\iota] \gamma[o v \nu \tau \omega \iota$

Frr. $6+7$ (409 C 2. 24)
$\tau \eta \nu v \pi] \omega \rho[\epsilon \iota a \nu$ avtov
Fr. 6
$\kappa \lambda \nu \zeta \omega \nu]$ кає [ $\pi о \iota \omega \nu \tau o v \subset$
$\pi \alpha \rho a<\omega] \pi \iota \circ[$ ис єוс като!кь
ac $\pi \lambda \epsilon \iota$ ]ouc [ $\delta$ i $\eta \rho \eta \mu \epsilon$
5 vove $\alpha \pi] \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \underset{[c}{[c} \delta v \pi o \quad \theta] \eta \beta a[\iota \quad$ Fr. 7


Frr. 8-11 (410C 2. 25)


Fr. 12 (41IC 2. 27)
vvиос $\epsilon] \underset{\lambda}{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \epsilon \tau о$ [ $\kappa \omega \pi \alpha \iota \subset$ $\mu \epsilon \nu \tau \omega \nu] \leqslant \omega \pi[\omega \nu$ a $\lambda \iota \alpha \rho \tau o c$ - . .
¢! [ov тоv $\pi \epsilon \rho \mu \eta с с о и$ кац тоv o[ $\lambda \mu \epsilon \iota \circ$ каı $\tau о \cup \epsilon$ douc [zov фuovtoc tov av $\lambda \eta \tau \iota \kappa[$ ㅇv ка入а $\mu о \nu \pi \lambda a$ таıa! [ $\delta \epsilon$ ас $\epsilon \nu \iota \kappa \omega<\epsilon \iota$ $\pi \epsilon \varphi[о$ $\pi о \iota \eta \tau \eta \subset \nu \pi о \quad \tau \omega \iota$

Fr． 14 col．i（413 C 2．34－5）
$\kappa \epsilon \iota \tau \alpha \iota \epsilon \nu v \psi \epsilon \iota] \phi[a c \imath \delta \epsilon$

 $\nu] v \mu \circ \nu \tau \eta \iota \theta \epsilon \tau \tau \alpha \lambda \iota \kappa[\eta \iota$ $o \iota] \delta \epsilon \phi a c ̣ \iota[\nu \rrbracket \kappa \alpha \iota \tau \eta \nu \underset{\sim}{a}[\rho$ $\nu] \eta \nu \nu \pi о$ т $\eta с \lambda \iota \mu \nu \eta$ к ка $\tau \alpha] \pi o \theta \eta \nu$ ає кац $\tau \eta \nu$ $\mu \iota \delta] є \iota a \nu$ ．З $\eta \nu$ оботос $\delta \epsilon$ $\gamma \rho a \phi] \omega \nu$ oь $\tau \epsilon \pi о \lambda \nu \subset \tau \alpha$
 $\kappa \epsilon о \iota \epsilon \iota]$ єขтvхоут८ тоルс vто $\eta<\iota \circ \delta o] \cup \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \tau \eta \subset \pi \alpha$ $\tau \rho \iota \delta$ ос $\lambda \epsilon \chi \theta \epsilon] \iota \subset \iota[\nu] \kappa \alpha \iota>$ $\tau 0 ル \subset \nu] \pi \epsilon v \delta o$ द̣ov $\pi \circ \lambda v>$ $\chi \epsilon \iota \rho \omega] \lambda \epsilon \gamma \circ \nu \tau о с \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \tau \eta \subset$ $\alpha с \kappa \rho \eta]$ ．$\pi \omega c \gamma \alpha \rho \alpha \nu \tau \iota$ $\pi о \lambda \cup с \tau] a \phi \cup \lambda о \nu \tau \eta \nu \tau о \iota$
 $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \subset \theta] \alpha \iota \pi \iota[$［ $\tau \epsilon \cup \subset \in \iota \epsilon v$ ovк $\epsilon v \delta]$ ov $\delta$ o［ı $\tau \alpha \rho \nu \eta \nu]$ $\alpha \varphi$ $\tau \iota \tau \eta \subset] a \rho \nu \eta \subset \gamma \rho[\alpha] \phi \quad \circ \nu$ $\tau \epsilon \subset$ оv］$\delta \epsilon \mu \iota \alpha$ $\gamma \alpha[\rho \delta] \epsilon \iota \kappa \nu v$ $\tau \alpha \iota \tau \alpha \rho] \cup \eta \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \tau[o l]<\beta o \iota$
 $\eta \subset \kappa \alpha \iota$ о］$\mu \eta \rho о \varsigma ~ \mu \epsilon \mu \nu \eta$ $\tau \alpha \iota \iota \delta o] \mu \epsilon \nu \epsilon v \subset \delta$ а $\rho a \phi a \iota$ стоv $\epsilon \nu] \eta \rho \alpha \tau о ~ \tau \epsilon \kappa \tau о \llbracket \nu \rrbracket$ voc v］！ov $\beta \omega \rho o ̣$ ос $\epsilon \kappa$ $\tau \alpha \rho \nu \eta]$ с $\epsilon \rho \beta \omega \lambda а к о с ~ \epsilon \iota \lambda \eta$
 $\mu \epsilon \nu] \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa є!\mu \epsilon \nu \omega \nu$

Frr． 14 col．ii +15 col．i（413 C 2．35－6）
 $\mu \in v a \iota$ каı то ть入．$[\phi \omega c<\iota$ ov $\tau \omega \nu \delta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega \varphi[\chi \alpha \iota \rho \omega$ $\nu \epsilon \iota a \kappa \alpha \iota \lambda \epsilon \beta a \delta \epsilon \iota a[\kappa \alpha \iota$
$5 \quad \lambda \epsilon \cup \kappa \tau \rho a \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \stackrel{\uparrow}{\omega} \nu \underset{\sim}{\alpha}[\xi \iota \circ \nu$ $\mu \nu \eta<\theta \eta \nu a \iota \cdot a \lambda a \underset{\lambda}{[\kappa o] \mu \epsilon}$
$\nu \omega \nu \mu \epsilon \nu \tau o \iota \nu v y \mu \epsilon$
$\mu \nu \eta \tau \alpha ⿺$ о $\pi о \iota \eta \tau \eta \subset \cdot a \lambda$

ı $\rho \eta \tau a \rho \gamma \epsilon]_{\iota \eta} \kappa[a \iota a \lambda] a \lambda \kappa о \mu \epsilon$ $\nu \eta \iota c a \theta] \eta \nu[\eta \epsilon \chi] \epsilon \iota \delta \alpha \rho$ $\chi \alpha \iota o v]$ їєроv $\alpha[\theta \eta] \nu \alpha c$ $\subset \phi \circ \delta \rho] a \quad \tau \iota \mu \omega \mu \epsilon \nu[o] \nu$ $\kappa \alpha \iota \phi а с \imath \gamma \epsilon \tau] \eta \nu \quad \theta \epsilon \rho \rho$
$15 \quad \gamma[\epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta \subset \theta \alpha \iota \epsilon] \varphi \theta \alpha \delta \epsilon$ $\kappa[a \theta a \pi \epsilon \rho \kappa \alpha \iota \tau \eta] \nu \quad \eta \rho a \nu$

$\tau[o \nu \pi o \iota \eta] \tau \eta \nu \omega<a \pi \%$
$\pi[\alpha \tau \rho \iota \delta \omega] \nu \llbracket o \rrbracket \tau о \varphi \tau \tau \omega \nu \alpha[\mu$ $\phi[о \tau \epsilon \rho a<~ o] v \tau \omega<$ o ovou $\mu$［ $\alpha$
 $\delta[\epsilon \nu \tau \omega \iota \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha] \underset{\lambda}{\circ} \gamma \omega[\iota \mu \epsilon$ $\mu[\nu \eta \tau \alpha \iota \tau \omega \nu] \epsilon \varphi \tau \tau \alpha[v \theta \alpha \alpha \nu$ $\delta \rho \omega \nu \epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota] \delta ̣ \eta \stackrel{\ddot{[ }}{[\epsilon \rho \rho o \iota ~ o \nu}$ ［ $\tau \in \subset \pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \iota \nu \tau o \quad \tau \eta \subset \subset \tau \rho a$ ］ т［єıac ［ ［
$\pi \alpha<\eta c] \beta[\iota a c \omega c] \tau \in[\kappa \alpha \iota$ $\theta \eta \beta \alpha \iota \iota \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \tau \eta$ ب $[\tau \omega \nu$



Frr. 19 col. ii +68 (414 C 2.39-30)
$\delta \in \nu \tau \epsilon \rho \alpha]!\varsigma \varphi[\mu \beta \circ \lambda \eta \iota \tau \eta \iota$
$\pi \epsilon \rho \iota] \mu \alpha \nu \tau \iota \nu[\epsilon \iota \alpha \nu \kappa \alpha \kappa \omega \subset$
$\epsilon] \pi \rho a \xi \alpha \nu$ - то $\mu \epsilon[\nu \tau о \iota \mu \eta$
v] $\phi \epsilon \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \iota<\leqslant \iota \cup \alpha![\kappa \alpha \iota \pi \epsilon \rho$
5 .]ovт $\omega<$ ¢ $\epsilon \pi \tau a \iota \kappa$ [ocı cv
$\nu \epsilon \mu \epsilon \iota \nu \epsilon \mu \in \chi \rho \iota \tau \eta \subset \rho \omega$
$\mu \alpha[\iota] \omega \nu \epsilon \pi!\kappa \rho \alpha \tau \epsilon \iota \alpha c \kappa \alpha[\iota$
$\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$ точтоис $\delta \epsilon \tau \iota \mu \omega \mu[\epsilon$
voı $\delta!a \tau \epsilon \lambda o v c ̧[\iota \delta \iota a \tau \eta \nu$


$\tau о с]$ к $\alpha \tau \alpha \tau \eta \nu \epsilon \kappa$ [ $\pi \lambda \alpha \tau \alpha \iota$
$\omega \nu \epsilon \iota \subset \theta \epsilon[c \pi \iota a c$ o $\delta o \nu \epsilon$
$\xi \eta \subset \delta \epsilon$ o $\pi[o \diamond \eta \tau \eta \subset \mu \epsilon \mu \nu \eta$
15 тa! $\tau \circ \hat{v} \tau \omega \nu[о \rho \chi о \mu \epsilon \nu \iota$
$\omega \nu$ ката入o[ $\gamma \circ \boldsymbol{\chi} \chi \omega \rho \iota$
$\zeta \omega \nu$ avtove $[a \pi о$ тоv $\beta$ о८
$\omega \tau \iota \alpha \kappa о и \epsilon \theta \varphi[о] \varphi[$ [с ка入єє


$\mu \iota \nu \nu] \omega \nu[\epsilon] \varphi \tau \epsilon v \theta \epsilon \varphi[\delta \epsilon \alpha \pi \quad$ (Fr. 68)
$o \iota \kappa] \eta<\alpha[\iota \tau]!\nu \alpha \varsigma \tau \varphi[\nu \mu \iota \nu \nu$
Fr. 20 (414C 2. 40)
$\alpha u[\tau \omega \nu$ ov $v \phi$ $\eta \rho \alpha \kappa \lambda \epsilon o v c$
$\kappa \alpha \tau[\alpha \lambda \nu \theta \eta \nu \alpha \iota \phi a c \iota v \in$
$\tau \epsilon о \kappa[\lambda \eta c \delta \epsilon \tau \omega \nu \beta a c ı \lambda \epsilon v$
$c \alpha \nu[\tau \omega \nu \epsilon \nu$ op $\chi \circ \mu \epsilon \nu \omega \iota$

Frr. $22+62+99+100(422 \mathrm{C} 3.12)$
]..[.]...[
] $\varphi \delta \in \kappa \alpha \tau a[$

]..... [
$5 \epsilon \chi \circ] \nu \tau \alpha \tau o \nu \tau o[\operatorname{\pi o\nu } \beta \iota$
$\alpha \iota o v] ~ \alpha \varphi \delta \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha \iota \pi[\alpha \rho \alpha \nu o$ $\mu o \nu \tau]$ ove $\delta \in \pi a[\rho \nu a c c i o v c$
 $\alpha \lambda \lambda o \nu] \mu \eta \nu v c a ̣[\iota \chi a \lambda \epsilon$
10 $\pi o \nu a \nu \delta] \rho a \pi v \theta[\omega \nu a ~ \tau o v$ $\nu о \mu a \epsilon \pi]_{\iota \kappa \lambda \eta}[$［ $\iota \nu \delta \epsilon$

Fr． 23 （423C 3．I3）

$$
\left.\delta a v \lambda_{l}\right]
$$

$\delta a \epsilon \iota \pi \epsilon \nu]$ o！$\delta[v \subset \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu$ סav入ıav］каı［то китарıссоv $\delta \epsilon \chi o \nu] \underset{\dagger}{ } \epsilon \chi \circ[\nu \tau \alpha \iota \delta \iota$ с $\omega<$ o८ $\mu] \epsilon \nu$ oب $[\omega v v \mu \omega c$

Fr． 25 （427C4．8）
$\left.{ }^{1} \delta \rho v\right]$
тaı $\tau$ ov к $\rho \iota]$ ¢ $\alpha \iota \varrho \varphi \pi \epsilon[\delta \iota$ ov катєс $\pi \alpha]$ с $\alpha \nu ~ \delta \alpha u \tau[\eta \nu$

Fr． 24 （426C4．4）
$\nu \eta<o \iota \pi \rho o]_{\kappa \in!\nu[\tau]} \underset{\sim}{ }\left[\iota\right.$ а $\pi о \lambda_{\iota}$ $\chi$ र тоvvoна］єХоиса！［ка८ а入 $\lambda a \iota \delta \epsilon \iota c \iota \nu] \epsilon \nu \tau \omega \iota \lambda \cdot[\epsilon \chi$ $\theta \epsilon \nu \tau \iota \pi \alpha \rho \alpha] \pi \lambda \omega!\stackrel{a}{\alpha}[\kappa \epsilon \kappa о \nu$ $5 \tau \epsilon \epsilon \pi \alpha \rho a \lambda \epsilon \iota] \pi[0 \mu \epsilon \nu$

Frr．26－8（427C 4．10）
 $\kappa \lambda \epsilon o v] c \cdot a \pi \epsilon \mu \nu[\eta \mu \circ \nu \epsilon v$
 $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \nu \tau \eta<\alpha \nu \tau![\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \tau \eta \nu$ o८ $\eta \nu \cdot v \lambda \lambda o \nu \gamma[a \rho$ єıcє $\quad$ Tol $\eta \subset а \nu \tau о$ то⿱ $\pi[\rho \in \subset \beta \nu \tau \alpha$
 $\delta \omega[\nu \kappa \alpha \iota] \delta!\epsilon \delta[\epsilon \xi \alpha \tau о \quad \epsilon \kappa \epsilon \iota$ $\nu$ ос $\tau[\eta \nu]$ а $\rho \chi \eta[\nu$ каı оь

$\mu \eta \theta[\epsilon \iota \iota \iota]$ точс［ $\eta$ рак $\lambda \epsilon \iota$
 тог $\eta$ соу［каӨобос $\tau \epsilon$ $\omega<\mu \epsilon \nu$ ou［ $\nu \eta<a \nu \epsilon \nu a$ $\xi \iota \varphi \mu a c![\nu$ аı то入єıc каı $\pi \epsilon[\rho$ ои］¢ $[\alpha \iota \mu \iota к \rho а \iota к а \iota$

```
Fr. 29(428C 4. 12-I3)
    \tauо⿱[с \lambdaокроис єк \tau\etaс }0\epsilon
    \taua\lambda\iota[ac \tau\eta\nu \mu\epsilon\nu ov\nu \pi\alpha\rhoo
    \deltaov [\piv\lambdaас ка\lambdaоvсı ка\iota ст\epsilon
    vạ \kappa[a\iota 0\epsilon\rho\muо\piv\lambdaас
5 \delta[
    v\oint[a\tau\alpha \tau\iota\mu\omega\mu\epsilonva \omegac
```

(435C5. 14 (?): see fr. 54)
Frr. $31+32$ (435-436C 5. 14-15)
$\epsilon \iota \tau \alpha$ акра $\pi v \rho]_{\rho \alpha} \kappa \alpha \iota \delta v[o$
$\nu \eta<\iota a \pi \lambda \eta<\iota o v] \stackrel{\uparrow}{\varphi} \varphi$ ч $\tau \circ>$
[
[
5
[
$v \pi о \tau \omega \iota \epsilon \nu \mu \eta \lambda \omega \iota \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha] \lambda \underset{ }{\epsilon}$
$\gamma \in \iota \tau \eta \nu \quad c \nu \nu \in \chi \eta \pi \alpha] \rho a \lambda_{\iota}$
$\alpha \nu$ 5-7 $\mu \alpha \gamma] \varphi \eta \tau \omega \nu$
10 2-4 кає $\tau \eta с \pi \epsilon \lambda] a \subset \gamma \iota$
$\omega \tau \iota \delta o c \gamma \eta c \phi \in \rho \alpha \iota] \mu \in \nu$
ov̀ $\epsilon \iota \subset \iota \pi \epsilon a c \tau] \omega \varphi[\pi \epsilon$
[ $\lambda$ асүıк $\omega \nu$
Frr. 34-6 (436-437 C 5. 16)
$\epsilon \subset \tau \iota \nu \pi \rho о к \epsilon] \iota \nu \tau \alpha \iota \delta \epsilon$
$\tau \omega \nu \mu \alpha \gamma \nu \eta \tau \omega \nu] \nu \eta<о \iota$
[
[
5 [


Frr．34－6（436－437C 5．ı6）
$\epsilon \subset \tau \iota \nu \pi \rho о к \epsilon] \iota \nu \tau \alpha \iota \delta \epsilon$
$\tau \omega \nu \mu \alpha \gamma \nu \eta \tau \omega \nu] \nu \eta$ соь
［
［
5 ［


## Fr． 30 （429 C 5．I）

$\pi \alpha \rho \nu]$ ạcc $\omega!$［калєı $\theta \omega$ $\delta \epsilon \tau о] \mu \in \nu \pi[\rho о с$ тоис $\mu \alpha$
 $\tau \iota \kappa о]$ ．$\cdot \tau о$ о $[\epsilon \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu$ voтוov

Fr． 33 （436C 5．15） катєскат］
 $\tau \epsilon v \theta \epsilon] \nu \delta$ єстє $[\iota \lambda \epsilon$ то⿱ เасо $\nu \alpha \kappa \alpha]!\tau \eta \nu \quad a \rho \gamma[\omega \pi \in \lambda \iota a c$ aтo $\delta \epsilon]$ т $\eta \subset$ vav［ $\pi \eta \gamma \iota a c$ 5 тךс ар］子оочс кає［таүасас $\lambda \in \gamma \in \epsilon \theta] a ̣ \iota \mu v \theta \in u[o v \subset \iota ~ \tau o v$ $\tau о \pi \circ] \varphi^{\cdot}$ o८ $\delta \epsilon \pi[\iota \theta \alpha \nu \omega \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu$

رovc [єХоucal то入єル $\mu \alpha$
$\lambda_{\ell<\tau \alpha}[\delta \epsilon \subset \tau \iota \nu \in \nu$ оvо $\mu a \tau \iota$ c]кирос $[\delta \iota \alpha$ т $\eta \nu \lambda$ дкон $\eta$
10 Souc $\pi[\rho \circ с$ a $\chi \downarrow \lambda \lambda \epsilon \alpha$.oь [кє८от $\eta \tau \alpha$
[
[
[
 ор $\omega \nu$ а $\theta \eta \nu \alpha \iota o]$ с є єтькратоиข $\tau \alpha с \tau \eta \subset \theta] a \lambda \alpha a \tau \tau \eta \subset$ ка८ $\tau \omega \nu \nu \eta c] \varphi \nu \alpha \rho \chi o \nu \tau \alpha c$

Fr. 38 (437C5.17)
$\tau[\eta \nu \iota c \tau \iota \alpha \iota \omega \tau \iota \nu$ катє
 $\pi \rho[\nu]$ ¢ $\epsilon \iota \tau \tau[\eta \nu \eta \pi \epsilon \iota \rho \circ \nu$ $\alpha \nu \in c \pi a c[a \nu \delta \iota \alpha$ тo
$5 \pi \lambda \eta$ Өос $\tau \omega[\nu$ є $\pi$ о८к $\eta<\alpha \nu$ $\tau \omega \nu \epsilon \subset \tau![\alpha \omega \omega \nu \tau \eta \nu \chi \omega$ $\rho \alpha \nu \alpha \pi \epsilon[\kappa \epsilon \iota \nu \omega \nu$ out $\omega c$ $\epsilon \kappa а \lambda \epsilon с а ч$ [кадоисı $\delta \epsilon$ $\kappa[\alpha] \iota \tau \alpha v \tau \eta[\nu$ кає $\tau \eta \nu$ סодо
$10 \pi[\iota] \alpha \nu \tau \eta[\nu \alpha \nu \omega \theta \epsilon \tau \tau \alpha \lambda \iota$ $\alpha[\nu \epsilon \pi \epsilon v \theta \epsilon \iota a c \mu а к \epsilon \delta o \nu \iota$ $\alpha[\iota \kappa \alpha \theta a \pi \epsilon \rho \kappa \alpha \iota \tau \eta \nu \kappa \alpha$ $\tau \omega[\tau \eta \iota \kappa \alpha \tau \omega \epsilon \subset \tau \iota \delta \eta \mu \epsilon \nu$ $\tau \rho[\iota \kappa \kappa \eta$ отои то $\iota \in \rho о \nu$
(438C5. 17 (?): see fr. 55)
(437C 5. 16 (?): see fr. 70)
Fr. 37 (437C 5. 17)
$\tau] \eta \subset \pi \iota v \delta[o v \mu \epsilon \chi \rho \iota \tau \eta \subset$ $\kappa \alpha] \tau \omega \theta \epsilon \tau\left[\tau \alpha \lambda_{\iota} \alpha c \delta_{\iota \epsilon} \xi_{\epsilon \iota}\right.$ cıv]. oı $\delta \epsilon \iota \chi$ [ov $\tau \rho \iota \kappa \kappa \eta \nu$

Fr. 39 (438C 5. 17)
$\phi[\eta<\iota \nu \epsilon \nu$ тоис сан $\beta$ оис $\tau \alpha c \alpha[\phi \rho o \delta \iota \tau \alpha c \eta \theta \epsilon o c \gamma \alpha \rho$ ov $\mu[\iota \alpha$ c. 7-I $\quad \kappa \alpha$ $\llbracket l]^{\prime} c^{\prime} \pi \nu\lceil\iota \eta \tau \iota \nu v \pi \epsilon \rho \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \epsilon$
 oт८ $\mu[o \nu \eta \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta \in \chi \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ $\tau \eta \nu \tau[\omega \nu v \omega \nu$ Өvcıav $\kappa \alpha!!\pi[o \lambda v \iota c \tau \omega \rho$ єı $\tau \iota$

Frr． $40+4$ I（438C 5．I7）

## $\phi \epsilon]$

$\rho \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota] \prod \alpha \rho[\alpha \tau \epsilon \alpha \tau \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha \kappa \alpha \iota \lambda \alpha$ $\rho \iota c \alpha] \nu \kappa \alpha[\iota$ тоис $\epsilon \nu \tau \eta \iota$ $\theta \epsilon \tau \tau \alpha] \lambda \iota \omega[\tau \iota \delta \iota \delta \epsilon \xi \alpha \mu \epsilon$ voc $\pi$ ］o $\tau \alpha \mu[$ оис $\pi \rho о є \iota \subset \iota ~$
$5 \delta \iota \alpha \tau] \omega\rangle \tau \epsilon[\mu \pi \omega \nu \epsilon \pi \iota \tau \alpha c \epsilon \kappa$ $\beta o \lambda a c \tau] \eta \nu \delta$ о८х $[\lambda \iota \alpha \nu \pi о$ $\left.\lambda_{\iota \nu} \epsilon v \rho v\right]_{\tau \circ}$ ои $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \circ \mu[\epsilon \nu \eta \nu$ $\epsilon \nu \tau \epsilon \tau о$ ］ıc тотоוс［то⿱亠䒑oוc $\iota \tau \tau о \rho о v c] \iota[\nu] \kappa \alpha \iota \epsilon \nu \in[v \beta \circ \iota$ $\alpha \iota \kappa \alpha \iota \epsilon] \nu \alpha \rho \kappa \alpha \delta \iota \alpha!\mu \epsilon \tau о$ vo $\mu \alpha \zeta]$ ovcıv $\alpha \lambda \lambda \omega c \omega c$ $\epsilon \nu$ тои $\pi] \epsilon \epsilon$ ото $[\nu \nu] \eta \subset \iota a$ ко८с єє $\eta \tau \alpha \iota \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \delta] \epsilon \tau 0 \cup$ $[\tau \omega \nu$

Fr． 43 （438C 5．18）
$\epsilon \subset \pi \eta \lambda \eta \alpha \alpha]$ уакта．［ $\epsilon \kappa \tau \iota c \theta \alpha \iota$


Fr． 45 （44IC 5．20）
$\tau \iota \tau \alpha \rho] \eta \subset \iota \circ[v \lambda \iota \pi \alpha \rho \circ v \in \kappa$ $\tau \iota v o c] ~ v \lambda \eta$［c $\omega \subset \tau$ ov $с v \mu$ $\mu \iota \tau \gamma \epsilon \tau] \alpha \iota \cdot a \lambda[\lambda \alpha \tau \epsilon \mu \iota \nu$

Fr． 42 （438C 5．17） cıv $\tau \alpha v \tau \alpha] \mu \in \nu \delta \eta \tau \alpha[\chi \omega \rho \iota \alpha$ тоル $\alpha<\kappa \lambda] \eta \pi!\alpha[\delta \alpha \iota c$ vтє $[\tau \alpha \xi \epsilon \nu$

Fr． 44 （440C 5．I 9）
$\pi \epsilon \rho \rho \alpha \iota \beta \iota \kappa] \alpha \iota \pi o ̣ \lambda[\epsilon \iota \subset$ $\kappa \alpha \iota$ јоvvoc $\eta \delta] \eta \lambda \omega \nu[\eta$ $\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \beta a \lambda \epsilon \tau]$ ouvo $\mu[\alpha$ $\lambda \epsilon \iota \mu \omega \nu \eta \mu \epsilon \tau о]$ чо $\mu \alpha<\subset[\theta \epsilon \iota$
5 с $\alpha \kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon \subset \kappa \alpha \pi] \tau \alpha!\delta \epsilon \varphi[\nu \nu$

Frr．46－8（443 C 5．22）

$$
\kappa \alpha с \theta \alpha \nu \alpha \iota]
$$

aс к $\omega[\mu \eta]$ ¢ $v \pi о ~ \tau \omega \iota \pi \eta$
$\lambda_{\iota} \iota \omega \iota \kappa[\epsilon \iota] \mu \epsilon \nu \eta \subset \alpha \iota \gamma \iota \alpha$

(442C5.21 (?): see fr. 56)
(442 C 5. 22 (?): see fr. 57)

Fr. 49 (443 C 5. 22)
$\tau o v \mu a v \tau \epsilon \omega c] \tau o[v \tau \epsilon \iota$
$\rho \epsilon \operatorname{cov} a \lambda \lambda a] \pi$ о $\tau[$ ov $\lambda \alpha$
 тос тouc apyo] yau[ $\tau$ аис $a \lambda$
5 गос $\delta$ єст८ $\mu$ o] $\psi$ o؟ $[a \phi$ ov $\eta$
<то入o]؟ $\nu \alpha \nu \lambda о \chi \omega\left[\begin{array}{ll}\nu & \alpha\end{array}\right] \pi!$ $\lambda \iota \omega \tau 0 v_{.} \pi o \lambda \lambda o v[\pi \nu \epsilon v]>$ cavто̣ o $\mu \in \nu \in \cup[\theta \nu c] a \cup$ $\tau 0 v \pi \rho \circ c \tau 0] \xi ฺ \eta \rho \circ[\nu \epsilon] \xi ฺ \omega$ $\kappa \in \iota \lambda \epsilon \kappa \alpha \iota \delta \iota] \epsilon \lambda \nu \theta \eta[\pi \alpha] \rho \alpha$
 $\pi o \nu \tau \rho \alpha \chi \nu \nu] \tau \omega \nu \pi \epsilon \rho[\iota \tau o$
$\pi \eta \lambda \iota o \nu \pi a] \rho \epsilon \nu \epsilon \chi \theta \epsilon \iota c[o \delta \epsilon$ $\left.\epsilon \iota c \mu \epsilon \lambda \_\beta o \iota\right] a \nu^{*}$ o $\delta^{\prime} \epsilon^{\prime} \epsilon \iota c \tau \eta[\nu$


Frr. 50-2 (444C 5. 23)
$\alpha[\iota] \mu[$ ovıav $\lambda \epsilon \chi] \theta \eta[\nu \alpha \iota$
$\mu \epsilon \tau \omega \nu[$ o $\mu a c]$ Өaı $\delta[\epsilon$ $\tau \eta \nu \mu \epsilon \nu[\epsilon \lambda \lambda \alpha] \delta \alpha a \pi \rho$ $\epsilon \lambda \lambda \eta \nu \circ \varsigma[\tau \tau 0 \nu \delta] є ข \kappa \alpha \lambda \iota \omega$
5 ソос $\tau \eta \nu \delta[\epsilon \theta \epsilon \tau] \tau a \lambda!a \nu$ a] To Tou $u[$ lov alfovoc

## Possible identifications

## Fr. 53 ( 400 C 2.2 ?)

${ }^{\text {} \tau \alpha]}$
$\left.\lambda_{l a c} \kappa \alpha \iota\right]$ сıк $\left[\epsilon \lambda_{\imath} \alpha<\kappa \alpha \iota\right.$
 $\tau \omega \nu \pi \rho o]_{¢}[\epsilon \nu \beta \circ \iota \alpha \nu \mu \epsilon \rho \omega \nu$

Fr. 54 (435 C 5. 14 ?)

$$
v \pi \epsilon \rho \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu]
$$

$\tau \alpha \iota \delta \epsilon \pi v \rho a c o v \mu \epsilon \nu] \alpha \iota$ $\theta \eta \beta a \iota \tau \omega \nu \quad \theta \eta \beta \omega \nu \delta \epsilon \epsilon]$ ข $\tau \eta \iota \mu \in<о \gamma а \iota a \iota$ то крокьо] $\nu$ $\pi \epsilon \delta \iota \circ \nu \pi \rho о с \tau \omega \iota \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha] \lambda \eta$ үovтı $\tau \eta c$ o $0 \rho v o c \delta \iota o u]$ o

Fr. 70 (437C 5. 16 ?)
$\underset{\sim}{a}[v \tau \eta c \tau \eta \subset \mu \alpha \gamma \nu \eta \tau \iota \delta o c \tau \alpha$ $\pi![\lambda \lambda a \mu \epsilon \rho \eta \mu а \kappa \epsilon \delta o \nu \iota$ $\alpha$ [ $[\epsilon \pi о \iota \eta с \epsilon к \alpha \iota ~ \tau \eta с \theta \rho a \iota$ $\kappa \eta[с к \alpha \iota \tau \eta \subset \quad a \lambda \lambda \eta c \tau \eta \subset$

Fr. 56 (442C 5. 21 ?)
$\gamma v \rho \tau \omega v a \in \chi o] v[\tau \in c$ ove $\eta$ $\left.\delta \eta \kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon \lambda_{\epsilon} \xi \epsilon\right] \kappa \alpha[\iota \tau \circ \circ \rho$
 [ouc

Fr. 55 (438C 5. 17 ? )
$\tau]$ ov $\frac{0}{}[\omega \nu$ єıvaı каı $\tau \eta \nu$
$\epsilon \nu] \tau \eta[\iota \mu \eta \tau \rho \circ \pi о \lambda \epsilon \iota$

Fr. 57 (442C 5. 22 ?)
oı] $\mu \in \varphi$ [ovv aıvıavec oı $\pi \lambda_{\epsilon \iota}$ $o v]$ с єル< $\tau \eta[\nu$ oぃ $\tau \eta \nu \epsilon \xi \eta \lambda a \theta \eta$ [cav

Unplaced frr.

Fr. 58

## ] $\eta$ [

] $\ddot{[ }$

Frr. 60 and 61
] $\underset{\sim}{ } \nu[$
]. a.[
] $\nu$. [
]. $\rho v[.] \psi[$
5 ]. .[

Fr. 63
$] \eta \nu[$
].ac. $[$

Fr. 59

$$
] \in \nu .[
$$

$$
]_{p l}
$$

Fr. 62
see fr. 22

Fr. 64
]. [


Fr． 65

> ].[
> ]a $\omega$
> ]aк $\omega[$

Fr． 67

$$
\begin{aligned}
& ] . c o[ \\
& ] \epsilon!\varphi .[
\end{aligned}
$$

Fr． 68
see fr． 19 ii

Fr． 70
see Possible identifications

Fr． 72
］．
］оукад
］тocctọa
］$\tau \alpha$
］．ко入．
${ }_{5}$ ］．$>$

Fr． 73
Fr． 74
］$\delta \in .[$
］．$\alpha \nu \kappa บ[$
］o．［
］．$\eta c$
］ạı $>$
フィ
$\tau \epsilon[$
$\pi \rho[$
．．

Fr． 71
Fr
！．

Fr. 75
. $\mathrm{n} . \mathrm{t}$
] $\delta \eta[$
]. єтo[
] $\tau$

Fr. 77
].[
] $\pi \epsilon$. [
]o!

Fr. 76
]. $\omega \nu \nu_{[ }[$
] $\varphi \tau \lambda]$

Fr. 78

$$
\begin{array}{cc} 
& ] . a[ \\
& ] . \nu[ \\
& ] a \iota .[ \\
& ] \nu \in[ \\
5 & ] . \mu[
\end{array}
$$

Fr. 80

Fr. 82
]. $\xi \in \oplus$ ]. $\eta \nu[$

Fr. 84
]. ¢. [
]cuq[
]. . [

Fr. 85
]. $\nu \tau$. .
] $\tau$. [
]! $\pi \epsilon[$
Fr. 86
].[
] $\eta \nu[$
] $\nu \tau[$
]. .
5 ]єє. [
]. ${ }^{\text {. } .[~}$

Fr. 88
]. $\tau$. [
] の $^{\prime}$ ' $^{\prime} \tau$
].[

Fr. 89
Fr. 90
]. $x \in!\subseteq[$
] $ب \ddot{u} \pi a[$
]. $v .[$
$] \psi \omega[$
$] \eta \kappa[$

Fr. 91


$$
\begin{aligned}
& ] \ldots . \\
& ] y \tau o[ \\
& ] \ddot{\theta} \rho a ̣[
\end{aligned}
$$

Fr. I (395C I. 14)


4 єimav Pap.; єimov MSS. The forms in - a are common in documentary papyri, see Mayser I $2^{2}$, p. 135 , so that this is unlikely to be a significant variant.
$\lambda\left[\eta \dot{\eta} \mu \eta \nu: \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \mu \eta \nu\right.$ corr. Cor.; $\lambda_{\iota \mu}$ ' $_{v} \nu$ MSS. The spacing in Pap. would allow either.
5 Пєıраíєшс Pap., Bk(??); Пєєраєйс cett.
6 'Aтaגávтך Pap., codd. plerique; à $\tau$ áv $\eta \eta$ hc.

Fr. 2 ( 396 C I. 15 ) ; 5.8 cm . of top margin intact, c. 45 lines lost between end of fr. I and this fr. 2 Trace (right-hand end of low horizontal) in margin; too close to belong to previous column.
4-5 Right-hand end of paragraphus just visible.
7 What I have doubtfully taken as a stop (by ist hand) has the shape of a small rough-breathing; if it was meant as a breathing, it must be a mistake.
$9 \alpha_{R \chi}[\alpha L]$. : before c corner of a letter with serif, possibly a although an extreme form of o cannot be excluded. ápxaîoc MSS.

12 'Iктєivoc Pap.; 'Iктivoc MSS.: v. supra, fr. i. 2 n.
Fr. 3 ( 406 C 2.17 ) ; 3.4 cm . of top margin intact.
3 Traces only.
Fr. 4 ( 406 C 2.18 ) ; c. 22 lines lost between end of fr. 3 and this fr.
 o fits traces better than either $\pi$ or $\tau$, though $\dot{\epsilon}] \pi \hat{f}[$ or $] \tau \hat{\eta}[\iota$ would both fit line lengths better than $B]_{Q \&}[\omega \tau \iota \alpha \kappa \dot{\eta} \text {. A possibility would be to read Bow }]_{\tau \ell}[\kappa \dot{\eta}$ with BIg.

5 Filler mark by ist hand.
каí Pap., codd. plerique; om. cgh.
Fr. 5 (408C 2. 20) ; 3.8 cm . of top margin intact.
Frr. $6+7(409 \mathrm{C} 2.24) ; 2.3 \mathrm{~cm}$. of top margin intact.
$4 \pi \lambda \epsilon i ́ o u c ~ P a p ., ~ c o d d . ~ p l e r i q u e ; ~ \pi \lambda є i o v a c ~ g n o . ~$
Frr. 8-II (4IOC 2. 25)
7 First letter very closed for c; a mark above it perhaps a correction by ist hand.

II-Iz[ $\tau \eta \nu \mid$.]. $q \nu: \tau \dot{\eta} \nu \theta^{\prime} \notin \nu$ MSS.; $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \Theta_{\epsilon c \pi \epsilon i a v ~ c o n j . ~ C o r . ; ~ a ~ t i n y ~ t r a c e ~ o n l y ~ b e f o r e ~} a$, which would suit epsilon and iota equally well. Probably $\theta]_{\epsilon q \varphi}$, as MSS.; possibly $\left.\Theta \epsilon c \mid \pi\right]_{\ell}$, (but this makes in rather long) ; not $\left.\Theta_{\epsilon C} \mid \pi \epsilon\right]_{\& q \varphi}$ (excluded by the spacing in 12 ).

Fr. 13 (41IC 2.30-1)
4 Paragraphus by ist hand.
Fr. 14 col. i (413 $\mathrm{C} 2.34-5$ ) ; 7.0 cm . of bottom margin intact below col. i; an intercolumnar margin of $2 \cdot 0-2 \cdot 3 \mathrm{~cm}$.

5 Final $v$ cancelled by 2 nd hand with a diagonal stroke and a suprascript dot.
 ghi.

8 Middle stop by 1 st hand.
9 High stop by 2nd hand; the high stop is also used to mark the start of a quotation in fr .37 .3.
9-10 oĭ $\tau \epsilon \pi$ тоגvctá|[фudov Pap., codd. Homerici omnes (ad B507); oí $\delta \epsilon \in$ MSS.; the reading of Pap., supported as it is by the Homeric MSS., is clearly to be preferred.
io High stop by and hand.
 Homer's line unnecessarily, possibly by reference to a separate text of the Iliad. The reading of Pap. and the other MSS. is to be preferred.

12 Spacing does not determine whether Pap. read $\dot{v} \phi$ ' 'Hcoó $\delta o v$ with $\Pi$ in the first part of the line or $\dot{u} \pi \grave{o}$ 'Hcıó $\delta o u$ with cett. $\pi \epsilon \mathrm{p}^{\prime}$ ' Pap., codd. plerique; om. I.

13 Final $\nu$ cancelled (with stroke and suprascript dot) by 2 nd hand; filler mark by ist hand. $\lambda \in \chi \theta$ eict Pap., codd. plerique; $\lambda_{\epsilon} \neq \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota 1 ; \lambda \epsilon \chi \theta \epsilon i v a \iota$ B ex corr. (?); єipqúєvouc $\Pi$ : the reading of the majority of MSS., supported now by Pap., should be retained.

14 Filler mark by ist hand.
16 Middle stop by and hand.
20 oưס' Pap.; oưt MSS.; oúס́́ corr. Meineke; Meineke's necessary correction is confirmed.
 $\Pi$ : the word order of Pap. and the majority of MSS. is probably to be preferred over that of $\Pi$.
 $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \mu i \alpha$, and in this instance the reading of Pap. and $\Pi$ should probably be adopted.
 probably to be preferred.

23 тoíc Pap., codd. plerique; om. BI.
$27 \nu$ cancelled by ist hand, in the course of making an incorrect word division.
27-8 т'є́ктоvoc Pap., codd. plerique; My̆ovoc $\Pi$, Du Theil: see Allen's apparatus to $E 43$.
30 Final $v$ cancelled by 2 nd hand with a stroke and a suprascript dot.
3I Perhaps a longer word than $\mu \epsilon{ }^{\prime} \nu$ at start of line; three letters seem too few to fill out the line.
$\pi а р а к є \iota \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$ Pap.; $\pi \epsilon р \iota \kappa \epsilon \mu \epsilon{ }^{\prime} \nu \omega \nu$ MSS.: it is difficult to decide which verb describes more correctly the position of the three villages mentioned by Strabo. Both Arne and Tilphossion lay on the lake of Copais, though the exact position of Arne remains uncertain (Geiger, RE xi. 2 1346-60, s.v. 'Kopais', with map), but Alalkomenai lay at the foot of Mt. Helicon (O. Hirschfeld, RE i. I 1275-6) and could not be termed $\pi \epsilon р к \kappa \epsilon i \mu \varepsilon$ oc in the same sense as the other villages. The reading of Pap. may therefore be preferable to that of the MSS.

Frr. 14 col. ii +15 col . i ( $413 \mathrm{C} 2.35-6$ ); 1.0 cm . of top margin intact, 6.5 cm . of bottom margin; intercolumnar margin is 2.0 cm .

2 Dot of ink between $\iota$ and $\tau$ of кai тó may be accidental, or possibly a middle stop by 2 nd hand, to prevent misreading of these letters as $\pi$.
${ }^{2-3}$ Ti入фи́ccıov Pap., codd. plerique; Ti入фúccaıov $\Pi$; Ticфúcıov Ach: the spelling of this name varies a great deal from one author to another: F. Schober, $R E$ vi a i 1044-5. The reading of the majority of MSS., supported now by Pap., should probably be followed.

3-4 Xaıри́vєıa Pap., codd. plerique; Xaıри́vıa П; Хєри́vєıa Achi.
$4 \Lambda \epsilon \beta a ́ \delta \epsilon \iota a$ Pap., codd. plerique; $\Lambda \epsilon \beta a ́ \delta a \iota a ~ \Pi$. A diagonal stroke in margin to the left of this line, or to the right of the corresponding line in col. i , in same ink as ist hand, possibly a check mark.

5 Accent and breathing by 2nd hand.
6 Paragraphus and middle stop by ist hand.
6-7 'A $\lambda a \lambda \kappa о \mu \epsilon \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$ Pap., codd. plerique; ' $А \lambda \lambda a \lambda \kappa о \mu \epsilon \nu \omega ̂ \nu ~ П . ~$
$7 \mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu \tau$ тoívv Pap.; $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu$ om. MSS.
8 Middle stop by ist hand (?).

I I Apparently a space was left blank between the end of the quotation and the resumption of the text; cf. fr. 14. 28 where a space is left to show the beginning of a new verse.

12 Diaeresis by 2nd hand．
$14 \theta \epsilon o ́ v$ Pap．，codd．plerique；$\theta \epsilon$ є́v $\Pi$ ．
15 є́v $\theta a ́ \delta \epsilon$ Pap．，MSS．；є́vтaû $\theta a$ conj．edd．ante Kruger．
19 o cancelled by ist hand with stroke and suprascript dot．
21 Paragraphus by 2nd hand（？）．
Frr． 15 col．ii $+16-18+19$ col．i $\left(413^{-414}\right.$ C $\left.2.36-8\right) ; 6$ lines missing at top；intercolumnar margin is $2.0-2.3 \mathrm{~cm}$ ．

I Trace only at beginning，possibly $\kappa$ of каí or $v$ of $\kappa \rho \dot{\eta} \nu \eta$ ．
4 Trace only of paragraphus，by ist hand（？）．
$8 \tau \epsilon \kappa$ каí Pap．，codd．plerique；тє om．$\Pi$ ．
${ }_{11}$ Paragraphus by ist hand．
12 ff．11－12 lines lost between frr． 15 and 19.
Fr． 19 col．ii +68 （ 414 C 2.3940 ）；c． 12 lines missing between end of previous fragment and beginning of this；fr． 68 provides 21$] \omega \varphi[, 22] \eta c a[$ ，and 2.5 cm ．of lower margin（its placing here could be doubted，since it does not join exactly，and its letters could be found in the same relative positions at 20 other places in Book IX，according to the computer search；but the verso fibres match well，and rough calculation from the end of frr． $14 \mathrm{ii}+15$ i shows that a column－foot is expected hereabouts）．

3 Middle stop by ist hand（？）．

5－6 cvvє́ $\mu \epsilon \epsilon \nu \epsilon$ Pap．，codd．plerique； $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{\mu} \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \Pi$ ．
13 Paragraphus by znd hand．
15 Circumflex by 2nd hand，to distinguish $\tau 0 \hat{v} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ from $\tau 0 u ́ \tau \omega \nu$ ．
17－18 Во七七тьакои̂ Pap．，codd．plerique；Во七штькой Bl．
18 Paragraphus by 2nd hand．

Fr． 20 （ 414 C 2.40 ）；c． 16 lines missing between fr． 19 and fr． 20.
2 Paragraphus by 2nd hand（？）．
Fr． 21 （422C 3．11）
I Final $\nu$ cancelled by 2 nd hand with an oblique stroke and a suprascript dot．
2 Filler mark by ist hand．
3 сшرатоє $\delta \hat{\eta}$ Pap．，codd．plerique；сшцатоєє $\delta \hat{\omega} c$ a（in sched．aggl．）cghino．
Frr． $22+62+99+100(422 \mathrm{C} 3.12)$ ；c． 14 lines missing between end of fr． 21 and this fr ．
 MSS．In I $\pi \epsilon]_{\mu \pi} \pi[0]_{\nu \in\{ }[$ might be read，though the end is a little cramped；then space allows $[\gamma \in \nu 0 \mid \mu \in \nu 0]$ ， $\delta \epsilon к а т а$［Палотєас｜ката］גисая；after that，it seems，matter not in the MSS．（presumably including the name of Tityos）．These uncertainties might be avoided by finding anotherplacing for fr．roo．But the join，though small（in 6 ，where fr． 22 provides the right－hand extremityof the base of $\delta$ and the lower part of the vertical of $\rho$ ），is physically quite plausible，and almost guaranteed by the placing of fr． 62 ， which provides most of 5 （ $\tau 0$ ）$\nu \tau$ and 6 aк and so fills the gap between fr． 100 and fr．22．Equally，the join between fr．ioo and fr． 99 looks very likely．

Frr．26－8（ 427 C 4.10 ）$; 2.5 \mathrm{~cm}$ ．of left－hand margin intact．
2 Middle stop by ist hand．
5 Middle stop by ist hand；＂Y ${ }^{7} \lambda \frac{1}{}$ Pap．，codd．plerique ；ü $\lambda o v$ Bk．

io A small angular superscript mark before initial $\pi$ ，by ist hand；perhaps an $a$ ；a vertical line through the following $o$ ，also by ist hand，may be corr．of $\iota$ to $o$ ；i．e．$\epsilon] \mid \pi \iota$ yovoc was altered to $\llbracket \epsilon \rrbracket] \mid$＇$q$＇$\pi \llbracket \iota \rrbracket_{\text {oyovot }}$ ？

12 Diaeresis by ist hand．
$14 \epsilon$ corr．（from $\kappa$ ？）by ist hand．
14－15 $\dot{a} \xi \iota \dot{\omega} \mu a c \iota[\nu$ Pap．： $\mathfrak{a} \xi \iota \omega \dot{\omega} \mu a \tau \iota$ MSS．；the papyrus is in error．

Fr. 29 (428C 4. І 2 - 13 ) ; c. 46 lines missing between end of frr. $26-8$ and this fr .
2 Paragraphus by ist hand.
 at the end of 4 ; and the first letter of 5 , though broken, is certainly delta. Perhaps (4) $\check{\epsilon} \subset \tau]$ (5) $\phi[\epsilon$ каи $\theta \in \rho \mu a \pi \lambda \eta c o o v$ ].

Fr. 30 ( 429 C 5.1 )




3 Final nu cancelled by and hand with an oblique stroke and suprascript dot.
4 Middle stop by and hand (?).
Frr. $3 \mathrm{I}+32(435-436 \mathrm{C} 5 \cdot 14-15) ; 0.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. of top margin intact (fr. 31 ) $; 0.8 \mathrm{~cm}$. of left hand margin intact (fr. 32).
 The reading of Bkino is not completely excluded by line length.


 we restore the MSS. text (as corrected by Tzschucke) here, line 9 will be too long and line io too short. One possible arrangement would be as follows:
$\pi a]_{\rho \alpha \lambda_{\iota}}$
$\alpha \nu \eta \pi \epsilon \rho \eta \delta \eta \mu \alpha \gamma] \nu \eta \tau \omega \nu$
$\epsilon \subset \tau \iota \kappa \alpha \iota \tau \eta \subset \pi \epsilon \lambda] \alpha \gamma \iota$

Fr. 33 ( 436 C 5. 15) : c. 9 lines ( 160 letters) missing between end of fr. 32 and this fragment.
Frr. $34-36(436-437$ C 5.16$): 1.8 \mathrm{~cm}$. of top margin intact on fr. 34 .
Fr. 37 (437C 5. 17)
1-2 [ $\mu \epsilon ́ \chi \rho \iota \tau \bar{\eta} \subset] \kappa \alpha ́ \tau \omega \Theta \epsilon \tau \tau a \lambda i ́ a c ~ M S S$. (om. bkno), the lacuna supplied by Corais. The spacing in Pap. suits this.

3 High stop by 2nd hand. The high stop is similarly used to mark the beginning of a quotation at fr. 14 i 9.

Fr. 38 (437C 5. 17). There are only 7 or 8 lines missing between the end of fr. 37 and the beginning of fr. 38 .
$6{ }^{\circ} E c \tau[[a i \omega \nu$ Pap.: 'Ictaaíwv (-até $\omega \nu$ BE) MSS.
9 к[a]i тaúrท[ข каi Pap: каi [c. 8] MSS., aüтク̀̀ каí suppl. Du Theii.
 Du Theil. The space in Pap. would accommodate this text, but the actual distribution is not certain, since the reading of the initial traces in 11 and 12 is by no means secure.

Fr. 39 ( 438 C 5. 17); c. 27 lines missing between the end of fr. 38 and the beginning of fr. 39.
 $\dot{u} \pi \epsilon \rho \beta \alpha \dot{\lambda} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \subset \theta a \iota \pi \alpha ́ c a c \tau \hat{\omega} \phi \rho о \nu \epsilon i \nu$ MSS. The papyrus has space for another $7^{-1}$ I letters after $\mu i a$; otherwise the surviving letters are consistent with the MSS. text. Strabo is quoting Callimachus (fr. 200a Pf), the first line word for word ( $\tau \dot{a}{ }^{\prime}$ ' $A \phi \rho o \delta i \tau a c$ - $\mu i \alpha$ ), the rest (according to the usual assumption) in a paraphrase which takes over some of the wording of the original. The scribe might have left a short blank after the first line, as he does after the first hexameter at fr. I 4 i 28 , but hardly a blank of this length (in general, he treats quotations as a continuous part of the text, and leaves it to the second hand to separate them off by high and middle stops). We may therefore assume that what is missing in line 3 is a word or words which do not occur in the MSS. It is difficult to determine whether the missing word(s) formed part of the Callimachean quotation, or of Strabo's paraphrase, or whether their existence is due simply to an erroneous repetition by the scribe. The latter explanation may be the most probable (the scribe is in error at the beginning of line 4 , and the repetition of the words ou $\mu i a v$ ' $A \phi p o \delta i \tau \eta \nu$ and каi $\pi \lambda \epsilon^{\prime} \dot{\prime} u_{c}$ within
the space of a few lines makes the probability of error by homoeography very high); but the other possibility cannot be dismissed completely, and any future reconstruction of Callimachus' poem from Strabo's text will have to take account of this additional hazard.

4 lota cancelled and superscript sigma added by 2 nd hand. Kac $\pi \nu \iota \hat{\eta} \tau \iota \nu$ Pap.: Kaçvı̂̂rıv MSS. The pi, as well as the iota, may have been caused by the scribe's eye reverting to кai $\pi \lambda \epsilon i$ iove just above or just below. The probability of error is high with an unusual word like this and there is no reason to believe that Pap. has a genuine variant spelling. All authorities for the name and its cognates agree in spelling it with a tau: Diegesis ix. 1 (Callimachus, fr. 200 a Pfeiffer); Lycophron, Alexandra 403 (and scholl. ad. loc.); 1234 ; Steph. Byz., s.v. Kácrvlov.

8 каi тодขícтшן Pap.: каi $\mu \dot{\eta} \nu \pi$. MSS. Line 7 , as it stands, is rather short (15 letters); the scribe may well have had $\dot{o} \delta \grave{\epsilon} \kappa \alpha i \pi$. or каі $\delta \grave{\eta} \kappa \alpha i \pi$.

Frr. $40+41$ ( 438 C 5. 17) ; c. 24 lines missing between the end of fr. 39 and the beginning of these fragments.

5 Nu and tau both crossed with a horizontal stroke; probably a lapsus pennae rather than a correction.
9 Final nu cancelled (with oblique stroke and suprascript dot) by 2nd hand.

 of $\Pi$ must be right, as the cross-reference to VIl1 3.6 shows (only the Arcadian Oechalia has another name) ; the version of the other MSS. represents a simple parablepsy; that of Pap. is still worse (sense requires at the least the каí before $\mu \epsilon \tau о v о \mu a ́ \zeta o v(1)$.
 Corais. The spacing does not allow adjec $\omega<\mid[\kappa \alpha \iota \epsilon \nu$ rouc $\kappa \tau \lambda$.

Fr. 42 ( 438 C 5. 17) ; 1.0 cm . of top margin intact ; c. 5 lines missing at foot of previous column, between end of frr. 40 and 41 and beginning of this fragment.
$1 \mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu \delta \dot{\eta}$ Pap., codd. plerique: $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu$ ổv $\Pi$.
Fr. 43 ( 438 C 5.18 ); 3.0 cm . of top margin intact.
1 High stop by ist hand (?).
Fr. 45 (441 C 5. 20)
3 Middle stop by 2 nd hand.
Frr. 46-8 (443C 5. 22)
5 Filler mark by ist hand.
9. Diaeresis by 1 st hand. ï $\pi \nu[$ Pap.: 'I $\pi \nu o \hat{v} \nu$ codd. pler. ('I $\pi \nu o u ̂ \nu \tau a$ B e corr., 'I $\pi \nu o \hat{v} \nu \tau a \mathrm{c}(?) \mathrm{kno}$ ), 'Imvoúc coni. Kramer, Meineke (ex Herod. vii 188): ' $Y_{\pi \nu o i ̂ v ~}^{\operatorname{lm}}$ (' $Y_{\pi \nu o u v v a ~ a l d .) . ~}^{\text {. }}$

Io $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \epsilon \rho i$ Pap., codd. plerique: $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ om. BEkl ( $\pi a \rho a ́$ E). $\tau o ́$ om. BEkl: the spacing suggests (but does not prove) that it was present in Pap.

12 Damaged stop rather than rough breathing.
Fr. 49 ( 443 C 5.22 ); c. 31 lines lost between the end of fr. 48 and the beginning of this fragment. I $\mu a \nu \tau \epsilon \omega c$ ] $\tau \varphi$ [u Pap., as MSS.: Mavroûc $\tau \hat{\eta} c$ coni. Kuhn, rightly.
$5] \psi$ corr. from a rounded letter?
Mó $\psi о$ о Pap., codd. plerique: Mó $\psi о \pi о$ о no, Epit.
Frr. 50-2 (444 C 5. 23); c. 15 lines missing between fr. 49 and these frr.
1 Paragraphus by ist hand.
5 Middle stop by 2 nd hand (?).
6 ámò tov̂ viov̂ Pap., codd. plerique: rov̂ om. cgh.

## Possible identifications

$$
\text { Fr. } 53(400 \mathrm{C} 2.2 \text { ?) }
$$

I Kappa, trace only of upright.

2 Delta, right-hand corner only of a letter. Chi, upsilon might perhaps be read instead.
3 Sigma, top only of a rounded letter.
Fr. 54 (435C5. 14 ? ) : c. 30 lines missing between end of this fr. and fr. 34 ( 435 C 5.14 ) so that, if correctly placed, this fr . will have come from near the head of the preceding column.

3 This line, with 21 letters, is disproportionately long.
Fr. 70 (437C 5. 16?): c. 7 lines missing between bottom of fr. 36 and this fr.; line length is good throughout.

I Bottom only of letter; alpha rather than kappa or chi.
3 Nu , a slight trace of diagonal descending from the hasta.
4 Eta, an upright stroke only; iota is also possihle.
Fr. 57 ( 442 C 5.22 ?); line length is rather long, with 22 and 21 letters respectively.
I Nu , first upright only.
2 Eta, trace only of upright.

## Unplaced fragments

Fr. 58: 0.8 cm . of top margin intact.
2 Diaeresis by ıst hand. 394 C I. ıо, á $\mu \phi i \delta^{\prime}$ ' $\left.A\right] \theta[\eta \nu \alpha i \hat{o}, \mu \dot{\eta} c \tau \omega \rho \in c$ á $] \dot{v}[\tau \hat{\eta} c(I l .4 .328)$ may be possible, though line length is short (i6 letters).

Fr. 59:0.7 cm. blank at top, but partly stripped, so that it cannot be proved to be the top of a column.
i Epsilon broken but secure; after nu a slight trace only, perhaps of a middle stop or the end of a crossbar.

2 A small bowl only, suggesting rho rather than epsilon.
Frr. 60 and 6 : $1 \cdot 1 \mathrm{~cm}$. of top margin intact (fr. 60); join between frr. (between lines 2 and 3 ) is not entirely sure.

2 First letter part of a crossbar only, either gamma, pi or tau; after alpha, a hasta with serif at top and foot, possibly nu.

3 After nu, a serif only, a little below line; perhaps a rho or upsilon.
4 Corner of an upright followed by part of a bowl, perhaps of a rho; then a hasta with serif, perhaps nu, a lacuna, and a hasta with serif coming well below the line, either a phi or psi.

5 Traces of tops of letters only.
Fr. 63: 1.9 cm . of top margin intact.
i Second letter nu rather than alpha.
2 First letter, trace of upright; last, sigma rather than epsilon or omicron.
Fr. 64: 1.0 cm . of bottom margin intact.
I Trace only of bottom of rounded letter (?).
2 Last letter may be omicron rather than sigma, perhaps remains of rough breathing above epsilon, i.e. ن́ $\phi^{\prime}$ є́vóc.

Fr. 65: 0.6 cm . of bottom margin (?) intact.
I Trace only.
2 Pi possible instead of tau, as also iota or rho followed by gamma.
2-3 The computer found no suitable combination of these letters in Book IX or Book X.
Fr. 66:0.9 cm. of bottom margin intact. The computer located 9 positions in Book IX where these letters occur:









In none of these positions would fr. 66 be directly related to any of the other frr.
Fr. 67: 0.8 cm . of bottom margin intact.
i First letter, an upright; perhaps iota, or second hasta of nu.
2 Of epsilon only the right-hand part of the cross-bar and lower curve, but epsilon iota better than eta. End: upright, or left side of round letter.

Fr. 69: 0.7 cm . of left hand margin intact.
2 Pi might possibly be read as iota tau, although right extremity of crossbar is very short.
3 Traces only, the first of a rounded letter, on a separate scrap doubtfully joined here.
Fr. $71: 0.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. of right-hand margin intact.
r Before eta the trace of a crossbar; gamma, pi or tau possible.
2 First letter possibly alpha, although delta, kappa or lambda are also possibilities; filler mark by ist hand.

3 First letter, trace of a crossbar only.
4 First letter, a trace of a horizontal fairly high up; sigma or tau would be possible; last, lambda rather than mu or nu.

5 Trace only; filler mark by ist hand.
Fr. 72: 04 cm . of right-hand margin intact; a computer search for the sequence ovка入 (6-I 6 letters) тосстоа produced nothing.

I Trace only of a horizontal stroke.
2 First letter possibly pi rather than tau; penultimate possibly tau epsilon (or even pi) rather than tau omicron.

Fr. 73
I Trace only of delta; trace only after epsilon.
2 After kappa, top corner of alpha, lambda, or upsilon.
3 Iota or nu after omicron.
Fr. 74: a computer search for the sequence $\delta 0(8-18)$ ouv ( $8-18$ ) $\tau 0 \pi(8-18)$ ouc produced nothing. 2 Nu rather than eta.
3 First letter, pi also possible; last, tau followed by part of epsilon also possible.
4 Trace only of omicron; last, delta rather than alpha.
Fr. 75
i Second letter, part of an upright.
3 First letter, a horizontal stroke, perhaps part of crossbar of gamma, pi or tau; last, corner only of rounded letter.

4 Letter broken but fairly secure; gamma or pi not excluded?
Fr. 76
I First letter, part of a slightly curving horizontal stroke, high up; tau is a possibility; last, part of an upright, possibly iota.

2 Last letter, lambda rather than delta or alpha, although these letters cannot be excluded.
Fr. 77
2 Trace of part of an upright after epsilon.
3 Tops of letters only.

Fr. 78
I First letter, perhaps part of an upright, alternatively the edge of a kappa.
2 Small trace only before nu, at top, perhaps corner of sigma or epsilon.
3 Small trace after iota, on line, corner of alpha, delta, lambda, mu, or rho.
Fr. 79
2 Last letter, part of an upright; nu rather than iota.
4 Top of letter only; alpha, delta or lambda?
Fr. 8o
1 After alpha, bottoms of letters only.
2 First letter, trace only, although tau might be read instead of this trace followed by sigma; superscript omicron in 2nd hand (?); a stroke or mark by 1 st hand between eta and tau may be a middle stop or an iota added between the letters.

Fr. 8I
1 Pi possible instead of tau in both cases.
Fr. 82
I First, bottom corner of a rounded letter.
2 Trace only before eta, perhaps a diagonal; last, part of a rounded letter.
Fr. 83
I Epsilon also possible instead of sigma; after it, the corner of a diagonal stroke.
Fr. 84
2 After iota, a trace of ink that might be a middle stop, followed by part of a rounded letter.
3 Upright and serif only of rho; iota cannot be completely excluded.
Fr. $8_{5}$
I First letter, right side of o or $\omega$; end, left side of $o$ or $\omega$.
2 After omicron, part of a horizontal stroke, possibly pi or tau.
Fr. 86
4 Part of a crossbar, followed by top of an upright stroke.
5 Last letter, the upper corner of a diagonal stroke, possibly chi or upsilon.
6 Tops of letters only.
Fr. 87
3 Corner of nu, or top of iota, eta, kappa, phi or psi.
Fr. 88
2 Superscript iota by ist hand.
Fr. 89
2 Diaeresis by ist hand.
Fr. 90: large lettering, as 88, although there is no join between the two pieces.
Fr. 91
I End, seriffed foot of upright.
2 Tops of letters only; neither is sure.
Fr. 92
3 After sigma, the corner of a diagonal, perhaps alpha.
Fr. 93
I Alpha likely but not completely secure.
2 First letter, part of upright; eta or nu also possible; last, part of upright.

Fr. 94
2 Trace only before tau; part of an upright letter.
Fr. 95
2 Omicron better than omega.
Fr. 96
2 Second letter may be eta rather than nu.
Fr. 97
2 Second letter, part of an upright with trace of a small horizontal stroke across it; possibly another eta.

3 Tops of letters only; alpha not certain; first and last, parts of upright strokes.
Fr. 98
1 Bottoms of letters only; first, trace only; then the feet of three upright letters, the first two with serifs.

2 Dotted letters badly rubbed; ] ${ }^{2}$ krov [ perhaps possible.
3 First letter, part of a crossbar ligatured to epsilon, possibly from a gamma or tau, after pi, tops of letters only.

Fr. 101
${ }_{1}$ After nu, traces of an upright; eta or iota possible.
Fr. 102: the letters on this piece are drawn much more heavily than any elsewhere; it may be that this fr. does not come from this text.
i Bottoms of letters only; middle letter, part of an upright.
3 Theta preferable to epsilon.
Fr. 103
${ }_{1}$ First, right side of omicron or omega?

## 3448. Thucydidesi 40

364 B.99/G (1-4)b
$2.5 \times 10.5 \mathrm{~cm}$.
Second/third century
A fragment from the lower part of a column, with 3 cm . of margin intact at the foot. The back is blank. The hand is an example of a common angular type ('Severe Style'), of medium size and inclining slightly to the right. Comparable are XIII 1608 (Aeschines Socraticus), dated to the later second century by Grenfell and Hunt; and XIX 2208 (Callimachus), dated to the third century by Lobel. No lectional signs are visible, except a high stop in 6 . This stop, and the interlinear corrections, are probably the work of a second hand.

There is the possibility, as E. G. Turner points out, that the main hand of 3448 is the same as that of PSI XI 1195, which contains Thuc. i 71-3. The general similarity is clear; and the differences in a few particular letter-forms could be explained in terms of a more pliant reed, or the scribe relaxing more into his style. (Thus in PSI 1195 alpha is made more loosely, whereas in $\mathbf{3 4 4 8}$ its angle is so tight that the two left-hand diagonals sometimes appear to coalesce; delta is apparently made in two strokes, with the left side and base in one piece, whereas in 3448 it is certainly made in three strokes; lambda tends to be seriffed and to have a slight inward curve on the longer diagonal, whereas at 3448 line 9 it lacks any serif and its longer diagonal curves outwards; tau
usually begins with a serif on the horizontal，which rises slightly，cutting the top of the vertical，in contrast to $\mathbf{3 4 4 8}$ ，where the serif is missing and the crossbar goes level and does not touch the vertical at all．）But，if it is plausible to ascribe both papyri to the same copyist，it is not plausible to ascribe both to the same roll，because of the line－lengths．In 3448 the lines have 17 to 2I letters；in PSI if 95 they have on average 25 or 26 （sometimes as many as 28 ），too large a variation to be likely within a single copy．

Collated with Hude＇s editio maior（1898）and Luschnat＇s Teubner text（ $1960^{2}$ ）． The papyrus offers three variants of little worth，including a radical and inexplicable departure from the received text in the last two lines．

> ov $\delta \epsilon \gamma a \rho \eta] \mu \epsilon \iota \subset a \pi[$ ост $\alpha \nu$
> ]. $\pi \rho o c ̧ \in[\theta \epsilon \mu \epsilon \theta a$
> $\epsilon \nu \alpha \nu \tau \iota \alpha \nu v] \mu \iota \nu \tau \omega \nu[a \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$
> $\pi \epsilon \lambda \circ \pi \circ \nu] \stackrel{\eta}{ } \boldsymbol{\iota} \iota \omega[\nu \delta \iota \chi \alpha$
> $\epsilon \psi \eta \phi \iota c] \mu \in \varphi \omega \nu \in \iota \chi[\rho \eta a v$
> тоוс $a \mu]$ ب̣ $\epsilon \iota \nu^{\cdot} \phi a \underline{\varphi}[\epsilon \rho \omega c \delta \epsilon$
> $\alpha \nu \tau \epsilon l] \pi \sigma \circ \mu \epsilon \nu \tau o v[c \pi \rho o \subset \eta$
> коутас] 乡ицнахоv[с avтоv
> тıva к]о入аら $\epsilon \iota \nu$. [
> i 40.6
> $\kappa а к о \nu \tau \iota]$ ¢̣ $\rho \nu \tau$ а̣с $\delta[\epsilon \chi о$
> $\mu \in \nu \circ \iota \tau \iota \mu] \omega \rho \eta \varsigma \epsilon \tau a!$. [
> ] риєтеро . . .
> ] !...
> ]v a $\delta v \nu a \tau$.
> ] $\eta \mu \in[$ ]. [

5

10

Iff．Neither left nor right margin survives，so that the division of text between lines is to some extent conjectural．
 wrote it after $\dot{a} \pi o c \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ，omitting $\psi \hat{\eta} \phi o \nu)$ ．

2 ］．：apparently a cancelled rho（the loop only，with a dash through it）．
6 The high stop was perhaps added later，since it stands above the second vertical of nu，not between the letters．
 added later）or as $\llbracket i{ }^{t} \rrbracket_{\gamma}$（a fine oblique line crossing the upright might be a crossing－out）．A single upright （iota）is at least clear；low traces following might be part of another upright．But the suprascript letter（s） are anomalous，and some of the ink would be more easily explaned as a diaeresis on iota．

Io ］$\delta \rho \omega$ ：rho written over an omega．
II $\tau \iota \mu] \omega \rho \eta \epsilon \epsilon \tau a \ell: \tau \iota \mu \omega \rho \dot{\eta} \subset \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ MSS．，rightly．An error due probably to phonetic confusion $(a \imath=\epsilon$ ）． Final trace vestigial．
 apparently restored this text in the papyrus: in his first line $\nu \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \rho \omega \nu$ is clear, then $\rho_{\nu}[\kappa$ possible ; in the second line (damaged, and tangled with the letters of the main text), $\epsilon \lambda^{\prime} \alpha c \varepsilon \omega$ is not excluded. But what did the original scribe write? The simplest guess is $\phi[a v \epsilon \iota \mid \tau a \iota ~ \kappa \alpha \iota ~ a ~ \tau \omega] \nu$ a $\alpha \nu \nu a \tau \varphi\left[\left.\nu \quad \nu \nu\right|_{\kappa} \epsilon \lambda a c c \omega\right] \eta \mu \epsilon[[] \nu$.
 out three words, if only one was different.
${ }_{13}$ Perhaps $\eta \mu \epsilon[1] \nu$, but the final trace is rather low to represent the left apex of $\nu$.
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364 B. 99/F (1-4)b
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Fr. I } & 3.5 \times 8.5 \mathrm{~cm} . \\ \text { Fr. } 2 & 2.5 \times 6.4 \mathrm{~cm} .\end{array}$
Third century
Two fragments, from the middle and foot of a column; fr. 2 has 4.5 cm . of the lower margin intact, and a trace of the left-hand margin. About 7 lines ( 150 letters) are lost between the two fragments, giving a column-height of at least 23 lines. The back is blank. The writing is of the 'Severe' style, with a general slope to the right. The scribe wrote with an eye to speed rather than accuracy or appearance; alpha is sometimes made in one movement, with a loop at the apex; epsilon and tau are often ligatured to the following letter; at fr. i. i2 nu was corrected to phi by simple overwriting. Compare e.g. P. Berol. 9968 (Seider, Pal. gr. Pap. iI no. 32); and the upright version in VII 1016 (Roberts, GLH 20a), which can be dated to the third century. ${ }^{1}$ Iota adscript was not written in the one word which required it, fr. 1. 12. There is no punctuation. A second hand, semi-cursive and using a lighter ink, has made several corrections and additions to the text.

Collated with Hude's editio maior ( 1898 ) and Luschnat's Teubner text ( $1960^{2}$ ). The papyrus offers nothing of textual interest except a unique variant at fr. r. 4.

Fr. I

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha \mu \nu \nu]_{\epsilon} \subset \theta a \iota[\kappa \alpha \iota \mu \eta \nu о \mu \iota \eta
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta \iota \kappa a]!\llbracket \nu \nu \rrbracket \lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \subset \subset \theta a[\iota \xi \nu \mu \\
& \text { ] } \mathrm{y} \boldsymbol{\tau} \propto \varepsilon \varepsilon \\
& \phi \circ \rho a] \delta \epsilon \llbracket \llbracket \rrbracket \pi \sigma \lambda \epsilon \mu[\eta \subset \epsilon \iota a \lambda \lambda a \\
& \epsilon \iota v a \iota] \text { тo } \tau \epsilon \gamma a \rho \xi v[\mu \phi \epsilon \rho o v \\
& \epsilon \nu \omega a \nu] \text { тıc } \epsilon \lambda a \chi \iota c \tau \alpha \text { [a } \mu a \rho \\
& \tau \alpha \nu \eta] \mu \alpha \lambda \iota \tau \tau \alpha \epsilon \pi \epsilon[\tau \alpha \iota \text { каı } \tau о \mu \epsilon \lambda \\
& \lambda_{o \nu} \text { ] } \tau 0 v \pi о \lambda_{\epsilon} \mu_{\circ}[v \omega \phi o \beta o v v \\
& \tau \epsilon \subset v] \mu a c \kappa \epsilon \rho \kappa v[\rho a \iota o \iota \kappa \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \\
& { }^{1} \text { After A.D. } 235 \text { : L. C. Youtie, ZPE } 21 \text { (1976) Iff. }
\end{aligned}
$$

```
ovcıv] a\delta\iotaк\epsilon\iotav [\epsilon\nu афа\nu\epsilon\iota \epsilon
\tau\iota к\epsilon\iota]\tau\alpha\iota к\alpha! оик a[\xi\iotaо\nu \epsilon\pi\alpha\rho
0\epsilon\nu\tau\alpha]с а\nu\tau\omega[\nu]] ф\alpha\nu\epsilon़\rho[\alpha\nu є\chi0\rho\alpha\nu
\eta\delta\eta к]@!! ov \mu}\in\!\lambdaovç[\alpha\nu \pi\rhoo
    ].[ ].[
```

Fr. 2

$$
\begin{align*}
& \nu a] v \tau[\iota \kappa \sigma] \cup[\xi v \mu \mu a \chi \iota \alpha \nu  \tag{i 42.4}\\
& ] \ldots a[] \delta[ \\
& \epsilon \phi \epsilon \lambda \kappa \epsilon[[\theta a \iota \tau \sigma \text { б } \alpha \rho \mu \eta a \delta \iota \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu
\end{align*}
$$

Fr. I. The margins are lost; so that the distribution of words between lines is only exempli gratia. 3-4 First hand $\left.\delta_{\iota \kappa a}\right]_{\ell \rho \nu} \lambda_{\epsilon} \gamma_{\epsilon} \subset \theta a[\iota \xi \nu \mu \mid \phi о \rho a] \delta \in \iota \pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu[\eta \subset \epsilon \iota$


The original scribe's סíkaıv represents an obvious simplification of what Thucydides wrote; he may perhaps even have offered $\xi \dot{\mu} \mu \phi o \rho o v$ or $\xi \nu \mu \phi \epsilon ́ \rho o v i n s t e a d ~ o f ~ \xi \dot{\mu} \mu \phi о р a$. The corrector emended $\delta \iota \kappa a \iota o v$ accurately, as well as expanding $\delta \epsilon \iota$. But he went on to change $\xi \dot{\mu} \mu \phi o \rho a$ (if that is what the first hand wrote) to $\xi \nu \mu \phi \in ́ \rho o \nu \tau a$, presumably under the influence of $\xi \nu \mu \phi \in ́ \rho o v$ in the next sentence. Thucydides


Fr. 2
 тоvтє. This suits the space; but epsilon looks anomalous (altered), and there is unexplained ink (as if a suprascript letter) above the vestigial gamma.
${ }_{3}$ Strip of papyrus at left with traces of epsilon but no other traces: left-hand margin?
3450. Thucydides i 99-IO5, il 6-i7, 120

395B.117/E(3-4)b
Fr. A $12.5 \times 31.0 \mathrm{~cm}$.
Third century
These fragments come from the same papyrus codex as P. Gen. 2 and P. Ryl. III 548 ( Pack $^{2}$ I 5 I I ), which contain Thucydides ii $2-5$, I 3 and I5. The codex had two columns to the page, ${ }^{1}$ each column of c. 55 lines and each line of I4-2I letters; upper margin at least 2.5 cm ., intercolumnium c. 1 cm . The original dimensions of the page must have been c. $16-18 \times 34 \mathrm{~cm}$., of the column $\mathrm{c} .5 .7 \times 27.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. Fr. A contains the middle of one leaf to full height, with a heavy kollesis about half-way across; its pages are numbered in the upper margin, perhaps as ' 39 ' and ' 40 '. Frr.
${ }^{1}$ On such codices, see E. G. Turner, Typology of the Early Codex 36 (where P. Gen. $2+$ P. Ryl. $548=$ item 272; 3450 $=$ item 279a).

B and C, which are shown by their content to be adjacent, belong to a second leaf, perhaps originally conjugate with the first. These new pieces represent the later part of Book $i$, the older pieces have the beginning of ii; the whole of Thucydides, in this format, would occupy c. 427 pages or 214 leaves. The hand is a rapid unpretentious example of the 'Mixed' or 'Severe' style, to be assigned to the third century; some abbreviated forms of the 'grammarian' type, familiar from commentaries, are found at line-ends (A $\downarrow$ i $32, \rightarrow$ i $11,12,25,28$ ), as well as the common writing of nu as a suprascript stroke. Punctuation by paragraphus, forked paragraphus, high and middle stops; no other lectional signs. The paragraphus often coincides with the modern paragraph-division. There are occasional corrections and additions, some perhaps by the original hand, two at least (A $\downarrow$ i 32,44 ) by a coarser pen.

This papyrus was first published in BICS 22 (1975), 65 ff ., where the reader will find a fuller description and assessment. The text printed below results from a recollation of the original; the changes are for the most part trivial, but a few have textual importance ( $\mathrm{A} \downarrow \mathrm{i} 1,38,45$, ii 15 f .; $\mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{i} 38$ f., ii $17,43,50$ ). Collation with the editio maior of Hude (1898). The papyrus is badly rubbed in places, so that dotted letters are more than usually dubious; photographs in BICS loc.cit. pls. I-III.

Fr. A $\downarrow$
99. 3
100. I
col. i
1 $\tau \omega[\nu]$ ctpạ $\tau[\epsilon \iota \omega \nu \text { o८ } \pi \lambda \epsilon \iota]_{o v c}$ $\alpha v \tau \omega \nu \iota[\nu \alpha \mu \eta$ a $\quad$ ol] $\kappa o$. $\omega \subset[\iota] \nu \cdot \chi \rho[\eta \mu] \alpha \tau \alpha \in \tau \uparrow \xi \xi \alpha$ $\tau \varrho \alpha \nu \tau \iota \tau \omega \nu \nu \epsilon \omega \nu \tau \circ$ ८
 $\mu a \phi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \iota \nu]$ каו $\tau[0]!\varsigma \mu \epsilon(\nu)$ AӨпขal]ọ $\eta \varphi \xi[\epsilon] \tau o$ [ $\tau o]$ $\nu \alpha v \tau \iota] \kappa \frac{\varphi}{\varphi}[\alpha]^{\prime} \pi^{\prime} o \quad \tau \eta c[\delta] a \pi \alpha$ $\nu \eta \subset \eta \nu \epsilon \kappa \epsilon \iota]$ بoı $\xi \nu \mu \phi \epsilon$
Io $\rho \circ \iota \epsilon \nu$ avtoı $\delta] \epsilon$ oтọ $[\tau] \epsilon$ a $\frac{\pi}{0}$
 $\alpha \pi \epsilon \iota \rho \circ \iota] \epsilon[\subset \tau \circ] \nu[\pi]_{\circ} \lambda \epsilon \mu_{O}(\nu)$ $\kappa] \alpha \theta \iota \subset \tau \alpha[\nu \tau o] \in \gamma \in \nu \in \tau \circ$ $\delta] \epsilon \mu[\epsilon \tau] \alpha \underset{\uparrow}{\tau} \alpha[v \tau \alpha \kappa \alpha \iota \eta \epsilon \pi]!E \cup$
$15 \rho] \cup \mu \epsilon \delta \underline{o \varphi}[\tau \iota \pi о \tau \alpha \mu \omega \iota$
 $\kappa \alpha \iota \nu] \alpha \cup \mu \alpha[\chi \iota \alpha A \theta \eta \nu \alpha \iota \omega(\nu)$

|  | $\kappa \alpha \iota \tau \omega]$ ! $\frac{\xi}{[\rho \mu \mu а \chi \omega \nu}$ <br> $\pi \rho o] ؟ ~ M[\eta \delta о \nu с к а \iota ~ \epsilon \nu \iota \kappa \omega \nu$ |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | $\tau \eta] ؛[a v \tau \eta \iota \quad \eta \mu \in \rho a \iota$ а $\mu \phi о \tau \epsilon \rho a$ <br>  <br> Midтıaסov c]т[p]aт $\eta$ <br>  <br> $\eta \rho \epsilon \iota \Phi]_{o}[1]![\iota \kappa \omega \nu \kappa \alpha]_{!} \delta_{!}[\epsilon \phi \theta \epsilon$ |
| 25 | рау $\tau] a c \pi$ тасас є]с ס؛ако cıac] $\chi \rho[0] \varphi[[\omega \iota \delta] \leqslant \varphi[c] \tau \epsilon[\rho \rho(\nu)$ $\xi v \nu \epsilon \beta \eta \Theta] a[c o v c] \quad \alpha u[\tau \omega \nu$ a $\quad \circ \subset \tau \eta \nu a \iota ~ \delta \iota \epsilon \nu \epsilon \chi \theta \epsilon]$ ! $\tau a c \pi] \epsilon \rho[l]{ }_{\tau} \tau \varphi \varphi[\epsilon \nu \tau \eta l] a \varphi$ |
| 30 | $\tau \tau \pi] ؟ \rho q \subset \Theta_{\rho a}[\iota \kappa \eta \iota \epsilon] \mu \pi \sigma$ <br> $\rho \iota \omega] \underline{\varphi} \kappa[a] <br> $\left.\epsilon \pi \iota \Theta_{a c]}\right] o v \pi[\epsilon] \quad u c[a \nu] \tau \epsilon \epsilon$ <br>  |
| 35 | $\epsilon \kappa \rho a] \tau \eta[c] a \varphi \nu \kappa \alpha \iota \in[c] \tau \eta \nu \gamma \eta(\nu)$ <br>  <br> ноva $\pi \epsilon] \mu \psi \alpha v \tau \epsilon \epsilon \mu \nu$ <br>  <br> $\kappa \alpha \iota] \tau \omega \varphi$ [ $\xi v \mu \mu a \chi \omega \nu v$ |
| 40 |  <br>  $\tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha \lambda о \nu \mu \epsilon \nu]]_{\propto} ¢\left[E_{\nu \nu \in \alpha}\right.$ <br>  $\left.\pi \circ \lambda_{l}\right] \underline{\varphi} \tau \omega \varphi \mu \varphi \varphi\left[E_{\nu \nu]}\right]$ |
| 45 |  <br>  $\tau \epsilon \subset \delta \in \tau[\eta \subset \Theta] \rho a \kappa \eta \varsigma \varsigma \varsigma \mu \epsilon$ <br>  $\epsilon \nu .{ }^{\wedge} \Delta^{\prime}[\rho \alpha \beta \eta c] \kappa \omega[\iota \tau \eta l] H \delta \omega$ |
|  | $\nu \iota\left[\kappa \eta \iota \nu \pi о \quad \tau \omega \nu \Theta_{\rho}\right] a \iota \kappa \omega(\nu)$ <br> $\xi \nu \mu \pi a v \tau[\omega] v$ оцє $\pi o \lambda[\epsilon$ |

IOI. I
101. 2
$\mu] \iota o v \eta[v \tau o \chi \omega \rho \iota] o v a \iota[E v$
$\nu] \epsilon a \quad \circ \underline{\delta}\left[0 \iota \kappa \tau \iota \zeta_{0}\right] \mu[\epsilon \nu \circ(\nu)$
$55 \mu] a \underset{\sim}{\chi}[\eta \iota$ каı тодьоркочнє
col. ii
1 voı イak[ $[\epsilon$ бalmoviove $\epsilon$ $\pi \epsilon \kappa \alpha \lambda[$ оиขто каь $\epsilon \pi \alpha$ $\mu \nu \nu a[\iota \in \kappa \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v o v \in \subset \beta a$ $\lambda o v \tau[a c \in \subset \tau \eta \nu A \tau \tau \iota \kappa \eta \nu$
5 o८ $\delta \epsilon v[\pi \epsilon \subset \chi \circ \nu \tau \circ \quad \mu \epsilon v$ $\kappa \rho \nu \phi[a \tau \omega \nu A \theta \eta \nu \alpha \iota \omega \nu$ $\kappa \alpha!\epsilon \mu[\epsilon \lambda \lambda о \nu \delta \iota \epsilon \kappa \omega \lambda \nu$ $\theta \eta \subset \alpha[\nu \delta \epsilon v \pi o$ $\tau o v \gamma \epsilon \nu o$ $\mu \epsilon \cup[o v$ сєıcдои $\epsilon \nu \omega \iota$ каı 10 oı $E_{\iota} \lambda[\omega \tau \epsilon \subset$ av $\quad$ oıc каı $\tau \omega \nu$ $\pi \epsilon \rho \imath[о г \kappa \omega \nu$ ©оирıатаı $\tau \epsilon$ каı $A[\iota \theta a \iota \eta с \in \subset I \theta \omega \mu \eta \nu$ $\alpha \pi \epsilon[c \tau \eta<\alpha \nu \pi \lambda \epsilon \iota \tau \tau 0 \iota \delta \epsilon$
$\tau \omega \nu\left[E_{i} \lambda \omega \tau \omega \nu \in \gamma \epsilon \nu \circ \nu\right.$
${ }_{15}$ To $[0]$ ! [
..]. $\epsilon$. $\quad \delta o v \lambda \omega$
$\theta \epsilon \nu \tau \omega[\nu$ атоуоvoı $\eta \iota$ каı
$M] \epsilon \subset[с \eta \nu \iota o \iota ~ \epsilon \kappa \lambda \eta \theta \eta<\alpha \nu$ оь $\pi \alpha \nu[\tau \epsilon \subset] \pi[\rho о с \mu \epsilon \nu$ ouv 20 тov [c $\epsilon] \stackrel{\varphi}{ }[\theta \omega \mu \eta \iota \pi o \lambda \epsilon$ носка [єьстПкєь Лакє $\delta_{0 а \iota}[о] \nu \iota[$ оис $\Theta a c ı o \iota ~ \delta \epsilon \tau \rho \iota$ $\tau] \omega \iota \epsilon \tau \epsilon[\iota \pi$ тод七оркоинє $\nu[o] c[\omega \mu о \lambda о \gamma \eta<\alpha \nu A \theta \eta$
 тє؟ каı $\varphi$ [avc $\pi \alpha \rho a \delta o \nu$ $\tau \in \subset \chi \rho \eta[\mu a \tau \alpha \tau \epsilon$ ос $\alpha \in \delta \epsilon \iota$ $a \pi$ [oठovvaı аитıка $\tau \alpha \xi a$ $\mu \epsilon[\nu 0 \iota$ каı тo doıтоv $\phi \epsilon$
102. I

IO2. 2

IO2. 3

Fr. A $\rightarrow$
102. 3
$30 \rho \epsilon[\iota \nu \tau \eta \nu \tau \epsilon \eta \pi \epsilon \iota \rho \circ \nu \kappa \alpha \iota$ $\tau[o \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \lambda \lambda o \nu$ a $\phi \in \nu \tau \epsilon \subset$
 $\tau$ [oı $\pi \rho$ ос $\tau$ оuс $\epsilon \nu I \theta \omega$ $\mu \eta![\epsilon \mu \eta \kappa \nu \nu \epsilon \tau \circ$ о $\pi о$
$35 \lambda \epsilon \mu \circ$ ¢ $\alpha[\lambda \lambda$ ouc $\tau \epsilon \epsilon \pi \epsilon$ калєса[vто $\xi$ чицахоис кає $A \theta \eta[\nu a]![$ ouc oı $\delta \eta \lambda$ $\theta$ ov Kıц $\omega \varphi$ [ос ст $\rho a \tau \eta$ रouvt[ос $\pi \lambda \eta \theta \epsilon \iota$ оик о
$40 \quad \lambda \iota \gamma \omega \iota \cdot \mu[\alpha \lambda \iota c \tau a \delta$ avtove $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \kappa \alpha[\lambda \epsilon с а \nu \tau о$ оть $\tau \epsilon \iota$ $\chi$ о $\mu \boldsymbol{\chi}[\epsilon \iota \nu \epsilon \delta$ окоиv $\delta v$ vatoı [ $\epsilon$ lvaı тouc $\delta \epsilon \pi$ то入ıоркıа[с цакрас ка $\theta$ єс
45 т $\eta \kappa \nu \iota[a c$ тоитои $\epsilon \nu \delta \epsilon a$ $\epsilon \phi a \iota \nu \in[\tau \circ \beta \iota a \iota \gamma \alpha \rho a \nu \in \imath$
 фора єк $\tau[\alpha u \tau \eta с \tau \eta \subset$ ст $\rho a$ $\tau \epsilon \iota a<\pi \rho \omega[\tau о \nu$ Аакє $\bar{\delta} \iota$

col. i
$\left.{ }^{1} \tau \omega \nu A \theta \eta \nu \alpha \iota \omega \nu \tau\right] o \tau o \lambda \mu \eta$ $\rho \circ \nu \kappa \alpha \iota \tau \eta \nu \nu \epsilon] \omega \tau \epsilon \rho[o$
 ана $\eta \gamma \eta \subset \alpha \mu]$ єчоь $\mu \eta$
$5 \quad \tau \iota \eta \nu \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \mu \epsilon] \iota \nu \omega c \iota \nu$ $\nu \pi o \tau \omega \nu \in \nu I \theta] \omega \mu \eta \pi \epsilon \iota$ с $\theta \in \nu \tau \epsilon \epsilon \nu \epsilon \omega \tau \epsilon] \rho \iota c \omega c ̧ \iota$ $\mu o \nu o v e \tau \omega \nu \xi] v \mu \mu a$ $\chi \omega \nu$ a $\pi \epsilon \pi \epsilon \mu] \psi \alpha \nu \tau \eta(\nu)$
$10 \quad \mu \in \nu v \pi o \psi<a \nu]$ ov $\delta \eta$ $\left.\lambda_{0} \nu \nu \tau \epsilon \subset \epsilon \iota \pi o\right] \varphi \tau \epsilon[c] \delta(\epsilon)$ o $\tau \iota \quad o v \delta \epsilon \nu \pi \rho \circ \subset] \underset{\delta}{ } \epsilon \rho[\nu] \tau(a \iota)$
102. 4
103. I
103. 2
$\alpha \nu \tau \omega \nu \in \tau \iota$ o८ $\delta] \epsilon A \theta \cdot \eta$
$\nu a \iota \circ \iota \epsilon \gamma \nu \omega c \alpha \nu]$ оик $\epsilon$
$\pi \iota \tau \omega \iota \beta \epsilon \lambda \tau \iota o \nu \iota] \lambda o \gamma \omega!$ ] $\mu \mu$. [
$a \lambda \lambda \alpha \tau \iota \nu o c]$ v $\pi о \pi \tau o v \gamma[\epsilon$ $\nu о \mu \epsilon \nu o v] \kappa \alpha \iota \delta \epsilon \epsilon \nu[o(\nu)$
$\pi о \iota \eta \subset \alpha \mu \epsilon] \nu$ о८ каı оик $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ $\xi \iota \omega c \alpha \nu \tau] \epsilon є$ уто Лакє
 $\theta \epsilon \iota v \in v \theta v c] \epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota \delta \eta a$ $\nu \in \chi \omega \rho \eta<\alpha \nu] a \phi \epsilon \nu \tau \epsilon \subseteq \tau \eta(\nu)$ $\epsilon \pi \iota \tau \omega \iota M \eta \delta \omega \iota \gamma] \epsilon \nu \rho \mu \epsilon$ $\nu \eta \nu \xi v \mu \mu a] \chi!a \nu \pi \rho(o c)$ avtovc $A \rho \gamma \epsilon \iota]$ oı. с тоис єкєı $\nu \omega \nu \pi о \lambda \epsilon] \mu \iota o \iota \subset \quad \xi \nu \mu[\mu \alpha$ $\chi \circ \imath \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \mathcal{\nu}] \underline{\varphi} \tau[o] \leqslant \alpha \iota \pi \rho(o c)$ $\Theta \epsilon c c a \lambda o v c$ а $\mu \alpha a \mu]$ фот $\epsilon$ роьс оь аутоь оркоь к]aı $\xi \nu \mu \mu \alpha \chi \iota \alpha \kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon \subset \tau] \eta$. oı $\delta \epsilon \nu[\theta \omega \mu \eta \iota \delta \epsilon] \kappa \alpha$ $\tau \omega \iota \in \tau \epsilon \iota \omega \leftharpoonup$ ovкє $\tau \iota] \epsilon \delta v$ $\nu \alpha \nu \tau o ~ \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon \chi \epsilon \iota \nu] \xi \nu \nu$ $\epsilon \beta \eta<\alpha \nu \pi \rho о с \tau]$ ouc $\Lambda$ а $\kappa \epsilon \delta \alpha \iota \mu о \nu \iota o] v<\epsilon \phi \omega$

 $\delta \epsilon \pi о \tau] \epsilon \epsilon \pi!\beta \eta[c] o \varphi \tau \tau!$ 40 av $\eta<\eta \nu \delta \epsilon]$ тıc $\alpha \lambda \iota \varsigma \kappa[\eta \tau(\alpha \iota)$
 $\lambda o v \eta \nu \delta \epsilon \tau \iota \kappa] a \iota \quad \chi \rho \eta \subset \tau[\eta$ рıор тоис Лакє] $\delta \alpha \iota \mu$ о $\nu$ เоия $\left.\Pi \nu \theta_{\iota к о}\right]_{\nu} \pi \rho o \llbracket c \rrbracket . \tau[\circ] v$

IO3． 3

103． 4

IO4．I

104． 2

45 Tov ıкєтŋレ］Tov $\Delta$ ८̣̣ тоv $I \theta \omega \mu \eta \tau \alpha]$ a $\phi!\in \nu \alpha!$ ［ $\epsilon \xi \eta \lambda \theta \circ \nu \delta \epsilon \alpha v \tau о \iota$ ка८ та८］ $\delta \epsilon c \kappa \alpha \iota \gamma v \nu \alpha]_{!\kappa}[\epsilon] ¢[\kappa \alpha \iota] \underset{\sim}{\alpha}[v$ тоขс оь $A \theta]_{\eta \nu \alpha \iota o \iota ~} \delta \epsilon \xi \alpha$

col．ii
 $\mu[\alpha \chi \iota \alpha \nu$ Мак $] \in \delta$ баı $\mu \circ$ $\nu[\iota \omega \nu \alpha \pi o c] \tau \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon \subset$ oт！ $\alpha \cup \tau[o v c] K[o \rho]!\nu \theta \iota \circ \pi \epsilon \rho \iota$
$5 \quad \gamma \eta$ ¢ ор $\omega[\nu \pi]$ о $\lambda \epsilon \mu \omega \iota \kappa \alpha$ $\tau \epsilon \iota[\chi] \stackrel{\varphi}{[\nu} \kappa \alpha \iota \epsilon] \subseteq \underset{\rho}{ }[о \nu A \theta \eta$ $\nu \alpha[\iota] \iota[M] \epsilon \gamma[\alpha \rho \alpha$ ка८ П $\eta$ үасккя८ $[\tau \alpha] \mu[\alpha \kappa \rho \alpha \tau \epsilon \iota \chi \eta$ $\omega \kappa o \delta o[\mu \eta с \alpha \nu$ Mє $\alpha \alpha \in v$ с८ $\tau \underset{\sim}{\alpha} \underset{\sim}{[\pi о} \tau \eta \subset \pi о \lambda \epsilon \omega \subset \in \subset$ Nıса！$[\alpha \nu \kappa \alpha \iota \epsilon] \phi[\rho о \nu \rho о \nu \nu$
 оик $\eta к ⿺ 𠃊[c] \tau \alpha$ а $[\pi о$ тоv $\delta \epsilon \tau о$
 $\pi \rho \omega[\tau o] \nu \in \subset \in[\theta \eta] \nu a[$ ıovc $\gamma!\gamma \cup[\epsilon \subset \theta \alpha \iota \quad][]. I \nu \alpha \rho[\omega c$ $\delta[\epsilon]$ о $\Psi a \mu \mu[\eta]$ т८хоv $\beta[\alpha \subset \iota$ $\lambda \epsilon v[с \Lambda \iota \beta v \omega \nu] \tau \omega[\nu \pi \rho o c$ $A \iota \gamma v[\pi] \tau \omega[\iota \quad \circ \rho] \mu \omega[\mu \in \nu \circ \subset$ $20 \quad \epsilon \kappa M[\alpha] \rho \in![\alpha] ؟\left[\tau \eta \subset\right.$ vтє ${ }^{\circ} \Phi_{\alpha}$ $\rho o v \pi о \lambda \epsilon \omega \subset, ~ a \pi[\epsilon \subset \tau \eta<\epsilon \nu$ Aıүv $\pi \tau$ ب̣ $\tau \alpha[\pi \lambda \epsilon \iota \omega \alpha \pi о$ A $\rho \tau \alpha \xi \epsilon \rho \xi$ оч кด！［avтос $\alpha \rho \chi \omega \nu \quad \gamma \epsilon \nu \circ \mu[\epsilon \nu \circ \subset A$
25 Ө ${ }^{2} \nu \alpha \iota \circ \cup \varsigma \in \pi \eta[\gamma \alpha \gamma \epsilon \tau \circ$ o८ $\delta \epsilon \epsilon \tau \cup \underset{\sim}{\chi}[o] v[\gamma] \underset{\rho}{\rho}[\epsilon \subset K v$ $\pi \rho[o] \nu[c \tau \rho \alpha \tau] \epsilon \cup \rho[\mu \epsilon \nu \circ \iota$
105. I $\epsilon \varphi[\eta \subset \alpha \nu \delta \epsilon \alpha v \tau \circ \theta \iota \Pi \epsilon \rho$ [с $\omega \nu$ каı $M \eta \delta \omega \nu$ оь ка] $\tau \alpha \phi \cup \cup \gamma[o] \nu \tau[\epsilon \subset \kappa \alpha \iota A \iota \gamma v \pi \tau \iota$ $\omega \nu o]_{\iota} \mu[\eta] \alpha \pi[0]<\tau \alpha \nu[\tau \in \subset$
[A $\theta \eta \nu \alpha \iota o \iota ~ \delta \in \nu a v$ ]
45 c]!у [aтоßасıv єc $A$ 入ıac
 $\pi \iota[\delta a] \varphi[\rho \iota o v<\mu \alpha] \underset{\sim}{ }[\eta$ $\epsilon \gamma \epsilon \cup \epsilon \tau[0 \kappa \alpha \iota \epsilon \nu] \iota \kappa \omega(\nu)$ $K o \rho \iota \nu \theta_{\iota}[$ оь каı vст $\epsilon \rho] o(\nu)$ 50 A $\theta \eta \nu[a \iota o \iota ~ c . ~ 5 ~] \nu ~ \in \varphi[a \nu \mu a$ $\underset{\alpha}{ } \boldsymbol{\sim} \subset[a] \varphi[$ ]... ].[ ]. . . [ ]. . . ]. . .

Fr. B $\rightarrow$

II6. I

$\pi[o] v \tau \epsilon[\subset \tau \eta] \cup \underset{\cup}{K}[\nu \pi \rho o \nu$ $\kappa \alpha \iota \alpha \nu a[\pi \lambda \epsilon \nu]<\alpha \nu[\tau \epsilon \epsilon a$
入ov $\tau o v \tau \epsilon[\pi o] \tau \underset{\sim}{\alpha}[\mu o v$ $\kappa \rho a \tau o[\nu \nu \tau \epsilon]$ ¢ [каı $\tau \eta \subset$
 $\rho \omega \nu \pi \rho \rho 0[\subset \tau о$ т $\tau \iota \tau \sigma \nu \mu \epsilon$
 $\tau \epsilon!\times \chi$ [с $\epsilon \pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu \circ v \nu$
col. i $\omega]$. $\eta<\alpha$,
vạucı $\delta$ [ıакосıaı

aı $\epsilon \iota к о с \iota ~ с \tau \rho а \tau \iota \omega \tau] \iota \delta \epsilon c \cdot \epsilon \tau[v$ $\chi \circ v \delta \epsilon a \iota \pi a c a \iota] a \pi o M \iota \lambda \eta$
$\tau 0 v \pi \lambda \epsilon o v c a \iota \kappa \alpha \iota \epsilon] \nu \iota \kappa \omega \nu$

116． 2

Fr．C $\rightarrow$

116． 3

II7． 3

Fr．B $\downarrow$

II8． 3

II 9．I

5 AӨクvaıoı vстє $\rho \circ$ ］$\nu \delta \epsilon a v$ $\tau о \iota \epsilon \beta$ Ко $\theta \eta с \alpha \nu] \epsilon \kappa \tau \omega \nu$
AӨך $\nu \omega \nu \nu \eta \epsilon \subset \tau \epsilon с \subset] a \rho a$

［сßьшv $\pi \epsilon \nu \tau \epsilon к а \iota ~ є \iota к о] ~$
10［ськаו атоßаvтєє ка८］
$\kappa \rho a \tau \tau o \nu \nu[\tau \epsilon \subset \tau \omega \iota \pi \epsilon \zeta \omega \iota \epsilon \pi о$
入ıоркошу［ $\tau$ рıсь $\tau \in \iota \chi \epsilon \subset \iota \tau \eta \nu$

$\prod_{\cdot} \epsilon \rho!\kappa \lambda \eta[с \delta \epsilon \lambda \alpha \beta \omega \nu \epsilon \xi \eta$
15 кочтạ［
［
$\tau \alpha] \chi$ ¢̣५ $\epsilon \pi[\iota$ Kavvou
col．ii
$\lambda[\omega \theta \epsilon \nu \tau \alpha \tau \alpha \xi a \mu \in \nu \alpha$
$\kappa \alpha[\tau \alpha$ хроvovс $a \pi о \delta o u v a \iota$
$\xi v v[\epsilon \beta \eta<a \nu \delta \epsilon \kappa \alpha \iota B v$
$\zeta \alpha \nu[\tau \iota o \iota$
col．i

$$
a u \tau o] c \in \phi \eta
$$

$\xi \cup \lambda \lambda \eta \psi \epsilon \subset \theta a \iota \kappa] a \iota \iota \pi \alpha \rho а к а$
$\lambda о и \mu \epsilon \nu о с к а \iota ~ а] \kappa \lambda \eta \tau[$ ос
 таракалєса⿱亠䒑єс $\psi \eta] \phi .9(\nu)$

I20. 2

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{l}
\text {. } \\
.[ \\
.[ \\
\tau[ \\
\tau[ \\
{[ } \\
{[ } \\
{[ } \\
{[ } \\
{[ }
\end{array} \\
& \text { 10 } \beta \alpha \nu \epsilon]_{c \nu} a v[\delta] \rho[\omega \nu \gamma a \rho c \omega \\
& \phi \rho o] \nu \omega \nu \mu \in \nu \text { [ } \epsilon c \tau \iota \nu \\
& \epsilon \iota \mu] \eta \text { a } \iota \iota<\circ \iota[\tau о \quad \eta \\
& \left.{ }^{c} \tau \chi\right] a \zeta \epsilon \iota \cdot \text { a } \gamma \underset{\sim}{a}[\theta \omega \nu \\
& \delta \epsilon a] \delta \iota \kappa o \cup \mu \epsilon[\text { vove } \\
& 15 \epsilon \kappa] \mu \epsilon \nu \epsilon \iota \rho[\eta \nu \eta c \\
& \pi o \lambda] \epsilon \mu \epsilon \iota \nu^{\prime} \epsilon \underline{\varphi}[\delta \epsilon \pi \alpha \\
& \rho \alpha \subset \chi \circ] \varphi \in \kappa \pi \sigma[\lambda \epsilon \mu \sigma v
\end{aligned}
$$

## Fr. A $\downarrow$

In the upper margin a different hand has added the page number. The second figure looks most like alpha, or possibly theta. $\lambda \theta$ could be read here, and $\mu$ on the other side; or (perhaps more suitable to the traces) $\mu q$, and then $\mu[\beta$. It can be calculated that some 40 pages of text are lost before A begins (BICS 22 (1975), 67).

Col. i
I ot $\left.\pi \lambda_{\epsilon}\right]$ ouc: so ACEFGM: $\pi \lambda_{\epsilon \iota o u c}$ B. The spacing of the papyrus would allow either reading.
2 оı]кQ.: -кои ABCFGM, -коє E. The trace (lower part of upright) would allow either reading.
$3 \omega c[\imath] \nu$ : so C: $\omega c \iota$ ABEFGMc.
$16 \epsilon \nu$ Пa $]{ }^{2} \psi \lambda_{i}[a \iota$ : so ABCEFG : om. M.
$\left.{ }_{2} 5 \epsilon\right]<\delta_{1 q \ldots p \mid[c ı a c: ~ s o ~ C E F G M: ~ є c ~ \tau a c ~} \delta$. AB. The spacing seems not to allow the reading of AB .
$29\left[\begin{array}{ll}\epsilon \nu & \tau \iota]\end{array}\right.$ : so ABEFM: om. CG (add. in litura $\mathrm{g}^{2}$ ). The spacing requires the reading of ABEFM.
$32 \mu \epsilon \nu$ : it looks as if the first hand wrote $\mu=\mu(\epsilon \nu)$; a second and cruder hand added $\epsilon \nu$ to produce the full form.

$38 \epsilon a v \tau \omega(\nu)$ : aut $\omega \nu$ codd.

$45 \epsilon \kappa \rho[a \tau] \eta \varsigma[a] \varphi:$ : av $\tau о \iota \epsilon \kappa \rho a \tau \eta \subset a \nu$ codd. The meaning of aúvoi has been disputed (see Gomme ad loc.); a superficially similar use in 98. 2; aủtêv coni. Hude. The papyrus has added something above the line, but apparently not avrot or the like; the first visible trace is a tall upright, then, immediately before $v$, a well-preserved letter which looks most like $\mu$ ( $\kappa$ less probable). A possible reading might be $\left.[0]_{\ell} \mu \nu \nu_{\varphi} \varphi\right\}$ :
a literal－minded note，to make it clear that the town was captured by the colonists，not by the Athenians in general（who are strictly the subject of the sentence）．（If this view were right，it would be tempting to delete aủroi，as an intrusive remnant of a similar attempt at clarification．）
$49 \Delta[\rho a \beta \eta c] \kappa \omega[\iota$ ：the delta is written very high in the line；perhaps above，and correcting，a tau． $H \delta \omega \mid \nu \iota[\kappa \eta \iota:$ so $\mathrm{ABGFM}: \delta \omega \nu \iota \kappa \eta \iota$ CE．
Col．ii
$3 \epsilon \pi a] \mid \mu \nu \nu \alpha[\imath$ ：so ABEFM，$\gamma \rho$ ．G：－$\nu \epsilon \iota \nu$ CG．The trace is not decisive；but on balance it suggests alpha more than epsilon．

I $\pi \epsilon \rho\left\llcorner\left[о к \kappa \omega \nu\right.\right.$ ：so $\mathrm{ABFMg}{ }^{2}$ ：тароькшь CEG（？）．
15 f．o九 $\tau \omega \nu \pi a \lambda a \iota \omega \nu$ Mєcc $\eta \nu \omega \nu \nu \tau о \tau \epsilon \delta o v \lambda \omega \theta \epsilon \nu \tau \omega \nu(\delta o u \lambda \omega \theta \epsilon \nu \tau \omega \nu \tau 0 \tau \epsilon G)$ codd．The papyrus has space for this；but the traces in 16 do not suit the expected run．（a）If they are restored $\tau 0]_{\tau \in \delta} \delta[o v \lambda \omega$ ，the line is extraordinarily short（and a word must have been omitted in 15）．（b）If they are restored $M \epsilon \xi[c \eta \nu \iota \omega \nu$ $\delta o \nu \lambda \omega$ ，no space remains for $\tau o \tau \epsilon$ ，unless it preceded（ $[o]_{\iota}[\tau \omega \nu \pi a \lambda \alpha \iota \omega \nu \tau o \mid \tau \epsilon]$ ；not $[o]_{\iota}[\tau \omega \nu \pi \alpha \lambda, \iota \mid \omega \nu]$ by itself，which would make 15 improbably short）．
$19 \pi\left[\rho o c \mu \in \nu\right.$ ovv：so C，add． $\mathrm{G}^{1}$ ：ovv om．ABEFGM．Space suggests that the papyrus had the longer reading． 35 Before sigma，an unexplained space；after it，perhaps a middle or low stop．
43 тou $\delta \epsilon$ ：so codd．：$\langle a v\rangle$ rouc Nattmann．The spacing in the papyrus would allow either the reading or the emendation． 49 стра］｜тєıac：so Cf．：стратıac ABEFM［G］．

Fr．A $\rightarrow$
In the upper margin a page number，perhaps $\mu$ or $\mu[$ ．］．See on $\mathrm{A} \downarrow$ ．
Col ． i

$11 \delta^{\prime}$ for $\delta \epsilon$ ．
$12 \tau^{s}$ for $\tau a$.
13 or $\delta]_{\epsilon}$ ：or $\delta$＇codd．（ou $\delta^{\prime} \mathrm{C}$ ）．
14 є $\gamma \nu \omega c a \nu]$ ：so CEGM：om．ABF．The spacing shows that the papyrus had the longer reading．
$16 a \pi \sigma \pi \epsilon \pi \epsilon]_{\mu \mu}[\nu 0 \iota$ would fit space and trace：$\alpha \pi \sigma \pi \epsilon \mu \pi \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu \circ \iota$ codd．
${ }^{23-5} \tau \eta(\nu)|[\epsilon \pi \iota \tau \omega \iota M \eta \delta \omega \iota \gamma] \xi \nu \circ \mu \epsilon|\left[\nu \eta \nu\right.$ ：so G：$\tau \eta \nu \gamma \epsilon \nu \circ \mu \epsilon \nu \eta \nu \epsilon \pi \iota \tau \omega \iota M_{\eta} \delta \omega \iota$ ABCEFMG $^{1}$ ．
25， 28 If for $\pi \rho$ oc．
26 Appধi］ol．c：unexplained remains（a washed－out letter？）before sigma．
32 f．$\delta \epsilon] \kappa \alpha \mid[\tau \omega \iota$ ：the papyrus apparently agreed with codd．in this much－disputed reading．
$36 \epsilon \phi \omega \mid[\epsilon \xi \iota a]_{\varsigma \nu \nu}$ ：so CG：$\epsilon \phi \omega(\iota) \tau \epsilon \epsilon \xi \iota a c \iota \operatorname{ABEFMg}{ }^{2}$ ．The spacing favours the shorter reading here．

38 f．$\mu \eta \mid[\delta \epsilon \pi \sigma \tau]_{\xi}$ ：so ABCEFMg ${ }^{2}: \mu \eta \kappa \epsilon \tau \iota$ G．The spacing suits the longer reading；and the final trace suits epsilon rather than iota（part of the crossbar is visible）．
$44 \pi \rho \circ\lceil\subset \rrbracket]$ ：sigma deleted by a fine oblique stroke．
49 o九 $A \theta]$ ］puato：so C ：oc om．ABEFGM．Space favours the longer reading here．
$50 \kappa \alpha]_{\tau \alpha}$ ：so ABCEFM ：кат${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{G}$ ．
Col．ii
I $M \epsilon \gamma a] \mid \rho \epsilon\left[\iota \imath\right.$ ：so CFMge ${ }^{2}:-\rho \eta c$ ABEG．
$6 \epsilon] \varsigma \chi[o \nu$ ：so ABEFGM：єєХоע C．
13 I．oux．
$16 \gamma \leqslant \gamma \varphi[\epsilon \in \theta a \iota: \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \epsilon \theta a \iota$ codd．There is space to spare after this：blank（to mark off the new section）？ a word written by mistake and then deleted（of which the heavily blotted letter before Ivap［wc would be the end）？
${ }_{17} \Psi_{a \mu \mu}[\eta] \tau \iota \chi o v$ ：so $\mathrm{ACEM}: \Psi_{\alpha \mu \mu \iota \tau \iota \chi o v ~} \mathrm{BFGaec}^{2}$ ．The spacing would allow either reading．
$\beta[a c ı] \mid \lambda \in v[c:$ 亿ı $\beta$ uc $\beta$ acı $\lambda \in u c$ codd．
$20 M[a]_{\rho \in \xi}[a]_{\varsigma}$ ：so ABCFGM：Mapıac E．
22 Alyum
$a \pi o$ ］：amo $\beta a c i \lambda \epsilon \omega c$ codd．，too long for the space．

23 Aрта $\xi_{\epsilon} \rho \xi_{\varphi}$ : so BEFGM: $A \rho$ то- $\mathrm{A}(?) \mathrm{C}$.
38-47 These lines are so badly rubbed that scarcely a letter is secure.
$43 \mu[\eta] a \pi[0]_{\epsilon \tau q u \tau \epsilon \epsilon: ~} \mu \eta \xi \nu \nu a \pi \sigma \subset \tau a \nu \tau \epsilon c$ codd.
44 Unverifiable traces of ink not assigned to letters.
 in 50 , or else changed the word-order (vauci] would fit the space).

Frr. B $+\mathrm{C} \rightarrow$
Col. i
$5 \delta_{\epsilon}$ : so CG: $\delta^{\prime}$ ABEFM.
16 Unverifiable traces of ink not assigned to letters.
Col. ii

Remaining: one small scrap (fr. D) unplaced.

## 3451. Thucydides viii

243 B. 75/J (1)
Fr. II $5.5 \times 17.0 \mathrm{~cm}$.
First-second century
Scattered fragments from a roll containing Thucydides viii. ${ }^{1}$ The generous margins (upper margin on fr. I I, 4.9 cm .; lower margin on fr. 9, 4.5 cm .; intercolumnium on fr . Io, at least I .6 cm .) and the size of the lettering ( 3.5 mm . high) indicate that this was originally a fine copy. No column survives in its entirety; but a height of at least 26 lines can be deduced from frr. I 3 and I4, which contain portions of text so close that they must come from consecutive columns. The line-length varies from I 2 to 18 letters. The back is blank. The hand is an upright rounded capital, bilinear (except for phi and psi) and decorated with hooks and half-serifs; it belongs to the so-called 'Roman Uncial' type (Cavallo, $A S N P 36$ (i967), 209 ff .), and can be compared with, for example, the more formal and elegant P. Berol. 9739 (Schubart, $P G B$ pl. iga; Seider, Pal.gr. Pap. II no. 22); it can probably be dated to the late first or early second century. Punctuation by middle stop, high stop and paragraphus; these, and perhaps also the marginal note in fr. 22, seem to be the work of the original scribe. The only evidence of what may be a second hand is the possible accent at fr. IO. 3 and the interlinear correction at fr. I4. 3.

Collated with the editio maior of Hude ( 190 I ); add XVII 2100 fr .8 ii 34 ff ., which covers the same text as 3451 fr . 5 . The readings of the papyrus may be tabulated as follows:

|  | With | Against |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fr. IO. I | cett. | B |
| 8 f. | cett. | A G |
| II. I I | cett. | M |
| I3 | cett. | F M |
| I6 | cett. | B |
| I7 | cett. | B |

[^1]| 13. 7 | cett. | A B |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| * 14. 1-3 | - | codd. |
| 3 (post corr.) | cett. | B |
| ${ }^{*} 15.8$ | - | codd. |
| 18. 2 (perhaps) | B C E | cett. |
| 19.3 | cett | B |

The papyrus offers two unique variants (marked *), both possible but neither very attractive. Otherwise it supports the bulk of the MSS. against eccentrics, and especially against the eccentricities of $B$. The old theory, that the peculiar readings of $B$ (from vi 92 ) and $H$ (vi $92-$ vii 50 ) represent a separate ancient recension, has now generally been given up; certainly 3451 and the other papyri provide negative evidence against it, since they fail to attest any of the Leitfehler of B H at this earliest stage of the tradition. See O. Luschnat, Thucydidis Historiae ${ }^{2}$ (Teubner, Leipzig, 1960) I, pp. ix-xix; H. Erbse, Geschichte d. Textüberlieferung I (Zürich, 196I), 253-5.

Fr. I

I2. $3 \mu \rho \varphi[\iota$ кои каı סıа таұоис

I 3

Fr. 3
18. 3

Fr. 5
24. 3

тоv $\pi[\lambda o v \nu \in \pi o \iota o v \nu \tau o$

Fr. 2
14. 2-3

Fr. 4

2 I

- .
$a \phi \iota \tau] \omega \varphi[\tau \alpha \iota a \pi o \beta a$
$c \iota \lambda \epsilon \omega c] \pi o[\lambda \epsilon \mu \iota o \iota \epsilon c$
$\tau \omega \nu \kappa a \iota] \lambda a \kappa[\epsilon \delta a \iota \mu o \nu \iota$
oぃ каı] $\tau o[\iota \iota \xi v \mu \mu \alpha \chi \circ \iota \prec$


Frr. $7+8$

| 27. 4 |  | уауоขтас] $\pi$ aç[ac |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | тac vauc $\tau 0]$ ¢̣¢ $\epsilon \pi![$ |
|  |  | $\pi$ गouc $\eta \nu$ ] $\pi$ ои кац [ |
|  |  |  |
| 27. 5 | 5 | $\omega ¢ \delta \epsilon \epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota c] ¢ \kappa \ll$ |

Frr. $9+10$
Col. i (fr. 9)

28. $3 \pi \quad \pi \circ \lambda \lambda \alpha] \xlongequal{\eta}[\tau \rho a \tau \iota \alpha$ $\epsilon \lambda \alpha \beta \epsilon \nu] \pi \alpha \lambda a[\iota \sigma \lambda \neq \nu$ $\tau o \nu \gamma \alpha]_{\rho}, \eta \nu[\tau \circ \chi \omega$ $\rho \iota o] \nu$ тove $\tau \epsilon \epsilon \pi[\iota$
28. $4 \quad 5$ кovp]ouc touc $\pi \in \rho![$

Foot
Fr. II
Top
30. I $\delta \iota а к \lambda \eta \rho \omega с а \mu$ [єvo兀 $\epsilon \pi \iota \mu \in \nu \tau \eta \iota \mu[\iota \lambda \eta$ $\tau \omega \iota \tau \omega \iota \nu \alpha[\nu \tau \iota \kappa \omega \iota$ $\epsilon \phi о \rho \mu \epsilon \iota$ [ $\pi \rho о с$
$\delta] \epsilon \tau \eta \nu \chi \iota \stackrel{̣}{~ \varphi}$ [каı vav $\tau \iota]$ коข ка! $\pi[\epsilon \zeta$ оข
30. 2
2
$\pi] \epsilon \mu \psi \alpha \iota \cdot \kappa \alpha[\iota \in \pi о \iota$
$\eta c] a \underline{v}$ ov $\omega \cdot[$ [ $\tau \rho о \mu$
$\beta \iota \chi \iota] \delta \emptyset\rangle \subset \mu \in \varphi[\gamma \alpha \rho$
$10 \kappa \alpha]!$ ородак $[\lambda \eta с$
$\kappa \alpha] \iota \epsilon v \kappa \tau \eta \mu \omega[\nu \tau \rho \iota \alpha$
$\kappa o] \nu \tau \alpha$ vạuc $\epsilon[\chi o v$
$\tau] \epsilon \subset \kappa \alpha \iota \tau \omega \nu \in[\subset \mu \iota$
$\lambda] \eta \tau \sigma \underset{\square}{\epsilon}[\lambda] \theta o \underset{\varphi}{[ } \tau \omega \nu$
$15 \chi \iota \lambda \iota \omega \nu \circ] \pi \lambda \iota \tau[\omega \nu$
$\mu \epsilon \rho о с$ а] $\operatorname{a\gamma o\nu } \tau[\epsilon \subset$
$\epsilon \nu \nu \alpha \nu c]$ ! $o \pi \lambda \iota \tau[a \gamma \omega$
रоьє $\epsilon] \pi \iota \chi \iota o[\nu \lambda \alpha$
$\chi o \nu \tau \epsilon c] \in \pi \lambda \in[o \nu$

Frr. 13, 14, 15

> Col. i (fr. iz)
$x$ lines lost
33.4

34
$\delta \epsilon \in c \tau \eta \nu \mu \nu \lambda] \eta \tau[o \nu$ $\epsilon \kappa о \mu \iota \theta \eta \omega c] \pi \epsilon \rho$ ठıєvoєєто $\epsilon \nu$ ] тоит $\omega \iota$ $\delta \epsilon \kappa \alpha \iota \eta \tau \omega \nu \alpha] \theta \eta>$ 5 vaı $\omega \nu$ ст $\rho \alpha \tau \iota \alpha]$ та८с vaucıv єк тоv к $\omega \rho]$ јкои $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi \lambda \epsilon о \cup \subset \alpha]$ кат $\alpha \rho$. $\gamma \iota \nu o \nu \in \pi \iota \tau v \gamma \chi] a>$ $\nu \in \iota ~ \tau \rho ı c \iota \nu a v c ı \tau] \omega \varphi$
c. 17 lines lost
32. 3 ] ouc $[c \phi a c \epsilon \xi \in \iota \nu \eta$ ] $\tau o v[c ~ a \theta \eta v a \iota o v e ~ \eta \nu$ ] $\tau \iota \subset \phi[a \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \tau \alpha \iota \kappa \alpha$ ] $\kappa \underset{\sim}{\mu}[c \in \iota \nu$

Col. ii (frr. 14, 15 )
Top
єс $\left.\tau о \nu \lambda_{\iota}\right] \mu \epsilon \nu \alpha \operatorname{\tau ov}$ $v \pi о \quad \tau \omega \iota \mu]!\mu \alpha \nu \tau \iota$ ] кх, $[0]$ ] $\mu \mu[$ [vov


5

$\mu] \epsilon[\nu \circ \iota \pi \alpha \rho] \epsilon \in \kappa[\epsilon v$ $a] \zeta_{\rho}[\nu \tau o \omega]$ © $\epsilon \subset \tau о \nu$ $\tau] \epsilon \iota \chi[\iota \mu \mu \mathrm{o} \nu \cdot \epsilon \kappa \delta \epsilon$ $\tau \eta] ؟ \pi[\epsilon \lambda \circ] \pi o \nu \nu \eta$ c]ov $\tau[$ ov a] ب̧тov $\chi \in \iota$ $\mu] \omega[\nu о с]!\pi \pi о к \rho а$ $\tau] \eta \subset$ о $[\lambda а \kappa] \epsilon \delta \alpha \iota о$ $\nu \iota]_{\varrho \varrho} \subseteq[\epsilon \kappa \pi \lambda \epsilon v]$ ¢̣ạc
$\delta \epsilon] \kappa \alpha[\mu \in \nu \quad \theta$ ovp] $\iota$ $\alpha \iota] ؟ \nu[a v c \iota \nu \omega \nu \eta] \rho$ $\chi \epsilon] \delta[\omega \rho \iota \in \nu \subset$

Fr. 16

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { 81. 1-2? } & c 1] \omega \varphi[\gamma \epsilon \nu o \mu \epsilon \nu \eta c \\
& \delta \epsilon \epsilon \kappa] \kappa \lambda[\eta c \iota a c \tau \eta \nu \\
& \tau \epsilon]!\delta[\iota a \nu \xi v \mu \phi \circ \rho \alpha \nu
\end{array}
$$

Frr. $17+18$
Col. i (fr. 17)
97. 1$] \tau \epsilon[\epsilon \epsilon \omega \theta \epsilon \epsilon \alpha \nu \epsilon \nu$
] $\eta \iota \pi \underset{\sim}{[\epsilon \rho} \kappa \alpha \iota$ тovc
c. 5 lines lost

Fr. 19
Fr. 20
100. $3 \quad \eta \pi \epsilon \iota \rho o v \mu \iota]<\theta \omega[c \alpha$ $\mu \in \nu \circ \iota \xi \nu \mu] \pi a c \iota[\nu \omega c$ $\tau \rho ⿺ а к о с \iota]$ о七с $\underset{\sim}{[ } \nu \mathrm{\nu a}$ $\xi \alpha \nu \delta \rho \circ v] \theta \eta \beta \alpha[\iota o v$
5 ката то $\xi v] \gamma \gamma[\epsilon \nu \epsilon \subset$

Unplaced fragments

Fr. 21
Top
] $\nu$. [
] $\eta$. [
] $\kappa$. [
] $\in \rho$. .

Fr. 22
Top
]. $\tau \omega \iota \kappa \alpha$. .
]ovn[
$]^{\ell} \epsilon \pi$. [
106. $3 \quad 5 \quad \nu 0 \mu l] \zeta \zeta_{\epsilon}[\nu \nu$ vuc
$\mu \epsilon \nu \tau o] \iota \tau[\omega \nu \in \nu \alpha \nu$
106. $2 \tau \operatorname{\tau ovc}] \kappa \alpha \tau[\alpha \mu \epsilon \mu \phi \epsilon$ с $\theta a \iota]$ кає $\tau[$ [ovс $\pi о \lambda \epsilon$
 $\tau o v \in c] \tau \alpha \nu[a \nu \tau \iota \kappa \alpha$ col o] $\pi \lambda \alpha \pi \alpha \rho \epsilon[\chi$ оv $\tau \alpha \iota$ $\kappa \alpha \iota \mu \iota] \subset \theta \circ \nu \mu \eta \delta[\epsilon \nu a$ $\phi \in \rho \in \iota] \cup \mu \eta \delta \in \mu \iota[a \iota$ 5 a $\alpha \chi \eta l] \epsilon \iota \delta \epsilon \mu[\eta \epsilon \pi \alpha \rho a$


Frr． $7+8.4$ Space does not show whether the papyrus had $\dot{\eta}_{\iota}$（B）or є⿱艹\zh2 $\eta$（cett．）．
Fr．io．i Space allows＇$A \mu o ́ \rho \gamma \eta \nu$（cett．），not＇$A \mu o ́ \rho \gamma \eta \nu \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \Pi u c c o u ́ \theta \nu o v ~(B) . ~$.
3 The apparent accent，probably by a second hand，is difficult to explain．It would take a very negligent reader to assume the division－cáv $\tau \epsilon$ ，unless the text following was different from that of the MSS．

8－9 $\pi a \rho a \delta o ́ v \tau \epsilon c$ cett．：$\pi a \rho a \delta ı \delta o ́ v \tau \epsilon c$ A［G］．

I 3 会 $\nu$ cett．：$\tau$ òv FM．

$\left.{ }_{1} 7 \epsilon \nu v a v c\right]_{\iota}$ pap．，to judge from the space：$\dot{\epsilon}^{\prime} v \nu a v c i v ~ c e t t . ~\left(-c i C\right.$ C，corr．$c^{2}$ ）：vavciv B．
Fr． 12 It is not certain that the surviving letters represent line－beginnings，although some blank fibres to the left of line 3 give the impression of margin．

 калои́ $\mu \epsilon \frac{\nu}{}$ ，omitted by the original scribe，was inserted by a second hand．

Fr．17．Nothing remains of the left－hand margin；but apparent traces of a paragraphus under line 2 （too high up to be a letter in the line below）show that these are beginnings．

Fr．19． 3 трьакосіoи cett．：－ouc B．
Fr．2I．I］$\quad[: o, c, \omega$ ？
$2] \eta$ ．$[: \delta, \mu$ ？
3 ］$\kappa$ ．［：damaged upright，with no junction at the very top or at the foot，where the ink is preserved （ $\beta, \gamma, \eta, \iota, \kappa, \nu, \rho ?$ ）．
$4] \epsilon \beta_{R}$ ．［：top of upright？
Fr． 22 Note（by the scribe？or by a second hand？）at the top of the wide top margin．Perhaps $-\tau \omega \iota$ $\kappa а \grave{\ell} \xi$ ．［ or как．［．The last trace is so high as to suggest a raised letter（i．e．an abbreviation by suspension）．

2 Iota added above the line，apparently by the original hand．
Fr． 23 may not belong to this MS．The mu has its first stroke upright，unlike any in the identified fragments．

Fr．25．i $]_{\xi}$ ：only the end of the crossbar，touching the nu．
$2]$ ．$\nu[: o, \omega$ ．
Fr． 26 probably does not belong to this MS．The writing is smaller and neater．

# III. SUBLITERARY TEXTS 

3452. Greek-Latin Glossary

425 B. 78/E (3-6) a
$4.7 \times 6.7 \mathrm{~cm}$.
Second century
Part of two columns (words beginning with $\Pi, P$ and $C$ ) from a Greek-Latin glossary, written in Greek script throughout, across the fibres. On the front are meagre remains of cursive, apparently from an account. The hand is a very small, rather irregular semi-cursive. Alpha, gamma and lambda are ligatured throughout to the following letter, but the scribe has tried to avoid ligaturing the other letters, not always successfully. This sort of script is best compared with the hands of such second century subliterary texts as VI 853 (Commentary on Thucydides; Roberts, GLH pl. i7a), XXIV 2390 (Commentary on Alcman), XXXI 2536 (Commentary on Pindar; Turner, GMAW pl. 61). There are no lectional signs, except accents (or stress-marks) on two of the Latin words (i 17 f .). Diagonal marks and crosses precede the entries in col. ii; these are probably check marks, as often in accounts, rather than tachygraphic signs (the explanation which was offered for similar marks in another glossary, P. Rein. inv. 2 I $40=$ P. Sorb. 8, by E. A. Lowe, $C L A$ v 699); the two crosses occurring where an error has been made at ii 4-5 seem to support this view. A second hand may have been responsible for deleting a word in i 18 , and for correcting the order of entries at ii $3^{-5}$.

The entries are alphabetized but, as in the majority of early lexical works, only by the first two letters (L. W. Daly, Contributions to a History of Alphabetisation, 1967, 30 f .). At ii 6 the beginning of a new letter is marked by a gap of about six lines, and by the heavily enlarged initial of the first word; at ii 12 (and perhaps i 6) a smaller gap marks a sub-group within the main letter. A number of such glossaries have turned up among the papyri, mostly Latin-Greek and mostly arranged by subject (Pack ${ }^{2}$ 3003-9); for Greek-Latin vocabularies cf. XXXIII 2660 and 2660a and perhaps XLVI 3315 (only the Latin survives), which also write the Latin in Greek characters, but arrange the entries by subject, and the Fragmentum Wallraffianum (re-edited by J. Kramer, $Z P E 38$ (1980), 229), part of an extensive alphabetic lexicon which uses the Roman alphabet for the Latin words. Most of the entries in $\mathbf{3 4 5 2}$ can be paralleled in the ancient or the medieval examples (see CGL, especially vol. III). This indicates not only the widespread demand which must have existed in the ancient world for linguistic aids of this type, but also a common point of origin for these aids: cf. A. Bataille, Rech. Pap. 4 (1967), 16 I-70.

## Col. i

Col. ii


I ] r . : a further trace of ink, high up to the right, may belong to the line above, or to a check mark in the next column.

2 ].: alpha or mu.
4-5 Perhaps lines with short words now lost completely, perhaps a space left blank to indicate a sub-group of words in pi: cf. ii $\begin{aligned} & \text { I-1 } \\ & 2\end{aligned}$.

6 ]. : alpha or mu.
8 ]...ns: the writing is even more cramped than elsewhere; one or two letters, or none, may be missing on the left; the second trace most suggests pi, or tau iota, the third is a long descender (gamma?, iota, rho, tau? phi). ov $\xi_{\xi \pi \rho \eta c}$ might fit, but none of the Greek equivalents of vepres (CGL VII 40 I) begins with pi.

9 ] $\pi \rho \epsilon \notin \omega \iota$ : probably primo, glossing $\pi \rho \dot{\omega} \tau \omega c$ (CGL II 425. 20). Less likely $o \pi] \pi \rho \epsilon \iota \mu \omega \iota$, since the equivalent Greek compounds in $\pi \rho o c-$ (CGL vi 84 under appremo) would be long for the space.

10 $\pi]_{\beta} \ldots[\ldots]$ : $\ldots$ по́тє $\ldots \rho \nu$ occurs most frequently as the Greek equivalent for ante in the glossaries (CGL vi, s.v. 'ante') but $\pi \rho o ́ c \theta \epsilon \nu$, $\pi \rho o ̀ ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ a n d ~ \pi \rho \omega ́ \eta \nu$ which are also offered by the medieval glossaries are possible here too. Traces of more than three letters exclude $\pi \rho \rho^{\circ}$.
$11 \pi]_{T \in \lambda \epsilon_{\ell}[\nu o c: ~ a l t h o u g h ~ m o s t ~ l i k e l y ~ a s ~ a ~ r e s t o r a t i o n ~ o p p o s i t e ~ t h e ~ a d j . ~ u l m e u s, ~ t h e ~ a d j e c t i v e ~ i s ~ n o t ~ f o u n d ~}^{\text {a }}$ glossed elsewhere；both $\pi \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon ́ a$ and $\pi \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \dot{\nu}$ however occur frequently：CGL vir，s．v．＇ulmus＇；＇ulmetum＇．
$12 \pi \tau \omega \varsigma \epsilon[\omega]$ ：：traces only of sigma and nu；not otherwise glossed but clearly the only suitable partner for vocabulorum here．At CGL iII 42 I．42，vocabulorum is glossed by к $\kappa \dot{c} \epsilon \epsilon \omega \nu$ ．

13 गuppoc：commonly glossed：CGL vil，s．v．
$14 \pi]$ uppixictal：an unusual word not otherwise glossed；elsewhere ludio serves as the Latin
 has turned up recently in XXXV 2738 ii．I（commentary on unknown Old Comedy）．
${ }^{1} 5^{-17}$ Commonly glossed words：CGL viI，s．vv．
16 ар $\mu$ арьo $\mu \mu: ~ v \mu$ corrected from $\nu$ ．
17 Bóv乡๒甲р：Latin properly buxum；buxium is not recorded in TLL．On the word＇s specialized meaning of＇box－wood writing tablet＇see E．G．Turner，GMAW 7 n． 2 and pl． 4.
 The scribe wrote $\delta^{\prime} v \delta(\rho \circ v)$ in abbreviated form，in order not to encroach on the right－hand column；then he or someone else bracketed it for deletion．

Col．ii
I－2，4－5 There are several commonly glossed words which might have stood in these lines－＇Pó $\mu \eta$


2 The function of the paragraphus is perhaps to indicate where the words in $4-5$ should rightly come． The stroke might also be taken as a heavy serif on the descender of rho；but there are no such serifs in the rest of the piece．

3 vтокL：added by the second hand？The raised sign at the end has the right－angle shape commonly taken by alpha in suspension；so that $\dot{v} \pi о \kappa \dot{\alpha}(\tau \omega)$ is the likeliest expansion．The note indicates that $\dot{\rho} \dot{\omega} \xi$ is misplaced and should be indexed＇below＇．
$\rho \omega[\xi]:$ commonly glossed as acinus（CGL vii，s．v．），and in the plural once as grana and once as baca： CGL 111 357． 53 ；428． 3.

4－5 $\bar{\beta}, \bar{a}$ added（by the second hand ？）to indicate that the order of these words should be reversed： cf．lines $3^{-4}$ of the dramatis personae in the Bodmer papyrus of Menander＇s Dyskolos，and P．Hamb． 133． 2 （Lysias）．

5 To the left of $\rho$ ，and above the cross，remains of one or two letters perhaps deleted．
6 Capamuc：initial sigme drawn very large．The word is often glossed：CGL vir s．v．
7 capझ：frequently glossed by either caro or pulpa；CGL vil s．v．The traces which follow do not seem to be far enough to the right to be the beginning of the Latin equivalent（not $\operatorname{ca\rho } \xi \xi \in \boldsymbol{\nu}=\operatorname{ca\rho } \xi i \nu$ ，it seems）．

8 cadтıcтクc：commonly glossed as tubicen，less frequently as tubicinator：CGL vii s．v．
9－11 All glossed frequently：CGL vir s．vv．
11－12 The space has been left to separate the words in CE from those in CA．CGL vir lists no words between these groups except $\subset \beta \epsilon v v v^{\omega}$ and c $\beta_{\epsilon}$ cic．
$\left.\left.{ }_{12-13} c\right]_{\xi} \nmid \gamma a, c\right]_{\xi} \gamma \operatorname{loc}: \epsilon \iota$ for $\bar{i}$ ．It is not clear what distinction the glossarist intended to draw between these words．Does cetya represent cîy adv．or cípa imper．？There may have been a possible confusion of usage between the latter and ciү⿳亠人口欠c：cf．$C P L 276$ ，where the glossarist is at pains to distinguish the different meanings of the Latin words tribus，vitio and ventum．

3453．List of Просчбía
374 B．103／F（6）c
This scrap has，on one side，remains of cursive writing（perhaps numerals）in a ruled framework；on the back，across the fibres， 3453 ，a list of lectional signs，nearly complete and with a lower margin of 2 cm ．The hand is an inexperienced or careless round upright script，of the second or possibly third century；the general impression
is similar to that of P. Lit. Lond. 96 (Herodas: Turner, GMAW, no. 39) or BKT I (Didymus: Schubart, PGB pl. 20; Seider, Pal.gr. Pap. 11, no. 38), though the latter is more cursive. The writing itself does not suggest a schoolboy's copy; but note the odd use of diaeresis in 1 , and the numerous itacisms.
'Dionysius Thrax' (that is, whoever wrote this part of the grammar) lists ten prosodiae, classified in four groups (Gramm. Gr. Ii, p. 105):(тóvoı) r.ỏ乡єia $\quad$ 2. $\beta$ apєîa

 divergences both in the number and in the order of the signs. (a) Herodian and Sextus Empiricus, in the second century, recognize only the first seven prosodiae; Epiphanius, in A.D. 392, recognizes all ten; between these falls P. Amh. 112 (early fourth century), which lists the seven, and then the three $\pi \dot{\alpha} \theta \eta$ separately as ( $\pi \rho о с \omega \delta \dot{\alpha} \alpha \iota)\langle\kappa а \tau а\rangle \chi \rho \eta с \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \subset$ $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \delta ́ \mu \epsilon v a r$. (See A. Wouters, The Grammatical Papyri from Graeco-Roman Egypt (1979), 188-97.) 3453 and 3454 now show that the canon of ten was in general circulation rather earlier, perhaps (if the palaeographic dates can be trusted) by the early third century. (b) P. Amh. 21 gives the signs in the order 1-3, 6-7, 4-5, 8-10 ( $\pi \nu \epsilon \dot{\mu} \mu a \tau \alpha$ before $\chi \rho o ́ v o t$ ). 3453 and 3454 do the same, except that 3 ( $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi \pi \omega \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta$ ) appears after 7 ( $\psi \iota \lambda \dot{\eta}$ ). It seems nonsensical to separate one accent from the others in this way; but since both papyri make the same transposition, we must be dealing with a corrupt tradition, not with individual carelessness. (c) 'Dionysius' calls the last sign $\dot{u} \pi o \delta \iota a c t o \lambda \dot{\eta}$; so do 3453 and 3454. P. Amh. 21 refers to it as $\delta \iota a c t o \lambda \dot{\eta} \kappa a \theta^{\prime}$ $\{\in\} i \delta i ́ a \nu$.

3454 draws each sign, as well as writing its name; $\mathbf{3 4 5 3}$ may have done the same, to the right of the names, where the papyrus is now lost. Did these slips serve as portable instruction for would-be writers and readers? The signs are illustrated also in MSS. of 'Dionysius', and two or three of them in P. Amh. 21. io f.


2-5. 1. ò $\xi \in \hat{\imath} a, \beta a \rho \in i ̂ a, \delta a c \in i ̂ a, \psi \iota \lambda \dot{\eta}$.
9 The first letter stands out in the margin but does not look as if it was added later. Ekthesis to mark off the section of three $\pi a ́ \theta \eta$, which according to some could not properly be called prosodiae (see introduction)?

II About 1 cm . below this line is a paragraphus to mark the end of the list.
3454. List of $\Pi_{\rho o c} \boldsymbol{\delta} \delta i ́ a l$ and $C_{\tau \imath \gamma \mu a i}$

223 B. 20/J (4) a

$$
6.2 \times 5 \mathrm{~cm}
$$

Second century
A more or less complete slip of papyrus, with writing across the fibres. The other side shows a heavy sheet-join, whose upper layer has remains of two lines in cursive. The text was copied in a small plain upright literary script, comparable with that of the London Herodas (Turner, GMAW 39) or of the Oxyrhynchus Symposium (V 843, with pl. vi); I should assign it, doubtfully, to the second century, but a later date could hardly be excluded. The copyist writes too well to be a schoolboy, and spells too badly to be an educated man.

For the ten prosodiai see 'Dionysius Thrax', Gramm. Gr. i i, p. I05; but the standard items here follow an idiosyncratic order, see $\mathbf{3 4 5 3}$ introduction. For the three stigmai see 'Dionysius Thrax', pp. 7 f . His account reads: [cтєү $\mu a i ́ \epsilon i c \iota \tau \rho \epsilon i c$ • $\tau \in \lambda \epsilon i \alpha$,


 $\pi o \lambda \grave{v} \tau o ̀ ~ \delta \iota a ́ c \tau \eta \mu a, \dot{\epsilon} \nu \delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\eta} \dot{v} \pi o c \tau \iota \gamma \mu \hat{\eta} \pi a \nu \tau \epsilon \lambda \hat{\omega} c o ̉ \lambda i ́ \gamma o v$. Thus the text as transmitted lists three stops, but discusses the time-value of only two; scholars have therefore assumed that the words in square brackets are a later addition; an earlier system of two stops (stigme and hypostigme) gave way to a more elaborate system of three (teleia, mese, hypostigme), and Dionysius was adapted accordingly (Uhlig, Gramm. Gr. I i, p. lxxix). This assumption has some support from outside. A three-point system existed by the reign of Hadrian, when Nicanor ó $C_{\tau i \gamma \mu a \tau i ́ a c ~ u s e d ~ i t ~ a s ~ b a s e ~ f o r ~ h i s ~ o w n ~ r o c o c o ~}^{c}$ punctuation; and it is about the same time that the system appears first in literary papyri, which up to then make do with one or two stops. If this theory of the historical development is correct, the second century was the time of transition. 3454 belongs to that century, and may indeed document the transition: though it recognizes three stops, it calls the heaviest by the old name of stigme (not teleia), and tags on the newcomer mese at the end of the list.


```
            o]\xi़є\iotaa [ 〕
            \beta]арє\iotaа [ ]
            \delta]acıa f
5 \psi\iota]\lambda\eta-
            \pi]\epsilon\rho\iotaс\pi\omega[\mu\epsilon]\nu\eta \cap }\mp@subsup{}{15}{~}\mu\epsilon\subset\eta\mathrm{ .
            \muакра -
            \beta]\rhoа\chi\iotaa
            a]\piост\rhoофо[c, ]
            1 0
                v]}\phi\epsilon
            v]\pio\delta\iotaастод\eta )
                    (12) c\tau\iota\gamma\mu\epsilon \delta\epsilon ícv [\gamma
        c\tau\iota\gamma\mu\eta.
                            \ddot{\piост\iota\gamma\mu\eta.}
```

I 1. $\pi \rho о с ф \delta i ́ a u$.
41. Saceia.
5. The sign seems to have been written as a lone horizontal, without the expected vertical at the right ( -1 ).
8. 1. $\beta \rho a \chi$ єía.
io The sign has an angular shape, different from the usual gentle curve (which also appears in MSS. of 'Dionysius Thrax', if the printed text p. 106 represents them correctly). P. Amh. II 21. io (fourth century), by contrast with both, has the modern form of hyphen, a horizontal stroke within the line (transcribed as $ᄂ$; but the vertical element seems to be the tail of hypsilon above, see the photograph in A. Wouters, Grammatical Papyri from Graeco-Roman Egypt (1979) pl. viii).
121. стıү $\mu \mathrm{ai}, ~ \epsilon i c t v$.

13-15 The three signs, which should be in high, middle and low position, a re written indistinguishably at mid-height.

# IV. METROLOGY 

## 3455. Metrological text

This badly damaged papyrus, despite a number of unclear passages, is of interest for its attestation of a 'Nicomedian' measure, a description of the dimensions of the chus, and statements of the weight of various measures of grain. As in I $9 \mathrm{v}+3456$ and IV 669 spelling and grammar are often faulty, and there is at least one mistake in terminology ( 31 n ., cf. 14 n .) as well as a wrong figure in one of the definitions ( 6 n .).

The other side contains remnants of money accounts in two columns, each upside down in respect to the other, separated by a clumsy sheet join.

It seems that some documents with blank backs were made up into a roll to take the metrological text, in which the writing runs across the fibres.

Four small detached bits do not belong to either column transcribed below and give no connected sense. The largest ( $3.5 \times 1.5 \mathrm{~cm}$.) reads ] $\eta$. [ $\left.{ }^{2}\right] \iota \kappa \eta$ [....] каi $\beta a\left[c \iota \lambda \iota \kappa \grave{\eta}(?)^{3}\right] \dot{\eta} \delta \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \in \iota \circ \pi \omega \lambda \iota \kappa \dot{\eta}$. [. If the following word was $\mu[\nu \hat{\alpha}$, this fragment presumably comes from the continuation of the passage which begins in 43-6.

Preliminary work on the text was dohe by R. A. Coles. The abbreviation MSR in the notes refers to F. Hultsch, Metrologicorum Scriptorum Reliquiae vols. I and II (Leipzig, 1864 and I866).

Two or three lines lost.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { [ c. I3 ].[ } \\
& \text {. . . . . . . . . . .] } \eta \delta \alpha[
\end{aligned}
$$



$15[\lambda \omega \nu] . \bar{\beta}, \dot{\alpha} \gamma \epsilon \lambda \epsilon ́ \omega \nu \delta \dot{\epsilon}[\square]$.







[стали̂рас] $\bar{\beta}, \delta \rho а \chi \mu a ̀ c ~(\delta \rho а \chi \mu a ̀ c ~ ? ') ~ \eta . ~$

Col. ii

[..]. єтаı $\delta \rho a \chi \mu \grave{\epsilon}(\delta \rho a \chi \mu \grave{\epsilon})$ ' $\Delta \gamma \rho \alpha ́ \mu \mu \alpha \underset{\sim}{[\tau \alpha}$

ả $\rho \gamma \dot{p} \rho \iota a$ (vac.) .'S, $\mu \nu \alpha \hat{\imath} \imath$. [
тò $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \mu \nu \alpha \gamma \iota \alpha i ̂ o v ~ \check{\epsilon} \chi \iota ~ \chi \rho v c o \grave{v}\langle c\rangle \bar{\delta}$,
$\tau \epsilon \tau \alpha ́ \rho \tau \alpha \iota \overline{\iota \varsigma}, \theta \epsilon ́ \rho \mu o v\langle c\rangle \overline{\xi \delta}$,
$\kappa \epsilon \rho \alpha ́ \tau \iota \alpha \overline{\rho \kappa \eta}$. ò $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \tau o \hat{v} \chi \rho v \subset[o \hat{v}$
 $\kappa \in \rho a ́ \tau \iota a \overline{\lambda \beta}$. ò סє̀ $\theta \epsilon ́ \rho \mu$ оuc
єॅХ $\kappa \epsilon \rho a ́ \tau \iota \alpha \bar{\beta} . \dot{\eta} \delta \dot{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon \tau \alpha \dot{\rho} \tau \tau$


$\nu .[.] \tau \alpha \iota$ ò $\kappa \kappa \alpha \iota 兀$.[...].[
$\dot{\eta} \tau[o \hat{v}] \pi v \rho o \hat{v}(\dot{\alpha} \rho \tau \alpha \dot{\beta} \eta) \stackrel{a}{\gamma} \downarrow \iota \dot{o} \lambda \kappa \hat{\eta} \subset \tau \alpha[\lambda \alpha ́ v \tau o v(\tilde{\eta} \mu \iota c v)$, ]
$\grave{\eta} \delta \dot{\epsilon}[\tau] \hat{\eta} \subset \kappa \rho \iota \theta \hat{\eta} \subset \mu \nu \hat{a} \subset \overline{\kappa \delta},[\dot{\eta} \delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\eta} \subset$ ỏdú-]

$40 \quad \dot{\eta} \delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\eta} \subset \kappa \rho \iota \theta \hat{\eta} c(\delta \rho a \chi \mu \dot{\alpha} c ?) \varsigma \mu, \dot{\eta} \delta[\dot{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\eta} c]$
 $\dot{\eta} \delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\eta} c \kappa \rho \iota \theta \hat{\eta}[c]$ ( $\delta \rho a \chi \mu a \dot{c}) \xi, \dot{\eta} \delta \dot{\epsilon}[\tau \hat{\eta} c \dot{\partial} \lambda \hat{\prime} \rho \eta c]$
 ai $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \mu \nu a i ̂ ~ \delta \iota a \phi \epsilon ́ \rho o u c ı \nu a ̉ \lambda \lambda[\eta \prime \lambda \omega \nu$.]
$45 \dot{\eta} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \dot{\alpha} \rho \gamma \nu \rho \iota \kappa \grave{\eta}$ каi $\dot{\alpha} \rho \iota \theta[\mu \eta \tau \iota \kappa \grave{\eta} \mu \nu \hat{\alpha}]$ $\stackrel{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \chi \iota \subset \tau а \tau \hat{\eta} \rho a c \overline{\kappa \epsilon},(\delta \rho a \chi \mu \dot{\alpha} c) \delta \grave{\epsilon} \bar{\rho}[$

'That of iron weighs . . . weighs 35 (?) staters.
The so-called Ptolemaic chus has a length of one cubit, a breadth of 24 [sic for 12] dactyls, a depth of 24 dactyls, so that the measurements multiplied together give a chus of 288 square dactyls and 6,912 cubic ones. The Nicomedian.... in which... are bought, has a length of one cubit, a breadth of . . . dactyls, a depth of 8 (?) dactyls, so that the Nicomedian cubit [sic; for chus ?] consists of...square dactyls and...cubic ones. The cubic cubit has a length of one cubit, a breadth of 24 dactyls, a depth of 24 dactyls, so that the cubic cubit consists of 576 square dactyls and ${ }_{13}, 824$ cubic ones.

The litre of uncoined silver contains 12 ounces, 24 staters, 96 drachmas. The ounce contains 2 staters, 8 drachmas. The stater contains 4 drachmas.
$\ldots 4,000$ drachmas (dr.)...scruples.
The litre contains $40(+$ ?) gold denarii, ( 56 or 86 ) silver pieces, $10(+$ ?) minas....
The mnaeion contains 4 gold pieces, 16 quarters, 64 thermoi, 128 carats. The gold piece contains 4 staters [sic for quarters], 16 thermoi, 32 carats. The thermos contains 2 carats. The quarter contains 4 thermoi, 8 carats. The thermos contains 2 carats.
... The artab of wheat holds $\frac{1}{2}$ talent by weight, that of barley 24 minas, that of olyra 14 (minas). The metron of wheat weighs 300 drachmas, that of barley 240 dr ., that of olyra 140 dr . The choenix of wheat weighs 75 dr ., that of barley 60 dr ., that of olyra 35 dr . Every talent has 60 minas of its own standard, but the minas differ from one another; for the monetary and accounting mina contains 25 staters, ioo dr....'

2-4 Evidently this passage, like $36-43$ below, states the weight of various substances that could be held in some unit of capacity, but too much is lost to determine the unit concerned. If the feminine article is accurate, the котúd $\eta$ would be a reasonable guess.

2 At the end, perhaps $\hat{\eta} \delta^{\prime} \dot{a}[p \gamma v \rho o \hat{c}$.
3 E.g., $\mu\left[\frac{\epsilon}{v} \nu\right.$ or some number of $\mu[\nu \hat{a} c$.
4-10 An Egyptian chus equal to the Attic one has long been known (MSR if 226-7, s.v. yoûc 3), but its dimensions are given here for the first time.
$6 \pi \hat{\eta} \chi(\nu v) \bar{\epsilon} \nu a$ : the length of each of the three measures described here and below is given as one cubit, which the mathematics shows to have its usual value of 24 dactyls. The remaining sides are measured in dactyls even when the number is $24=\mathrm{I} \pi \hat{\eta} \chi u c$.
$\delta a \kappa \tau \dot{\psi}[\lambda \omega]_{\varphi} \overline{\kappa \delta}: \overline{\kappa \delta}$ is a mistake for $\iota \beta$, 12. This correction in the width is required by the total 288 in 9 (cf. next note), and without it the chus would have the same dimensions as a cubic $\pi \hat{\eta} \chi \chi c$, which was in fact twice as large, see lines 10 and 20.
${ }^{8-10} \chi$ vóaioc and aj $\gamma \epsilon \lambda a \hat{i o c}$ are used in this papyrus for 'square' and 'cubic' respectively. Neither meaning is found in LSF, Suppl., or WB, but the latter was suggested by F. Hultsch in MSR I 37 n . 2. The arithmetic is: $1 \pi \hat{\eta} \chi u c$ or 24 dactyls $\times 12$ dac. ( 24 pap., see last note) $=288$ square dac.; $\times 24=6,912$ cubic dac.
${ }^{10}$ Nıкои $\delta_{\imath \kappa \grave{\rho}}$ [..]ıc: no 'Nicomedian' measure of any kind appears elsewhere in metrological writings. Line 14 calls this measure a $\pi \hat{\eta} \chi u c$. That is elsewhere known only as a unit of length, whereas
the measure described here is one of capacity. If the terminology in 14 is none the less accurate, the word was here spelled $[\pi \hat{\eta} \chi o$ ] cc, as in 16 , but there is hardly room for that: the space rather suggests [xó]ı. On the size and shape of the measure see 15 n .

12 The traces after the lacuna are not ¢̣yóc. Probably one should restore a figure followed by $\pi$ ]áx $\neq c$, which would then have been written twice by mistake.
${ }_{13-14} N_{\iota \kappa о}[\mu \eta \delta]_{\kappa \kappa о ́ v: ~ t h e ~ s u p p o s e d ~}^{\kappa}$ is difficult. Possibly the scribe wrote $N_{\iota \kappa о \mu \eta \delta \iota a i o v ~ i n s t e a d ~ o f ~}^{\text {a }}$ Nікоиךбוко́г.
$14 \pi \hat{\eta} \chi \nu$ y is probably a mistake for $\chi$ oûv, see n. 10. Cf. perhaps 3 I, where $с \tau a \tau \hat{\eta} p a c$ was written for тєта́ртас.

15 The final $\beta$ in the number of square dactyls is virtually certain, as the alternative, $\theta$, would require an unlikely fraction in the measure of breadth. Assuming that $\beta$ is correct, and that the breadth did not exceed a cubit, the choice of figures for the lacuna in 12 is limited to $3,8,13,18$, and 23 dactyls. The first of these would give a surface of 72 sq. dac., and op can be read here: the alternatives, 192 , 312,432 , and 552 sq. dac., are palaeographically unlikely.

72 sq. dac. multiplied by the very probable depth of 8 dac. in 13 would give a volume of 576 cubic dac. 576 cub. dac. is also the capacity of the котú $\lambda$, while none of the other figures yields a recognizable measure, or one which forms a plausible proportion of a known measure. With some reservation, then,

 'the length one cubit, the breadth 3 dactyls, the depth 8 dactyls, so that the Nicomedian chus (?) has 72 square dactyls, 576 cubic ones'. This view has the advantage of finding in the Nicomedian unit a well-known measure under a different name, rather than an entirely new measure. If correct, though, it seems very odd that such an unusual name should be given to the common котúd $\eta$, and the long, narrow, deep shape also seems peculiar. But perhaps the shape was the distinguishing feature.

21-4 The definitions are all commonplace. ácq́ $\mu o v$ in 21 may be meant to show that this passage treats of the $\lambda_{i}^{\prime} \rho a$ and its metrological subdivisions as general units of weight, whereas $26-7$ may speak of the number of coins which were physically struck from a pound of gold or silver (see p. 102, n. I). Line 24 shows that cтaт $\hat{\eta} \rho a<$ rather than the synonymous $\eta \mu$ нóvкıa is wanted in 22-3.
$23 \delta \rho a \chi \mu \dot{\alpha}$ ( $\delta \rho a \chi \mu \dot{a} c$ ?): the drachma sign was repeated before the numeral, as also in 25 and perhaps 24.

25 I can find no unit in which $4,000 \mathrm{dr}$. are significant, and it is hard to see how this line can have
 dr.? 12,000 scruples.'

26-7 A 'golden denarius' presumably means an aureus (cf. MSR il 171 s.v. $\delta \eta$ иápıov 8 ); if so, 40 of them would have the same value as 10 minas (27), both being equivalent to 1,000 (Attic) drachmas or standard denarii. This is reminiscent of the 'litre of gold' containing 1,000 miliarensia (MSR II 190, s.v. גítpa 6), but miliarensia are not elsewhere to my knowledge called drachmas or denarii, and I see no way of fitting the áprúpia into this pattern. It may be relevant that 1,000 Attic drachmas equal 4,000 Alexandrian ones, the figure found in 25 ; but the figures for both aurei and minas are less than certain, cf. following notes. ${ }^{1}$

26 A figure may have been lost after $\bar{\mu}[$.
${ }^{1}$ Dr C. E. King has pointed out to me that this passage might reflect the monetary system under Augustus: at that time 40 aurei were in fact struck to the pound of gold. According to Pliny, $\mathrm{HN}_{33}$, 46, I32, denarii in the late Republic were struck at 84 to the pound of silver, and the same has been calculated for the early Empire from the weights of surviving coins (H. Mattingly, Coins of the Roman Empire in the British Museum I (London, 1923), xliv). This comes extremely close to the 86 that can be read here: the difference could arise from reckoning with pure as against alloyed silver. This is the most promising suggestion that I have found; against it should be pointed out that while 10 minas are exactly equal to 40 aurei in value, they cannot be equated with 86 denarii either in value or in weight.

27 The number after ápyúpıa was 56 or 86 .
$\mu \nu a \hat{i}$ is for $\mu \nu \hat{a} c$, unless $\mu \nu a \iota(a \hat{i} a)$ was intended. The number was 10 , followed by the first letter of a word; or perhaps $i \xi, 14$.

28-35 Cf. 3456 15-17, where the figures sometimes differ because the $\tau \epsilon \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho \tau \eta$ there is reckoned as 6 Óf $\rho \mu \mathrm{ol}$ instead of 4 . Both texts are internally consistent.
$28 \mu \nu a \gamma \iota a i o v:$ for the various spellings of this word see F. T. Gignac, Grammar 1, 72; cf. 34601. $\chi \rho u c o \dot{u}\langle c\rangle \bar{\delta}$ : i.e. roo drachmas, as expected.
30 The noun lost after $\chi \rho \nu \subset[0 \hat{v}$ was probably vov $\mu \mu o c$. Again the aureus is meant.
31 ctat $\hat{\eta} \rho a c$ is a mistake for $\tau \epsilon \tau$ áptac.
$32-3 \dot{\delta} \delta \dot{\epsilon} \ldots \kappa \epsilon \rho a ́ \tau \iota a \bar{\beta}$ : this piece of information is out of order here. It is repeated in its proper place, 35.
 $c \pi \xi[\rho \mu a ́] \tau[\omega \nu$. Either would suit the following list well, but it is hard to think of a suitable sentence. The
 to in weight?' The ink trace after $\pi$.[...] is too indistinct to help.
$37 \tau \alpha\left[\lambda a ́ v \tau o v\left(\eta \eta_{\mu}(c)\right.\right.$ ) : the weights for wheat in this and the following lines are entirely lost, while those for barley and olyra are preserved or restorable from figures in the text. One-half talent here is none the less as good as certain: the figure must be one or more whole talents, or a reasonable fraction of a talent, possibly with the addition of some minas or drachmas; and the amount must exceed the figure for barley ( 24 minas, 38), as the grains are listed in descending order of weight. Exactly $\frac{1}{2}$ talent gives a wheat-barley ratio of $60: 48$. This is too close to the modern real ratio to be wrong: a bushel of wheat weighs about 60 lb ., one of barley about 50 . (The figures were tested by R. A. Coles with the assistance of Grain Silos (Oxford) Ltd at Kidlington, Oxfordshire.)

38-9 d̀ $\lambda \dot{u}] \rho \eta c: ~ H . ~ C a d e l l ~ i n ~ P r o c e e d i n g s ~ o f ~ t h e ~ T w e l f t h ~ I n t e r n a t i o n a l ~ C o n g r e s s ~ o f ~ P a p y r o l o g y ~(T o r o n t o, ~$ 1970), 74-5 identifies ö $\lambda u \rho a$ as durra, Indian millet.
$39 \mu \dot{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\tau} \rho \rho\left[\nu:\right.$ used in the technical sense of $\frac{1}{10}$ artab; cf. 9 verso $8,34587-8$, SB vi 9406, 122 etc. The symbol for $\frac{1}{10}$ artab in P. Michael. 62 as corrected by B. Boyaval in ZPE 26 (1977) 253-61 was presumably vocalized as $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \tau \rho o v$.
$40 \xi \mu$ : apparently written without a stroke to mark the numeral, as also $\xi$ in 42 .
41 रúvı; reckoned as $\frac{1}{40}$ artab. Cf. ZPE 24 (1977) 55-67; 3458 7-10.
43 The restoration follows MSR i 300. ıо.
45 Minas are not elsewhere called áp $\gamma u \rho \iota \kappa \dot{\eta}$ or á $\rho \iota \theta \mu \eta \tau \iota \kappa \dot{\eta}$, but the numbers of staters and drachmas given here are normal.

## 3456. Metrological fragment

No inv. no.
$43.5 \times 1 \mathrm{Icm}$.
Third/fourth century
3456 is the continuation of 9 verso (vol. I, pp. 77-9), to which it joins directly; the front side of the papyrus has been published together with a re-edition of 9 recto as XXXIV 2687. The metrological definitions printed below are preceded by four mutilated columns of figures. The first of these shows remnants of only two lines, bracketed as if to cancel them. The remainder are tables of fractions similar to ZPE 6 (1970) I42, P. Mich. III 146-7, and the Achmim papyrus published by J. Baillet in Mémoires publiées par les membres de la Mission Archéologique Française, vol. 9. i (Paris, 1892), giving sevenths and eighths of a series of whole numbers from I


 'A 7 th of $I$ is $\frac{1}{7}$. Of $2, \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{28}$. Of $3, \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{14} \frac{1}{42}$. Of $4, \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{14}$. Of $5, \frac{2}{3} \frac{1}{21}$. Of $6, \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{42}$. Of 7 , I.' Col. iv (8ths): 'Of $50,6 \frac{1}{4}$. Of $60,7 \frac{1}{2}$. Of $70,80 \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{4}$. Of $80, \frac{1}{10}$. Of 90 , I I $\frac{1}{4}$. Of 100,
$12 \frac{1}{2}$. Of 200, 25.' Cf. also the table of fractions associated with XXXIII 2656, described in E. G. Turner, New Fragments of the Misoumenos of Menander (BICS Suppl. No. 17: 1965), pp. ı8-19.

The papyrus is now in Trinity College, Dublin (Pap. B 1). A preliminary transcript was made by R. A. Coles. I repeat 15 and 16 of 9 v with some corrections and continue the numeration of that text.
 $\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \stackrel{\zeta}{\zeta}$,
 $\mu \nu a-$

 ठغ̀ кєра́тı $\omega \nu$
 $\langle\dot{\eta}\rangle \mu ı o ́ v к \iota a \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \bar{\beta}$,
 ои ккí $\omega \nu, \gamma \rho а \mu \mu \dot{\tau} \tau \omega \nu \quad 23$ 1. $\gamma \rho \dot{\mu} \mu \mu a$
'The mnaeion has sixteen (16) quarters and the quarter has 6 thermoi, twelve (i2) carats. The thermos has 2 carats, so that the mnaeion consists of 96 thermoi, 192 carats. The mnaeion weighs 8 drachmas, the quarter three obols, the thermos one-half obol, the carat 2 chalci. The litre has 12 ounces, and the ounce has 2 half-ounces, 24 grams. The half-ounce has 12 grams, so that the litre consists of 24 half-ounces, 288 grams. The litre weighs 96 drachmas, the ounce 8 dr ., the half-ounce 4 dr , the gram two obols.'

15-17 Cf. 3455 28-35 and note.
17-23 These definitions are for the most part the standard ones: only the weight of the mnaeion ( $8 \mathrm{dr} .=1 \mathrm{oz}$.) was not known before. For the $\tau \in \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho \tau \eta$ of 3 ob . ( $\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{dr}$.) see MSR I 301. 8. Thermoi of 2 and of $\mathrm{I} \frac{1}{2}$ siliquae are attested in metrological writings (MSR I1 180 , s.v. $\theta \epsilon \in \rho \circ \mathrm{c}$ ) ; $\frac{1}{2}$ ob. per thermus in 18 implies the lighter weight, as 1 ob. $=3$ sil.

## 3457-3460. Metrological fragments

The following papyri add nothing to our knowledge of metrology, as the surviving portions are all covered by $9 \mathrm{v}+3456$, but they are printed here as specimens of further copies of what must have been current definitions of some common terms in Oxyrhynchus during the early centuries of this era. Preliminary transcripts of 3457-9 were made by R. A. Coles.
3457. Metrological fragment

Written along the fibres in an unligatured hand. The text corresponds to $9 \mathrm{v}+\mathbf{3 4 5 6} 8-\mathrm{r} 6$, though the order of subjects treated is not always the same. The back is blank.

```
[....]...]..[.....].[
```












'.. . and the metron has 4 choenices, so that the artaba consists of 40 choenices. The metretes has 12 choes and the chus has 12 cotylas, so that the metretes consists of 144 cotylas. The medimnus has 12 hemihekta and the hemihekton has 4 choenices, so that the medimnus consists of 48 choenices. The cubit has 6 palms and the palm has 4 digiti, so that the cubit consists of 24 digiti. The mnaeion has 16 quarters and the quarter has ( 4 or 6 ) thermoi...

I The first preserved trace is probably 'S, 6,000, from a discussion of the divisions of a talent (cf.
 that the talent consists of ) 6,000 drachmas, 42,000 obols. The artaba has 10 metra', etc. The supplement at the end seems rather long, but it cannot well be shifted earlier in the line.

II The figure after $\theta$ 'िpuove was presumably $\bar{\delta}\left(\mathbf{3 4 5 5} 33^{-4}\right)$ or $\bar{\zeta}\left(\mathbf{3} 456{ }_{15}, \mathbf{3 4 6 0} 2-4\right)$.
3458. Metrological fragment

A very damaged text corresponding to 9 v 5-14, written against the fibres on the back of a dozen mutilated lines from a cursive document. A few letters from three lines of a second column, at the level of col. i io-12, do not warrant transcription.
［．］．［
$\grave{\eta} \delta \grave{\epsilon} \mu[\nu \hat{\alpha}$ 光 $\chi \epsilon \iota<\tau \alpha \tau \hat{\eta} \rho a c \overline{\kappa \epsilon}$,

$\tau \grave{\eta} \rho \nLeftarrow[\chi \epsilon] \iota \delta \rho a \chi \mu \dot{\alpha} c \bar{\delta}, \stackrel{\omega}{\omega}[c \tau \epsilon]$

$\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$＇$A \phi, \delta \rho a \chi \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \grave{\epsilon}$＇S，ỏ $\beta o-$
$\lambda \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \dot{\epsilon}$ 〇 $\delta^{\prime} B$ ．${ }^{\prime \prime} \chi \epsilon \iota \dot{\eta} \dot{\alpha} \rho \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \beta \eta \mu \dot{\mu}-$




 $\mu \epsilon ́ \delta \iota \mu \nu \circ \nu[\chi \circ] \iota \nu i ́ \kappa \omega \nu \overline{\mu \eta}$ ．${ }^{\prime \prime} \chi \in \iota[\delta \dot{\delta}]$
$\pi \hat{\eta} \chi \cup \subset \pi \alpha \lambda[\epsilon \subset] \tau \dot{\alpha} \subset \bar{\zeta}, \dot{o} \delta \dot{\epsilon} \pi \alpha-$
$\lambda \epsilon \subset \tau \eta ̀ \subset \epsilon \not{\epsilon} \chi[\epsilon \iota \delta a \kappa] \tau$ údouc $[\bar{\delta}, \ddot{\omega} c-]$ $\tau \epsilon \epsilon i \hat{\nu}$ aı $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu[\pi \hat{\eta} \chi \nu \nu \delta \alpha \kappa \tau u ́ \lambda \omega \nu \overline{\kappa \delta}$ ．］
${ }_{\epsilon} \epsilon[\chi \in \iota \dot{o} \quad \mu \epsilon \tau \rho \eta \tau \dot{\eta} \subset \chi$ д́ac $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\beta}, \dot{o} \delta \dot{\epsilon}]$
$\chi \circ \epsilon \hat{u ̣ c} \subset$ 光 $\chi[\epsilon \iota$ котúdac $\iota \bar{\beta}, \stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \subset \tau \epsilon \epsilon \hat{i}-]$
$\nu \alpha \iota \tau \grave{\partial} \nu \mu[\epsilon \tau \rho \eta \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ котv $\lambda \hat{\omega} \nu \overline{\rho \mu \delta}$ ．］

## 

＇．．．and the mina has 25 staters or one hundred drachmas，and the stater has 4 drachmas，so that the talent consists of 1,500 staters， 6,000 drachmas， $4^{2,000}$ obols．The artaba has 10 metra and the metron has 4 choenices，so that the artaba consists of 40 choenices．The medimnus has 12 hemihekta and the hemihekton has 4 choenices，so that the medimnus consists of 48 choenices．The cubit has 6 palms and the palm has 4 digiti，so that the cubit consists of 24 digiti．The metretes has 12 choes and the chus has 12 cotylas，so that the metretes consists of 144 cotylas．＇

6－7 $\delta^{\delta} \beta \circ \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \dot{\delta} \not \overbrace{}^{\prime} \delta^{\prime} B$ ：counting 7 obols to the drachma，as $9 \mathrm{v} 2-3$ ．Cf．L．C．West and A．C．Johnson， Currency in Roman and Byzantine Egypt（Princeton，1949）， 9 ff．and 46 ff．；P．Cair．Mich．359，vol．II， pp． 7 ff．

## 3459．Metrological fragment

32 4B．90／D（4－6）c
$8 \times 5 \mathrm{~cm}$ ．
Third century
Written against the fibres on a strip of papyrus cut from a list of names with amounts of money in drachmas and obols．The column printed，corresponding to 9 v $9^{-1} 3$ ，is complete on all sides．To the left，a few letters from the preceding column， describing the talent and the artaba，are preserved；to the right，traces from a column
of uncertain subject matter．The coronis occurs twice，once in each intercolumniation． Numerals are marked by a high dot to the right in addition to the usual superlinear stroke．

|  | $\mathrm{il}^{11}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  <br>  |
|  | є̈ Хєь Хоívıкас $\bar{\delta}$ ，$\check{\omega}$ с－ $\tau \epsilon \epsilon i v a \iota \tau o ̀ v \mu \epsilon ́ \delta \iota \mu \nu o(\nu)$ |
| 5 | хоьขік $\omega \nu \overline{\mu \eta}$ ． |
|  |  <br>  <br> סактúdouc $\bar{\delta}$ ，巛̈стє єí－ <br> $\nu a \iota ~ \tau \grave{o v} \pi \hat{\eta} \chi \nu \nu \delta a \kappa \tau \check{\chi} \lambda \omega \nu \overline{\kappa \delta}{ }^{\prime}$ |

$2 \eta \mu \iota \epsilon \kappa \tau \bar{o}+\mu \epsilon \delta \iota \mu \nu \bar{o}$
col．ii．＇The medimnus has 12 hemihekta and the hemihekton has 4 choenices，so that the medimnus consists of 48 choenices．The cubit has 6 palms and the palm has 4 digiti，so that the cubit consists of 24 digiti．＇

5 The forked paragraphus beneath this line is accompanied by a coronis in both left and right margins．

3460．Metrological fragment
5 1 B．57／J（f）
$9.5 \times 4.5 \mathrm{~cm}$.
Second／third century
These five lines preserve another instance of the $\tau \epsilon \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho \tau \eta$ reckoned as $6 \theta^{\prime} \rho \mu o \iota$ ； cf． 3456 15－16 and 3455 28－35 n．The text is written parallel to the fibres．On the back，remnants of a text written against the fibres．
[. . . . .]
$[\tau \epsilon \tau \alpha \dot{\rho} \tau \alpha] \subset \bar{\iota}, \dot{\eta} \delta \grave{\epsilon}$
$[\tau \epsilon \tau \alpha ́ \rho \tau]$ ！${ }^{\epsilon} \chi \chi \in$
$\theta \epsilon ́ \rho \mu o v c \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu 弓$ 弓，
$5 \kappa \epsilon \rho a ́ \tau \iota a \delta \grave{\epsilon} \llbracket \bar{\zeta} \rrbracket \bar{\iota}$
$5 \epsilon$ in кєра́тьа corr．from $a$
＂The mnaeion（has） 16 quarters and the quarter has 6 thermi， 12 carats．＇
 in VI 905 6；cf．F．Gignac，Grammar，I， 72.

2 There is a trace after $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$, probably an offset or stray ink．

## V. OFFICIAL DOCUMENTS

3461. Record of Transfer Tax on House

A document recording details of the house and property bought by Ammonas son of Hierax from Diomedes and Charia, on which a transfer tax ( $\epsilon \gamma \kappa u ́ \kappa \lambda \iota o \nu)$ of $5 \%$ was payable, cf. UPZ if pp. 88 ff ., P. Hib. I 70 (a) introd. The sale was not regarded as completed until this tax had been paid and the sale registered with the appropriate authority, at this time the agoranomus, cf. R. Taubenschlag, Law ${ }^{2}, 32 \mathrm{I}$.

The original sale in this case had been made 'according to Egyptian contracts', i.e. contracts drawn up in demotic following the provisions of Egyptian law, cf. Taubenschlag, $L a w^{2}, 319 \mathrm{n}$. 1 . Typical examples are the demotic contracts from Socnopaeu Nesus published by E. A. E. Reymond in Bull. Ryl. Libr. 49 (1966-7) $464-96$ and $52(1969-70) 218-30$. In cases of this type a summary of the contract was made in Greek and this served as the basis for the tax record, cf. UPZ II $163-9$; 173 -5; i 82-4; 188.

The back of the papyrus is blank except for some smudges of ink down the right-hand edge.

```
                    \betaас\iota\lambdaє́сс\etaс K\lambdaєо\piа́т\rhoася
                    (\epsilon'тоvс) \varsigma M\epsilonсо(\rho\età) к\zeta.
                        (vac.)
                            'A\mu\mu\omega\nu\hat{c 'I'́\rhoак[о]с.. \tau\epsiloń\lambdaос}
                                    оікі́ас тр\iotaсстє́\gammaои к[...]\rhoопс.[.]....
```



```
                \mu\epsilońрос т\hat{\etaс оікі́ас, та́\nuт\omega\nu \betaíксш%}
                \epsilon€\xi
                каi \tau\hat{\etaс \epsilonic \tauаи́\tau\alpha\iota \epsilonicó\delta(ov) каi [दै\xió\deltaov}
                каi \tau\hat{\omega}v счкч\rhoо́v\tauب\nu [\piá\nu\tau\tau\nu
```



```
                \mu\epsilońс\etaс тота\rho\rho[\chiíac, äс є́\omega\nu\eta'сато
            \pi\alpha\rho(\grave{a})}\Delta\iotaо\mu\eta\prime\delta\etaс[ c. IO-I 5
            каi Xapíac.[.].[ с. ло ката̀
            Ai\gamma(v\pi\tauíac) cvv\gamma\rho(a\phiàc) .[ с. I5
```



'Year six of Queen Cleopatra, Mesore 26.
'Ammonas son of Hierax: tax on a three-storeyed house ...a courtyard on the south-western side of the house, in all six and a half bikoi....and rights of entrance and exit to these and all appurtenances, situated in the village of... in the Middle toparchy, which he purchased from Diomedes son of... and from Charia...according to Egyptian contracts. .

1-2 The date has been converted according to the tables of P. W. Pestman, Chronologie égyptienne (P. Lugd-Bat. xv), 9-18.

4 After ]ppc the remains look most like an eta; not therefore, каi $\tau \hat{\eta} c \pi \rho o c o v ́ c \eta c, ~ c f . ~ F . ~ L u c k h a r d t, ~$ Privathaus, 53. Just possible is $\kappa[$ ai $\pi] \rho Q \subset \kappa[u p] \varphi u ́ \varepsilon \eta \xi$, cf. BGU 1275.6 , but this would be cramped.

5 For the courtyard as an integral part of the dwelling see Bull. Ryl. Libr. 48 (1965-6) 459-60, Taubenschlag, $L a w^{2}, 243$.

6 The extent of the bikos is still unknown, cf. XLVII 3334. 8 n .
7 inicov. For this form of the genitive see Mayser I ii ${ }^{2} 55$.
$\dot{\omega} \subset \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\varepsilon} \varphi$. [. . ] $]_{\xi \pi \iota \tau}$ [. After nu the traces look like omega. The context suggests a formula covering a slight
 This formula, which is quite common in property contracts, is found with a number of variations such as P. Lond. II 401. 12 (p. 14), BGU 11543.5 , IV 1158 . 9, P. Tebt. II 382. 13, cf. H. H. July, Die Klauseln hinter den Massangaben der Papyrusurkunden, but I have been unable to find a precise parallel. For constructions of this type with present and aorist subjunctive after ćáv see Mayser il i 263-5.

9 сұкүро́vтши. For loss of nasal before kappa see Mayser i i ${ }^{2}{ }^{1} 64$. On the transfer of appurtenances see Taubenschlag, Law², 243 n. 16, K. Durst, Zubehör und Unternehmen im Rechte der Papyri (Giessen, 1938), 32 ff .

12 The gap contained Diomedes' patronymic, perhaps preceded by toû.
${ }_{1} 3$ Perhaps $\tau[\hat{\eta}]$ ¢ $[\gamma v v a \iota \kappa$ óc or $\tau[\hat{\eta}]$ ¢ $[\hat{a} \delta € \lambda \phi \hat{\eta} \subset$ vel sim.
13-14 катà $A i \gamma(v \pi \tau i a c)$ curyp(aфác). For the supplement and the expansion of the abbreviations cf. P. Hib. I 70 (b). $4^{-6}$.
14. [. After rho there is the lower left tip of a diagonal; restore perhaps $\chi[a \lambda \kappa o \hat{u}$, followed by the price of the house and the amount of transfer tax payable on it, cf. UPZ il $164,165$.

## 3462. Account

$344 \mathrm{~B} .77 / \mathrm{O}(3-4) \mathrm{a}$

## $16.5 \times 15 \mathrm{~cm}$.

First century B.C.
Only the foot and the ends of twelve lines of this account survive. The handwriting looks late Ptolemaic or early Roman, cf. M. Norsa, Scritture documentarie, Tav. Ix (PSI viII 968), R. Seider, Paläographie d. griech. Papyri, i no. 20. The back is blank.

The unit of account is represented by an abbreviation of unknown meaning, consisting of rho with a superscript arch which is probably a vestigial pi, see 2 n . This is followed by two figures. Where these are not identical, the second is the lower, suggesting that the first was an assessment, the second a figure valid at the time of writing. The units are booked to inhabitants of Oxyrhynchite villages, in one case to the elders of the village, and this is possibly to be understood in the other cases.

In 5 a new section begins with a heading, 'To the elders of Pela for the diazoma of Paimis'. The word $\delta_{\iota a ́} \zeta \omega \mu a$ has not appeared before in the papyri, and the only clue to its meaning here is that a canal is mentioned above in what may be a similar heading (2). It is used in Aristotle to refer to the diaphragm, and one of the other meanings of $\delta \dot{a} \dot{\alpha} \rho а \gamma_{\mu} a$ is a lock in a canal, see especially Diod. Sic. I 33 ad fin. Canal
and 'lock', if rightly interpreted, suggest that the papyrus has to do with work on the irrigation system. If so, one would expect the unit to be either the vaú $\beta \iota o \nu$, a cubic measure, or the cxoivoov, a linear measure. Perhaps $(\pi) \rho()$ is a $\rho$ art of or a cognate of $\pi \rho o c \tau i \theta \eta \mu$, indicating that these are additional units required from the villagers above their usual annual obligation, cf. P. Petrie III p. 343 line 74.

There is an interesting comparison to be made between this text and P. Mich. inv. 412 r , published in $Z P E 24$ (1977) 133-7, which contains a record of linear work on a canal assigned to various Oxyrhynchite villages, including three mentioned here. The editor pointed out in $12-24 \mathrm{n}$. that the amount of work was assigned in accordance with the size of the villages. The figures for the three villages can be tabulated as follows.

3462 P. Mich.

| Pela | 75 | 75 | $54^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | schoenia |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Seryphis | 60 | 40 | 20 | schoenia |
| Paimis | 15 | $15(30 ?)$ | 10 | schoenia |

It is not surprising that the ratios are not exactly the same, since P. Mich. is of the late third or early fourth century A.D. They are near enough to favour the idea that the figures in 3462 represent the assessment of a levy on the villages according to their size.

The two villages mentioned in 4 and 5 in connexion with a hitherto unknown canal called Munthoteu are of the Lower toparchy, those in lines $5-10$, where identifiable, are of the Western toparchy. The fact that they are in the same area geographically but fall administratively into two different toparchies suggests that the account was concerned only with a particular locality. Had it dealt with the whole nome, one might expect to have found the villages listed according to the official order of the toparchies, running from south to north, cf. X 1285, XIV 1659, 1747. The Lower toparchy would then have come last.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { тoic ảm]ò Cıvapú ( } \pi \text { ) } \rho() \xi \quad \mu \\
& \text {. . . . .]. . . . () L тoíc ảnò } \tau o \hat{v} T \rho u ́ \phi \omega \nu o c ~ ’ I c ı(\epsilon i ́ o v) \quad(\pi) \rho() \xi \mu
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ] Паî } \epsilon(\omega c) \delta \iota a ́ \zeta \omega \mu(\alpha)(\pi) \rho() \quad \text { © } \epsilon \quad \text { оє } \\
& \text { ] тoîc à } \pi \grave{o} C \epsilon \rho() \dot{o} \mu(o i ́ \omega c)(\pi) \rho() \quad \xi \quad \mu \\
& \tau о i] \text { с } \dot{\alpha} \pi o ̀ Z . \varphi() \quad(\pi) \rho() \kappa \epsilon \quad \kappa \epsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ( }^{\prime} \text { ívovтal) ' } A \chi{ }^{\text {ı }}
\end{aligned}
$$



' $\ldots$. and to those from the...... and Munthoteu canal pr() ? 50
To those from Sinary
. . . to those from Tryphonis Isieum
To the elders from Pela for the lock(?) of Paimis
To those from Ser(yphis?) likewise
To those from Z. .
To those from Paimis
To those from Senocomis
Total 1,610, of which(?) 540 (plus) 390 total 930.'

2 At the beginning $\delta \dot{\alpha} \zeta \omega(\mu a)$ cannot be read, though the basic form of the entry may be parallel


MovvOot $\epsilon$. The name is not listed in WB s.v. $\delta \omega \hat{\omega} \rho v \xi$ or in Abschnn. 16(a) and 23, nor is it in NB or Foraboschi, Onomasticon. The element Mouv- may represent an Egyptian word for new land formed by silting, cf. M. Drew-Bear, Le nome Hermopolite, 172. ©oтtúc is a common personal name, of which Өot $\epsilon \hat{v}$ may be the short genitive, cf. XLIII 31025 n ., cf. $9 f P$ 1 8 (1974) i61, note to line 9.

In the abbreviation $(\pi) \rho()$ the rho is surmounted by a rounded arch which looks like a cursive pi. Similar abbreviations are known to represent words beginning with $\pi \rho$-, such as $\pi \rho o ́, \pi \rho \hat{a} \gamma \mu a, \pi \rho o ́ \beta a \tau o \nu$, see P. Lond. i index 6(b), RE ila col. 2304. They are used for $\pi \rho o c-$ in compounds, see XL 291520 n ., P. Lond. iII index 8 (b), and even represent whole words compounded with $\pi \rho o ́ c$, e.g. $\pi \rho$ (oc $\beta \dot{\epsilon} \beta \eta \kappa \epsilon \nu)$, see H. C. Youtie, Scriptiunculae II, 745, and $\pi \rho($ oc $\delta \iota a \gamma \rho a \phi o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu a)$, see P. Lond. III index 8 (b).

It is not beyond the bounds of possibility that ( $\pi$ ) $\rho\left({ }_{\sigma} \beta\right.$ $\left.\beta a \tau a\right)$ could be intended here, for the double entries might indicate a comparison with the numbers of sheep declared for a previous registration, but in that case it is difficult to see what relevance the canal and 'lock' have, see introd.

In some abbreviations tho has its numerical value of ioo, e.g. $\mathbb{*}=(\dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \alpha \tau \sigma \nu \tau a ́ \rho) \chi(\eta c)$. One sort of schoenion, the $\hat{i} \epsilon \rho a \tau \iota \kappa \dot{\nu} \nu$ c., has 1 оo cubits, but $\pi(\eta \chi \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \kappa a \tau o ́ \nu)$ seems an unlikely way out of the difficulty.

4 The traces at the beginning of the line suggest an entry similar to the others, running $\tau 0 \hat{c}$ à $] \pi \dot{o}$ $A \pi$. (), but there is no known place-name which suits. It is also hard to find a suitable expansion for
 $G d z$. p. xlv. The letter after $A \pi$ is superscript, and might possibly be epsilon or eta.
 For village elders in general see $B A B 3^{8}$ (1952) 95-1 30, 467-532.

$6 \delta \iota a ́ \zeta \omega \mu(a)$. See introd. The village of Paimis was north of Oxyrhynchus and very close to it, see XII 1475. 22 n .
$7 C_{\epsilon \rho}()$. The form of the abbreviation, an L-shaped sign raised above rho, recalls the so-called 'Hakenalpha', see Archiv I ( $1900-1$ ) 362, and suggests that alpha should be the next letter. However, $C_{\epsilon} \rho(\dot{v} \phi \epsilon \omega c)$ is a very likely expansion, since this is the name of a large village in the Western toparchy, the toparchy into which Pela, Paimis, and Senocomis also fall.
$8 Z . \varphi()$. No suitable place-name is known. Possibly $\left.\zeta_{\kappa \omega \omega( }\right)=\left({ }^{\prime} E \pi \tau a\right) \kappa \omega(\mu i a c)$ might be intended, but the only known villages of this name are in the Apollonopolite and Sebennyte nomes, see WB Abschn. 16(a) s.v.

9 The small superscript letter suits $a=1$ or $\lambda=30$. In one way $\lambda=30$ would be helpful, because the alteration of 15 to 30 here would correspond very well with the alteration in line 12 of 525 to 540 .

On the other hand it would destroy the regularity by which the first figure in each entry is always as large as or larger than the second, see introd. Since the diazoma was in Paimis, see 5-6, the villagers may have felt that it was in their interest to do more than the assessed amount.

12 The first symbol is a tall upright slightly inclined to the right. The foot, which descends below the base-line, is finished with a sharp hook upwards to the right. It is probably the equivalent of the L-shaped symbol for $\dot{\omega} \nu$, cf. 4 n .

The sum $540+390=930$ shows that the superscript $\mu$ was intended to alter 525 into 540 , although $\kappa \epsilon$ has not been cancelled, cf. 9 , where $\lambda=30$ perhaps replaces the uncancelled $\iota \epsilon=15$. The change in 12 looks like the consequence of the one in 9. Perhaps, therefore, the total 1,610 in line II is the total assessed, 930 the total reached to date, but it is not clear why there are two sub-totals of 540 and 390 . On the other hand 540 might be the total of the first set of figures in each entry and 390 the total of the second. In that case the totalling of the figures would show that the hypothesis of assessment and performance was wrong, and that the change in 12 was not the consequence of the one in 9 . It would also be difficult to explain the larger total in in.

## 3463. Application for the Ephebate

$$
364 \mathrm{~B} .92 / \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{I}-2) \mathrm{a} \quad 14.5 \times 36.5 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad \text { Between io January }
$$ and 29 August A.D. 58

An application by Heracleides, an Alexandrian citizen presumably resident in Oxyrhynchus, concerning the admission of his son Theon as an ephebus: on Alexandrians in the Chora see H. Braunert, Binnenwanderung, 55-8 and II3-22; on the Alexandrian ephebate, P. Jouguet, Vie municipale, $150-60$, W. Gdz. 139 ff ., O. Montevecchi, Papirologia, 183-4.

The papyrus is broken at the top left-hand corner and badly rubbed down the right-hand edge. There are large margins, with 7 cm . left blank at the top, 165 cm . at the bottom, and 2.3 cm . down the left-hand side. The writing, done in a smallish practised cursive similar to M. Norsa, Scritture Documentarie, Tav. xiv (top left), but with more ligaturing, therefore takes up less than half the sheet. The writer possibly expected the addressee to add a subscription dealing with his case. In the last four lines the writing becomes noticeably thinner and more difficult to read, suggesting that the scribe may have re-sharpened his pen at this point. The back is blank.

This piece is a useful addition to our knowledge of the process whereby boys were received into the Alexandrian ephebate, which was the customary method of entry to the Alexandrian citizenship during the Roman period, see P. M. Fraser, Ptolemaic Alexandria, I 77.

There are several applications for admission to the Alexandrian ephebate (W. Chr. $144=$ III 477; SB IV 7333; PSI XII I225 - with corrections in $B A S P_{12}$ (1975) I22-5; Ə才P 18 (1974) 177-8) and a recent study by C. A. Nelson in Akten XIII Int. Pap. Kongresses, 309-14, has discussed the various steps and groups of officials involved in the process. In addition W. Chr. 143 (P. Flor. I $57=111$ 382), 67-91 and SB v 756 I give some idea of the details.

The present document, however, differs from the normal applications in several respects. Perhaps the most interesting of these is the statement that at a ceremony held
in the Great Serapeum of Alexandria the boy had had his long hair cut off in honour of the city, see 6 n . His qualifications were deficient in at least three respects: he had not been presented at any of the presentation ceremonies held previously ( $\pi \alpha \rho a c t a ́ c \epsilon i c$ 19, another hitherto unknown feature of the procedure); his name was not in the lists of intending ephebes ( $\mu$ '́ $\lambda \lambda$ акєє 20) ; and he lacked certain documentary proofs of his status ( $\chi$ рóvó 21 ).

In the normal cases the applicant asked the exegetes and his colleagues to order
 to admit the boy. (For the identity of these unnamed officials see the discussion in the article by C. A. Nelson mentioned above.) In this case the applicant asked the exegetes to give orders directly to the cosmetes and gymnasiarch to admit the boy on the evidence of the official record of the ceremony of cutting his hair. The exegetes was also asked to verify this record and then to give instructions to other persons, whose titles are obscured by damage to the text, see 14 n ., 15 n . The phrase is not тоí $\pi \rho o ̀<~ \tau о и ́ \tau o \iota c ~ o u ́ c ı ~ o r ~ o i ́ c ~ к а Ө \dot{\eta} \kappa \epsilon \iota$; perhaps the most likely thing is that it covered both categories. In any case the exegetes was to tell them to subscribe the documents relating to the admission of the boy as an ephebe and not to raise difficulties because of the three deficiencies in his qualifications described above. The last three and a half lines, after a change to a thinner point, are not yet fully deciphered. There is a reference to the boy's $\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \rho \chi \dot{\eta}$, see 22 n ., and a mention of an Alexandrian tribe and deme.












$\pi \epsilon \phi \lambda \lambda \alpha \nu \theta \rho[\omega \pi] \eta \mu \epsilon ́ v o c$.
'To [Tiberius Clau]dius Potamon(?) priest, hypomnematographus [and exegetes, former archi]dicastes and strategus of the city, and to the Caesarei and the other prytaneis, from Heracleides son of Heracleides, of the Althaean deme, one of those who entered the ephebate in the twelfth year of Tiberius Caesar Augustus. My son Theon, born to Poseidonia daughter of...., citizeness, had his long hair cut off in honour of the city on the fifteenth of Tybi of the present year in the Great Serapeum, in the presence of the priest and hypomnematographus and exegetes. In accordance with your records made on that occasion and in the desire to complete the formalities of induction into the ephebes of the present fourth year of Nero Claudius Caesar Augustus Germanicus Imperator, I request (you) to order the cosmetes and the gymnasiarch currently in office to admit him to the ephebes of the same year, and, after examining the aforesaid records, to tell the...to subscribe for me the documents relating to his induction and ephebate, since he has been scrutinized by the reader who sends this memorandum, without their raising obstacles inasmuch as he has not been presented at the presentations which have taken place and is not in the lists of intending ephebes, or inasmuch as he is lacking certain extracts from the records...'

I $\left.T_{\iota} \beta \epsilon p_{i} \omega_{\iota} K \lambda \alpha \nu\right] \delta_{i} \psi_{\ell}$. Iota, omega and iota are certain. Delta is less sure, but the scribe regularly makes this letter with the diagonals crossing at the top and this fits the traces here. The name would be a fitting one given the status of the official and the date of the document. At this time Roman citizenship in Egypt was the personal gift of the emperor, whose names the new citizen would take, so that Potamon may have been one of those granted citizenship by Claudius, cf. XXVII 24713 n . As an Alexandrian citizen he was already a step on the way to Roman citizenship: Pliny, Epp. vi. 7. 1o. A Claudius Potamon appears in XLVI 3271 2, but there is no reason to identify him with this official.
 involving the superintendence of the prefect's chancery: XII 1412 1-3 n., cf. P. Jouguet, Vie municipale, $340-2$, P. Collomp, Chancellerie, 32 ff . and 47-8. I follow the view of F. Oertel, Liturgie, 351 1-4, that there was only one type of hypomnematographus. For a summary of other views, see P. Oxy. XL p. 3 1, and, for a general discussion of the various Alexandrian officials, P. M. Fraser, Ptolemaic Alexandria, I 93-105, especially 96-8.
 9-10 тoíc...i $\dot{\psi} \boldsymbol{T} \rho \mu \nu \eta \mu a \tau \iota[\mu]$ oíc $\langle c\rangle$ ov. The parallels show that the document is addressed to an exegetes. Two of them also have other offices held at the same time, in one case the neocorate (W. Chr. $144=$ III 477), in the other the supervision of the chrematistae, etc. (SB iv 7333). See also W. Chr. 143. 75-6, where the exegetes is also neocorus and $\bar{\epsilon} \pi i \quad \tau \hat{\eta} c \epsilon \dot{v} \theta \eta \nu i a c$.
$\left.{ }^{\dot{a} \rho \chi \iota}\right] \delta \iota \kappa a c \tau \hat{\eta} \iota$. For discussion of the functions of the archidicastes and list of known holders of the office, see A. Calabi, Aegyptus 32 (1952) 406-24. The latest list, in which Potamon does not appear, is in P. J. Sijpesteijn, The Family of the Tiberii Iulii Theones, 103-55.
${ }_{c \tau \rho}[a] \tau \eta \gamma \hat{\varphi} \tau \hat{\eta} c \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega c$ : captain of the civil guard at Alexandria, a Ptolemaic royal and later an Imperial appointment but ranking much lower in power and status than the strategi of the nomes, cf. P. Jouguet, Vie municipale, 193-5, F. Bilabel, RE iva i (1931) 247-9, R. Taubenschlag, Law², 575, A. H. M. Jones, CERP ${ }^{2}, 474-5, \mathrm{nn} .8$ and 1 о.

3 The traces at the beginning of the line are minimal and not certainly parts of the letters to which they are assigned, but they show that the address $(\mathrm{I}-3)$ was set out to the left, cf. e.g. PSI Xil i 225.
$\tau \rho[\hat{\iota} \text { Kaıca }]_{P}[\epsilon$ iocc $]$ : the imperial nominees included in Roman times on the executive board of the prytaneis, cf. A. H. M. Jones, CERP ${ }^{2}$, 303. For earlier interpretations see W. Gdz. 47, W. Chr. 144. 5 n., P. Jouguet, Vie municipale, 157.

4 'A $\lambda$ actécc: originally in the tribe Dionysia but later associated with a number of tribes, see below 23 n . On the Alexandrian demes in general see P. Jouguet, Vie municipale, $121-50$, P. M. Fraser, Ptolemaic Alexandria, I 39-46, and cf. P. Oxy. XXVII 2465, a fragment of Satyrus, On the Demes of
 in Ptolemaic times, and as ácтó in Roman times, the demotic always remained the correct designation for an Alexandrian to use in official documents, see $\mathscr{F} E A 48$ (1962) 122-3.

4-5 Year 12 Tiberius = A.D. 25/6. The designation of the year in which Heracleides obtained his citizenship through the ephebate, together with his patronymic and demotic, enables the authorities to check his name in the ephebic records, a necessary step in establishing his son's entitlement to citizen status, cf. P. Jouguet, Vie municipale, $150-60$.

5 The omicron of the article of $\dot{o} \gamma \epsilon \gamma \circ \omega \dot{\omega}$ is written roughly double the size of the other letters, indicating the beginning of the petition. For the use of a large initial letter to begin a new section, cf. III $4732=\mathrm{E}$. G. Turner, $G M A W$, no. 69 .

6 [.].od. .ov. The writing between $\lambda \lambda$ and ov looks like a cursive phi with the roundel entirely to the left of the vertical or like omega ligatured to a large iota. One might suspect that the name was ['A] $\pi o \lambda \lambda \omega \varphi$ fou with $\omega \nu$ written so fast that the nu actually disappeared. The position would also suit $\dot{\alpha} \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \hat{\eta} c$, see III 477 ( $=$ W. Chr. 144). I3, but this cannot be read.
áctîc: i.e. a citizeness of Alexandria, the normal meaning of the word at this period, cf. RIDA 4 (1950) 7-20, $\mathcal{F} E A 48$ (1962) 120 , P. M. Fraser, Ptolemaic Alexandria II II6, n. 24 . Heracleides states that his wife is an aste in order to prove his son's right to Alexandrian citizenship.



 s.v. oivict $\quad$ pía ( = Eupolis, fr. 135 Kock $=102$ Edmonds), Pollux Vi 22 (oivictpía).
 the note there to $\mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda 0-$, on the evidence of XII 1484, an invitation to dinner $\dot{v} \pi \grave{\epsilon} \rho \mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda о к о \cup \rho i ́ \omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $[\dot{a} \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \hat{\omega} \nu$ ?] $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\varphi} \Theta[o \eta \rho \epsilon i \varphi$. A photograph shows that the second letter of the key word is very rapidly written, but $\mu g \lambda \lambda_{0} \kappa \frac{v \rho i}{} \omega \nu$ is a possible reading and one strongly suggested by the present document. For [á $\delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \hat{\omega \nu}$ ?'] a more plausible conjecture would be [vī̂v $\mu o v$ ?'].
P. Lond. inv. 3078 may suggest that a celebration of a similar kind was held for girls, see $\mathscr{F} E A$. 6
 restoration.

7 II January A.D. 58. The year is given in line II.
$8 \tau \hat{\omega}[\mu] \epsilon \gamma^{\prime} \lambda \omega C_{\epsilon \rho a \pi \iota \delta \epsilon i \omega: ~ t h e ~ m a i n ~ A l e x a n d r i a n ~ t e m p l e ~ o f ~ S e r a p i s ~ s i t u a t e d ~ o n ~ R h a c o t i s ~ H i l l ; ~ t h e ~}^{c}$ epithet distinguishes it from other Serapea in or just outside the city, A. Calderini, Diz. geogr. s.v. 'Alexandreia', P. M. Fraser, Ptolemaic Alexandria, I 268-70 and if 83-91, nn. 190-1. On the form Cєратiठєıо⿱ see R. Pfeiffer, Callimachus, 1 163, note on Dieg. IV. 4.
$\pi \alpha \rho o ́ v \tau о c ~ \tau \rho y ̂ i \in \rho \in ́ \omega c$. This seems to be the best interpretation of the damaged writing, but comparison with the partially preserved address ( $i \in \rho \epsilon \hat{\imath} \dot{\varepsilon} \pi о \mu \nu \eta \mu a \tau o \gamma \rho a \dot{\varphi} \ldots .$. I) and with the reference to the addressee's record of proceedings ( $\dot{\psi} \pi \rho \mu \nu \eta \mu a \tau \iota[\mu]$ oíc $\langle c\rangle o v, 9-10$ ) suggests that we ought to correct it


9 '̇छ $\eta \gamma \eta$ rov̂. On this official see P. Jouguet, Vie municipale, $315^{-18}$, F. Oertel, Liturgie, 325-9, A. H. M. Jones, $C E R P^{2}, 303$ and 474, n. 8.
 (line 8), W. Chr. 144 ( $=$ III 477). ig (with BL II 95). In PSI XII 1225. I 5-1 $6 \tau \epsilon \lambda 0 \hat{c} \iota$ should be corrected to $\tau \epsilon \lambda \iota \frac{\tilde{\iota} c \iota}{}=\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \iota 0 \hat{v} \epsilon \iota$, as Dr Pintaudi kindly confirmed from the original. The compound $\epsilon \pi \iota \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \iota \hat{v} \nu$ has not so far appeared in the papyri, see $Z P E_{18} 8$ (1975) 214 and n. 2 .

II Year 4 Nero $=$ A.D. $57 / 8$
I3 $\tau \hat{\varphi} \tau \epsilon \kappa о \subset \mu[\eta \tau] \hat{\eta} \iota \kappa a i \tau \hat{\varphi} \gamma \nu \mu \nu a c i a ́ p X \omega \iota$. It is they who would have been responsible for undertaking the training of the new ephebe, see C. A. Nelson, Akten XIII Int. Pap. Kongresses, 309-14. Nelson sees these officials solely as ephebic supervisors, with no hand in the certification of the ephebe, but the eiskrisis application published by R. A. Coles, $\mathscr{Y}$ P 18 (1974) $177^{-8}$, seems to me to stand outside this theory, in that in lines $5^{-7}$ the applicant promises to see that the gymnasiarch is satisfied when he returns from his journey. This suggests that the gymnasiarch too may have had some interest in the bureaucratic side of these cases.




 G. Poethke kindly reports from the original. Much less likely is $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \subset \kappa \epsilon \psi^{\prime} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \rho \nmid \varepsilon$ referring to the cosmetes and gymnasiarch, since it would be odd for the exegetes to instruct them to check his records.

## 15 TQL\&

See introd. for a guess about the sense. The damage is extensive, but the right suggestion could probably be verified.
 in the papyri frequently as meaning a Christian lector, a clerk in minor orders, but only once in any other sense. P. Berl. Möller $\mathrm{I}=\mathrm{SB}$ Iv 7338 is a contract to settle a dispute about a deposit between two ladies, each of whom acts through her own male representative (cuvectioc). Near the end both declare that

 reader was the official in charge of the notarial office of the locality, i.e. restore after the space left blank for the insertion of the name [ $\tau \dot{\partial} \nu \boldsymbol{\nu}$ - $\tau o \hat{v}$ ed. pr. - $\pi \rho \dot{o} \boldsymbol{c} \tau] \hat{\omega} \gamma \rho a \phi \epsilon i[\omega$. But this is only a guess. It is not certain what function the reader performed there, see P. Berl. Möller p. 20 for a complicated suggestion. Perhaps it is simplest to suppose that the reader's job was to certify that the written version actually did represent the intention of the parties. Here the function of the reader is even more obscure. The scrutiny for Alexandrian citizenship should be conducted by a high official, not somebody supplying the deficiencies of an illiterate applicant. The best guess I can make is that the reader was an official on the staff of the exegetes who scrutinized the boy's qualifications and is to read this application and transmit it to the officials who are to be asked to furnish subscriptions. This is far from compelling; it is certain that new evidence is needed to enable us to understand these processes. That ávavvécrnc is sometimes an official title is shown by epigraphical references, see LSJ s.v. for $\dot{a}$. T $\hat{\eta} c \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega c, \dot{a}$. $\tau \hat{\eta} c$ $\gamma \in \rho o u c i a c$, and $R E ́ G 47$ (1934) 243 for à. тoû $\delta \dot{\eta} \mu o v$.

19 mapactáce $\omega \nu$. These are hitherto unattested. Perhaps the best guess is that they were ceremonies during which the candidates for the ephebate were presented to representatives of the tribe or deme they aspired to enter. A similar case is the presentation of younger children, which helped to establish their

 too are unknown.
$20 \mu] \epsilon \lambda \lambda a ́ \kappa \omega \nu$. See P. M. Fraser, Ptolemaic Alexandria, I 86, II 166 (n. 326).
2I After $\chi \rho \rho^{\prime}[\nu 0] u c$ the writing becomes thinner, as if the scribe had sharpened his pen. On the $\chi$ póvoc є́ф $\eta$ вєíac see $B A S P 14$ (1977) 29-38.
 The aparche is simultaneously the act, fee, and certificate of registration of a male child as a potential ephebe, or a female child as an ảccì, cf. H. J. Wolff, Written and Unwritten Marriages, 4 I n. i49, H. I. Bell, Aegyptus 13 (1933) 521, 8 n., P. Fam. Tebt. 33.8 n. As such it was an important document to prove the child's eligibility for the ephebate, as W. Chr. I43. 8I-2 and P. Tebt. II 316 ii 9-II, iii $4^{8-50}$, iv 82-4 demonstrate.
 from the latter part of the first century, cf. A. Calderini, Diz.geogr., 215-16 s.v. Either the Neocosmian or Sosicosmian tribe could be read here, since the spacing and traces are indecisive. This is the earliest
example of the Althaean deme being mentioned in a 'Doppelangabe' of tribe and deme, cf. W. Schubart, $A P F 5$ (1913) 83.

23-4 iv' $\hat{\omega} \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \lambda a \nu \theta \rho[\omega \pi] \eta \mu \epsilon \epsilon^{\prime} \circ c$. The same phrase is used to end two of the normal applications, W. Chr. 144 ( $=$ III 477) and SB iv 7333.
3464. Applicationfora Summons

364 B.92/H (4) a $16.5 \times 27 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad$ c. A.D. 5460
A petition of the type known as $\pi \alpha \rho a \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda i ́ a$, litis denuntiatio, cf. XXXVIII 2852 introd. It is addressed to C. Iulius Asinianus, strategus of Heracleides' district of the Arsinoite nome, by one Mnesitheus, who asks for a summons to be served on Heration and an accomplice, whose name is lost, in connexion with a dispute over the ownership of land belonging to his wife Maronis. There is no obvious reason why the document should have reached Oxyrhynchus.

The petitioner and his wife and the block of land involved occur also in XLVII 3332. The lady is called Tamaron there, and Maronis in $3464^{1}$, but the husband Mnesitheus son of Theon and the six aruras of vineland are the same in both texts. Two more references to the husband are given in 3332 4 n., to wit XLI 2972 and XLIV 3163. In all four cases the inventory numbers are the same as far as $364 \mathrm{~B} .92 / \mathrm{H}$, which indicates that they were found together and are probably the remains of a small private archive.

The application is written in a medium-sized cursive, comparable to R. Seider, Paläographie d.gr. Papyri, I no. 23, or P. Ryl. II 95. A subscription has been added in a second hand, a small semi-cursive. This part of the papyrus is very broken and little can be made of the few letters which survive. The back is blank, so far as it is preserved.

In A.D. 53/4 Mnesitheus had summoned Heration and others to answer charges. Heration's co-defendants had duly appeared before C. Iulius Iollas (?), the Alexandrian gymnasiarch who was appointed to judge the case by the prefect Geta, and the ownership of Maronis had been confirmed. Heration himself had failed to attend. He still did not appear even when he was summoned by the prefect's public notice. Mnesitheus went off on a journey, since no date for a hearing had been fixed, and now, having returned to find Heration, with an accomplice whose name is lost, trying once again to appropriate Maronis' property, he asks for his adversaries to be summoned to attend the conventus of the new prefect, Balbillus.

Гaícu 'Iov入íwı'Acıvıav $[\imath]<\tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma \hat{\omega \iota}$ 'Apcıvoїтои

${ }^{1}$ Since Ta- prefixed in Demotic Egyptian = 'daughter of', these data can be easily reconciled, and provide reliable and interesting evidence of a bilingual middle-class family. The lady was known indifferently as Tamaron, as $\theta v \gamma a ́ t \eta \rho$ Máp $\omega \nu o c$, and as Mapwvic. (E. G. Turner).

5 каì ঠцасто̣дıкой $\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \delta o ́ c \epsilon \omega с$ ката́ $\tau \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon \in \rho \omega \nu$ ả $\nu \delta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$


















$\pi о \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega}[\iota \delta \grave{\epsilon} \mu \hat{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o \nu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau] \epsilon \chi o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \circ \subset[\tau] \hat{\eta} \subset \kappa \alpha \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \stackrel{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \nu \kappa \alpha \lambda o u \mu \epsilon ́(\nu \omega \nu)$






$\underline{\tau \hat{\omega} \nu} \dot{a} \rho \mu o \zeta ̧[\quad$ (vac.) $\quad \underline{v} \tau \dot{\tau} \underline{\chi}(\epsilon \iota)$
(m. 2)(vac.). $\eta \mu[$ c. 15 letters
]..[ c. 17 letters

35
]. $\varsigma \tau ., \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha .[.] . . .[.] . . . . \gamma \rho()$
] $\tau \epsilon \subset c a \rho \in c$. .[5-1o letters].


]. . .[5-io letters]. . .ov. [
]\$. $1 \lambda o v$ ]'. . . . . . [




```
29 1. \(\frac{\epsilon}{\gamma} \gamma \boldsymbol{\prime}\)
```

'To C. Iulius Asinianus, strategus of the Arsinoite nome, from Mnesitheus son of Theon. After making a complaint and having a summons delivered in the fourteenth year of Divus Claudius against Heration son of Maron and other men, on the grounds that they had wrongfully taken possession of the property of my wife Maronis - Heration of six aruras of vineland near Letopolis in Heracleides' district, and the other men of other property of Maronis - I received from the former prefect L. Lusius Geta as judge concerning all C. Iulius Iollas(?), priest and gymnasiarch of Alexandria, who having heard both myself and the others laid down that the ownership should remain with my wife according to the record of proceedings which I have in my possession.

Since Heration disappeared and did not present himself at the hearing, he was summoned by Geta through a public notice; and since even so he did not appear, I have remained away from home up until now - intentionally, since the time for the judgement had not arrived. But now, having returned and received information of the fact that M... son of ...(?) is laying claim to three aruras from the six aruras of vineland (?), and Heration himself to the other three aruras, I myself, not keeping quiet but adhering much more to the charge against the accused persons, am submitting this memorandum and I ask that it be registered with you and a copy be transmitted to the accused persons through an assistant, so that they may know to present themselves wherever the lord prefect Ti . Claudius Balbillus holds the next conventus, that through his intercession I may obtain justice and they may get their deserts. Farewell.'

I Asinianus is attested as strategus of Heracleides' district of the Arsinoite nome from A.D. 57 to 59; see G. Bastianini, Gli strateghi dell' Arsinoites, 14. However, in the absence of information about his near neighbours in office the best available terminus post quem for this document is the death of Claudius (see 4), on 13 October A.D. 54, and the best terminus ante quem is the first attestation of L. Iulius Vestinus, successor of Balbillus as prefect before 20 June a.D. 60 , see $Z P E{ }_{17}$ (1975) 273.

4 14 Claudius = A.D. 53/4.
$6^{\dagger} H[\rho] a \tau i \omega \nu 0[c$. Cf. BGU xiII 2336.6. It may be an alternative spelling of 'Hpadíw.
if On L. Lusius Geta see $Z P E$ I7 (1975) 273.
$12 \mathrm{~A} \mathrm{C}$. . Iulius Iollas is known as strategus of the Heracleopolite nome in A.D. 45 and he had a previous term as strategus, possibly in the Oxyrhynchite nome, probably in the reign of Gaius or Tiberius, see P. Oxy. Hels. i i introd.
iє $¢$ ধ́a. It is not clear what priesthood this was, cf. XXVII 24715 n .
${ }_{17}$ For $\left.\pi \rho о \gamma\right] \rho$ д́ $\mu \mu а т о с$ see R. Taubenschlag, Law², 499.

21 [ $\left.{ }^{\beta} \mu \pi \epsilon \lambda\right]$ ( $(\tau \iota \delta o c ?)$. All that remains is ink in the shape of a St George's cross high up at the end of the line. It has been taken as a raised iota with a horizontal mark of abbreviation, cf. e.g. XLIII 3121 1 app.
$28 \kappa[v j \rho \iota o c$. The epithet крátıctoc is not surely attested as a title of rank for any prefect earlier than Vestinus, who succeeded Balbillus, see A. Stein, Die Präfekten, 200 n. 94.

On Balbillus see most lately ZPE 3 I (1978) 186-7.
31 Perhaps restore simply $\dot{a} \rho \mu \circ\langle ฺ[o ́ v \tau \omega \nu$, though there would be room for about five letters after this
 (P. Tebt. III i 797. 29-30), tim $\quad$ pia (P. Mich. v 231. 31). (Cf. now P. Osl. inv. 1088. 5-6 (Symb. Osl. $5^{6}$ (1981) ioo).

32-8 These lines might contain the subscription of the applicant, cf. M. Chr. 53, or of the assistant who delivered the summons, cf. M. Chr. 50, 51 and 52 , and we also might expect a date, cf. M. Chr. 50 and 54 . Nothing here can be recognized for certain. In 32 we might suspect the subscription of the
 remains suggest an allusion to the previous proceedings in 14 Claudius, cf. 4 . In 34 the imperial titles of Nero or Claudius suggest a date, either the date of the application (Nero) or of the previous proceedings (Claudius). In 35 there might be a mention of a strategus, $\varsigma \tau \rho(a \tau \eta \gamma-?)$, and of the ex-prefect Geta, тoù $\pi \rho \varrho \eta[\gamma \epsilon \mu о \nu \epsilon u ́ c a \nu \tau o c ?$, cf. II.
3465. Report of Episceptae

213 B. $26 / \mathrm{Hb}\left(3^{8-9}\right) \mathrm{a}$
$15 \times 35 \mathrm{~cm}$.
A.D. $63 / 4$

There remain of this document the top, foot and beginnings of twenty-eight lines of a single column only; the text must have continued into a further column or columns. The surviving text is divided by spacing and tabulation into four sections, first a covering letter from the episceptae to the strategus announcing that they are appending a report in obedience to his instruction (1-4), second a copy of his letter of instruction to them, mentioning that the report was required because of a communication which he had received from the official with the title of $\dot{\delta}$ रpá $\phi \omega \nu$ tòv $\operatorname{vonó}^{\boldsymbol{v}}(5-11)$. The nature of the third section (12-25) is not sure, but it is probably an extract from the official communication mentioned in the second section. It ends with the words каi $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \rho i \theta \eta\{\imath\}$, after which comes the fourth section comprising the beginnings of three lines deeply indented (26-8). Probably this is a judgement delivered by a yet higher official and quoted by the $\gamma \rho a ́ \phi \omega \nu$ tòv vouóv in his communication to the strategus.

The restoration of the commonest regnal year formula in 10 implies a loss of at least forty-two letters at the end of the line. Naturally, therefore, very little connected sense can be made of the text. For the episcepsis in general see W. Gdz. 206 ff ., A. Déléage, 'Les cadastres antiques jusqu'à Dioclétien' in Ét. Pap. 2 (1934) 73-228, esp. 73-147, S. L. Wallace, Taxation, 8-9, D. Bonneau, Le fisc et le Nil, 89-92. Other references are collected by T. Kalén in P. Berl. Leihg. i 14 introd., and D. Bonneau, Actes du $X^{e}$ Congrès international de papyrologues(Warsaw, 1964), 137-49.

The report formed part of a тó $о$ ос сиүкодли́сєнос. The remains of another item adhering to the left of the report are too scanty to be meaningful. The back of the roll of filed documents was subsequently used for a tax-account, as yet not fully read or understood.
$\pi \rho o ̀ c ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi \iota c \tau[a \lambda] \epsilon ̀ v ~ \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon i ̂ v ~ v i \pi o ̀ ~ c o ̂ ~ o v[~$
co८ $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ є́ $\xi \hat{\eta} \subset \delta \eta[\lambda o v] \mu \epsilon ́ v \eta \nu \pi \rho o c \phi \omega ́ \nu \nu \eta[c \iota \nu$
. .v $\pi \rho о \gamma є \gamma \rho а \mu \mu \epsilon ́ v o v$ єídoc $\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \in \delta \omega \kappa \epsilon[\nu$
$\tau \alpha \iota . \delta i o ̀ \mu \epsilon \tau a \delta i \delta \omega \mu \iota$ ö $\pi \omega \subset \in i \delta \eta \hat{\eta} \tau \epsilon$. [
(vac.)


```
        \mu\dot{\eta}\eta\imath\tau\hat{\omega}\nu ка\tauа\mu\epsilon\mu\epsilon\tau\rho\eta\mu\epsilońv\omega\nu\nu .[]
```




```
        скє́\psi\epsilon\omegaс є̇\pi\iota\deltaо亲\iota ка\tauа̀ \piор\epsiloníav ка. .[
```








```
        \pi\alpha\rhoа́кє\iota\tauа\iota \deltaє̀ Kа\pií\tau\omega\nuос є\pi!!.[
    25 \deltaо0\hat{\etava\iota каї є̇крí0\eta\iota (vac.)}
        \tau\alphà \epsilon}\\iota\zeta\zeta\eta\tau[o]\ddot{\mu}\mu[\epsilon\nu\nu
```



```
        \kappaа\iota\omega\nu\eta\delta\eta. . а\omega[
```


'To Tiberius Claudius Hephaestion strategus...from Philiscus and Diogenes and Ptol...In response to the (document) sent to us by you... (we are submitting) to you the report set out below.'
'Tiberius Claudius Hephaestion strategus...to...(and) the rest of the public episceptae of
 on... Therefore I pass (it?) on that you may know...Tenth year of Nero Claudius...'
(Lines 11-28 are too damaged for even an outline translation.)
1 Restore probably ['Oॄvpurxitou. This is a new strategus, incorporated by reference to this document into the latest list of Oxyrhynchite strategi, see ZPE 29 (1978) 170, no. 22.
 ov́... ن́тотध́тактal intervened at this point, it is awkward to add a noun, such as $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i ́ c \tau a \lambda \mu \alpha$, to agree with $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota c \tau a \lambda \epsilon ́ \nu$. Probably $\tau \grave{o} \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota c \tau a \lambda \epsilon ́ v$ stood on its own without a noun.
$4 \dot{\epsilon} \xi \eta \eta_{c} \delta \eta[\lambda o v] \mu \epsilon ́ v \eta \nu$. Cf. W. Chr. 235. 1, 22.
5 If we restore here after the name of the nome all three of the persons' names known from 2, we


7 On the $\gamma \rho$ á $\phi \omega \nu$ тòv vouóv see W. Gdz. 157 , W. Chr. 173 introd., F. Oertel, Liturgie, 422-3, F. Preisigke, Fachwörter, s.v.

10 Restore probably the commonest form of Nero's titulature, which is also the longest, i.e. Népowoc
 loss here of forty-two letters gives some idea of how much of the column is lost.

1 I .[. The trace is of a foot descending diagonally to the left, suiting mu, chi, or possibly tau. The most likely possibility is that it is part of a month name, i.e. Mecheir, Mesore, Choeac or Tybi.

12 єن̉⿴vuєтрiaı. Both A. Déléage (Ét. Pap. 2 (1934) 122) and A. C. Johnson, Roman Egypt, 29-30,
 involved actually measuring the land for which a tax reduction was claimed. This distinction, which is blurred by S. L. Wallace, Taxation, 8-9, is clearest in the Ptolemaic documents from Cerceosiris, P. Tebt.

I $83^{-5}$, especially 83.8 , where a note is made that according to the episcepsis Horus was the son of Orses, but that 'according to the survey' he was the son of Orsenuphis.

12-13 Perhaps restore something like каөáтє $\frac{\tau \dot{a} \dot{v} \pi \sigma \mu v \eta}{\boldsymbol{\prime} \mu a \tau a \text {, giving the general outline, 'The survey }}$ measurements were reported jointly (by?). . as the records of the review specify'.
 same time, cf. W. Chr. 173. 6 n., O. Reinmuth, Prefect, 65 and 98-9.
$\gamma \epsilon \gamma \epsilon\left[\omega \mu \epsilon \tau \rho \eta \mu \epsilon \nu \omega \nu\right.$, 'those areas subject to $\gamma \epsilon \omega \mu \epsilon \tau \rho i i^{\prime}$ ', is a possible supplement. Cf. XXXVIII 2847 16-17 n . for this survey of vine and woodland which was made every four years.
$14 \kappa a \tau a \mu \epsilon \mu \epsilon \tau \rho \eta \mu \epsilon \in \omega \nu$. The word is used regularly in Ptolemaic times of lands assigned to cleruchs or of the assignees themselves, cf. F. Preisigke, Fachwörter, s.v. This specialized meaning is apparently not attested for Roman times.
$\left.14^{-1} 5 \kappa \alpha\right]_{\tau} \tau \tau \mu a \hat{c}:$ measurement by fractions, i.e. by subdividing the area into small rectangular blocks, measuring these, and adding the results, as opposed to measuring the total area as a single block with notionally parallel sides, whatever its true shape, a system which unfairly favoured the treasury at the expense of the cultivator, see A. Déléage in Ét. Pap. 2 (1934) 95-9. The word is not listed in F. Preisigke, $W B$ and Suppl., or in S. Daris, Spoglio Lessicale, nor is this meaning given in LSJ or Suppl. It is, however, found so used in connexion with the cadastre of Byzantine times, see Ét. Pap. 2 (I934) 99, n. I.
 measuring $\dot{\epsilon} v$ кататоцаíc, see $14^{-15} \mathrm{n}$., and a measurement by pacing out.

18-19 Line 18 may have continued with a mention of the other production categories of the taxation system, i.e. $\beta \epsilon \beta \rho \epsilon \gamma \mu \epsilon \prime \nu \eta$ and ${ }_{a}^{\alpha} \beta \rho o \chi o c \gamma \hat{\eta}$ in distinction to the $\chi \epsilon ́ \rho c o c$ of line 19 . On these categories see W. L. Westermann in CP ${ }_{15}$ (1920) $120-37,16$ (1921) $169-88$ and D. Bonneau, Le fisc et le Nil, graphique v.

19 र́є́рсои. Cf. W. L. Westermann in CP 17 (1922) 21-36.
$20 \dot{\epsilon} \hat{\xi} \hat{\alpha} \dot{v} \tau \hat{\eta} c$. Or perhaps read $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \xi a v \tau \hat{\eta} c$, with the words following after $\dot{\alpha} \pi o$ specifying the source of the tax entries.
 amount of tax to be paid, cf. G. Chalon, L'Édit. 214 n. 3.
 cf. J. D. Thomas in $\mathscr{F} E A$ 61 (1975) 220 and 11. 56.

26-8 Cf. introd. para. 1 .
3466. Petition to an Archidicastes

334 B. 82/G (9) a
$16.7 \times 24.5 \mathrm{~cm}$.
A.D. $8 \mathrm{I}-96$

A petition addressed to the archidicastes Antoninus, who is new, see in., by Theon son of Dionysius in response to the public registration ( $\delta \eta \mu$ осíwcıc, see M. Gdz., 84-7) by his nephew Phanias of a deed of surety which Theon had given him to secure a loan of twenty minas of gold to Phanias' sister Demetria. There is no mention of the serving of a summons upon Theon, but since it was possible to register a note of hand and warn of its execution at the same time (cf. BGU II 578 ; XII 1474) it may be assumed that the archidicastes had authorized the serving of a notice ( $\mu \in \tau$ á $\delta o c \iota c$ ) and that this document represents Theon's $\dot{\alpha} v \tau i \rho \rho \eta c \iota c$, for which see R. Taubenschlag, $L a w^{2}, 533-7$. At this stage then Theon's appeal to the archidicastes is only to the latter's administrative competence as head of the central archives (see P. Koschaker, $Z R G 29$ (1908) 19-20, R. H. Pierce, Symb. Osl. 43 (1968) 68 ff .), not to his judicial competence, for which see A. Calabi, Aegyptus 32 (1952) $420-4$.

Theon claims that, as a result of a court case involving this loan and a debt of 3,600 silver drachmas which Demetria claimed in her turn from her brother, he had been released from his bond by the ex-iuridicus Carus, another new office holder, see 8 n . He also states that. Phanias and his sister have since exchanged notes of hand acknowledging the full discharge of both debts. Consequently any claims Phanias may have had against his sister as debtor or Theon as surety have been extinguished.

The date of the text depends upon the reading of part of the name of Domitian in 32 , supported by the appearance of the main hand, which is comparable with P. Merton I 13 (A.D. 98-102).

The back is blank except for very faint traces of three lines of writing at the top right-hand side. The hand is similar to but smaller than the hand of the front.

## 

 $\tau \iota c \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha i \quad \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \stackrel{้}{ } \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \kappa \rho \iota \tau \eta \rho i \omega \nu$


 $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha}$ ठє̀ $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha \quad \gamma \in \nu о \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta c \tau \hat{\varphi} \tau \epsilon$ Фаvía каi $\tau \hat{\eta} \Delta \eta \mu \eta \tau \rho i ́ a ̣$







 $\chi \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \rho \iota \subset \chi \epsilon!\lambda i ́ \omega \nu \bar{\epsilon} \xi \alpha \kappa о с i ́ \omega \nu$ ко $\mu \iota \delta \hat{\eta} \subset \tau \grave{\alpha}$ с $\eta \mu \alpha \iota \varphi o ́ \mu \epsilon-$ va хрисía. à $\pi \eta \lambda \lambda a \gamma \mu \epsilon ́ v o ̣ v ~ \delta ́ ́ ~ \mu o v ~ a ̉ \pi o ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \tau o ̂ ~ K a ́ \rho o v ~ v ́ \pi o \mu \nu \eta-~$


 ạ้ каi $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \Delta \eta \mu \eta[\tau] \rho!̣ a \nu \pi[\rho o] \epsilon \hat{\imath} \theta \theta a \iota$ є́aụ̃oîc $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ Пav̂v! $\mu \eta \nu i$



 (m. 2) [eैтоис $\left.\Delta_{0}\right]_{\mu \iota \tau \iota \alpha \nu o \hat{v}}$

## 

'To Antoninus, priest, archidicastes, and superintendent of the chrematistae and the other courts from Theon son of Dionysius from the city of Oxyrhynchus in the Oxyrhynchite.

In former times I issued to Phanias, the son of my brother Sarapion, a cheirograph of surety for twenty minas of gold which his sister Demetria held. Afterwards when there was a court case between Phanias and Demetria before the ex-iuridicus... Carus at which I was present, with Phanias claiming the aforementioned twenty minas of gold from Demetria and Demetria likewise claiming three thousand six hundred drachmas of silver from Phanias, she kept them in her possession... until the return of the loan since Carus had declared according to the minutes issued by him that the aforesaid gold should be kept by Demetria until the return of the loan of three thousand six hundred drachmas of silver. And although I was released from the surety according to the minutes from Carus, Phanias, carried in some way quite out of his wits, has had the audacity to publish the cheirograph which he had of me as surety for the gold. Having found out that Phanias and Demetria issued to one another in the month of Payni of the past year cheirographs agreeing that they had received, Phanias from Demetria the twenty minas of gold and Demetria from Phanias the three thousand six hundred drachmas of silver, of necessity I have recourse to you my lord and I ask you to give orders to write to the strategus of the Oxyrhynchite to serve a copy of this notice on Phanias that he may know that he has no further grounds of action in relation to this nor in relation to the return of the minas, that I may be benefited. . . os son of N. wrote on his behalf as he does not know letters.'
(2nd hand) ' $n$th year of ...Domitian....'
 to P. J. Sijpesteijn, The Family of the Tiberii Iulii Theones. The name is not common in the first century: M. G. Raschke, BASP 13 (1976) 27-8.

8 [.]ounịч Kápov: not listed by H.-G. Pflaum, Les carrières procuratoriennes équestres, III, 1087-8. Umbrius (A.D. 87) is the only iuridicus otherwise known from the reign of Domitian (II 237 vii 39). There is space for only a single narrow letter before the omicron of the nomen; the most likely possibility is Rupius, although Cupius, Lupius and Pupius are also attested, see W. Schulze, Zur Geschichte lateinischer Eigennamen. Otherwise $O \ddot{v}\langle\lambda\rangle \pi$ toc through the omission of the medial liquid, cf. Mayser 1. 1 ${ }^{2}$, 159-60.

12 . . $ب$ [.] $]_{\text {кка. }}$. Nu is rubbed but seems sure; omicron which is incomplete might possibly be read as a sigma; a dot of ink on the tail of the alpha might be the remains of a following iota for which there is certainly space before the kappa, if no sure trace. However, the need for an object for катєixєтo as well as the visible traces seems to rule out $\delta$ ıò каí; $\mu \epsilon \theta^{\prime} \grave{o}$ каí vel sim. The best I can offer is $\underset{\varphi}{\ell}[\tau] \emptyset \kappa \alpha$, 'bearing interest' (sc. xpucía or $\mu \nu a i a i ̂ a) . ~$
$18 \tau \nu[i] \pi[0] \tau \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \rho o ́ \pi \omega \nu:$ cf. SB I 5232. 20.

26 For supplement cf. III 488 20, VII 1032 36-8, P. Mil. Vogl. iv 229. 20 and 29; $\pi \rho \circ<\phi \in u ́ \gamma \omega$ is also a possibility.

30-1 .[..] $\omega c[\ldots . .$. : the name of the scribe writing the petition; a short name in - $\omega \mathrm{c}$ followed by a patronymic is perhaps more likely than a long name with -wc-.
 Гєрналıкой, see P. Bureth, Les titulatures, 43-4, followed by month and day. Below $\left.\Delta_{o}\right]_{\mu \iota \tau \iota a v o v ̂ ~ t h e r e ~ i s ~}^{\text {a }}$ blank papyrus c. 4 cm . deep by 3 cm . broad.
3467. Petition

34 4B.76/K (7-9) b
$11.3 \times 33.5 \mathrm{~cm}$.
2 September A.D. 98
A petition from Melas son of Hermogenes about the theft of eight sheep. The document is broken across the middle just before the date in line 19 , but nothing is lost there. A few lines have been lost from the top of the papyrus. These would have contained the name of the addressee (most probably the strategus, although less important officials like the $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota c \tau \alpha ́ \tau \eta \subset \phi \cup \lambda a \kappa \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ or a centurion cannot be entirely excluded) and the temporal details of the breaking and entering described in lines $3-5$.

The petition is written in a largish, rather unpractised hand comparable to P. Merton I 12 , although the beta is $U$-shaped here. The subscription, written on behalf of Melas by his father, is in a similar but smaller hand. The back is blank.
...].[
$\tau \eta \nu$. $\rho$. .


şía $\dot{\rho} v \not \mu \eta \tau \epsilon i \chi \chi o c \tau \hat{\eta} \subset$ aự $[\lambda \hat{\eta} \subset$

$\pi \rho o ́ \beta \alpha \tau \alpha$ óкт白. Є̇ $\pi \iota \zeta \eta \tau о \hat{v} \nu \tau о с$
$\delta \epsilon ́ \mu o v \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha, \epsilon \hat{v} \rho o v$ '̇v $\tau \hat{\varphi} \pi \rho o ̀ c$
$\tau \hat{\varphi} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ є́ $\rho \eta \mu о \phi \nu \lambda \alpha ́ \kappa \omega \nu \mu \alpha \gamma \delta \omega ́$ -
10 $\lambda \omega$ єієр $\hat{\varphi}$ Дıоскои́р $\omega \nu \tau \eta ̀ \nu \tau \tau о$ и́-

$\pi \tau \hat{\omega} \tau o \hat{v} i \epsilon \rho o \hat{v}, \ddot{\eta} \nu \epsilon \in \pi \epsilon \in \epsilon \iota \xi \alpha \tau \hat{\omega}$


15 П!入̣ovтícuvı каi тоїс є’ $\rho \eta \mu о \phi u ́ \lambda a \xi \iota$.
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 $\tau \alpha$.
'...certain men, having in a thievish manner dug through the wall of the yard which gives on to the public street, entered and drove off eight of my sheep. On making a search for them, I found, in the temple of the Dioscuri near the desert-guards' watchtower in a certain concealed place in the temple, their dung, which I showed to Plution the archephodus of the village, who accompanied me in the investigation, and to the desert-guards. Wherefore I ask you, if it seems good to you, to deal with the matter as you see fit, that I may obtain redress. Farewell.'
'The second year of Imperator Caesar Nerva Traianus Augustus Germanicus, the fifth of the month Augustus.' (2nd hand) 'I, Melas son of Hermogenes, have presented the petition. I, Hermogenes son of Melas, have written on behalf of my son as he is illiterate.'
2.R..[: bottom of three uprights and a speck; rho uncertain. This is perhaps part of a phrase detailing when the alleged wall-breaking of lines 3-4 took place, e.g. vuктì $\tau \hat{\eta} \phi \epsilon \rho \circ u ́ c \eta \epsilon i c] \tau \eta \grave{\eta} \tau \operatorname{Rit}[\eta \nu \tau o \hat{u}$ $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \in \subset \tau \hat{\omega} \tau 0<\mu \eta] \nu o ́ c:$ cf. P. Ryl. II 127; 129; 130. $\pi \rho \dot{\varphi} \tau[\eta \nu$ is excluded.
 $\lambda_{\eta<\tau р ı к о ́ c) . ~ S u c h ~ a c t i o n ~ w a s ~ r e g a r d e d ~ a s ~ a n ~ a g g r a v a t i n g ~ c i r c u m s t a n c e, ~ l i k e ~ t h e f t ~ a t ~ n i g h t ~ t i m e: ~}^{\text {a }}$ R. Taubenschlag, Law $^{2}, 457$.

3-4 $\delta \iota o \rho и ́] \xi a \nu \tau \epsilon c:$ for supplement cf. P. Mich. vi 42 I .6 ; и́тори́ $\xi a \nu \tau \epsilon c$ is also a possibility : cf. P. Ryl. I1 127. 11; P. Tebt. I 13. io. Compare Thucydides' account of the Plataean troubles (Thuc. II. 3, 3) and Cnemon's use of toıұ $\boldsymbol{\text { a }}$ ú $\chi o c$ as a term of abuse in Menander, Dysk. 447 and 588.
$6 \dot{a} \pi \dot{\eta} \lambda a c a \nu$. $\dot{a} \pi \epsilon \lambda a i v \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ replaced the Ptolemaic $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon \lambda a \dot{\nu} \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$ as the term for the theft of animals, perhaps under the influence of the Roman legal term abigeatus: R. Taubenschlag, Laww, 456.

9-10 є́ $\rho \eta \mu о ф \dot{\prime} \lambda а \kappa \epsilon є: ~ P t o l e m a i c ~ i n ~ o r i g i n, ~ t h i s ~ g e n d a r m e r i e ~ s u r v i v e d ~ i n t o ~ R o m a n ~ t i m e s: ~ F . ~ O e r t e l, ~$ Liturgie, 5 1-2.

го The temple of the Dioscuri is not listed by G. Ronchi, Lexicon Theonymon. It is unlikely to be identifiable with the temple of the $\Delta$ vo ' $^{\prime} A \delta \in \lambda \phi o i ́$ in II 254 3, which was in Oxyrhynchus itself. On the cult of the Dioscuri in Egypt see Gow on Theocritus Idyll xxii; W. F. von Bissing, Aegyptus 33 (1953) 347-57; on the association of the Dioscuri with Isis, F. Chapouthier, Les Dioscures au service d'une déesse, 248-62; R. E. Witt, Isis in the Greco-Roman World, pls. 33 and 40.

14 The ápx'́ $\phi$ odoc was a liturgical official responsible for the maintenance of law and order at village level: F. Oertel, Liturgie, 275-7; P. Jouguet, Vie Municipale, 259-69; N. Lewis, Inventory of Compulsory Services s.v. This is the earliest appearance of this official in a dated papyrus from the Oxyrhynchite: cf. XXXI 2572-6, introd.

24 viєiov. On the spelling see Mayser I $\mathrm{I}^{2}$, p. 92.
24-25 On illiteracy in the papyri see R. Calderini, Aegyptus 30 (1950) 14-41; H. C. Youtie, HSCP 75 (1971) 161-76 and GRBS 12 (1971) 239-61 $=$ Scriptiunculae 11, chs. 29 and 30.
3468. Petition to a Prefect

213 B. 23/F (3) a
A petition to a prefect from Apollonius, asking him to take action against Harsiesis and his wife Teteuris to prevent them enforcing a bill of distraint against Apollonius
for his share of a house，because he has failed to repay a debt．On the bill of distraint （ $̇ \nu \in \chi \cup \rho a c i a)$ see R．Taubenschlag，Law²， $53{ }^{1-7}$ ，A．B．Schwartz，Aegyptus 17 （1937） 241－82．Lines 20－4 show that Apollonius had already appealed against the bill of distraint，as was his right，and that an injunction had been granted by the strategus against Harsiesis and his wife．In such a case the creditor should have proceeded no further without first making a counter－claim before the appropriate authorities，but Harsiesis and Teteuris，so Apollonius claims，have ignored the strategus＇ruling and are continuing to lay claim to the property．Consequently Apollonius is now appealing to the prefect．

These are two suitable known prefects with the names Lucius Julius：Vestinus， attested in the period A．D．60－2（ $Z P E_{17}$（1975）273；add P．Yale inv．1545，see BASP 7 （1970）88），and Ursus，whose undated term is assigned to A．D．79－81 or to A．D．83－4， see most lately Historia 27 （1978）341．No way has been found of telling which，if either， appeared here．

The papyrus has been split down the middle but it is complete except for a small portion of the top right－hand corner．A small strip（ $2 \times 9.3 \mathrm{~cm}$ ．）found with it seems from the alignment of the fibres to have been attached to the top right－hand edge． This unattached fragment has the first letters of eleven lines in a hand that is definitely identical to that of the petition，suggesting that the petition was followed at one time by another on the same sheet．Whether the sheet in turn formed part of a tó $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ с сиуко入入йсциос or roll－file of petitions is now impossible to determine．The back is blank．

Аєикíwı ’Iovגícı［ c．io letters
$\pi \alpha \rho \dot{\alpha}$＇$A \pi о \lambda \lambda \omega \nu i o u$［ c． 10 letters
$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ả $\pi o ̀ ~ ’ O \xi v \rho \dot{\prime} \gamma \chi[\omega \nu \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega c$
$\tau о \hat{v}$＇O $\xi v \rho v \gamma \chi \epsilon i ́ \tau[o v$ vo $о о \hat{v}$ ．
є́ $\delta \alpha \nu \epsilon \iota \subset a ̣ ́ \mu \eta \nu$ cùv $\Theta \epsilon \in \omega \nu$ ©＇$A c v$－ $\chi$ นoc $\pi \alpha \rho \dot{\alpha} \tau o \hat{v} \tau o \hat{v} \Theta \epsilon ́ \omega \nu o c$ à $\delta \epsilon \lambda-$



го $\tau 0 v \gamma v \varphi[\alpha]!\kappa i \quad T \epsilon \tau \epsilon \hat{v} \rho \iota . v[\hat{v}] \varphi$ $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$
ó＇$A \rho с \imath \hat{\eta} \subset[\iota] c$ ，є́ $\pi о \phi \theta \alpha \lambda \mu \iota a ́ c a c$



${ }_{15} \tau^{\prime}$ aủ $\frac{1}{}$ ßои入ó $\mu[\epsilon]$ ب̣ос $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \kappa \rho a \tau \hat{\eta}<\alpha \iota \mu \dot{\epsilon}-$
 $\stackrel{a}{a} \varphi \tau i \tau \hat{\varphi}[\nu] \tau o \hat{\varphi}[\delta] a \nu \epsilon i o v \quad \delta \rho a \chi[\mu] \hat{\omega}[\nu$ $\delta!a \kappa о с i ́[\omega]$ ب $\dot{\epsilon} \xi \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\eta} \dot{\eta} \mu!![c] \epsilon[\iota \alpha$
20
 $\tau o \hat{v}$ ст $\alpha a \tau \eta \gamma \circ \hat{v} \tau o \hat{v}$ vouộ̣



25 рауүє入íav Bíaıoc víá $\rho \chi \omega \nu$.


 каі то̀v ' $A[\rho \subset \iota] \hat{\eta} \subset \iota \nu$ каі т $̀ \nu$ колиса-
зо $\mu \dot{\eta} \varphi \eta[\nu$ тоѝ $]$ ¢ то́коис $T \epsilon \tau \epsilon \hat{v} \rho \iota \nu$,


(m. 2) $\delta \iota \in \cup \tau u ́ \chi(\epsilon \iota)$.

Unattached fr.

'To L. Iulius...from Apollonius son of...from the city of the Oxyrhynchi in the Oxyrhynchite nome. With Theon son of Hasychis I borrowed from Theon's brother Harsiesis two hundred drachmas of silver, the annual interest on which I paid to both Harsiesis and his wife Teteuris. But now Harsiesis,
casting covetous eyes upon the part that is mine of a house he owns jointly with me, has dared to draw up a bill of distraint upon it, wishing to get possession of a part of a house worth at least two thousand drachmas instead of the two hundred drachmas of the loan, of which half is payable by Theon. Furthermore, although the strategus of the nome prevented Harsiesis from effecting anything when I presented a petition, Harsiesis has disregarded the official order, being a violent man. Therefore I ask you, my lord, if you approve, to write to the strategus of the nome to send to you both Theon and Harsiesis, and the recipient of the interest, Teteuris, in order that I may obtain my rights from you that I may be relieved.'
(2nd hand) 'Farewell.'
1 Aєvкíw. Apart from this name the substitution of $\epsilon v$ for $o v$ is not of frequent occurrence, and it is perhaps due in this case to a confusion with the Greek name $\Lambda$ ćvкос, see Mayser-Schmoll I I ${ }^{2}$, 95, F. T. Gignac, Grammar I, 216 . In P. Yale inv. 1545 A, a property record of A.D. 6 I , see G. M. Parássoglou,
 name later in the same document as Лєи́ксос.

8-9 é $\delta \iota o p \theta \omega c a ́ \mu \eta \nu$. For the position of the augment see Mayser I. $2^{2} \S 72$ (esp. top of p. 109).
24-5 mapayyєגiav. I take this to be the strategus' official order restraining (cf. 22) Harsiesis from proceeding with his bill of distraint, rather than a summons to appear for trial (litis denuntiatio); the phrasing of lines $21-4$ seems to imply that Apollonius has already had the bill of distraint challenged in a hearing before the strategus.

25 ßiaroc ن́лápxev: a frequent allegation in petitions, cf. PSI XiII 1323.6, P. Mich. vi 422. 22, BGU 145. 10; J. H. Moulton and G. Milligan, Vocabulary, s.v. Biatoc. For the legal aspects see M. Dahlmann, 'H Bía im Recht der Papyri.

Unattached fragment
I Trace only 7 Alpha also possible 10 Trace only of upsilon 1 I Trace of top of letter only; chi also possible.

## 3469. Official Letter

233 B. 3/B (1-2) a

$$
12 \times 21.5 \mathrm{~cm}
$$

First century
This letter between officials of the Panopolite nome is fairly well preserved but the interpretation of the centre section ( $7-13$ ) is baffling. The opening part ( $2-7$ ) relates to the failure of the $\pi \rho \alpha \dot{\kappa} \tau о \rho \epsilon \epsilon$ to take action against unspecified persons under suspicion of unspecified misdemeanours. This topic is picked up again at the end of the letter ( $13-18$ ) where the writer says he has detained the $\pi \rho a ́ \kappa \tau \omega \rho$-secretary pending his own return to the locality and inspection of the accounts. The centre section ( $7-13$ ) relates to the loading on to river-boats of a substantial quantity of grain, and this is not obviously related to the subject-matter of the rest of the letter.

The letter is addressed to Heracleides. The name of the sender and the status of both sender and addressee are unknown; the addressee's title probably featured in the address on the back but the traces have defied transcription. Both seem to have held government posts of some importance: the writer has the power to detain the secretary of the $\pi \rho$ áкторєє, and probably has an area of authority greater than a toparchy ( 2 and $n$.), and the tone of the letter suggests that the addressee was at least his equal. If the addressee were the strategus and of Oxyrhynchite origin, this could explain the presence of the letter at Oxyrhynchus.

There is a kollesis down the extreme left edge of the papyrus, overlapping right over left - i.e. the papyrus was inverted before use.

ұаípoıс ки́рьє́ $\mu$ ои 'Нраклєíঠ $\eta$.

$\kappa \tau о \rho \epsilon \subset$ ov $\pi \rho о є ́ к о \psi \alpha \nu \bar{\epsilon} \xi \xi \stackrel{\Phi}{\omega} \nu \mu о \iota \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \in-$

$5 \pi \tau \omega \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi \eta \dot{\eta} \tau \eta<\alpha \nu, \pi \rho о \epsilon \phi а с і ́ с а \nu \tau о$ ठ $\grave{\epsilon}$

тoîc. ó ả $\pi o ̀ ~ \tau o \hat{u} ~ \Pi \rho o c \omega \pi \epsilon i ́ \tau o v ~ \Delta \omega \rho \hat{c} \Pi_{\imath}-$







$\kappa а \tau \epsilon ́ c \chi o \nu \stackrel{้}{\epsilon} \nu \alpha \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho a \kappa \tau o ́ \rho \omega \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \subset$


$\delta \omega \tau$ тò $\lambda o ́ \gamma o \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{v} \pi o ́ \pi \tau \omega \nu$ каí ả $\pi \alpha \iota-$
$\tau \hat{\omega}$.

$$
\dot{\epsilon} \rho \rho \hat{\omega} c \theta \alpha i ́ c \in \epsilon \ddot{v} \chi o \mu(\alpha \iota) . \quad ' E \pi \epsilon i \phi \bar{\epsilon} .
$$

20



'Greetings, my lord Heracleides.
Since I left (the toparchy of?) Phenebythis, the collectors made no progress beyond the information they gave me in Psonis. They made demands from none of the persons under suspicion, alleging as their excuse that their assistants had not joined them in their demands. The man from the Prosopite, Doras son of Pibekis...loaded at Bompae today. I made the donkeys carry thrice from Bompae to Psonis. Ammonius son of Panetbeus - the man sent to Psonis - . . . loaded today at the overseer's farmstead at Thompneis. Of necessity I detained one of the collectors of (the toparchy of?) Phenebythis, since he is their secretary, in order that when I am in (the toparchy of?) Phenebythis I may see the account of the suspected persons and make the demands.

I pray for your health. Epeiph 5.'
(Back): 'To Heracleides. . .'

I This line was possibly added after the body of the letter was written.
${ }_{2} \Phi_{\epsilon \nu \epsilon} \beta \dot{v} \theta \epsilon \omega c$. Cf. 14-15. Presence of the article suggests the toparchy is meant rather than the village, despite the consequent false dative in 16 . None of the other places mentioned in the text receives the article, and the reference to Psonis in 4 is more comprehensible if it is the toparchy which is mentioned in 2. For the toparchy of Phenebythis see T. C. Skeat, P. Beatty Panop. p. xxxvii. The present text surely confirms the location of Psonis (see 4 n .) within that toparchy.
 here is not more closely specified. The toparchy as their area of competence would well suit the data of the present text.
 223-4.

7 Прос $\omega \pi \epsilon$ írov. If the reference is to a ship's captain, cf. XXIV 2415 for the citation of similarly distant origins: Поссштirov occurs there in 42 and 67.

8 oт $\omega v$. Articulate í $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ? Cf. I I n. $\mu^{a}$ stands for $\mu v p l a ́ \delta o c ~ \mu ı a ̂ c ? ~ C f . ~ P . ~ L o n d . ~ i l l ~ 900 . ~ 20 ~(p . ~ 89) . ~ . ~$ Is the intended meaning 'captain of a boat of 10,000 artabas'? For boats of this capacity see L. Casson, Ships and Seamanship in the Ancient World (1971), 164 n. 40. On this interpretation the 'Eş (or possibly ${ }^{\prime} E_{\varsigma}$ ) would be accusative (sc. á $\rho \tau \alpha ́ \beta a c ; 5,006$ or 5,200 , again with no artaba-sign) and indicate the quantity loaded on board.

9 Boцтай. Cf. Boußaŋ́ in 10. See A. Calderini, Diz. Geogr. II, p. 54.
Io The meaning of $\tau \rho \iota \phi$ opeiv is not clear, but obvious alternatives are 'to make three journeys' and 'to carry a triple load'. The usage of $\delta$ (фopeiv (P. Cair. Zen. 59147.8 and 59782 a . 71) seems not quite parallel.


 was first written, then $\tau \omega \nu$ ( $\dot{\alpha} \rho \tau \alpha \beta-$ ) ' $\Gamma$ inserted in the gap and then the interlinear addition as above. $\tau \hat{\omega}$ ? Layout of the papyrus makes it clear that these letters are not to be linked with the omicron which precedes. If the artabas + numerals following are linked with $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu,{ }_{\epsilon} \nu \epsilon \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \epsilon \tau o$ is left without an object; while $\tau \hat{\omega} v$ on its own is inexplicable. We therefore suppose there to have been an omission, and that we have lost something comparable with the $\mu^{a}$ in the earlier instance in $8 ; \mathrm{cf} .8 \mathrm{n}$.
$13 \Theta_{0 \mu \pi \nu \dot{\xi} \xi \text {. This locality seems not to have been recorded before. }}$
I9 Beginning of ' $E \pi \epsilon i \phi$ obscured by a correction (from $\pi$, i.e. Пav̂v ?).
20 The remains of the address are exceedingly scanty. Presumably Heracleides' name was followed by his title. I have tried to read this as $c \tau \rho(a \tau \eta \gamma \hat{\varphi})$ (cf. the introd. above), but without any confidence.

## 3470-3471. Sworn Declarations by Priests

22 December A.D. 131
Two documents pasted together in a tomos synkollesimos with traces of the items that came before and after in the roll. One document is almost complete, the other is broken in the middle and the lower half is on a loose fragment. The back is blank. The writing of the body is the same in each item. So probably is the hand of the annotation at the head of each. The subscriptions are in different hands.

Except for the temples concerned and the names of the priests the two documents were virtually identical, and therefore supplements may be made from one to the other.

Of positive information there is a slightly later date than hitherto known for the office of the strategus Asclepiades and the royal scribe Hierax, further evidence for Heracles with the cult title of $\theta \epsilon \circ \dot{\circ} \mu^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \iota c \tau o c$ and an unparalleled mention of a Greek
temple of Zeus and Hera．The rest of the information given is problematical，but is concerned with the priestly epicrisis，with the fee paid by priests on entering office and，notably，with written evidence from the archiprophetes about the epicrisis of individual priests（see commentary）．

The administrative context of the documents may be outlined as follows． Questions had been raised concerning financial matters associated with the epicrisis of the sons of priests．As money was involved，these were matters for the Idios Logos （see P．Swarney，Idios Logos， 85 seqq．），whose department issued a report demanding further investigation．The report was passed on to the strategus and the royal scribe of the Oxyrhynchite．This part of the procedure has been illustrated by the document published by J．Mathwich in $Z P E$ I5（1974） 69 seqq．XLII 3026 also illustrates correspondence between the Idios Logos and a strategus．The priests concerned were required then to make these sworn declarations to the strategus and royal scribe，who presumably returned copies of the declarations to the Idios Logos．

Presumably the documents were pasted into a tomos synkollesimos and filed by the strategus，whose clerk may have been responsible for the filing references at the top of each document．

Other declarations in reply to questions from the Idios Logos are BGU i 16 （ $=\mathrm{W}$ ． Chr．I14），BGU i 258 （ $=$ W．Chr．87），SPP xxil 184 （see BL I－II）and P．Iand． 139.

## 3470

（m．3）
］．$\iota \in\left(\begin{array}{c}\epsilon \\ \epsilon \\ \tau\end{array}\right)$
］（vac．）
 $\kappa \iota \beta(a с \iota \lambda \iota \kappa \hat{\omega}) \gamma \rho(a \mu \mu a \tau \epsilon \hat{\imath})$

 Пто́入入ıסос＇$A \mu$ ọ́ттос $\mu \eta$－ $\tau \rho o ̀ c ~ \Theta a ́ n[c] ı о с ~ a ́ \mu ф о т є ́-~$ $\rho \omega \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi o ̀ ~ \kappa \grave{\omega} \mu \eta \subset$ Ta入à̀





$15 \gamma \in \gamma \rho a \mu \mu \epsilon ́ v o \iota \alpha \dot{\alpha} \phi \dot{\eta} \lambda \iota \kappa \in \subset$ vioi iєрє́ $\omega \nu$ каi i $\epsilon \rho о є \theta \nu \hat{\omega}(\nu)$

```
    \pi\rhoос\beta\alpháv\tau\epsilonс єiс (\tau\epsilonссарєска\iota\delta\epsilonкає\tau\epsilon\hat{\iota})
    \tau\hat{\varphi}\iota\epsilon(%
    \tauоv̂ кv\rhoíou \pi\alpha\rhoà \pi\rhoо0\epsilonс-
    20 \mu[í]av \tauò icк\rho!\tau!кọ̀v \delta\iota\epsiloń-
        \gamma\rhoa[\psiav, iv' ov ]
```



```
        cı аü\tau\omegaิ\nu \epsiloṅк \delta\eta\muосíov
        \eta \epsilon}\epsilon\xi\omega0\epsilon\nu,каi \tauív\epsilonс \epsilonici
    25 oí, cuv\etá[0]\omegac vi\piò \tauov̂\alphả\rho-
        \chi\iota\pi\rhoоф\etáто⿱ є̇\pi\iotaкрь\nuо́\muє-
        vo\iota, каi \tauòv \chi\rho\eta\muат\iotaс\muòv
        \pi\alpha\rho' aủ\tauov̂ \epsilonै\lambda\alpha\betaov, \mu\epsilon\tau\alpha-
        \deltai\deltao\tau\alphal:- ка́\tau\omega \tauo(\pi\alpha\rho\chiíac) 'Ta\lambda\alphaа'\omega', i\epsilon\rho\epsilonịc
    30 'Нрак\lambdaє́ouc 0\epsilonо\hat{v}\mu\epsilon\gammaícтоv:-
```



```
        Mє\nu\chi\epsiloń\omegac \mu\eta\tau\rhoòc Tä0@ب-
        \nu\hat{\alpha}\tauос Па\nu\epsilon\mu\gamma\epsilon!\omegac, 'A\mu[0́]!ị`
        П\tauо́\lambda[\lambda\iota\deltaос \tauо仑̂ 'A\muӧ̈то]؟ \mu\eta-
        \tau\rhoòс Ta.[........].\lambda\lambda\alpha-
```



```
        A\cup̣`окра́то\rho[a] Kaícара
        T\rho\alpha\ddot{avov̂ `A\delta\rho\iota\langle\alpha\rangle\nuôv C\epsilon\betaac\tauô}
```



```
    40 \xi\epsilon<\iota\tau\hat{\omega}\nu\pi\rhoо\gamma\epsilon\gamma\rhoa\mu-
```



```
        \tau\epsilon\delta\eta\muосíov \ddot{\eta}\notॅ\epsilon\xi\omega0\epsilon\nu
        \mu\eta\delta\grave{\epsilon}\epsilonival धै0oc \tauoùc \tauoぃ-
        ov́тovc \epsiloṅ\pi\iotaк\rho\epsilonív\epsilonє0(a\iota) vi\piò \tauov̂
        \alpha}\rho\chi\iota\pi\rhoоф\etá\tauov \ddot{\eta}\stackrel{%}{\epsilon}\nu0\chi[o]
```



```
        Kaíca\rhooc T\rho\rhoaï<a\ranglevoû 'A\delta\rhotapov
```



```
(m. 2) 'Н\rhoак\lambda\hat{\etaс М\epsilon\nu\chi'́}\omega<
        каi] Пто́д\lambda[\iota]؟` 'A\muọ!íтос ध̇\pi!-
        \delta\epsilon\delta]\omegáка\mu\epsilon\nu каі ö\mu\omega-
```

> 'ै $\gamma \rho \alpha] \psi \alpha \dot{v} \pi \grave{\epsilon} \rho \alpha \cup \dot{\tau} \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \grave{\eta}$
> $\epsilon i \delta o ́ \tau] \omega \nu \quad \gamma \rho \alpha ́ \mu \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$.
(3rd hand) '...15th year.'
(ist hand) 'To Asclepiades strategus and Hierax royal scribe from Heracles son of Menches, mother Tatas, and Ptollis son of Amois, mother Thaesis, both from the village of Talao, priests of Heracles most great god.

In response to the report of the idios logos from the records of the 15 th year that was notified for investigation, of which the following is a copy -

The undermentioned minors, sons of priests and persons of priestly stock, who came forward into the category of fourteen-year-olds in the fifteenth year of Hadrianus Caesar the lord, paid their entrance fee after the appointed date. Consequently, in order that it may be investigated whether there is income from the state or from outside (the temple) attached to their offices, and which are persons who, customarily undergoing scrutiny by the arch-prophet, have actually received the certificate from him, notification is made. Lower toparchy, Talao. Priests of Heracles most great god. Panemgeus son of Heracles grandson of Menches, mother Tathonas daughter of Panemgeus; Amois son of Ptollis grandson of Amois, mother Ta . . . daughter of . . .allas.

- we report, swearing by the Emperor Caesar Traianus Hadrianus Augustus, that no income is attached to the offices of our above-mentioned sons either from the state or from outside (the temple), and that it is not customary for such persons to undergo scrutiny by the arch-prophet, or let us incur the consequences of the oath.

Year 16 of Imperator Caesar Traianus Hadrianus Augustus, month of Hadrianus 25.'
(2nd hand) 'We, Heracles son of Menches and Ptollis son of Amois have submitted this document and sworn the oath. . . . is son of Alexander wrote for them as they are illiterate.'
i From 3471 i we can guess that the annotation was something like 'Talao: priests of Heracles; 15 th year'.

2 The two oblique strokes seem here to be on the level of line 2 , while in 3471 they are a little higher, between lines i and 2. Probably they belong with the year number in each case, though it would be more normal to find them on the same level.

3 For Asclepiades and Hierax see $Z P E 29$ (1978) 173, nos. 44-5.
6 Tatas is hitherto recorded only as a man's name, but I have consulted Professor J. R. Harris and have kindly been allowed to quote the answer.
'The fact that Tarâc occurs elsewhere as a masculine name is no obstacle to its being feminine here. Whatever the etymology of the Egyptian original, the form was presumably one without any specifically masculine/feminine elements: $\tau a-$ cannot in this case be $t 3$ in that the name is attested as male. Many Egyptian names are indeed applicable to both men and women, and Taזác would then be a Greek transcription of such a type.'
 Iv 762. Cf. BIFAO 74 (1974) $23 \rightarrow 7$ for Heracles in the Small Oasis, which is associated with Oxyrhynchus. 16 i $\in \rho 0 \in \theta \nu \eta \mathrm{j}$ is addendum lexicis. The declarers were priests. Therefore if the word is used here without tautology, it must apply to the mothers of their sons. There is perhaps an implication that it was sufficient if the mothers were of priestly parents without their actually holding office as priestesses.

20 The payment of the eiskritikon must be the reason why the idios logos is concerned with the matter, as the department did not generally supervise ecclesiastical affairs, see P. Swarney, Idios Logos, 76. S. L. Wallace, Taxation, 249 , thinks it probable that the first payment of eiskritikon was due after circumcision, which may still be true. The evidence provided by the present papyrus suggests that a
second payment was due before epicrisis, unless the two payments are one and the same. It is possible that a third payment was due on entering hereditary priestly offices, as indicated by P. Vindob. Boswinkel


21 The text here is supplemented on the basis of P. Iand. 139. 22-3 and of 3471 18, see note, but I see no reason to suppose that the scribe committed the same error here as in 3471.

23-4 ${ }^{\epsilon} \kappa \delta \eta \mu o c i o v \ddot{\eta} \epsilon \xi \xi \omega \theta \epsilon \nu$. Money from the state always seems to have been paid to the priests through the temple treasury, see W. Otto, Priester $u$. Tempel, II 24, so that the question here must be whether the priests' sons were given any of the money from the state. I do not know in what way this was relevant to the idios logos. I take $\eta \dot{\eta} \xi \xi \omega \theta \epsilon \nu$ to mean 'or from outside the temple'. As full priests were not allowed to enter into business as individuals, and as all the ir income seems to have been paid, directly or indirectly, through the temple treasury (see Otto, op. cit. 11 23-42 on the three categories of priests' income), this second part of the question may have been relevant to the boys' qualifications for a full priesthood.

Our knowledge of the arch-prophet is unsatisfactory; see the latest summary and discussion of the evidence, including reference to this document, in Actes XV Congrès (Papyrologica Bruxellensia
 Memphis, on whom see especially $C E 49$ (1974) 143-4.

27 In SB vili 9658 , which has now been republished by P. J. Parsons in CE 49 (1974) 1 39 seqq., a $\chi \rho \eta \mu a \tau \iota c \mu o ̀ c \notin \pi i \quad<\phi \rho a \gamma i \delta \omega \nu$ issued by the arch-prophet of Memphis occurs. The conclusion can hardly be avoided that this $\chi \rho \eta \mu a \tau \iota c \mu$ óc served the same purpose, which would be to certify that the candidate had passed the priestly epicrisis, see CE 49 (1974) 144. In SB 9658 (A.D. 193) it is said to be obligatory for any applicant for a priestly office to produce this certificate. In the present document epicrisis by the arch-prophet is said not to be customary for these persons. Probably some sort of certificate was also required in these cases but was issued by a different authority.

30 A paragraphus marks the beginning of the list.
$42 \tau \epsilon \ldots \bar{\eta}$. For this unusual combination see J. D. Denniston, Greek Particles ${ }^{2}, 514$ (iv), E. Mayser, Grammatik, II, 3 pp. 166-7.

53-5 For the phenomenon of illiterate priests, i.e. priests who could not write Greek, see $Z P E$ i9 (1975) 101-8, esp. 108.

## 3471

 (vac.) .. (vac.)
 $\pi a \rho \dot{a} A \nu[\ldots$. . ' $A$ ] $\mu \mu \iota u ́ c i o c ~ \tau o ̣ ̂ ̣ ~$





10





каi i $\epsilon \rho о[\epsilon \theta] \nu \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho о с \beta$ ávтєс

Kaícapoc $\tau[o] \hat{v} \kappa v \rho[i o]$ и $\pi a \rho a ̀ ~ \pi[\rho o-$







є̈ $\lambda a \beta o \nu, \mu[\epsilon \tau \alpha \delta i ́ \delta o \tau a t:-\kappa \alpha ́ \tau \omega$


н! $\varphi$. . . . . .]. . . . $\tau o \hat{v} ~ A \rho[. . .$.

$\lambda \omega v i o v, ~ ' A \rho \mu ı$ ́cıoс $A \nu[\ldots .$.

.]. . . . . [. . . .]ov $\Delta ı$ ı $\mathfrak{a}$. [
. . . . . . .].... .[. . . . . . . . .
. . . . . .]. $\mu \epsilon \gamma \iota \tau \tau[$
. . . . .]. . - $\pi \rho \circ \subset \phi \omega \nu \circ \hat{\varphi}[\mu \epsilon \nu$
ó $\mu v$ v́v $] \tau \epsilon \subset ~ A v ̉ \tau о к \rho[\alpha ́ \tau]{ }_{\rho} \rho[\alpha$
Kaícap]a T Ta!̣aup[o]ụ ' $A \delta_{\rho!!a \nu o u}$
Сєßасто仑 $\mu] \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon} v \nu$ v́токєic $\theta(a \iota)$ $\tau \alpha i ̂ c \tau \alpha ́ \xi \epsilon \subset \iota] \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho[o \gamma] \epsilon \gamma \rho \alpha \mu-$ $\mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu \dot{\eta}] \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \nu \dot{\nu} \hat{\omega} \nu$

 тovc $\left.\begin{array}{c}\epsilon \\ \pi\end{array}\right\}[\kappa] \rho!̣!\varphi \in \subset \theta a \iota$ víò

 (ётоvс) ıs Av̉то]кра́торос Kaícарос $T \rho a i ̈ \alpha \nu] o ̣ \hat{v}{ }^{`} A \delta \rho \iota\langle a\rangle v o \hat{v} C_{\epsilon} \beta a c \tau o \hat{v}$,

$\mu \eta]$ ù̀ ${ }^{\prime} A \delta \rho \iota\langle a\rangle \nu o \hat{v} \overline{\kappa \epsilon}$.<br><br><br> нє́канєь тòv ò $\rho \kappa(о \nu)$. . . [. .].<br><br>סóт $\omega \nu$ र $\rho a ́ \mu \mu a \tau a$.

 50-1 1. о $\mu \omega \mu$ о́канє 51 ор ${ }^{\kappa}$
(3rd hand) 'Talao: priests of Zeus and Hera; 15th year. ...'
(ist hand) 'To Asclepiades strategus and Hierax royal scribe from An... son of Harmiysis grandson of A. . . mother Tayris, and . . . son of . . .sis (?) grandson of Anthestius, and Diogas son of Horus grandson of Horus . . . , the three of them from the village of Talao, priests of the Greek temple of Zeus and Hera.

In response to the report of the idios logos from the records of the 15 th year that was notified for investigation, of which the following is a copy -

The undermentioned minors, sons of priests and persons of priestly stock, who came forward into the category of fourteen-year-olds in the fifteenth year of Hadrianus Caesar the lord, paid their entrance fee after the appointed date. Consequently, in order that it may be investigated whether there is income from the state or from outside (the temple) attached to their offices, and which are persons who, customarily undergoing scrutiny by the arch-prophet, have actually received the certificate from him, notification is made. Lower toparchy, Talao. Priests of the Greek temple of Zeus and Hera: . . . son of . . . grandson of . . . sis (or -sius), mother Thaesis daughter of Apollonius, Harmiysius(?) son of An . . . grandson of Harmiysis, mother . . . daughter of . . . (?) . . .

- we report, swearing by the Emperor Caesar Traianus Hadrianus Augustus, that no income is attached to the offices of our above-mentioned sons either from the state or from outside (the temple), and that it is not customary for such persons to undergo scrutiny by the arch-prophet, or let us incur the consequences of the oath.

Year 16 of Imperator Caesar Traianus Hadrianus Augustus, month of Hadrianus 25.'
(2nd hand) 'We, An . . . son of Harmiysis and . . . son of . . .sis(?) and Diogas son of Horus have submitted this document and sworn the oath. . . . son of . . . wrote for them as they are illiterate.'
${ }_{1}$ The reading of this very cursive line owes a great deal to Dr R. A. Coles who, without prior knowledge of the content of the document, made out $\tau \hat{\alpha}, \iota \epsilon \rho^{\epsilon}$ and $\eta \stackrel{\llcorner }{\rho}$ on purely palaeographic grounds. The case of $i \epsilon \rho \epsilon(\omega \nu)$ is doubtful, but the superscript epsilon should probably discourage us from breaking the diphthong to expand to $i \in \rho \epsilon(\hat{i c})$. The trace of $[\Delta \iota] \rho(c)$ is minute, but probably raised.

2 Possibly there was an item number here, e.g. $\pi \eta=88$, but this is very far from certain.
6 The very faint traces might represent . . . sip\&, cf. 26-8 n.
7 The end of the line is virtually undamaged. The letters look like avvv followed by the raised and rounded mark of abbreviation which usually represents a vestigial pi. Even $\alpha \nu\{\nu\} v(\pi-)$ does not suggest any Greek word which is obviously relevant.

9 That Greek temples were also controlled by the idios logos we know already from section 86 of the Gnomon (BGU v). It is interesting, though hardly surprising, to see that even Zeus and Hera without the specification were thought likely to be understood as their Egyptian counterparts, presumably Ammon and Mut. It is also worth noticing that the few names preserved in this item do not suggest that the priests serving Greek gods were much more Greek than those serving Egyptian ones.

18 It is disquieting to have to suppose the loss of nu. Mayser I. $\mathrm{r}^{2}$ pp. 170-1 does give examples of the loss of final nu before a vowel, but for these examples to apply fully we would have to suppose that
the scribe took iv' to be the basic form, which cannot have been the case. The alternative $t=\epsilon i$ is much easier in itself, but would mean that $\mu \epsilon \tau a \delta i \delta o \tau a l ~ m u s t ~ b e ~ t a k e n ~ a s ~ t h e ~ p h o n e t i c ~ e q u i v a l e n t ~ \mu \epsilon \tau a \delta i \delta o \tau \epsilon . ~$ The passage would have to be translated, 'Let me know whether it is investigated if there is any income attached', etc. I hold this to be impossible as $\mu \epsilon \tau a \delta o \theta \in \ell v$ eic ' $\bar{\xi} \xi \in \tau a c i v$ (io) clearly recalls iv'
 documents are identical in formula wherever they are both preserved allows us to reconstruct this passage from both of them, and I do not think one could argue that 3470 had "iv' $\bar{\epsilon} \xi \epsilon \tau a c \theta \hat{\eta} \ldots \mu \epsilon \tau a \delta i \delta o \tau a, ~ w h i l e$
 22-3 should be remembered: iva $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \ldots \bar{\epsilon} \xi \epsilon \tau a c \theta \hat{\eta}, \mu \epsilon \tau \xi \oint\left[{ }^{\hat{6}} \theta\right] \eta \ldots$

24-6 The traces are minuscule and doubtfully assigned to individual letters, especially in 25 , but the wording can be deduced from $347029-30$ and $34711,8-9$. Here there is space for the village name on the line.
 second would be the grandfather of the minor named here and the father of the declarer in 5-6 and $48-9$. His name should also occur, therefore, in 6 . The traces there are too scanty to confirm it, but would be consistent.

43-4 A horizontal at the end of the line suits the cap of sigma, i.e. singular instead of plural as in 3470 45-6.

## 3472. Letter concerning the Idios Logos

45 5B. $55 / \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{I}-3) \mathrm{a}$
$16.7 \times 18.5 \mathrm{~cm}$.
28 August A.D. 149
A letter addressed by the strategus and royal scribe of the Oxyrhynchite to Ammonius alias Pets... and colleagues of the sanctuary of Athena in the Thoereum Quarter in Oxyrhynchus. They quote a ruling by the idiologus Claudius Iustus requiring all who have plots of ground within temple precincts to produce evidence to support their right of ownership and ask for the appropriate return to be made.

The involvement of the idiologus can be related to the strong interest taken by the department of the Idios Logos in the second century in temple matters of every sort: see P. R. Swarney, Idios Logos, 83-9, 122-6. In this case Ammonius and his colleagues are addressed as a known group but it does not follow that they themselves were priests or representatives of the temple's priesthood. Full details of members of the priesthood would have been available to the authorities through their annual $\gamma \rho a \phi a i ́ i \epsilon \rho \epsilon ́ \omega \nu$ : see E. H. Gilliam, YCS ro (1947) 19ı-8. They may well have been pastophori, who are known to have had living quarters within the compounds of their temples (J. A. S. Evans, $Y C S_{\text {I7 ( }}$ (1961) 192-5), or alternatively they may have been persons who had established squatting rights within the sanctuary to avail themselves of privileges to which they were not entitled. BGU IV II99 (4 B.c.), a prefectural order for the registration of all persons attached to temples, shows that this was not a new problem. None the less it is tempting to connect the present text with the increasing economic pressure brought to bear upon the temples in Egypt in the second century: see Gilliam, op. cit. 186-7; Evans, op. cit. 263-5.

The papyrus has been quoted and discussed in advance of publication in $C E 53$ (1978) 321-8, CE 54 (1979) 143-8, and fournal of Religious History II (1980) 218-26. The back is blank.

 $\tau \hat{\omega} \kappa \alpha i{ }^{\prime} \Pi_{\epsilon-}$

 $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \eta \dot{\eta}(\nu \in \gamma \kappa \alpha)$.
 'Avтшvivov $[C \epsilon \beta a c \tau o \hat{v} E] \hat{v}[c] \epsilon \beta$ ß̣ồc $M \epsilon \subset о \rho \grave{\eta}$ є́ $\pi a \gamma o \mu(\epsilon ́ v \omega \nu) \epsilon^{-}$.

 20 रfs 22 єтауo ${ }^{\mu}$

'Aelius Aphrodisius, strategus, and Serenus alias Sarapion, royal scribe, of the Oxyrhynchite to Ammonius alias Pets . . . son of Ammonius grandson of Pecysius and colleagues, greetings.

In the inspection of the nome which he made in the past eleventh year of Antoninus Caesar our lord, Claudius Iustus the most excellent idiologus, a report being made concerning those who own sites within sacred precincts, declared as follows in giving a decision on all cases: "All those who are connected (?) with the persons who submitted the valuation under Tuscus can legally lay claim to their plots. But all those who did not make a declaration when instructed, if they do not now submit their evidence within two months, are to be liable to the prescribed penalties." Therefore since this information has been communicated by one of us in the proper way and since Heracleides, the secretary of the city, is currently about to be engaged upon (?) the list of names of those who now possess sites within sacred precincts
in the metropolis, a written order is of necessity being sent to you in order that in the given time appointed you may produce the information required concerning the sites which you have been declared to possess within the precinct of Athena in the quarter of the Thoereum of Thenepmoi.'
(2nd hand) '].......( )'. (3rd hand) 'I Sarapam(mon), scribe, have delivered this.'
(Ist hand) '[12th year] of Imperator Caesar Titus Aelius Hadrianus Antoninus [Augustus] Pius, fifth epagomenal day of Mesore.'

1-2 Aelius Aphrodisius is known as strategus from A.D. 147/8 to 3-5 March 154: XLI 2961 1o n.; Serenus alias Sarapion is attested as royal scribe from $148 / 9$ (XLI 2956) to the same date (XLI 2967), but their terms of office are likely to have been co-extensive, for Dioscorus who preceded Aphrodisius as strategus had Ischyrion as royal scribe (I 171 descr. $=$ II, p. 208).
${ }^{2-3}$ П $\Pi \tau \tau$. [: a minute trace after $\subset ; \Pi \epsilon \tau \subset \epsilon[i \rho \epsilon \iota$ vel sim. Otherwise unknown, as far as I can determine.
$4^{-6}$ Claudius Iustus is already known as idiologus from P. Tebt. I1 294 = W. Chr. 78 (5 January 147). He is probably also to be identified with the Iustus of PSI xiv 1439 and P. Lond. II 359 (p. 150) 5 : infra, 8 n . This direct statement that the idiologus conducted a $\delta \iota a \lambda o \gamma \iota c \mu o ́ c$ of the Oxyrhynchite nome in A.D. $147 / 8$ should be added to the other evidence for a special connexion of his department with this process, see G. Foti Talamanca, Ricerche sul processo, I 80-7; Aegyptus 57 (1977) 145-50.

7-8 ámध́фau [ $\epsilon \nu]$. Cf. B. G. Mandilaras, The Verb, §304, Blass-Debrunner-Rehkopf, Grammatik, § 72.
8 є́ $\pi \grave{\imath}$ Tov́cк $\omega$ : also to be read in P. Lond. il 359 (p. 150 ) 2 and SB vi go66 ii i i and 14. Dr J. Rea has suggested to me that Tuscus may be identifiable with C. Caecina Tuscus, iuridicus in A.D. 5 I/2 and prefect from $62 / 3$ to late 65 or early 66 (G. Bastianini, $Z P E_{\text {I7 }}$ (1975) 274; id. 38 (1980) 77). FIRA III 171 a and b show that, as prefect, Tuscus was concerned with curtailing certain privileges enjoyed by the veterans settled in Egypt. He may therefore have looked equally closely at any persons who had tried to claim priestly privileges or immunities to which they were not entitled. This subject has been discussed more fully in $C E 53$ (1978) $321-8$, where this papyrus was quoted in advance of publication.

For $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i+$ dat. of an official where a gen. might be expected cf. Preisigke, WB, iv 4 s.v. B(2) and (3), LSJ Suppl. s.v. (8).

9 áv $\tau \iota \lambda a \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} v \epsilon \epsilon \theta a \iota$ : in a general sense 'lay claim to' or perhaps 'acquire by succession', see R. Taubenschlag, Law ${ }^{2}, 216$ n. 13.
io tí $\pi \varphi\rangle$ : letters are broken but secure.

 or register a claim cf. P. Amh. II 68. 71-2; VII 1032 22-3.
$13^{\circ}$ 'Hраклєiסov: probably not identifiable with the city secretary of this name in P. Wisc. II 85, dated to the latter part of the second or early third century a.D.
$14 \mu[.$.$] . QvtQ¢. One possibility is \mu[\epsilon]$ TLQ́vTQ¢. If that is correct, the single dot after the second bracket represents the tip of the crossbar of tau as well as the iota to which it is ligatured. No good parallel from the papyri has been found, but see LSJ s.v. $\mu \in \tau \epsilon \rho \chi \neq \mu \alpha \iota$ (3).


 (Copt. $\mu \boldsymbol{0}$ r), 'she of the water'. Alternatively the final element of the name may represent Copt. More' the island'or Tmy, identified by A. Gardiner, Onomastica, il in $3^{*}(388 \mathrm{G})$, as a place where there was a temple of Thoeris; I owe these suggestions to Mr R. G. Cowlin. For the equation of Athena with Thoeris at this period see M. Vandoni, Acme 7 (1954) 307-12; P. Merton II 73 introd.; XLI 2976 introd.

20] (vac.)....... ( ). There is room for writing to the left but no trace of ink. The colour of the ink and slope of the hand indicate a different hand from what follows. As the document is an original, not a copy, one might expect a salutation in the hand of the strategus or royal scribe by way of validation, but I am unable to read any of the customary formulae.

For a $\gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu a \tau \epsilon$ úc attached to a strategus cf. XIV $1663_{13}$; P. Wisc. II 73. 24.
21 A.D. $147 / 8$ is referred to as 'the past IIth year' in $5-6$, which permits the restoration of the regnal year number here.

## 3473. Declaration of Temple Property, etc.

455 B. 54/G $(5-8)$ a $7.5 \times 22.5 \mathrm{~cm}$ A.D. I6I-9
The central portion of this document is well preserved, but the first two lines have been almost completely effaced and the foot, like two detached fragments clearly from that area, is discoloured and abraded. Virtually nothing can be read in the damaged parts. On the back there is an account published as 3492.

The document bears a resemblance to the lists of priests, income, furniture, etc., that were submitted annually by all temples and I therefore give a table of the ones known to me.

| I 50 or I 39 B.C. | P. Grenf. I I4 |
| :---: | :---: |
| ist cent. A.D. | BGU ili 78 I |
| 107/8 | P. Tebt. II 298 |
| 116 | P. Bacchias I |
| I 38 or later | SPP xxil ${ }^{\text {8 }} 8$ |
| I $38-6$ I | P. Lugd. Bat. XVII I |
| $161-9$ | 3473 |
| 171 | P. Bacchias 2 |
| 171 | P. Lund III 6 |
| 172 | P. Lund III 5 |
| I $77-8$ I | BGU II 387 |
| 188 | P. Lund Iv 2 |
| I 70 or later | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{BGU} \mathrm{I} 162+\mathrm{II} \\ & 590=\mathrm{W} . C h r .9 \mathrm{I} \end{aligned}$ |
| $185 / 6$ | BGU Iv 1023 |
| I 84-92 | P. Lund III 4 |
| 193 | P. Lond. II $345=$ W. Chr. 102 |
| c. 195 | P. Erl. 21 |
| 197 | P. Bour. 41 a |
| II | BGU II 488 |
| II | III 521 |
| II | P. Bacchias 7 |
| late II | P. Lund if 3 |
| 213-17 | XII 1449 |
| 259 | P. Ryl. iI i io |

List of objects deposited in a temple
List of objects
$\gamma \rho \alpha \phi \dot{\eta}$ i $\epsilon \rho \epsilon ́ \epsilon \nu$
$\gamma \rho a \phi \dot{\eta}$ iєिє́ $\omega \nu$ каi $\chi \epsilon \iota \rho \iota с \mu о \hat{v}$
List of income and expenditure
$\gamma \rho$. iє $\rho$. каi $\chi \epsilon \iota \rho$.
$\gamma \rho$. àva $\eta \eta \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu \kappa \alpha i$ т $\rho о с o ́ \delta \omega \nu$
$\gamma \rho$. $i \in \rho$. каi $\chi \in \iota \rho$.
$\gamma \rho$. $i \in \rho$. каi $\chi \in \iota \rho$.
$\gamma \rho . i \epsilon \rho . \kappa \alpha i \chi \epsilon \iota \rho$.
$\gamma \rho . i \in \rho . к а і \chi є \iota \rho$.
$\gamma \rho . i \in \rho, \kappa \alpha i \chi \epsilon \iota \rho$.
$\gamma \rho$. $i \in \rho$. каi $\chi \in \iota \rho$.
$\gamma \rho . \theta \epsilon a \gamma \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha i \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\varphi}$ $i \in \rho \hat{\omega}$ á $\pi о к є \iota \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$
$\gamma \rho$. $i \in \rho$. каі $\chi \epsilon \iota \rho$.
$\gamma \rho$. тастофо́ $\rho \omega v$ каi $\chi є \iota \rho \iota с \mu о \hat{v}$

Temple inventory
List of priests, etc.
$\gamma \rho$. iє $\rho$. каi $\chi \in \iota \rho$.
List of objects
List of furniture
List of priests
үрафウ̀ à $\nu \alpha \theta \eta \mu \dot{\tau} \tau \omega$
$\gamma \rho a \phi \dot{\eta} \chi \epsilon \iota \rho \iota c \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$

II-III

III

BGU I $338+$ I

PSI VIII $95^{\circ}$

List of temple lamps
and expenditure
Temple inventory

On these lists and on temple administration in general see W. Otto, Priester u. Tempel, esp. II 150; W. Gdz., I28; T. Grassi, Le liste templari, in Studi della Scuola Papirologica, iv, 4 (Milan, 1926); Aegyptus 12 (1932) 317-28; P. Bacchias, introd.; P. Lund Iv 2-5; YCS 17 (1961) 143-283.

No other list, however, is styled $\gamma \rho a \neq \eta$ à $v a \theta \eta \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu \kappa \alpha i \quad \pi \rho o c o ́ \delta \omega \nu$ like the present one and none seems to be submitted by a single man, who is in all likelihood not even a priest but a pastophorus (cf. 23, 27, 30 and 32). Some illumination may be had from XII 1435, which is a taxation return made by tax-collectors to the strategus concerning two pastophori who are attached to different temples. The two pastophori may have made individual declarations that resembled the present document. But if the present document is a declaration of the income and expenditure of a single pastophorus it remains mysterious why he also includes an inventory of the furniture of the temple.

The order in which the objects are listed is instructive, as it may allow us to construct a plan of the temple of Apollo at Pela, though not a very detailed one. It is clearly suggested that the inventory begins in the inner part of the temple where cult statues were kept in their shrines, together with figurines of hawks, lamps, automenis, trumpets and two altars. Just outside the inner sanctum was another altar in the dromos. The dromos was closed by a propylon and outside this may have been a court where various burners were kept. This in turn was closed by the great propylon.

A rough plan of the temple may be drawn thus:


I have tried a very rough identification of the objects mentioned with actual objects found in Egypt (cf. notes), but it is clear that a great deal more is to be done in this line. As this part of the work would be better done by a specialist in Egyptian archaeology, it has been set aside for a joint article with Professor J. R. Harris, who has kindly taken an interest in the text and promised his help.

A useful collection of sources concerning pastophori has appeared since I finished this account: Hans-Bernhard Schönborn, Die Pastophoren im Kult der ägyptischen Götter (Beiträge zur klassischen Philologie 80, Diss. Köln, 1976).

```
            (25-30 letters)
            (25-30 letters)
```



```
        vá\omegav 0\epsilon\hat{\omega}v \mu\epsilon..( ) i\epsilon\rhoov̂ \lambdao\gammaí\muov \tauov̂
```




```
\(\tau \hat{\omega} v\) кирі́шv \(A[\hat{v} \tau]\) ократо́р \(\omega \nu\) Ма́ркои
\(A \dot{v} \rho \eta\) रíou ' \(A \nu[\tau \omega \nu]\) ívoи каі Моикíov
```






```
\(\chi а \lambda(\kappa \alpha \hat{\imath}) \mu \epsilon \iota \kappa(\rho a i) \bar{\gamma}, a v \tau о \mu \epsilon \nu \iota \subset \xi v \lambda(\iota \nu-) \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \kappa \epsilon \chi(\rho v с \omega \mu \epsilon \nu-) \bar{\alpha}\),
```









``` с! \(\delta \eta(\rho-) \bar{a}, \lambda \alpha \beta i c ~ o ́ \mu о i(\omega c) \bar{a}, \kappa \alpha i \stackrel{\epsilon}{\nu} \tau \hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon \gamma \alpha ́ \lambda(\omega)\)
```



``` ßáv \(\omega \pi \alpha \rho a ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ i \epsilon \rho \epsilon ́ \omega \nu ~ \tau \alpha i c ~ \grave{\epsilon} \pi \omega-\)
```



``` cùv т тохі́скш сı \(\delta \eta \rho \hat{\omega} \bar{a}, a ̊\) à \(\pi \alpha \rho a \delta i ́-\)
```



```
\(\kappa \epsilon \iota \tau \alpha \iota\) ס́́ \(\mu \circ \iota \pi \alpha \rho \grave{a} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau o \hat{v} i \epsilon \rho o \hat{v} i \in \rho(\epsilon ́ \omega \nu)\)
```



```
à \(\gamma \nu \epsilon i ́ a ~ \tau o \hat{v} \theta \epsilon o \hat{v}\) каi \(\tau 0 \hat{v}\) i \(\epsilon \rho о \hat{v}\) єic \(\delta \iota a-\)
\(\tau \rho \circ \phi \dot{\eta} \nu\) ai cuv\({ }^{\prime} \theta \omega c \delta \delta \delta o ́ \mu(\epsilon \nu \alpha \iota) \pi a c \tau о \phi o ́(\rho \omega)\)
```




```
\(\gamma \mu \alpha \tau о \lambda(о \gamma) \kappa \alpha i\). \(\pi \alpha \rho \alpha ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \subset \kappa \dot{\omega} \mu \eta<\gamma \epsilon-\)
```



```
\(\epsilon \dot{v} c \epsilon ́ \beta \epsilon \iota a \nu\) ả \(\pi o ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi a \lambda(\alpha \iota \omega \nu \nu) \chi \rho o ́ \nu \omega(\nu) ~ \dot{\epsilon} \xi\) oṽ
```



```
        \({ }^{\alpha} \nu \theta^{\prime} \not{ }_{\omega} \nu\) ảva入( \(\left.{ }^{\prime} \mu a \tau o c\right):-\)
```



```
    .......[..] c. 20 letters
    ф! \(\lambda_{0} \operatorname{top} \rho_{p} \omega[\). .]. . . . . [. . .]. . . . . . . . . . . .
    ........ [
    \(\tau \omega \varphi\).
    . . . . \(\tau \omega \varphi[\)
    . . . . . . . . .
    . . . . . . .
```

    ( 2 small damaged fragments not transcribed)
    
...of the famous temple of Apollo... and the associated gods which is in the same Pela. List of offerings and income for the present $n$th year of the lords emperors Marcus Aurelius Antoninus and Lucius Aurelius Verus, of which the separate items are: 3 bronze statues of the hawk-shaped Apollo in 3 wooden shrines; 3 bronze hawks of which 2 are inlaid (?) with glass (?); 3 small bronze lamps; i gilded wooden automenis; 2 sacred bronze trumpets; I stone altar; I other altar of bronze... ; i bronze altar in the court. In the propylaeum of the temple there are: i door, plated on the front with bronze, into which are embedded (?) 2 bronze busts of Sarapis and Apollo; 4 bronze trumpet-shaped incense burners; i bronze Bes; i iron brazier (?); I pair of tongs likewise (of iron). And in the great propylaeum there is I bronze-plated door. And on the eponymous days I receive from the priests 2 hieratic bronze measures with i iron wheel (?), which I return to them after the festival. There are reserved to me from the priests of the temple who are present (?) each in succession in the service of the god and the temple, for my sustenance, the 12 artabas of wheat usually given to a pastophorus who serves the temple, which are also included in the priests' account, and from the gleaning (?), and...from the farmers and cleruchs of the village who are willing to give from piety since ancient times, (...?), from which a total is derived of....artabas of wheat and 48 drachmas of money. Against these, expenditure: for the overseer tax...'
r－2 The damage is so severe that no letters can be read for certain．The shortage of space suggests that the address was omitted．The royal scribe is the usual recipient of such declarations．The text here might well have begun with mapá followed by the name and filiation of the declarant，in more or less detail，origin（cf．5），and function in the temple．

3 ．［．．］．к $\lambda_{\xi \iota \tau o v a p p e a r s ~ t o ~ b e ~ a ~ c u l t ~ t i t l e ~ o f ~ A p o l l o . ~ T h e ~ p o s s i b i l i t i e s ~ g i v e n ~ i n ~ P . ~ K r e t s c h m e r-E . ~ L o c k e r, ~}^{\text {，}}$ Ruckläufiges $W b$ ．，are á $\gamma a^{-}, \tau \eta \dot{\lambda}_{\epsilon-}, \pi \epsilon \rho t-, \delta o v \rho \iota-$ ，and vavcıклєtтóc．The last three are excluded here by the remains of a ligature joining the kappa．A name，like Heracleitus etc．，would seem to be out of place here．
$4 \mu \epsilon$ ．．（ ）．Here we expect $\mu \epsilon \gamma^{\prime} \lambda \omega \nu$ or $\mu \epsilon \gamma^{\prime} \subset \tau \omega \nu$ ，see G．Ronchi，Lexicon Theonymon，s．vv．Instead the remains seem to suit $\mu \epsilon_{!} \kappa(\rho \hat{\omega} \nu)$ ，written with a long iota and a raised cursive kappa in much the same way as in $\mu \epsilon 1 \kappa(\rho a i)$ in I3．This is not recorded in Ronchi，op．cit．，and it is doubtful whether such a sense，＇the associated little gods＇，could have been acceptable．

5－6 ḍ va［ $\theta] \eta \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ ．Cf．XII 14497 ．The parallel seems to justify the restoration，though the remains are very slight．

6 The trace of the year number is a mere dot．The joint reign of Marcus and Verus covered nine Graeco－Egyptian years，A．D．161－168／9．This form of the titulature is not listed in P．Bureth，Les titulatures，77－82．
ı The word iєрако́ $\mu о р \phi$ oc is new in the papyri，unless it is to be restored in PSI viri 950，a very fragmentary inventory of a temple of Apollo，where lines 14 and 16 have forms of a word ending in －ко́ $о о р ф о с$ ．These statues will probably have been hawk－headed，like the bronze statuette from Saqqara illustrated in $\mathcal{J E A} 56$（1970），pl．vili．
${ }_{11}$ The wooden cases may have been shrines like those illustrated in $\mathscr{J} E A 56$（1970），pl．Lxvi．
ífракєс．Statues of hawks do not to my knowledge occur in any other temple inventory．They are， however，quite commonly found in Egypt．Cf． $\mathcal{J} E A 57$（1971），pl．vir for an illustration of a bronze falcon with inlaid eyes，pl．ix for other bronzes in the same shape．
 especially to Epin．1．8，which mentions a drinking cup of $\chi v \tau \hat{\eta} c \lambda_{i} \theta_{0 v}$（fem．）．In this case the two bronze hawks may have had applied or inlaid decoration of glass．If $\delta \iota a \kappa \circ \lambda\left(\lambda \eta \theta_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \nu \tau \epsilon c\right)$ or another cognate is the
 a passageway with decorative panels of giallo antico rather than that it was＇formed＇of that stone ＇morticed together＇，as LSJ s．v．ठıaко入入á $\omega$ would translate it．
$I_{3}$ avtouєvic．Cf．BGU II 387 ii 4 ，where we also find avtouєvic $\xi \nu \lambda()$ in another temple inventory． The meaning is unknown．
 De mensibus，IV 73，but is not described．According to Artemidorus I， 56 it was a straight trumpet，since a $i \in \rho \dot{a}$ cá $\lambda \pi \iota \gamma \xi$ is described as the opposite of a cт $\rho \circ \gamma \gamma u ́ \lambda \eta$ ．These may have been like the trumpets（？） illustrated in $\mathscr{J E A} 57$（1971），pl．v（3）．
${ }_{15} a \rho \tau()$ ．No satisfactory expansion has yet been suggested：$\chi a \lambda(\kappa \hat{\omega}) \dot{a} \rho \tau(\eta \theta \epsilon i c)$ ，＇clamped with bronze＇，will clearly not suit，because $\chi^{a \lambda(~) ~ i s ~ t h e ~ a d j e c t i v e ~ i n ~ e v e r y ~ o t h e r ~ c a s e, ~ a n d ~ w e ~ a c t u a l l y ~ h a v e ~}$ a $\beta \omega \mu$ óc．．．$\chi$ a入（ко̂́c）following in $1_{5-16}$ ．

18 єעкєко．（ ）．The final element is cross－shaped and raised．It looks most like an iota with a
 would do，or $\bar{\epsilon} \gamma \kappa \epsilon \kappa о i(\lambda a \nu \tau a \imath)$ ，＇have been hollowed out＇．Neither of these uncommon words can be accepted here without confirmation．More promising might be＇́ $\gamma \kappa о \lambda a ́ \pi \tau \omega$＇engrave＇，but the doubtful letter is very different from the other raised lambdas．

19－20 Cf．the illustrations in $\mathscr{\mathscr { C }}=\mathrm{A} 5$（1971）7，figs．1－3，for trumpet－shaped incense burners．
$20 \pi v \rho \eta v$ ．It looks as if the edge of the document is preserved，though $\pi \dot{v} \rho \omega{ }^{2}$ o in P．Lugd．Bat．XVII I ii 20 and $\pi \dot{v} \rho \iota v a \iota$ in BGU II 590 ．I suggest the possibility that $\pi \nu \rho \eta \nu$ here is not complete．If it is complete，it might represent a vulgar spelling of $\pi v \rho \epsilon \hat{i} o v$ through a series of phonetic changes， $\pi \nu \rho \epsilon \hat{i} \nu=\pi \nu \rho \hat{i} \circ \nu=\pi v \rho \bar{\nu}=\pi v \rho \hat{\eta} \nu$ ．See CP 43 （1948）243－60 for the reduction of $-\iota v$ to $-\nu v$ ．The other changes are simple iotacisms．Suidas equates $\pi v \rho \in i o v$ with $\theta \nu \mu \iota a \tau \eta \rho \iota o v$ ，but cf．19－20 here．Perhaps
 iron，not pot．
${ }_{21} \lambda a \beta i c=$＇tongs＇，probably like those shown in $\mathscr{f} E A 57$（1971）8，figs．i9－20．Cf．the $\lambda a \beta i \delta \iota a$ in P．Lugd．Bat．xvil I ii 12.


$2^{-5} \mu^{\prime} \epsilon \in \rho \rho \alpha \chi a \lambda \kappa \alpha \hat{\alpha} i \in \rho a \tau \iota \kappa \alpha ́ a r e ~ u n p a r a l l e l e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ i n v e n t o r i e s . ~ G r a i n ~ m e a s u r e s ~ a r e ~ s o m e t i m e s ~ n a m e d ~$ after temples, see D. Hennig, Untersuchungen z. Bodenpacht. The measures may have contained grain for use in the rites. The $\tau \rho \circ$ хicкос might perhaps have been a round flat dish for taking small quantities of it.
 plural followed by sums of artabas and drachmas as subject and finally a total introduced by $\epsilon \in \xi \bar{\omega} \nu$ cuváyovтa.
$28 \pi \alpha_{\beta} \eta \tau\left(\right.$ ) or $\pi \alpha_{\imath \eta \tau}()$ seem to be the only possible readings. Perhaps a conjunction has dropped
 each attends the festivities (?) at the purification of the god and the temple'. If this train of thought is right, the temple of Apollo is normally attended only by the pastophorus, who assists a visiting priest on special occasions and receives an allowance from him. The same sort of situation arises perhaps in XXXVI 2782, instructions to a priestess to go and celebrate rites in a village.
$3^{32-3} \delta \rho a \gamma \mu a \tau o \lambda()$. The only word I can find is $\delta \rho a \gamma \mu a \tau o \lambda o ́ \gamma o c$, which is attested only from Hesychius (= ó $\tau \dot{\alpha} \delta \rho a ́ \gamma \mu a \tau a ~ c v v a ́ \gamma \omega \nu$ : LSJ's 'gleaning' is wrong). However, the preposition á $\pi o$ ', the lack of the definite article, and common sense seem to exclude gleaners being mentioned along with farmers and cleruchs as pious donors. A word $\delta$ parparodoyía, 'gleaning', is not so far attested, but would be an unobjectionable formation and suitable here.

33 The much-damaged remains after каí would suit alpha. Perhaps the writer began ámó in place of $\pi$ apá. He may even have deleted it.
 $\left.\delta_{\ell}\right] \delta o \mu \epsilon ́ v \omega \nu \nu \dot{\eta}[\mu \hat{i}] \nu$.

37 є̇тıcтатькóv. Cf. S. L. Wallace, Taxation, 252-3.

## 3474. Application for a Loan of Seed Corn

A request, damaged at the top, presented by Ischyrion son of Heradion to the commission charged with the distribution of seed corn, for the purpose of obtaining a loan of seed corn in the ratio of 17 artabas of grain for 17 aruras of 'royal' land, cultivated by Ischyrion in a kleros in the vicinity of the village of Tholthis, to be used for the sowing of the current sixth year of Severus and Caracalla $=$ A.D. $197 / 8$.

The applicant undertakes to use the seed in the approved manner and to repay the loan with interest at the following harvest. Parallels from the Roman period are P. Hamb. I I9 (A.D. 225); VII 1031 (A.D. 228; = W. Chr. 343); SPP xx 34 (A.D. 232/3), and P. Flor. I 21 (A.D. 239); also P. Coll. Youtie 22 (A.D. $87 / 8$ ) and 26 (A.D. 156), with references. See too P. Köln iir i37, P. Oxy. Hels. 24, and H.-A. Rupprecht, Unters. zum Darlehen (Münch. Beitr. 51: C. H. Beck, Munich, 1967), 157-60. 3474 is distinguished (a) by the lack of a date at the foot (there is an extensive blank lower margin) - cf. P. Coll. Youtie 26 which also has no formal date-clause; (b) by the declaration of an Alexandrian guarantor in 33-7, and (c) by the references ( $10-12,20-1$ ) to orders of the prefect (Aemilius Saturninus). For 'loans' or 'advances' of seed corn see C. Michurski, Eos 48. 3 (1956: = Symb. Taubenschlag III), 105-38; his theses are complicated further by $\mathbf{3 4 7 4}$. The prefect's order in the present instance is obviously general and not specifically linked to Ischyrion's application, but it remains unclear
whether it was a routine and regular instruction or whether in this year there were extraordinary reasons for it, for example a low Nile flood in A.D. 196 (so producing a low yield in 197's harvest) for which there is some evidence: D. Bonneau, Le Fisc et le Nil, 252.

In the lower margin are two lines of dockets in different hands, and there is a further docket along the fibres on the back.

```
    [ c. ig letters ].\muo[...]
    \tauo\hat{v}[ c. 15 \epsilon}]
```



```
    \deltaóc\epsilon\omegac c\pi\epsilon\rho[\mu(\alphá\tau\omega\nu)] \chi}[\omega\rhoo]\hat{q}\nu\tau(\omega\nu
```



à $\pi^{\prime} ' O \xi(v \rho \hat{\gamma} \gamma \chi \omega \nu) \pi o ́ \lambda(\epsilon \omega c)$. aiтov̂[ $\left.\mu a \iota\right]$ тара $\mu \epsilon-$
$\tau \rho \eta \theta(\hat{\eta} \nu a \iota) \pi \alpha \rho ’ \dot{v} \mu \hat{\varphi}[\nu \epsilon i c] \subset(\pi \epsilon ́ \rho \rho \mu a \tau \alpha) \delta \alpha ́ \nu \epsilon \iota a$
( $\pi v \rho \circ \hat{v}) \gamma \epsilon \nu \dot{\eta}(\mu a \tau o c) \tau o \hat{v} \delta \iota \epsilon \lambda(\theta o ́ v \tau o c) \in\left({ }^{\prime \prime} \tau o v c\right) \epsilon i \subset \tau \eta ̀ \nu \tau o \hat{v}$


$\lambda \alpha \mu(\pi \rho \circ \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \omega) \hat{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \mu o ́ v \iota, \hat{\eta} \subset \gamma \epsilon \omega \rho \gamma(\hat{\omega}) \pi \epsilon \rho i$
$\Theta \hat{\omega} \lambda(\theta \iota \nu) \Theta \mu o t(c \epsilon \phi \dot{\omega}) \stackrel{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \circ \hat{v}$. . . . $\gamma \in \nu$ vovc

$\llbracket \kappa \varsigma \rrbracket(\mathrm{m} .2) \mathrm{cs}$,



$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \in i c$ тоиิто $\pi \rho о к є \chi \epsilon \iota \rho \iota \subset \mu \epsilon ́(\nu \omega \nu)$
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi i$ катастор( $\dot{\alpha} \nu) \kappa \alpha i \hat{\omega} \nu \stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \lambda(\lambda \omega \nu) \pi \rho о с \eta ́ \kappa \epsilon \iota$
$\kappa а \tau^{\prime} \epsilon \in \nu \kappa \epsilon ́ \lambda \epsilon(\nu \subset \iota \nu) \tau о \hat{v} \lambda \alpha \mu(\pi \rho о \tau a ́ \tau o v)$ ท̀ $\gamma \epsilon \mu o ́ v o c$
Aipı入入íov Catovpveívov



каі o’ огv́ш т ̀̀̀ Моикíov

'Aঠıаß $\quad$ иєкой каї Ма́ркои
ảтобє $\delta \epsilon \iota \gamma(\mu \epsilon ́ \nu о v)$ À̉токра́торос
$\tau \dot{v} \chi \eta \nu \mu \grave{\eta}$ є̀ $\psi \epsilon \hat{v} \subset \theta(a \iota)$. 'Iсхvрíwv
$\dot{\omega}$ поо́кєєтац.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (m.4) ].[]؟ код( ) ب( ) .( ) is } \\
& \text { (m. } 5 \text { ?) ] } \gamma \rho a(\text { ) ...( ) } \\
& \text { Back, downwards along the fibres: }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ].[.]. .[.]к } \alpha
\end{aligned}
$$



(2-37) $\ldots$ ex-exegetes, appointed to supervise the sowing and the distribution of seed corn destined for the sowing of the present 6th year, from Ischyrion son of Heradion and grandson of Ischyrion, from the city of the Oxyrhynchi. I apply to have measured out to me from you as a loan of seed corn (2nd hand) 17 (Ist hand) artabas of wheat from the produce of the past 5th year for the sowing of the present 6 th year, in accordance with the decisions of Aemilius Saturninus the most illustrious prefect, for the (2nd hand) 17 (ist hand) aruras of royal land which I farm near Tholthis (in the toparchy of Thmoisepho out of the allotment of... genes and others; which (artabas) I shall clean of barley and darnel and sow in the ground honestly and in good faith under the supervision of those appointed for this purpose over the sowing and of such others as is fitting, in accordance with the order of the most illustrious prefect Aemilius Saturninus; and I shall repay (them) out of the new crop with the accompaniments together with the dues on the land for the present 6th year; and I swear by the fortune of Lucius Septimius Severus Pius Pertinax Augustus Arabicus Adiabenicus and Marcus Aurelius Antoninus Caesar, Imperator-designate, that I have not lied. I, Ischyrion son of Heradion, presented this, and I will repay from the new crop as aforesaid.' ( 3 rd hand) 'I, Isidorus son of Isidorus and grandson of Heracleides, of the Sosicosmian tribe and Althaean deme, guarantee repayment as aforesaid by the person above-written.'

1 Three letters would be the maximum for the final lacuna. Possibly Xaıp] ${ }^{\prime} \mu_{\rho}[\nu o c]$ ?
3-4 The restoration of these lines is conjectural.
11 Q. Aemilius Saturninus is attested as prefect of Egypt from 197 until 199/200: see G. Bastianini, $Z P E 17$ (1975) 304. 3474 does not alter the dates of his tenure.

13 I have not succeeded in reading the name of the kleros-holder, which does not appear to be one recorded in P. Pruneti, Aeg. 55 (1975) 159-204.
$14 \llbracket \kappa \leqslant \rrbracket$, $\llbracket \kappa \varsigma \rrbracket$. The first set is almost totally erased, and is restored by analogy with the second. The second $\varsigma$ is clear but $\kappa$ is conjectural on the assumption that the deleted first figure was not $\imath$.
$19 \dot{\omega} \nu \alpha ̈ \partial \lambda(\lambda \omega \nu) \pi \rho о с \dot{\eta} \kappa \epsilon \iota$. Cf. SPP xx 34. 22.
25-30 The imperial titulature is closely but not precisely paralleled by examples in P. Bureth, Les titulatures impériales, 95-6.

33-7 For the guarantee cf. P. Iand. 30.
38-9 The sense of the dockets remains elusive. Only the figure is in 38 recalls the quantity of aruras and/or artabas inserted as a correction in 14.

41 Not, presumably, $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \delta \epsilon \delta \omega \kappa \alpha$ (cf. 32). Possibly a reference to the month and day? Contrast the introd. above, pointing out the absence of a date-clause, and P. Coll. Youtie 26 introd. (p. 236) on the lack of need of a date in texts of this type.

It is not clear whether scattered ink traces to the right of and below what is transcribed are from writing or are just blots.

## 3475. Report of Dike Superintendents

69/19 (a) $\quad 6 \times 25 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad 16$ March A.D. 220
Two superintendents of the dikes present an account (in naubia) of the work carried out on the dikes of the canals of a specified area of the Oxyrhynchite nome during a five-day period. Cf. P. Giss. 64. 9-12, mentioning a $\lambda o ́ \gamma o c ~ \pi \epsilon \nu \theta \eta \mu \epsilon ́(\rho o v)$ in connexion with $\chi \omega \mu a \tau \epsilon \pi \iota \mu \epsilon \lambda \eta \tau a i ́$. No texts precisely parallel to the present one are known to me. XII 1546 (late third century A.D.) is very similar but may not be concerned with a five-day period.
$\chi \omega \mu a \tau о \epsilon()$ in $3^{-4}$, cf. 32 , should probably be expanded $\chi \omega \mu a \tau \sigma \epsilon(\pi \tau \mu \epsilon \lambda \eta \tau \hat{\omega} \nu)$ rather than $\chi \omega \mu a \tau 0 \epsilon(\pi \epsilon \epsilon \kappa \tau \hat{\omega} \nu)$, cf. P. J. Sijpesteijn, Aeg. 44 (1964) 17-19. If this is right, then if XII 1546 (which Sijpesteijn, loc. cit. 17 n .3 , has supposed to refer to $\chi \omega \mu a \tau \epsilon \pi \epsilon i \kappa \tau a \iota$ rather than $\chi \omega \mu a \tau \epsilon \pi \iota \mu \epsilon \lambda \eta \tau a i)$ is set aside, 3475 would provide the latest evidence for $\chi \omega \mu a \tau \epsilon \pi \iota \mu \epsilon \lambda \tau \tau a i$, previously attested at the latest ${ }^{1}$ in A.D. I $8 \mathrm{I} / 2$ from BGU 112 ( $=$ W. Chr. 389). ı1 ; cf. N. Lewis, Inv. Comp. Serv., s.v. There still remains a gap of nearly eighty years before the first dated occurrence of the $\chi \omega \mu a \tau \epsilon \pi \epsilon i \kappa \tau \eta c$, A.D. 298 from XII 1469 20, see Lewis, op. cit. s.v.

Across the fibres on the back there is a 23 -line account, more or less complete, with sums in drachmas, in a different and very cursive third-century hand.
$\pi a \rho \dot{a} A u ̉ \rho \eta[\lambda i ́]$ ou Пєтє́v́pıoc
каi $\tau о \hat{v} \subset \dot{v}[\nu]$ aù $\tau \hat{\omega} \chi \omega \mu \alpha-$
$\tau o \epsilon(\pi \iota \mu \epsilon \lambda \eta \tau \hat{\omega} \nu) \lambda_{\iota} \beta(o ̀ c) \tau[o]\left(\pi a \rho \chi_{i ́ a c}\right)$ ソọ $\tau i \nu \eta c \mu \in \rho[i ̂]-$

$\delta \iota^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \varphi$.. [.]..[.]. $\nu(\alpha \nu \beta i \omega \nu) \in i c$
$\tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \hat{\eta} \subset \alpha(\dot{v} \tau \hat{\eta} \subset) \mu \epsilon \rho_{i} \delta o c \delta \eta \mu o ́ c ı a$
$\chi \dot{\omega} \mu a \tau \alpha \tau \hat{\varphi} \varphi \dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{o} \stackrel{\varsigma^{-}}{ }$


[^2]10 $\tau 0 \hat{v} \mu \eta \nu \grave{̀ c} \subset \Phi_{\alpha \mu \epsilon ฺ \nu}^{\omega} \theta$




 бıако( ) $\pi \rho$.[.]..... $\nu(\alpha v \beta \iota-)$ $\nu a u ́ \beta(\iota a) \quad \rho \xi \eta L^{-}$ $\hat{\omega} \nu$
$C_{\epsilon \nu \epsilon \kappa \epsilon \lambda}(\epsilon \dot{\nu}) \stackrel{a}{\alpha} \nu \delta(\rho \epsilon c) \epsilon^{-} \quad \nu(\alpha u ́ \beta \iota \alpha) \rho a \mathrm{~d}\left[^{-}\right]$
C $\epsilon \rho \dot{́} \phi \epsilon \omega \subset$ ä $\nu \delta(\rho \epsilon \subset) \iota^{-} \quad \nu(\alpha u ́ \beta \iota \alpha) \xi \zeta L$ $\epsilon \pi \iota \beta o \lambda(\hat{\eta} \subset) \kappa \alpha i \quad \pi \lambda(\epsilon о \nu \alpha \subset \mu \circ \hat{v}) \quad \nu(\alpha u ́ \beta \iota \alpha) \nu \delta$

ఉे
${ }^{\circ} \nu \delta(\rho \in c) \delta^{-}$
$\nu(\alpha u ́ \beta ı \alpha) \kappa \zeta^{-}$
$С_{\epsilon \nu \epsilon \kappa \epsilon \lambda(\epsilon \dot{u})}{ }^{\circ} \nu \delta(\rho \in c) \delta^{-} \quad \nu(\alpha u ́ \beta \iota \alpha) \kappa \zeta^{-}$
( $\left.\gamma^{\prime} \nu \nu=\nu \tau \alpha \iota\right) \tau \hat{\eta} c(\pi \epsilon \nu \theta \eta \mu \epsilon ́ \rho o v) \stackrel{\partial}{\nu} \delta(\rho \epsilon c) \lambda \gamma^{-}$
$\nu(\alpha u ́ \beta ı a) \quad$ ск $\beta \mathrm{Ld}^{-}$
(є̌тоис) $\gamma$ А $̀$ токра́торос
Kaícарос Ма́ркои $A$ v̀p $\eta$ дíou
 Eủтvхойс Cєßастой, $\Phi_{a \mu \epsilon \nu \grave{\omega} \theta} \kappa^{-}$. Пєтєи̂pıc $\chi \omega \mu a \tau o \epsilon(\pi \imath \mu \epsilon \lambda \eta \tau \dot{\eta} c) \delta \imath^{\prime} \epsilon$ '
 $\mu о \nu о с \gamma \rho(\alpha \mu \mu \alpha \tau \epsilon ́ \omega c) \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \delta(\epsilon ́ \delta \omega \kappa \alpha)$.

32 Пєтє $\hat{v} \rho \iota c: \pi$ corr. from $a$ ?
( 2 ff .) 'From Aurelius Peteuris and his colleague, superintendents of the dikes of the southern section of the western toparchy. Account of the naubia dug through us the undersigned(?), for the public dikes of the same section, from the 16 th to the 20th inclusive of the month of Phamenoth of the current 3 rd year of Marcus Aurelius Antoninus Caesar the lord. As follows:

For the breaches(?) in the dikes on a catoecic allotment formerly belonging(?) to Colluthus, son of Apollonius, . . . naubia 1683 ${ }_{4}^{3}$, made up as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\text { Senekeleu } & \text { men I5 } & \text { naubia } & 10 I_{4}^{1} \\
\text { Seryphis } & \text { men } & \text { Io } & \text { naubia }
\end{array} 67 \frac{1}{2}
$$

for imposition and addition, naubia 54, made up as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
\text { (Seryphis) } & \text { men } & 4 & \text { naubia } & 27 \\
\text { Senekeleu } & \text { men } & 4 & \text { naubia } & 27
\end{array}
$$

total for the 5-day period:
men 33 naubia $222 \frac{3}{4}$.

The 3rd year of Imperator Caesar Marcus Aurelius 【Antoninus】Pius Felix Augustus，Phamenoth 20.

I，Peteuris，superintendent of the dikes，have presented this，through me，Aurelius Agathodaemon， secretary．＇

I Traces and interpretation are puzzling．Prima facie an alpha can be seen，and possibly $\dot{\phi}(\nu+i y p a \phi o \nu)$ should be read；in favour of classifying the document as a copy are the absence（on this reading！）of an addressee and the fact of the subscription in $3^{2-4}$ being written without a change of hand． Alternatively，should the traces be taken as the residue of the address？There are faint scatterings of ink all the way back to the line－beginning point，and the first（slightly in ecthesis）might be read as $q$ ， as part of an address to the strategus Aurelius Harpocration，with the printed traces belonging to his abbreviated title；for Aurelius Harpocration as strategus at this time，see XLIII 3131 i and n．，and the strategus（or basilicogrammateus）would be the expected recipient of the report on the analogy of five－day accounts sent in by other officials（cf．e．g．XXVII 2472，P．Mert．III ioz，P．Oslo III 89－9 I and PSI III 160）．Nevertheless，the traces prior to those printed are exceedingly faint and may be simply blots or offsets．The printed traces might，as a further possibility，be from a column or item number．I am inclined to think that line 1 is in the same hand as the rest of the document，although judgment is difficult with such minimal evidence．

3－5 For $\chi \omega \mu a \tau \epsilon \pi \iota \mu \epsilon \lambda \eta \tau a i$ see the introduction above and P．Coll．Youtie 21 ．io n ．with references；
 The form $\chi \omega \mu a \tau 0 \epsilon \pi \tau \mu \epsilon \lambda \eta \tau \eta \dot{c}$ recurs in P．Giss． 58 ii 15 （A．D．i16），P．Lond．III 1159 （p． $113:=$ W．Chr． 415）． 39 （A．D．144－7），and P．Coll．Youtie 21 （ $=$ XLV 3264）．10（A．D．80／81）．It was already known that the $\chi \omega \mu a \tau \epsilon \pi \iota \mu \epsilon \lambda \eta \tau a i$ were linked in＇colleges＇of two persons．Sijpesteijn，Aeg． 44 （1964）9－16，has further formulated the hypothesis that each of the two was responsible for a whole toparchy，whereas from 3475 it seems rather the case that their competence was limited，for both，to the same area within a toparchy （in 3475 to the southern section of the western toparchy）．For the $\mu \in \rho i c$ as an administrative subdivision of a toparchy see P．Köln III 137．22－3 n．

5 In analogous documents the expression $\lambda$ ó $\gamma o c \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ introduces a participle，either passive or with intransitive force：cf．入ó ooc $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \iota a \gamma \epsilon \gamma \rho a \mu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$, P．Fay． 4 I i 6，and $\lambda o ́ \gamma o c \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \gamma \epsilon \gamma \circ \nu o ́ \tau \omega \nu$ ，XXVII 2472 6－7．It seems likely that in the present text the participle $\epsilon i \rho \gamma a c \mu \epsilon \in \omega \omega \nu$ should have passive force（cf．Mayser 1I．I p．121，Kühner－Gerth I p．120），so that in 6 we should expand $\nu(a v \beta i \omega \nu)$ ；cf．W．Ost．1222．2－4， vaußicuv $\mu \grave{\eta}$（see W．Gdz．p． 337 n．І）$\dot{a} \pi \epsilon \rho \gamma a \subset \theta_{\epsilon} \nu \tau \omega \nu$.
$6 \delta_{1}{ }^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} y$. Cf．，in the case of $\chi \omega \mu a \tau \epsilon \pi \uparrow \mu \epsilon \lambda \eta \tau \alpha i$, P．Harr．76．1，O．Mich．294． 2 and 813 ．1；Sijpesteijn， Penthemeros－Certificates，p． 69 no． 6 and p． 72 no．39．After $\delta \iota^{\prime}{ }^{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \varphi$, ，perhaps $\tau \hat{\varphi} \varphi[\hat{\nu}] \pi \rho[\gamma] \xi(\gamma \rho a \mu \mu \epsilon ́ v \omega \nu)$ ？

8－10 12－16 March．The work here attested falls at the beginning of the period in which the activity of maintaining the dikes and canals appears most intense，from Phamenoth to Mesore，as the greater numbers of naubion－certificates and penthemeros－certificates dated to these months indicate，see Sijpesteijn， Penthemeros－Certificates，pp． 10 and 20 ，cf．XII 1409 7－8（March－April A．D．278）and P．Yale inv． 447 （third century A．D．）in $C E 49$（1974） 338 seqq．

9 кai aútn̂c к［－］．Cf．e．g．P．Mert．ili 102． 7.
$12\left\{\mathrm{Ka}_{6}\right\}$ ．The writer began Kaícapoc but finding he had too little space began the word afresh in the next line，without（it seems）cancelling the three letters already written．

14 The second half of the line is very uncertain．Very little remains of $\varphi \varphi$ of $\chi \omega \mu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \varphi$ but there is no indication that the word was abbreviated．At end，（ $\pi \rho \dot{\sigma} \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu$ ）：$a^{\prime}$ pap．

14－15 The $\kappa \lambda \hat{\eta} \rho \circ$ would be an addendum to those listed by P．Pruneti，Aeg． 55 （1975）159－244．
16 бьако（ ）：after omicron a bracket－shaped curve，open to the left and with a lengthened tail．The form is typical of words continuing with $\pi$（cf．$\tau[0](\pi a \rho \chi i ́ a c), 4)$ ．One may think of a word such as $\delta \iota a ́ \kappa о(\pi \circ c)$ ，a breach or opening in the dike（cf．XII 1409 I 6 and 14696 and P．Iand．I39．21）which requires repair－work（on the meanings of $\delta$ áккотос see the note to $P$ ．Petaus 18．24－5）．Another，similar possibility
 $\delta \iota a \kappa o ́(\nu o u)$ or $\delta \iota a ́ \kappa o(\nu о c)$ as a description of Colluthus．

At the end of the line apparently the abbreviation $\nu(a \nu \beta u-)$ ．The word occurs again at the start of the next line．Was it written twice in error，on a par with Kaicapoc in 12－13？

may be explained if the $\delta \eta \mu o ́ c i a \chi \dot{\omega} \mu a \tau \alpha(7-8)$ in question related to an estate originally private and
 (1971) 3 $3^{8-9}$ ) which brought with them supplementary obligations to work on the dikes, even now that the land has been confiscated by the state.

23 These figures clearly refer to the village of Seryphis.
${ }_{25}{ }^{-6}$ ( $\left.\pi \epsilon v \theta \eta \mu \epsilon \epsilon^{\prime} \rho o u\right)$ : here clearly no more than a five-day accounting period (cf. 8-9 above). The text is not relevant to the question of the existence of the $\pi \epsilon \vartheta \theta \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \rho \circ \sigma-$ obligation outside the Arsinoite nome, denied by Sijpesteijn, Penthemeros-Certificates, 1-2. The use of the word in P. Giss. 64. 12, discussed there by Sijpesteijn, is surely to be explained in the same way, and the present text will represent precisely the type of five-day account referred to.

The naubia/man/day relationship is consistently (19 seqq.) 6.75 naubia per man per 5 days ( $=1.35$ naubia per man per day), and so in excess of the $\pi \in \nu \tau a v a v \beta i a-$ requirement (see XXXVIII 2847 21-2, P. Coll. Youtie 21. 14).

29 The damnatio memoriae of Elagabalus has apparently not occurred before on papyrus. This example has been referred to in the introduction to XLVI 3298. Note that here (but not in 12) 'Avtcuivou alone is cancelled: cf. $H A$ xvii 2, hoc nomen (that is, Antoninus) ex annalibus senatus auctoritate erasum est. The cancellation of the name Antoninus is amply attested in inscriptions, both Greek (IGRR in 62) and Latin (e.g. ILS $1466,468,47$ r , etc.); sometimes all of the emperor's names are affected by the erasure (IGRR 687, III 1228; ILS I 469, 470, II 7083). In ILS II 5836 the names of Severus Alexander have been substituted for those of Elagabalus. Apart from the case of Geta, for which see P. Mertens, Hommages à Léon Herrmann (Coll. Latomus 44: Bruxelles, 1960), 541-52, there are relatively few examples of damnatio memoriae on papyrus: see P. J. Sijpesteijn, ZPE 13 (1974) 220 n . 6. In general, see A. K. Bowman, $9 R S 66$ (1976) 156.

32 See the app. crit. Was it intended to begin the line with $A \hat{\nu} p \dot{\eta} \lambda t o c$ (cf. 2)? If so, there is no clear reason for the change of plan.

34 A रрациатєє́c subordinate to the $\chi \omega \mu а \tau \epsilon \pi \mu \epsilon \lambda \eta \tau \dot{\eta} \subset$ is also attested in a number of naubioncertificates: W. Ost. 1410; 1411 , O. Theb. 127 and (?)O. Tait I iv 77 (see BL v p. 160).
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \pi \delta \delta(\hat{\epsilon} \delta \omega \kappa \alpha a)$. For the first person, in spite of the formula $\delta \iota^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \mu \circ \hat{v}$, see XLIII 313321 and n .

## 3476. Sworn Declaration of 'Atapx'́

435B.64/K (6-8)a $17.5 \times 15 \mathrm{~cm}$. 17 September A.D. 260
The problem of the exact meaning of aparche is not solved here, but the new document is of interest because it is more nearly complete than the two parallels P . Ant. I 37 and PSI v 464, which in any case relate to Alexandria, while this is from Antinoopolis. The parents of twin sons, between twenty and thirty days old, make their declaration about the aparche of the children to the council of Antinoopolis. The essential words are $\dot{o} \mu \nu v \dot{v} o \mu \epsilon \nu \ldots \tau \alpha ́ c c \epsilon c \theta a \iota ~ \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \rho \chi \dot{\eta} \nu$. It is interesting to compare this with PSI Ix 1067 (A.D. 236; see $Z P E$ 11 (1973) 154-5), where the parents of a twenty-nine-day-old daughter submit a petition to the Antinoite council with the
 $\tau \hat{\varphi} \gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu a \tau \epsilon \hat{\imath} \theta_{\epsilon} \in \theta a \iota \ldots \dot{a} \pi \alpha \rho \chi \dot{\eta} \nu$. Since it is known from P. Fam. Tebt. 30.18 (cf. P. Fam. Tebt. 33, P. Turner 29,30) that $\dot{\alpha} \pi a \rho \chi \dot{\eta}$, in one of its uses, is a technical term for an extract from the records equivalent to the modern birth certificate, we can surmise that PSI 1067 was asking for an entry to be made in the records by the secretary of the council or for a document to be drawn up, see LSJ s.v. ti i $\eta \mu \mathrm{c}$ v. 3. Perhaps two documents were always submitted to the council in these cases, one a
declaration like 3476 of the registration or payment of the $\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \rho \chi \dot{\eta}$ ，see 9 n ．，and the other，like PSI 1067，asking for the appropriate entry or document to be written out．

The body of the document $(2-13)$ was written by a professional scribe．The father wrote his own subscription（ $14-15$ ），an amanuensis wrote and certified a subscription for the mother（ $5^{-18}$ ），and parts of subscriptions by two witnesses to the boys＇ identities（ $\gamma \nu \omega \subset \tau \hat{\eta} \rho \in \subset$ ）survive（ $19-23$ ）．The subscription of a third witness will have been lost at the foot，cf．P．Fam．Tebt．30．34．The first line consists of a short notation which may have been an item number，see in．This would indicate that the sheet was incorporated into a roll－file（тó $о с с и \gamma к о \lambda \lambda \dot{q} с \mu о с$ ）．The back was used again later． Parts of two columns survive and the better preserved is published here as 3477 ．

> (m. 6)..[.].
 по́ $\lambda \epsilon \omega]$ ］
 ．$\lambda \omega$ ．
$\dot{\omega} c](\hat{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu) \lambda \zeta$ оiк $\hat{\omega} \nu \stackrel{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\varphi} \gamma \gamma \rho(\dot{\alpha} \mu \mu \alpha \tau \iota) \pi \lambda \iota \nu \theta(\epsilon i ́ \varphi)$ ）каì $\dot{\eta} \gamma \nu \nu \grave{\eta}$

 тои̂ каi








 Maкрıа⿱㇒⿻二亅⿱⿰㇒一乂七
 $\Theta \grave{\omega} \theta \kappa^{-}$.
（m．2）$A \dot{v} \rho \eta \dot{\lambda} \lambda \iota o с B \eta<\alpha ́ \mu \mu \omega \nu \tau \epsilon \in \tau a \mu \alpha \iota \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ ả $\pi a \rho \chi \eta ̀ \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$




 c. 18 letters $]. . . . \pi a ̣[i \delta] a c ~ A \dot{v} \rho \eta \lambda i ́ o u c ~ A i \mu ı \lambda \iota a \nu o ̀ v ~$

C. 25


 кєтта, те́таүцаи 16 1. тро́кєєтаи 21 1. тро́кєтта兀
(6th hand) 'Number. .. '(?)
(ist hand) 'To the excellent council of the glorious city of the Antinoites the new Greeks.
We, Marcus Aurelius Besammon son of Serenus. . about 37 years old, living in the Gamma quarter, tenth block, and my wife Aurelia Petronia ...about 27 years old, daughter of Eudaemon son of... of the Paulinian tribe and the...deme, who is acting without a guardian in accordance with the Roman ius liberorum, swear by the genius of our lords Titus Fulvius Iunius Macrianus and Titus Fulvius Iunius Quietus Augusti and by the most great god Osirantinous to register(?) the aparche of the twin children born to us of one another, Aurelius Aemilianus and Aurelius Eudaemon aged 25 (?) days, providing as witnesses to identity the persons subscribing below. Year 1 of the emperors Caesars Titus Fulvius Iunius Macrianus and Titus Fulvius Iunius Quietus Pii Felices Augusti, Thoth 20.'
(2nd hand) 'I, Aurelius Besammon have registered(?) the aparche of my sons as stated above.' (3rd hand) 'I, Aurelia Petronia have registered(?) the aparche of my sons as stated above. I, Aurelius Sarapammon son of Ammonarius of the Sabinian tribe and the Harmonian deme wrote for her as she is illiterate.' (4th hand) 'I, Aurelius Sarapammon...son of Ammonius of the Nervian tribe and the Genearchian deme . . children Aurelius Aemilianus and Aurelius Eudaemon aged 25 (?) days, as stated above.' (5th hand) 'I, Aurelius Sara... of the Paulinian tribe and the Homognian deme...children Aurelius Aemilianus...,

I The number of letters or symbols here might be anything from one to four. Before the hole a large $\varphi[$ might be preferable to e.g. $\lambda$.[ or $\tau$.[. After it the trace could belong to a letter such as $\xi$ or ¢ with an extension to the right, or it might be part of a stroke marking a numeral.

2 For the council's connexion with the aparche cf. P. Fam. Tebt. 30. I; 33. 8, PSI ix io67.
$3 \delta \eta \mu \ldots \ldots, \varepsilon \mu[\ldots \ldots .$.$] . . \lambda \omega$. . Since the mother's father and two witnesses are Antinoite citizens described by tribe and deme names, we might expect that the father would also be a citizen and that his tribe and deme would appear here, which is apparently not the case. Ten Antinoite tribes are known and no tribe has more than five known demes. It is therefore thought that the organization comprised ten tribes and fifty demes, see $\mathscr{P} R S_{30}$ (1940) 40-1. This is not the demotic $\Delta_{\eta \mu \eta \tau \rho \iota \epsilon u ́ c}$ of the Matidian tribe. The traces do not fit, and in any case the tribal adjective should come first, followed by $\dot{o}$ кai $\Delta$. Perhaps the father's status was unusual and this passage made some statement about his association or lack of association with a deme.

4 On the division of Antinoopolis into grammata and plintheia see A. Calderini, Diz. geog. I. 2 pp. 82-3.

If the traces are rightly assigned, it seems likely that Petronia, whose name is clear in $\mathrm{I}_{5}$, had an alias. Perhaps the very meagre traces at the end of the line come from $\dot{\eta} \kappa \alpha i$ and the second name followed in 5.

6 The short space at the beginning of the line must have held a demotic. Four demotics of the
 are equally likely, the others equally unlikely.

9 'Octipavii]vopv. Cf. P. Fam. Tebt. 33. 12 and n.

 certificate', see introd., but this does not suit the language here very well. The middle táccectar is used most commonly of paying money, which has suggested that the $\dot{\alpha} \pi a \rho \chi \eta$ in also a tax. B. A. Van Groningen in P. Fam. Tebt. 33. 8 n . stressed the original religious sense, 'offering of first fruits', guessing that the offering had been commuted to a payment. That this sense was still strong is confirmed by three texts, see Raccolta Lumbroso, 49-67 on PSI vi 690, SB III 6995, 6996, which refer to the $\dot{\alpha} \pi a \rho \chi \dot{\eta}$ for

 additional(?)' may mean. The latest suggestion for translating ó $\mu v v^{\prime} \rho \mu \epsilon .$. тáccec $\theta a \iota$ àmap $\neq \eta \nu$ is 'we register under oath', see P. Ant. I 37.4 n .

10 Aipi入ıavov. Cf. 20, 23. Most occurrences of this name in the papyri refer to L. Mussius Aemilianus, the prefect who supported the usurpers Macrianus and Quietus at this very time. Could it be that the parents named their son after him?

Eúdaímovoc. This son was named after his maternal grandfather, see 5 .

I $8 \mu \dot{\eta}$ ধívínc. The second upright of eta, slightly curved, may have been used to form the back of epsilon. If not, read $\mu \grave{\eta}$ iठví $\overline{\text { c }}$.

18-19 The pattern must have been either Sarapammon alias ( $\dot{\delta} \kappa \alpha^{\imath}$ ) $N$, son of Ammonius, or Sarapammon, son of N alias ( $\tau о \hat{v} \kappa \alpha \hat{\imath}$ ) Ammonius. The traces are not decisive.

18-23 The subscriptions are those of two witnesses to identity, see II. The third is lost, see introd. Both parallels break off before this stage. The verb was probably $\gamma \nu \omega c \tau \epsilon \dot{v} \omega$, cf. P. Fam. Tebt. 33. 18, 21, 24, W. Chr. 143. 80, 85, perhaps $\gamma \nu \omega \rho i \zeta \omega$, cf. XL Index vil s.vv. $\gamma v \omega \rho i \zeta \epsilon \iota v, \gamma v \omega c \tau \epsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \epsilon \nu$. A good possibility for spaces and traces would be $\gamma \nu \omega \subset \tau \epsilon \dot{v} \omega$ тoùc $\delta \iota \delta \nu \mu a] \gamma \epsilon \cup \hat{i c}$ (for -єic) $\pi q[i \delta] a c, \mathrm{cf}$. 10 . The traces in 20 are hardly suitable for $\pi \rho о к \epsilon \epsilon] \mu \epsilon \in[0]$ yc vel sim.

21-2 The length of the lacuna suggests that Aurelius Sara...or his father had an alias, cf. 18-19 n.

## 3477. Application for Anacrisis

$$
435 \text { B. } 64 / \mathrm{K}(6-8) \mathrm{a} \quad 17.5 \times 15 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad 29 \text { August }-11 \text { (?) November A.D. } 270
$$

This item stands on the back of 3476 , a sworn declaration made to the council of Antinoopolis. Probably that document remained in the local records until the back of it was used for, among other things, this copy of an application to the acting nomarch of Antinoopolis for the interrogation of a slave girl.

On the nature of and the reason for the anacrisis of slaves see H. J. Wolff's article in $Z R G 83$ (ı66) 340-9, and the subsequent discussion in I. Bieżunska-Małowist, L'Esclavage, part II (période romaine), 54-62. From the evidence adduced it seems certain that anacrisis was performed the first time a slave was sold inside Egypt in order to establish that the person concerned was of servile status. The anacrisis must therefore have been carried out before the sale was completed, as is indicated by the use of the present participle in 6 . The slave girl in question is of Egyptian origin and we must therefore, following Wolff, assume that she was house-bred by the seller.

A close parallel to this document is offered by XII 1463, which is addressed to a nomarch of Antinoopolis through his deputy. The buyer and seller are from Oxyrhynchus and Choenotis in the Heracleopolite nome respectively, but a connexion with Antinoopolis is clear as the buyer's husband and guardian is a citizen there.

In the present document it is not at all clear why the anacrisis is requested in

Antinoopolis, since the buyer and seller come from Cynopolis in the Delta and Coptus in Upper Egypt respectively, and the only Antinoite involved is Aurelius Basilides, who assists the seller. One theory is that the anacrisis took place where the 'birth certificate' of the slave was registered, another that the location of the sale determined that of the anacrisis; see H . Braunert, Binnenwanderung, 350-1.

Another problem is introduced by the fact that the document was found at Oxyrhynchus, since nothing points to any connexion with that town. However, as the document is a copy the most likely solution is that it was kept on record by the nomarch and that the nomarch of Antinoopolis like the strategi elsewhere had to serve outside his idia. If this is so, we might assume that Aurelius Theon alias Philemon was an Oxyrhynchite who, after his tour of duty, went back to Oxyrhynchus taking his papers with him, cf. XL 29412 n.

On the left of $\mathbf{3 4 7 7}$ stand the ends of 25 lines of a previous item. In spite of severe damage it can be made out that the transaction involved the same slave (19-22), the same seller with her male assistant ( $10-16$ ), and probably the same buyer ( $8-9$ ). There was also a mention of a private bank in Antinoopolis, somewhat in the following terms:

 document began, after a heading in the top margin now illegible, with a date clause which can be plausibly reconstructed from 3477 I $8-20$, as follows: [ $\check{\tau} \tau o u c \delta \epsilon v \tau \epsilon ́ \rho o v$

 omitted or carried forward into line 5. It will have fallen in the period 25 June-24 July A.D. 270, that is, more than a month earlier than 3477. For the spelling of the Milesian month name cf. LSJ s.v. Пuavoぬı'⿱v, for the use of that calendar in Antinoopolis see Archiv 9 (1930) 226-7, ZPE 23 (1976) 165-7.

It is clear enough that this document relates to the same sale as 3477 , but the exact nature and structure of it have not yet been discovered.
(vac.)
 voцархєíav 'Avтıvóov $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega c ~ \tau \hat{\eta} \subset ~ \lambda a \mu \pi \rho \hat{a ́ c ~[~}$
 5 ả $\pi o ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\eta} \subset \mu \eta \tau \rho о \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega c ~ \tau o \hat{v} \kappa \alpha ́ \tau \omega K v \nu o \pi[о \lambda i ́-$




 $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \rho a ̂ c ı v ~ A u ̉ \rho \eta \lambda i ́ o u ~ B a c \epsilon ı \lambda i ́ \delta o u ~ \tau о \hat{u} к а і ~ \Phi ı \lambda а \nu-~$ тıvọọ 'Іє́ракос Maтьঠíov той каi $\Theta \epsilon с \mu о ф о-~$



 $\theta \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota$ ката̀ $\tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \rho о с \tau \epsilon \tau \alpha \gamma \mu \epsilon ́ v a$. (vac.) $\delta \iota \epsilon v \tau u ́[\chi \epsilon \iota$. (є̈тоис) $\gamma^{\prime \prime}$ Аи̉токра́торос Kаіссарос Ма́ркои $A[\hat{v}] \rho \eta \lambda i ́[o] v$ Kגаvঠíov $\Gamma \epsilon \rho \mu \alpha \nu[$ кко $] \hat{v}[M] \epsilon[\gamma i ́ c \tau о] v$

 [ $\epsilon] \dot{v} \delta о к \hat{\omega} . ~ A(\dot{v} \rho \dot{\rho} \lambda \iota \circ c) B[a c] \epsilon \iota \lambda i \delta \delta[\eta c$


'Copy of interrogation. To Aurelius Theon alias Philemon acting nomarch of Antinoopolis the glorious, from Aurelius Theon son of Dionysius, mother Es . . . , from the metropolis of the Lower Cynopolite nome. As I am in the process of buying by public contract through the record office here from Aurelia Ael . . . daughter of Sarapion, mother Aelura, from the metropolis of the Coptite nome, about 52 years old, rather short and. . . with a flat nose, having with her as assistant and guarantor of the sale Aurelius Basilides alias Philantinous son of Hierax of the Matidian tribe and the Thesmophorian deme and however he is styled, a slave girl called Euploea, a native of Egypt, about 16 years old, squinting slightly with the right eye, I bring her forward in the presence of the seller and the seller's assistant and request that she be interrogated in accordance with the ordinances. Farewell. Year 3 of the emperor Caesar Marcus Aurelius Claudius Germanicus Maximus Pius Felix Augustus (month, day). I, Aurelius Theon, submitted the application. I, Aurelia Ael. . ., am in agreement. I, Aurelius Basilides, . . . and wrote for her as she is illiterate.'

2 The acting nomarch is new. He may have been an Oxyrhynchite, see introd.
On the nomarch and the nomarchy of Antinoopolis see P. Würzb. 8 introd. and Akten d. XIII intern. Papyrologenkongresses, 400-1.

3 The end of the line will have been blank.
5 The Lower Cynopolite nome was created in the Roman period, see H. Gauthier, Les nomes, 193-4, A. H. M. Jones, Cities of the Eastern Roman Empire ${ }^{2}, 493$ n. 66. This happened before A.D. 209, see XLVII 334550 and n.
$8^{\prime} E \lambda o u ́ p a c$. The name is new. Since $\epsilon$ is phonetically equivalent to $a \iota$, it is probably related to known names derived from ailioupoc, referring to an Egyptian cat goddess. This may be supported by $A_{1} \lambda_{0}$ [ in 7. Col. i 1о-1 I also has $\mu \eta \tau \rho o ̀ c ~ ' E \lambda o u ́-~ " ~ 1[p a c . ~$
 $\xi_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ E I, 2, a. For the mistaken case see Mayser-Schmoll, Grammatik ${ }^{2}$, I, I, pp. 78-9.
$9^{-10} .[\ldots . .]^{10} \mu o v$. Space would have allowed ác $\boldsymbol{q}^{\prime} \mu o v$ to be written in line 9, but it might possibly
have been divided nevertheless. If rightly read, $\varsigma \iota \mu \hat{\eta} с$ excludes $\dot{\epsilon} \nu c i \mu o v$ and $\dot{\nu} \pi о с i \mu o u$. Other possible terms, listed in A. Caldara, 'I connotati personali', Studi della Scuola Papirologica (Milano), iv, 2, pp. IIO-31,


18-20 Year 3 of Claudius II is attested only for Thoth and Phaophi and by mid-Hathyr (II (?) November) a new formula was in use, see XL introd., p. 20. The possible dates range, therefore, from 29 August, the Egyptian New Year's day, to about 10 November A.D. 270.

The victory title Germanicus Maximus, known from coins and inscriptions, cf. $\mathrm{PIR}^{2}{ }^{1}{ }^{2} 332$ (A. 1626), has not occurred in the papyri before.

## 3478. Formula for a Subscription

43 5B.68/F (2-3)b
$27 \times 7.4 \mathrm{~cm}$.
Early fourth century?
Name and patronymic are here replaced by $\tau i c \tau i v o c$, as in other extant formulas, e.g. XXXIII 2677, XLII 3075, SB vi 9226, cf. XL 2927 11, 13, 16, 18, 21. This document may be complete as it stands, like 3075 , which is also a subscription, but the top edge is sufficiently irregular to allow the possibility that it is broken rather than cut. If it is broken, the formula for the body of a $\delta \iota a \dot{a} \nu u c \iota c$ may have preceded that for the subscription. The foot and sides have suffered only minor damage. The back is blank.

The cursive hand may be assigned to the end of the third century or more probably to the first half of the fourth.

I have not found the formula used in this exact form anywhere, but the statement of $\delta i \alpha \dot{d} u c \iota c$ and the oath not to take further proceedings are both found in the subscriptions to XVI 1880 (settlement out of court) and PSI III I85, described as a receipt but also possibly a settlement out of court.


```
    í\pi' '́\muov
```



```
        \pi\rhoóк\iotaта\iota
ка!! \epsiloṅ\pi\epsilon\rho(\omega\tau\eta0\epsiloniс) \dot{\omega}\muо\lambda(ó\gamma\etaса).
```


'I, Aurelius X son of X, have made the settlement and I received everything that was advanced by me and swore the divine oath that I do not and shall not take any proceedings, as aforesaid, and in answer to the formal question I gave my assent.'
3479. Deed of Surety

43 5B.68/F (2-3) a
$11.6 \times 24^{\circ} 5 \mathrm{~cm}$.
A.D. 36 ?

The consular date clause here offers a new combination of names, Flavius Taurus and Flavius Eusebius. This may reflect the circumstances of Julian's quarrel with Constantius and accession as sole emperor in A.D. 36 I , see $1-2 \mathrm{n}$.

The document is in three hands. The first wrote $\mathrm{I}^{-2}$ and the first six letters, $A v \rho \eta \lambda i-$, of 3 . From this point the second and main hand wrote as far as the end of 19 and ought to be the hand of the main guarantor himself, since his subscription occupies 15-19, but see 1-3 n . Lines 20-3 were written by the main guarantor's son and offer a second guarantee for the same person. The back is blank except at one point near the top where there are some rough strokes which might be a large alpha or chi deleted by cancellation strokes.

The addressee is a praepositus pagi. The document looks like a guarantee for a liturgist, cf. e.g. P. Vindob. Sijp. 4, which is drafted in very similar terms to guarantee the service of a comarch. This in turn suggests that the $\pi \rho \epsilon \subset \beta \dot{v} \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \subset \tau \hat{\eta} \subset \ldots \kappa \dot{\omega} \mu \eta c$ guaranteed here is a village elder and not a Christian priest, see 8 n .
ímatєíac Фגavovî́ $\omega v$ Taúp $\kappa$ каì Eủcєßiou т $\hat{\omega} v$
$\lambda \alpha \mu \pi \rho о \tau а ́ \tau \omega \nu$.
$A \dot{v} \rho \eta \lambda i ́(\mathrm{~m} .2) \omega^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} A \chi \iota \lambda \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} \pi \rho a \iota(\pi о с i ́ \tau \omega) \gamma^{\prime \prime} \pi \alpha ́ \gamma o v$


5 то̀v $\pi \rho о к і ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о \nu$ ' $A \pi о \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu \nu о \nu \hat{\eta}[\mathrm{c}$

[^3] $\kappa \dot{\omega} \mu \eta с[C] u ́ \rho \omega \nu \gamma^{\prime} \tau o \hat{v}$ aủтoû vo $\rho o \hat{v} \chi \alpha i ́ \rho \epsilon \iota(\nu)$. ó $\mu о \lambda о \gamma \hat{\omega}$ év $\nu v \hat{\omega} \mu \alpha \iota A \cup \varphi ้ \rho[\eta ́] \lambda \iota o \nu[' A] \pi o \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\pi \rho \epsilon \subset \beta \nu \tau \epsilon ́ \rho o v \tau \hat{\eta} \subset[\alpha] \dot{v} \tau \hat{\eta} \subset \kappa \kappa \dot{\omega} \mu \eta с$ Cúp $\omega v$

 $\mu \iota o v \theta \epsilon i ̂ \nu$ ö окоข ả̉ $\eta \theta \hat{\eta}$ єîvaı каì тท̀ $\nu$
 то̂ $\pi \rho о к \iota \mu \epsilon ́ v o v ~ Х \epsilon \hat{\omega} \tau о с ~ \dot{\omega} с ~ \pi \rho о є i ̂ \pi о \nu . ~$




 $\tau \alpha \nu[\hat{\epsilon} \rho] \hat{\eta} \kappa \alpha i$ є̇ $\pi \epsilon \rho \omega \tau \eta[\theta \epsilon i]$ с $\dot{\omega} \mu о \lambda o ́ \gamma \eta<\alpha$.
'In the consulship of Flavius Taurus and Flavius Eusebius, viri clarissimi.
To Aureli(2nd hand)us Achilles, praepositus of the third pagus of the Oxyrhynchite nome, from Aurelius Cheos son of Struthus from the village of Syron of the 3rd (pagus) of the same nome, greetings. I agree to stand surety for Aurelius Apollos, elder(?) of the same village of Syron, for his remaining and appearing whenever you choose without any contradiction and I swear the august divine oath that this is true and that he will be produced by me the above-mentioned Cheos, as I have stated above. If I should turn out to have lied, I shall be bound by the oath and by the danger therein.

I, Aurelius Cheos son of Struthus, stand surety for the above-mentioned Apollos and I shall (?) produce him whenever you choose and in answer to the formal question I gave my assent.'
(3rd hand) 'I, Aurelius Syrion son of Cheos, stand surety for the above-mentioned Apollos, for his remaining and appearing, and in answer to the formal question I gave my assent.'

I-2 The combination of consuls is new. One Flavius Taurus was consul in A.D. 361, another in A.D. 428. The consul of A.D. 513 cannot be relevant here, as the writing is clearly earlier and he is referred to in the papyri by another of his nomina, Clementinus, cf. R. Bagnall-K. Worp, Chronological Systems, 122. Probably A.D. 428 is also too late for the hands, and an additional argument is provided by the address to a praepositus pagi. According to N. Lewis, Inventory of Compulsory Services, s.v., this post is last mentioned in A.D. 365 . The passage referred to, C. Theod. 12.6.8, clearly shows that they were still functioning then, but even so A.D. 428 seems too late.

The junior consul of A.D. 361 was Flavius Florentius. Both consuls fled to Constantius before Julian's advance in summer A.D. 361 , see PLRE I 365 (Florentius, io), 879-80 (Taurus, 3). After the death of Constantius and the accession of Julian as sole emperor the commission of Chalcedon tried Taurus and exiled him to Vercellae. It tried and condemned Florentius in his absence and he had to remain in hiding till after Julian's death. This distinction suggests the guess that Taurus was allowed to remain in the consular fasti, while the junior consul was replaced by a Flavius Eusebius. This Eusebius may have been the consul of A.D. 359, a brother of the Eusebia who was the wife of Constantius and who intervened with Constantius on Julian's behalf on two occasions, cf. PLRE I 300-1, though it may not have been consistent with his dignity to take the position of consul posterior.

It is unfortunate that no day was given either in line 2 or at the foot, where we might have expected a clause of the type $\dot{i} \pi a \tau \epsilon i a c ~ \tau \hat{\eta} \subset a \dot{v} \tau \hat{\eta} \subset$, vel sim., followed by month and day. If the suggestion made above is right, the day must have been fairly late in A.D. 361 . This could fit well enough with the view, expressed in the introduction and in 8 n ., that this surety is for a liturgist, who would be taking office probably for the Graeco-Roman year A.D. $361 / 2$ on or about 29 August, but there is no regularity in the dates of such sureties, see e.g. P. Vindob. Sijp. 3 of 21 September - the date is corrected in H. C. Youtie, Scriptiunculae, I $406-7(=$ TAPA 95 (1964) 306-7) - and P. Vindob. Sijp. 4 of 29 June.

I-3 The first two lines and avp $\eta \lambda_{\iota}$ of line 3 were written by another hand than the one which wrote lines $3^{-19}$. Could it be that the scribe who wrote the main section had a pile of already dated sheets lying ready, including the letters avp $\lambda_{\iota}$, which could be turned into masculine or feminine, singular or plural, as the occasion required? That scribe ought to be Cheos himself, since 15-19 contain his subscription and there is no subscription by an amanensis, but it seems rather unlikely that a villager would have a pile of dated sheets and write his own deed of surety. More likely it would be done by a scribe in the office of the praepositus and the need for the usual subscription for illiterates was overlooked, or possibly bungled, see 20-3 n .

3 On the praepositus pagi see J. Lallemand, L'Administration, 131-4. This incumbent was unknown. 4 This line was added after 5 had been written and is crowded into the normal interlinear space.
$6 \gamma^{\prime}$. Cf. VI 991, where read $\gamma^{\prime}$ for $\gamma 0^{\prime}$. A photograph shows that the supposed omicron is tiny and may be better taken as a flourish on the oblique stroke. In both places, then, $\gamma^{\prime}$ means 'of the third pagus'. Both Syron and Seryphis, which is the village concerned in 991 , were in the Western toparchy, see e.g. X 1285 71, 75, and may suitably be placed in the same pagus.

8 The title $\pi \rho \epsilon \subset \beta \dot{v} \tau \epsilon \rho \circ<\tau \hat{\eta} \subset$ av̇t $\hat{\eta} \subset \kappa \dot{\mu} \mu \eta \subset$ ought to leave no doubt that he was a secular presbyteros and not a Christian priest. N. Lewis, Inventory of Compulsory Service:, s.v., gives A.D. 382 as the latest date for village elders, though others take the later evidence to be ambiguous and suppose that they disappeared much earlier, e.g. A. Tomsin, Bull. Acad. Roy. Belg. 38 (1952) 524-5, J. Lallemand, $L^{\prime}$ Administration, 134-5 $^{-5}$ n. 6 . The form of this document suggests that this man was a liturgist and hence an elder rather than a priest, see introd.

[^4]3480. Petition to a Beneficiarius

435 B. 68/F (1)a $17 \times 26.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. c. A.D. $360-90$
This paper is related to the archive of Papnuthius and Dorotheus, published in XLVIII 3384-3429. Because of severe abrasion, which leaves the meaning of several passages in doubt even now, the name of Dorotheus son of Aphynchis was not recognized till after the allocation of the known archive to Dr Shelton.

The petitioner alleges that Dorotheus, with the help of some slaves, showered blows on him and his wife when he tried to claim money owing to him for wages. The doubts about the details are treated in the commentary.

The petition was submitted to a beneficiarius officii praesidis Augustamnicae. It was therefore written before Oxyrhynchus entered the new province of Arcadia, presumably upon its creation in the later years of the decade A.D. 380-90. Probably it is not earlier than A.D. 360 , the year of the first mention of Dorotheus in the other papers of the archive, see XLVIII p. 75.

Evidently this is a copy, since the subscription is in the same hand as the rest of the document. The inventory number indicates that it was found in the same season of excavation as XLVIII 3384-3429. Probably, therefore, it was actually part of that archive and not of official archives or of the files of the petitioner.

The back is blank.
خो $\gamma є \mu о \nu i ́ a c ~ A u ̉ \gamma о и с т а \mu \nu \iota к \hat{\eta} с$
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| 1 їсı $\delta \omega \rho \iota a \nu \omega$ | $4 \alpha \ddot{\omega} \omega$ | 7 1．${ }^{\text {a }} \pi \epsilon \rho \gamma \mathrm{aciav} ~ 81 . ~$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| катєс入的 $\theta \eta \mu \epsilon \nu$ |  | II 1．$\Delta \iota \delta u ́ \mu \omega$ |
| I5 1．тоuтє́ctıv |  | 18 1．$\tau \hat{\eta}$ 市 $\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \in \rho \alpha \chi^{\prime} \gamma \alpha \mu \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta}$ |

＇To Flavius Isidorianus beneficiarius of the office of the governor of Augustamnica，from Aurelius Gunthus son of Penephis from the city of the Oxyrhynchites．Didymus and Aion，children of the sister of my wife，precisely as a result of the urging of one Dorotheus son of Aphynchis from the same city， themselves approached me with a view to doing work on．．．，agreeing to provide a wage．Since，then， I and my wife were held responsible on account of ．．of the trade－tax in gold and silver（incumbent on？）the aforementioned Didymus and Aion，we approached the aforementioned Dorotheus for the payment of wages owed by him out of（our unpaid remainder？），that is，one solidus，with a view to ．．．the account（？），but in some way we fail to understand，in addition to his refusal to pay，he attacked us，me and my wife，with the help of some slaves，and battered us with blows all over the body ．．．．．．of our foster－child Heronas．．．Therefore I submit the petition（requesting that？）the sums owed should be （repaid？）and that the necessary（official action？）should be taken to punish the outrage．

I，Aurelius Gunthus，submitted（the petition）．I，Aurelius Theon，wrote on his behalf because he does not know letters．＇

I Isidorianus may be acting as stationarius，i．e．as a military officer in charge of law and order in the nome．Cf．CPR v i2．I and n．
 be echoes of the language of partnership contracts，cf．e．g．P．Oxf．12．11，12，21．This favours the guess that Dorotheus hired Didymus and Aion to do certain work and encouraged them to co－opt Gunthus to help them．The parallel is far from complete，because in P．Oxf． 12 the encouragers are the original
partners not the employer, and the subject of $c u v \epsilon \theta^{\prime} \mu \eta \nu$ ( 11 ) is the new partner. Nevertheless, it seems clear that Gunthus applied to Dorotheus for unpaid wages, and the echoes are probably significant.
$7 \dot{\alpha} \pi \eta \dot{\eta} \nu \tau \eta<a \nu$. The third letter appears to be $\eta$, correctly, though $\dot{a} \pi \epsilon \nu \tau \eta \dot{\eta}<a \mu \epsilon \nu$ (I. $\dot{a} \pi \eta \nu \tau-$ ) is clear in 12. .
à $\begin{aligned} & \text { pp } \\ & \text { aciáav. Cf. F. T. Gignac, Grammar I, } 245 \text { (iii). }\end{aligned}$
At the end of the line the remains look like $\tau \hat{\eta} \subset \subset Q R Q \hat{y}$. The only certain occurrence of this word in the papyri is in P. Haun. 11 17. 14, though it is printed with several dots and assigned an improbable sense in P. Lips. 85. i1, 86. if. It usually means 'coffin'. The mention of the trade-tax in 10 indicates that these persons were tradesmen rather than agricultural workers.
$8 \varsigma ข v \theta^{\prime} \epsilon \epsilon \nu \circ$. Read probably сvv $\theta^{\prime} \mu \epsilon \nu \circ \iota$. If the circumstances really were as outlined in $4^{-8} \mathrm{n}$., it was with the original partners that Gunthus had a contract for wages. He tried to get them direct from the employer, Dorotheus, who refused to admit his claim.
 see J. Karayannopulos, Finanzwesen, 129-37, cf. XLVIII 3415 introd.
 sense. At any rate we may have there a word governed directly by $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \nu \epsilon \kappa \epsilon \nu$ and itself governing $\chi \rho \cup \subset a \rho \gamma \dot{\sim} \rho o u$.

It is uncertain whether $\pi \rho a \gamma \mu a \tau \xi \cup \tau[\kappa \circ \hat{v}$ was written wholly in line 10 or was carried over into ir.
 might suit.
 but it may represent the sense.

19-20 Probably $\varsigma[\omega ́ \mu a \tau o c$ was divided between the lines, either as c $\dot{\omega}-\mu a \tau o c$ or $с \dot{\mu} \mu a-\tau o c$. The remains in 20 have not been identified.


25-6 The sense requires something like $\dot{a} \pi \sigma \delta \circ \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota$ and $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \xi \dot{\xi} \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \nu c \iota v$. Neither has been identified.
27 The amanuensis formula was very rapidly written and is damaged as well. The remains may not all be assigned to the correct letters.

## 3481. Declaration of a Shipper

213 B. 29/A (2-4) a $9.8 \times 32.2 \mathrm{~cm}$.

Io September A.D. 442
Aurelius Andreas, a shipper, acknowledges the embarkation of a quantity of grain from Thonius, a miller, along with an unspecified extra amount in respect of freight and other subsidiary charges. For the type of document cf. P. J. Sijpesteijn, BASP 13 (1976) 71-4, and P. J. Sijpesteijn-K. A. Worp, ZPE 20 (1976) 157-65, with references (add XLVIII 3395); A. J. M. Meyer-Termeer, Die Haftung der Schiffer im griechischen und römischen Recht (1978: Studia Amstelodamensia 13). The papyrus is complete, and dated by the Oxyrhynchite-era system. The writing occupies the upper half of the sheet only. The back is blank.

The destination of the cargo is not stated, nor is it clear whether Thonius is the payer or simply the loading-agent. There is no specific statement as to whether this is a private delivery or a cargo of revenue-grain (whether for Alexandria or elsewhere), but I suppose that the incidence of transportation-taxes (esp. саккофорькóv) is decisive for the latter.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A \dot{v} \rho \dot{\eta} \lambda \epsilon \in[\iota] \text { ос }{ }^{\prime} A \nu \delta \rho \epsilon ́ a c
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Theta^{\prime} \epsilon \omega \nu \text { ос } \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \beta \lambda \epsilon ́ \pi \tau о v \mu \epsilon \mu \epsilon ́ \tau \rho \eta^{\prime} \mu \epsilon^{\prime} \\
& \text { каì є̀vє } \beta a \lambda o ́ \mu \eta \nu \epsilon i c \tau o ̀
\end{aligned}
$$

$\pi a \rho a ̀ ~ \Theta \omega \nu i ́ o u ~ \mu v \rho o v a ́ \rho \chi o v ~$
ảтò $\gamma \epsilon \nu \eta ́ \mu \alpha \tau о с$ є̀v $\nu \epsilon \kappa \alpha ́ \tau \eta с$
cíтоv каӨаро仑 à ата́ßас

> каi $\tau \alpha ̀ ~ \nu \alpha \hat{v} \lambda a \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \kappa о и ́ \mu є ฺ \lambda(\alpha)$
> каї тò саккофорıко̀v
> є̇к $\pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \rho \eta с . \quad \overline{\rho \iota \theta \pi \eta}$,
> $\Theta \dot{\omega} \theta \iota \gamma$.

> I 5
> сєспи!! $\epsilon!\mu a ̣ ı$.

| 1 1．$A$ ùpи́入ıoc | 3．1．$\mu \epsilon \mu \epsilon ์ т \rho \eta \mu a \iota$ | 6 1．$\mu v \lambda \omega \nu a ́ \rho \chi o v ~$ | ıо 1．коúpou入a | 12 1．$\pi \lambda \eta$ ńpouc |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |

＇I，Aurelius Andreas son of Demetrius，captain of a ship belonging to Theon vir spectabilis，have had measured out to me and loaded on to the same ship under my command，from Thonius mill－master， from the produce of the eleventh（indiction），five artabas of pure wheat，tot． 5 art．of wheat，this and the transportation－charges and the supplementary charges and the porterage－tax，in full．（Year）II $9 / 88$ ， Thoth I3．＇
（2nd hand）＇Signed by me，the aforesaid Andreas．＇
$3 \pi \epsilon \rho \beta \lambda \epsilon ́ \pi \tau o v=$ spectabilis．See O．Hornickel，Ehren－und Rangprädikate，31．This is one of the earliest instances of the title．Later it was debased，but at this date Theon，though hardly to be identified， is likely to have been a person of some importance．
 of A．D． $442 / 3$ ，given the date of the document in $12-13$ ．However，the harvest from which the grain has come must surely be that of 442 ．Thus this text seems to imply that the eleventh indiction here started with the praedelegatio（not the delegatio）on I May 442 which would be contrary to the arrangement accepted as normal at Oxyrhynchus，viz．that the indiction－year began on Thoth 1 ．

For an explanation of this phenomenon see now R．S．Bagnall and K．A．Worp，The Chronological Systems of Byzantine Egypt（1978），26－7，where a distinction is drawn between the reckoning of indictions for fiscal purposes，in which the indiction began on I May with the praedelegatio，and the reckoning for dating purposes where the indiction began later，with the delegatio（ I July）or later still（Thoth 1 in the Oxyrhynchite nome）．

9 Five artabas of wheat would of course form only a small fraction of the boat＇s cargo．
то̂̂тоv каí：cf．P．Cair．Isid．36．Io，каi тои́т $\omega \nu$ тà vav̂̀a．Possibly the genitive plural is intended here despite the changed order．

10－11 Cf．XLVIII 3395 i2 n．，and see Meyer－Termeer，op．cit．12－14．

# VI. PRIVATE DOCUMENTS 

273 B. 40/F (5) a

3482. Cession of Catoecic Land

'Theon, son of Antiochus, a 'Macedonian' and catoecic cavalryman of the tenth hipparchy, in return for benefits received in the past cedes to Dionysius, son of Apollonius, also a 'Macedonian' of the same hipparchy, 9.5 out of the 28.5 aruras of catoecic land which he held. Dionysius already held $9 \cdot 5$ aruras of what had been a 38 -arura parcel, so that the net result of the present transaction was to give the two principals equal shares.

The right-hand two-thirds of the document survive almost perfectly preserved, but of the left third only the upper third remains, in poorer condition and pieced together out of four fragments. There are two kolleseis on the sheet, and the break which has lost us much of the left side of the document occurred down the left edge of the overlap of the left-hand kollesis. The back is blank.

This is a welcome addition to the few other documents of this type of Ptolemaic date, of which only one clearly comes from Oxyrhynchus, XIV 1635 ( $44-30$ B.C.; for the date cf. Prosop. Ptol. viil p. i60, corr. of II 2508), which is but a small fragment of a once much larger document. P. Fouad 38 (early first century b.c.) may also be Oxyrhynchite. The others (BGU vili i73I-40) all belong to a homogeneous group from the Heracleopolite nome and are datable to between 99 в.c. and the end of the Ptolemaic period.

For the relationship of these documents to the gradual evolution from the inalienability of catoecic land to its tolerated alienability, see W. Kunkel, $Z R G$ (Röm. Abt.) 48 (1928) 285-313; R. Taubenschlag, Laww, 236-7; F. Uebel, Die Kleruchen Ägyptens, 4 I n. 2; W. Müller, Proc. IX Int. Congress of Papyrology (Oslo, 1958), 183-93, esp. 186-93.

This document provides proof of the direct derivation from a Ptolemaic format (already hypothesized by G. Vitelli, PSI iv 320 and vili 897 introd.) of certain expressions used in Oxyrhynchite deeds of cession of Roman date. The immediate consequences are the textual improvement and better understanding of various passages in the deeds referred to which had remained obscure largely due to the lack of a Ptolemaic model. These Oxyrhynchite deeds of cession of catoecic land of Roman date are: II 366 descr. (A.D. I4/15, BL I 32 I); PSI iv 320 (A.D. I8); PSI x ifir (A.D. 25/26; see note to line 12 below); P. Ryl. il 159 (A.D. $31 / 32$ ); PSI viil 897 (A.D. 93); P. Strasb. 266 (c. A.D. ioo); III 504 and III 633 descr. (both early second century A.D.).

Our document consists of two separate sections which are also physically divided
by a blank space. The first ( $1-27$ ) contains the deed of cession (cuү $\rho a \phi \dot{\eta} \tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{o} \dot{o} \mu о$ доуiac, 27), while the second (28-39) contains the corresponding royal oath ( $\beta$ асєлєкос ӧ $\rho к о с$ ). The document ends with the docket of a public official (40).

Within the first section we can further distinguish the $\pi \alpha \rho a \chi \omega$ 白 $\eta<a c(1-16)$ and a $\dot{\delta} \mu \circ \lambda$ oria in which are set out the criteria for the division of the $\kappa \lambda \hat{\eta} \rho o c$ between Theon and Dionysius ( $16-27$ ). The presence of this latter section, which we may define
 fact that the $\kappa \lambda \hat{\eta} \rho \circ$ oc should be $\dot{a} \delta \iota a i \rho \in \tau о \subset)$, but rather to be the section of the document normally reserved for the establishment of the extent and boundaries of the ceded land; this would of course also clarify the taxation responsibilities of the two parties. In sum, it is maintained here that the form and structure of 3482 represent the form and structure normal in Oxyrhynchite deeds of cession of catoecic land of the first century в.с. The further fact that $\mathbf{3 4 8 2}$ like the Heracleopolite deeds of cession (BGU vili 1735-40) contains the royal oath (as does P. Fouad 38, possibly Oxyrhynchite) demonstrates that this was no merely local usage but necessary for the full legal validity of the cession.

As regards the juridical position of cleruchic land and the procedure by means of which a cession could be effected, we learn from 3482 that the matter was administered $\delta \iota \dot{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \dot{\alpha} i \pi \pi \iota \kappa \grave{\alpha} \chi \epsilon \iota \rho \iota \zeta \dot{\zeta} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ (5), and that the drawing-up of the deed of cession was preceded by the presentation, by the person proposing to cede the land, of a memorandum to the official in charge of the register of catoecic land (5-6). In the interval between presentation of the memorandum and the drawing-up of the deed of cession the $\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \pi \imath \gamma \rho a \phi \dot{\eta}$ would probably have taken place, that is the official registration of the land in the name of the new holder. There is, however, no explicit reference to $\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \pi \imath \gamma \rho a \phi \dot{\eta}$ in the actual deeds of cession of catoecic land from Oxyrhynchus, Ptolemaic or Roman; but that this took place $\delta \iota \dot{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda о \chi \iota c \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$, and in relation to changes in tenure of catoecic land, is proved by II 27321 (A.d. 95), the sole testimony so far to $\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \pi \iota \gamma \rho a \phi \dot{\eta}$ at Oxyrhynchus. The procedure at Oxyrhynchus, then, is basically the same as that at Heracleopolis, and formal divergences in the definitive deeds (at Oxyrhynchus ó $\mu \circ \lambda о \gamma^{\prime} a \iota ~ \pi a \rho a \chi \omega \rho \eta ́ c \epsilon \omega c$, at
 probability due to local variations in notarial practice. For a summary of the procedure see R. Taubenschlag, Law², 228-9.














 $\mu \epsilon ́ \rho \in \iota ~ \epsilon i c ~ с \cup \mu \pi \lambda \eta ́ \rho \omega с \iota \nu ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ \eta ́ \mu i ́ c o v c ~$


 $a \dot{v} \tau \hat{\omega} \iota \epsilon \not \epsilon \pi \lambda \epsilon \dot{\prime} \circ с \iota \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha}$
 $\pi a \rho \epsilon ́ \xi \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \iota \Delta \iota o \nu v c i ́ \omega \iota \tau \eta \grave{\eta} \gamma \hat{\eta}_{\nu} \kappa \alpha \theta a \rho \grave{\rho} \nu$
 $\mu \eta \delta^{\prime}$ ä $\lambda \lambda o \nu$ únt̀ $\rho$ aúvo $\hat{v}$
[ $\hat{\omega} \nu \pi]$ ]аракє $\chi \dot{\omega} \rho \eta к \epsilon \nu$ каӨо́ть $\pi \rho о ́ к є \iota \tau а \iota ~ \mu \eta \delta \grave{\epsilon} \mu \epsilon ́ \rho о и с ~ \mu \eta \delta \grave{\epsilon} \kappa а к о \tau \epsilon \chi \nu \eta ́ с \epsilon \iota \nu \pi \epsilon \rho i$ $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi а \rho а \chi \dot{\omega} \rho \eta \subset \iota \nu \pi а \rho \epsilon \nu \rho \epsilon ́ \epsilon \epsilon \iota$






[cıov $\pm 25$ letters $\tau] \hat{\eta} c \mu \epsilon \grave{\nu} \lambda \epsilon \gamma о \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta$ с $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \epsilon \kappa а \grave{\epsilon} \xi$

 $\lambda_{\imath} \beta o ̀ c ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau о \hat{v} \pi a \rho a \delta \epsilon i ́ c o v ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \pi ~ \rho o ̀ ̀ ~ \lambda i ́ \beta a ~$
 каi $\tau \hat{\eta} \subset$ ả $\pi o ̀ ~ \nu o ́ \tau о и ~ \tau о \hat{v} ~ a u ̉ \tau o ̂ ~ \pi a \rho a-~$





[ $с \phi \rho a \gamma \hat{\imath} \delta o c$

$$
\pm 17
$$




 єivaı à $\frac{\epsilon}{\alpha} \nu$ тараßаívク каi тросатотєь-
 ícov. cvvєvסокєî $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \pi \hat{\alpha} c ı$ тоîc
 $\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha}$ кирíou $\Theta \in ́ \omega \omega \nu o c \tau o \hat{v}$ aủtov̂.
[ ] (vac.)




 $\tau \hat{\eta} \subset$ aủ $\hat{\tau} \subset$ imma $\rho \chi i ́ a c$ ả $\gamma v i a ̂ c$
 ó $о$ одоүі́ac $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu к є \iota \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta \nu$
 таракєХ'́ррүка́ соь а̉кодои́ $\theta \omega c$


 ä $\lambda \lambda$ до $\tau \in ́ \tau \alpha \rho \tau о \nu \mu \epsilon ́ \rho o c ~ \epsilon ́ c \tau i v ~ c o ̀ \nu ~$
 $\mu \eta \theta \grave{\epsilon} \nu \pi \alpha \rho a c v \gamma \gamma \rho a \phi \dot{\eta} \subset \epsilon \iota \nu \mu \eta \delta \grave{\epsilon}$
 $\alpha \nu ं \tau \hat{\eta} \subset$ ảva $\pi \epsilon \phi \omega \nu \eta \mu \epsilon ' v \omega \nu \mu \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon}$
 $\pi \rho \sigma \gamma \epsilon \gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \alpha<v \nu \chi \omega \rho \hat{\omega} \tau \grave{\alpha} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$




 aủт $\hat{\omega} \nu$
'In the reign of Ptolemy and Cleopatra also called Tryphaena, gods Philopatores Philadelphi, in the ninth year - and the rest of the formula as written in Alexandria - the 29th of the month Dius which is equivalent to Thoth.

In the city of the Oxyrhynchi in the Thebaid. Theon son of Antiochus, a Macedonian and one of the catoecic cavalrymen of the tenth hipparchy, acknowledges to Dionysius son of Apollonius, a Macedonian and one of those from the same hipparchy, both of them from the street of Cleopatra Aphrodite, that he has ceded to him, in accordance with the administrative arrangements of those controlling cavalry affairs and on the basis of a memorandum submitted by Theon to Eudaemon the official in charge of the registry of military settlers' land grants, nine and a half aruras out of the threequarter share falling to him of an undivided allotment of 38 aruras situated near Senepta, formerly belonging to Alexander, of which the remaining quarter share belongs to the said Dionysius, that they may belong to Dionysius and his descendants in addition to his own quarter share and make up the half of the whole allotment; irrefutably for all time, and along with the other rights and benefits in accordance with the ordinances and instructions, in return for the support given to Theon and the good services rendered to him in many aspects of daily life and of the settlement of royal taxes on his allotment; (and) on condition that he makes the land over to Dionysius clear of all outstanding royal taxes, and that neither Theon nor anyone else on his behalf will lay claim to the property ceded as aforesaid, even in part, nor resort to fraud regarding the cession on any pretext whatsoever. If he should set aside any of these provisions, such action is to be invalid and he is in addition to pay to Dionysius or his assigns for every specific breach a fine of 500 silver drachmas and the same to the royal treasury, and none the less are the agreements to be irrefutable as aforesaid.

Theon and Dionysius further acknowledge that they have divided the allotment on the following terms, so that Dionysius is to have... of the section called "of the sixteen aruras" which is from the allotment of...of the land called "of the Coans", and on the west the western half share of the garden, stretching from north to south for as far as the section reaches. Likewise of the section from the south of the same garden Theon is to have the eastern half share, stretching from north to south as far as the boundary of the section. . .sections; likewise Dionysius is to have too the section from the allotment of Callippus, of...aruras, and Theon the other section of nine aruras near the upper field, and of the section. . Dionysius is to have the western half share and Theon the other eastern half share. To neither of them nor to their assigns shall it be allowed to contravene any of these conditions . . or apart from the invalidity of whatsoever contravention, the contravener shall further pay to the person abiding by the conditions a fine of 500 silver drachmas (?) and the same to the royal treasury. The wife of Theon, Dionysia daughter of Ammonius, with the said Theon as her guardian, gives her assent to all the conditions of the contract of agreement.

I, Theon son of Antiochus, a Macedonian and one of those from the tenth hipparchy, swear by King Ptolemy and Queen Cleopatra also called Tryphaena, gods Philopatores Philadelphi, and by their ancestors and by the other gods, that I have come to an agreement with you Dionysius son of Apollonius, a Macedonian and one of those from the same hipparchy, from the street of Cleopatra Aphrodite, and
assent to all the provisions of the contract of agreement drawn up together with this oath through the agoranomi on the present day, in accordance with which I have ceded to you, in conformity with the administrative arrangements of those controlling cavalry affairs, nine and a half aruras out of the threequarter share falling to me of andivided allotment of 38 aruras situated near Senepta, formerly belonging to Alexander, of which the remaining quarter is yours, so as to make up the half of the whole allotment; and that I shall not break the contract nor resort to fraud in any respect regarding the contract of agreement or any of the declarations made in it or regarding this oath, on any pretext whatsoever; but if I do not act as aforesaid, I agree that the provisions of the cession and agreement are to remain irrefutable, and that when I am brought to account the remaining portions of my allotment are to revert to the Crown and also I am to be held liable to the consequences of the oath.

I, . . . , the substitute for Dionysodorus,
 logica Coloniensia vi, 1975), apperidix 2, pp. 125-31.
$3 \kappa \alpha(\tau o i \kappa \omega \nu) i \pi(\pi \epsilon \epsilon \nu \nu)$. Cf. e.g. P. Teb. I 47. 9 with plate vil to illustrate the form of the abbreviation, slightly more cursive and ligatured in 3482.

4 oi $\delta$ रúo. Cf. XIV 16288 and 16297 , and possibly 16353 (see note), $\pi a ́ v \tau \epsilon c 16448$.

 ${ }^{10}$ A ${ }^{2} \rho \rho \delta_{i} i_{\eta c}$. This street seems to have been lived in exclusively by catoecic cavalry, Macedonians and Persians of the succession.

 phrase which recurs in the deeds of cession of catoecic land drawn up at Oxyrhynchus in the Roman


 Ptolemaic period (cf. BGU viII 1732. 3, i733.5; P. Teb. I 63. 121 [ $B L$ iII 240]), and especially in the Roman period, given the greater number we possess of cessions of catoecic land (BGU III 906. 18; CPR 1 1 [ $=S P P$ xx 1]. 11, 27-8; CPR 1188.9 ; P. Mich. v 259. 12-13, 30-1; P. Mich. v 262. 8-10; P. Mich. v 267-8. 6-8, and 273. 5-6).
$5 \dot{a} \phi$ ' ov ... $\dot{j} \pi о \mu \nu \eta \eta^{\prime} \mu a \tau o c$. Frequent usage in Oxyrhynchite deeds of cession of catoecic land, cf. PSI IV 320.6, vili 897.9, 64, and X III 8. 3, and P. Ryl. II 159. 6. An equivalent expression occurs in BGU
 A. B. Schwarz, Hypothek und Hypallagma, p. 80 n .2 and p. 81 n. i.
$6 \tau \hat{\omega} \iota \pi \rho \grave{c} \kappa \kappa \quad \tau \alpha \lambda о \chi \iota c \mu o i c$. This is the earliest attestation of this official, already evidenced by BGU vili i 772.6 (Heracleopolite, $57 / 6$ в.c.), 1769. 1-3 (Heracleopolite, 48/7 в.c.: Prosop. Ptol. II $2509=2490$ ), and XIV 16355 (restored: Oxyrhynchus, 44-30 в.c.).
 8 (A.D. 18), PSI x 1118.4 (A.D. 25/6), P. Ryl. 11159.7 (A.D. $31 / 2$ ), I 165 descr. (A.D. 81 ), XII 1462 2, 23 (A.D. 83/4) and III 504 io (early second century A.D.); add to these the nearly contemporary instances

 descr. (A.D. 88), and II 346 descr. (A.D. 100). These officials are also recorded in the Arsinoite nome, P. Fam. Teb. 25. I (A.D. 129), P. Phil. i i ii 2 (A.D. 141 ; see M. Blanken, Pap. Lugd.-Bat. xiv i16-18), BGU VII 1565.1 -2 (A.D. 169), and PSI xv 1540.8 , 14 (second century A.D., published in advance by M. Manfredi, Dai Papiri della Società Italiana (Firenze, 1965), pp. 31-2), and in the Hermopolite nome, P. Flor. I 92.2 (A.D. 84). The area of their competence is limited to the nome (cf. XII 1462, P. Flor. I 92, I 174 descr. and II 346 descr.), but in A.D. 169 the official in charge of the каталохıcно' in the Arsinoite nome held the same position in other nomes too (BGU vii 1565.2). At central government level, finally, we find an official in charge of the катадохtcнoi for the whole of Egypt, I 47 and II 344 descr. (both late first century a.d.) and P. Grenf. il 42 . (A.D. $86 ; B L$ I 187 ).
 159-244, esp. 166 seqq.
 the acquired right to the hereditary transmission of the allotment. In the Roman period, when the cleruch
 aủroû (see P. Mich. v 262. 4, 267-8. 2 and 303. 2. and PSI vill 905. 4 and 906. 2), or else toîc éкүóvouc



9 курíwс. Cf. H. J. Wolff, ZRG (Röm. Abt.) 90 (1973) 373.

 term $\phi_{\iota} \lambda \dot{\nu} \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi o v$ is here used in its juridical sense; the granting of such benefits was the exclusive prerogative of the king, who authorized them in favour of individuals or groups, associations, corporations, etc. In particular we know of numerous $\phi \iota \lambda a ́ \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi a$ granted to cleruchs and consisting for the most part of fiscal and penal amnesties. Cf. M.-Th. Lenger, 'La notion de "bienfait" (philanthrôpon) royal et les ordonnances des rois Lagides', Studi Arangio-Ruiz I (Napoli, 1952) 483-99; the author collects and examines all the evidence and the texts recognizable as $\phi \iota \lambda \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi a$, among which P . Teb. I 124 ( $=$ C. Ord. Ptol. 54) constitutes an excellent example of indulgences with respect to cleruchs. It seems likely that the term $\tau i \mu t o v$ too implied something precise, originating from the sovereign, but we lack the specific documentation which could tell us what was meant. Apart from the deeds of cession of catoecic land cited above, $\tau^{i} \mu \tau o v$ and $\phi \iota \lambda \alpha{ }^{\prime} \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi o \nu$ occur together only once: in a decree of M. Antonius (SB 14224 = V. Ehrenberg and A. H. M. Jones, Documents illustrating the reigns of Augustus and
 $\phi_{\iota} \lambda \alpha \nu \theta \rho\left({ }^{\prime} \pi \omega \nu\right.$. Among the other references to tifıov, note BGU iv if 85 ii 28 (Heracleopolite, $60 / 59$ B.c.) because the word occurs within a royal $\pi \rho o ́ c \tau a \gamma \mu a$ (the first column of this text $=\mathrm{C}$. Ord. Ptol. 71); note too, for similarities between some clauses and those in deeds of cession of catoecic land, P. Teb. II 294 (A.D. I46, an application to the idiologus for the post of $\pi \rho \circ \phi \eta \eta^{\prime} \eta \mathrm{c}$ in the temple of Soknebtynis), 17-20:


 $\phi \iota \lambda \alpha \nu \nu \rho \omega \pi \alpha$ to become the object of successive royal ordinances, so that an indulgence originally granted in extraordinary circumstances could thus be extended to other groups and receive the force of law (cf. M.-Th. Lenger, op. cit.). In this case, the ordinances and regulations generically cited confirmed clearly that the possibility of cession should not annul the benefits previously accorded to the allotment. The $\pi \rho o c \tau \alpha ́ \gamma \mu a \tau \alpha$ and $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota c \tau \alpha \dot{\lambda} \mu \mu a \tau a$, many of which concerned cleruchs and their lands, are collected in C. Ord. Ptol.; in general, for the juridical position of cleruchic property, see J. Lesquier, Inst. Mil., a24-54.


$\epsilon^{\dot{U}} \chi \rho \rho^{\prime} \subset \tau \eta \kappa \epsilon \nu$. Cf. BGU viil 1739. 13 (the other papyri in this group have the expression $\dot{\alpha} \nu \theta^{\prime} \dot{\omega} \nu$
 land see W. Kunkel, $Z R G$ ( $R \ddot{0} m . A b t$.) 48 (1928) 288. For the perfect without reduplication, which is not abnormal, see B. G. Mandilaras, The Verb, §429, esp. (7).

The underlying situation in $10-11$ is as follows: Dionysius has lent to Theon sufficient money to pay the taxes on the latter's holdings, and now receives $9 \frac{1}{2}$ aruras out of those holdings in repayment of the debt. It is to be noted, however, that throughout the deed care is taken to avoid terminology proper to contracts of sale and loan. All wording which might imply transference of money between the parties is deliberately omitted; thus $\epsilon \dot{v} \chi \rho \eta \subset \tau \epsilon i v$, 'to be of service to a person', is used in preference to $\delta a v \epsilon i \zeta \omega$. In the Roman period there is no longer any raison d'être for this attitude, and more precise verbs are used which more closely represent the actual facts: $\lambda a \mu \beta a ́ v \omega$ (P. Ryl. II 159. 18), but especially à $\pi \epsilon \in \chi \omega$ (P. Ryl. II 159.37 ; III 504 17, 46; PSI vili 897.45, 72 and 89 , and P. Strasb. 266. 18), ${ }^{6} \chi \omega$ (PSI viil 897. 23) and $\pi \rho \circ \alpha \pi \epsilon ́ \chi \omega$ (PSI X III8. 14).
 it is said that the party now making the cession had had recourse to a loan in pressing circumstances,
 Ptol. 7 i i 4 , an ordinance extending to the cleruchs of the Heracleopolite nome a penal and fiscal amnesty already granted to those in the Arsinoite.

II $\beta$ асілıк $\hat{\omega} \nu$. Cf. 12. The reference is to the numerous tax burdens to which the cleruchs were subjected (cf. J. Lesquier, Inst. Mil., 212-23), including the ctédavo explicitly mentioned in the Heracleopolite cessions (cf. BGU vili 1731. 8, 1732. 8-9, 1733. 10-11, 1734. 8-9, 1735. 14, 1739. 13 and 1740 . IC).
 free from tax liabilities up to the date of the drawing up of the deed. Only in the present deed is a precise date lacking, and the fact is somewhat surprising given that it was a means of better self-protection on the part of the person making the cession. In the Heracleopolite cessions the land is declared to be free from tax liabilities up to the date of the $\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \pi \iota \gamma \rho a \phi_{\eta}^{\prime}$ (cf. BGU vini 1731. 10-13, 1732. 11-12, 1733. 13-15 and 1735. 16-18) or else the terminal point is specified. In the Oxyrhynchite deeds of Roman date the land is clear of taxes up to the end of the year preceding that in which the deed of cession was drawn up, cf. III 504 24-8; PSI vili 897. 30-4, 79-82; P. Ryl. II $159.23-7$ and P. Strasb. 266. 5-7. On this basis it should be possible to assign a more precise date to PSI x in 18; since the land is declared clear of taxes up to the end of the 11 th year of Tiberius (lines $18-20$ ), the deed should have been drawn up during the 12 th year, i.e. A.D. 25/6.
$14 \dot{a} \theta \in \tau \hat{\eta}$. In the Heracleopolite cessions and in the Roman-date Oxyrhynchite ones mapacuy $\quad$ pabєiv is commonly used (cf. too 35 below). For $\dot{a} \theta \epsilon \tau \epsilon \hat{i}$ cf. BGU IV 1013. 20, 1123.11 ; P. Dura 18. 8, 27, 28; P. Mich. ili 186. 21, 187. 20; P. Oslo il 31. 21; SB v 8035 a 19 and vi 8974 fragm. 3, 3 1.
 x 1118 . 24, and P. Strasb. 266. 11 .

$18 \tau \hat{\eta} \subset \kappa а \lambda о \nu \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \eta \subset$ Ḱćt $\omega \nu \gamma \hat{\eta} \subset$ is a novelty. The presence of Coans in the Oxyrhynchite nome was not previously attested. Note the much later XXXVI 2771 (A.D. 323 ).
$20 \delta_{\iota a \tau \epsilon i v o v} \beta o p p a \hat{\text {. For the }}$. use of the genitive cf. III 5056.
 del nomo Ossirinchite', Aeg. 55 (1975) 159-244. We are grateful to Professor Jacques Schwartz in Strasbourg for confirming a query, that Kadd. . tov in P. Strasb. 534. 4 (Pruneti, op. cit. p. 184) should be corrected to Kaddímmov.
 373 (descr.); VI 918 ii 4, 10; X 1279 ı2; XII 1537 ı 5 ; XIV 1636 14; XVII 2134 i7; PSI viil 897. [14],
 M. Schnebel, Landwirtschaft, 34; P. Teb. 162 introd.; P. Teb. I 105. 13 n. and 11311 . 18 n.; P. Hamb. i 62. ıo n.; W. Crönert, CR 17 (1903) 194, and C. Gallazzi, $Z P E_{31}$ (1978) 94 (re-editing P. Teb. if 445).

25 хшріс $\tau[0] \hat{y}$ ấкvра єivaı. Cf. XIV 1644 22-3 and P. Mich. III 194. 24-5. In deeds of cession of catoecic land the more usual form of the saving clause (for which see A. Berger, Strafklauseln, 47-50, 85 ) is $\mu \eta \theta_{\mathrm{e} v}$
 II 1 59. [31]; PSI vili 897. 39, 85 and Xili8. 25, and P. Strasb. 266. 12.

26 Should the amount of the fine already expressed in 15 be repeated here? The traces preceding $\phi$ are too damaged to permit reading (part of) àpyvpiov ( $\delta \rho a \chi \mu a ́ c$ ) here.

сขvєvঠокєi. Cf. BGU vili 1731. 12-13 (consent of wife), 1733. 16-17 (consent of brother) and 1738. 20 (consent of son). This third-party consent to the cession indicates that the person giving approval has legal rights over the property capable of exercise at any moment. Wives of cleruchs may have had or been able to acquire certain rights over the holdings, if the fiscal and penal amnesty in a royal ordinance could be extended beyond the catoecic cavalry to their wives and sons, see C. Ord. Ptol. 71. 6. Further, a wife has rights on any and all property which could be used to help repay her dowry in case of divorce, see G. Chalon, L'édit de Tiberius fulius Alexander, 137-43.

Among Oxyrhynchite cessions of Roman date the consent of third parties is found in III 50432. 27 Cf. $3{ }^{1}$.
28 The oath is reconstructed on the basis of the preceding $\pi a \rho a \chi \omega \rho \eta c \iota$ and of P. Fouad 38 (first half of the first century в.c.). That papyrus contains precisely the final part of an oath consequent on a deed of cession, from a formulaic point of view sufficiently close to 3482 to allow us to suppose that it too comes from Oxyrhynchus. BGU vili 1735-40 are oaths of the same type, but they were written separately, on different sheets from those containing the deeds of cession.
 At Oxyrhynchus the áoopavoutiov is documented from the reign of Ptolemy Philopator, BGU x 1973. 2, 1974. 1, and P. Berl. inv. 11803. 16, 29 (publ. by F. Uebel, Festschr. zum 150 jährigen Bestehen des Berliner Agyptischen Museums, Berlin, 1974, pp. 441 seqq.). Moreover at Oxyrhynchus in the Roman period the áyopavoutiov was interested in cessions of catoecic land even though the relevant deeds had not been drawn up through it, cf. I 45-7, 165, 174-6, and II 341-2, 344, 346-7. It is now generally accepted that the áyopavouєiov and the $\mu \nu \eta \mu o v \epsilon i o v$ are substantially one and the same, in Oxyrhynchus in the Roman period at any rate; see M. G. Raschke, BASP 13 (1976) 23-6.

36 Contrast the solemnity of ávarє $\phi^{2} \omega \nu \eta \mu \epsilon \nu \omega \nu$ with the simplicity of the parallel P. Fouad 38.4-5,



38 Cf. P. Fouad 38. 9. Its missing upper portion was obviously composed like 3482 of $\pi$ a $\rho a \chi \omega \rho \eta$ cıc followed by an agreement settling the details. Lines 2 seqq. there provide further evidence.
$\epsilon \dot{v} \theta v v \theta \hat{\omega}$. Cf. P. Fouad 38. 11 with the note on p. 100 .
40 The subscription of an as yet unidentified official, perhaps from the $\mu \nu \eta \mu$ oveiov or à oopavouєiov. Cf. 31 and $n$. Transcription of the cursive and partly damaged last part has so far eluded us. There is possibly a superscript indication of abbreviation at the end.

## 3483. Contract

$34+$ B. $76 / \mathrm{K}(10) \mathrm{a}$
$4.5 \times 18.5 \mathrm{~cm}$.
Early first century
All that remains of this contract is a strip showing the foot and parts of twelve lines, which contained several standard elements of its close. These are a $\pi \rho \hat{\alpha} \xi \iota c-c l a u s e$ ( $1-5$ ), a кирía-clause (5), the subscription of an amanuensis (6-8), apparently but not certainly in the hand of $\mathbf{I}-5$, date ( $9-10$ ), and the subscriptions of two witnesses ( $1 \mathrm{I}-\mathrm{I} 2$ ). The back is blank.
c. 15 letters ]. c oüc $\eta$ [c
$\operatorname{co\iota (?)~}{ }^{\prime \prime} \kappa \tau \epsilon \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ả $\left.\mu \phi о \tau\right] \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu \kappa[\alpha i$
$\dot{\epsilon} \xi$ o $\hat{v} \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \alpha \nu \dot{\eta} \mu] \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \rho \hat{\eta} \iota \kappa \alpha i \frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \kappa[\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$
$\dot{v} \pi \alpha \rho \chi o ́ v \tau \omega] \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon i ̂ \nu \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega[\nu \kappa \alpha-$




c. ıо] Kaícopoc Cє $\beta$ аст [о̂
c. 10 ] (vac.) [
c. Io ]ếouc $\mu a \rho \tau v \rho \hat{\omega}$. (vac.)[
c. Іо $] T \rho \dot{́} \phi \omega \nu$ ос $\mu \alpha \rho \tau v[\rho \hat{\omega}$.

| 3 1. aip | 4 1. $\eta \mu i v$ | 8 1. eidévà |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

1 At this point we expect $\pi \rho a ́ \xi \epsilon] \mu c$. The remains look like ]a, for which no plausible explanation has yet been suggested. However, it could still be correct. Omega is just possible.

2 If not cou(?), perhaps ímiv(?).
6 At first sight the ligature suggests $] q$ or $] \xi$, but in $\dot{\eta} \mu] \hat{\omega \nu}(3)$ the omega ligatures to nu
7 For cuvtağávтبџ̣ cf. E. Majer-Leonhard, AГPAMMATOI, 70. To his examples add, from WB s.v.



9-10 The month and day were probably specified in ro, implying that the titulature ended with $C_{\epsilon} \beta a c \tau[0 v$. . Perhaps there is a statistical probability that the emperor was Tiberius, see P. Bureth, Les titulatures, $25-7$, i.e. restore (ĕтоис) $n$. $\left.T_{i} \beta \epsilon \rho_{i ́ o v}\right]$ Kaicapoc $C_{\epsilon} \beta a c \tau[0 v \mid$ (month, day)]. A short title of Gaius would also fit, but his title more usually contained $\Gamma_{\epsilon} \rho \mu a v \iota \kappa o \hat{v}$ after $C_{\epsilon \beta a c \tau o \hat{v}}$, see Bureth, op. cit., 28 - 9 .

## 3484. Freight Contract

58/B (63) a
$14 \times 19 \mathrm{~cm}$.
A.D. 27-33

The papyrus lacks both head and foot and is broken and badly rubbed in many areas. The ends of lines 5-29 are preserved but the beginnings of only 19-29. There is a sheet join c. 2.5 cm . from the right-hand edge. The back is blank.

The contract has unusual features, some of which are obscured by the damage. Only one other document, see $Z P E 20$ (1976) 162-5, provides for a round trip. The voyage is from Oxyrhynchus outwards to Euergetis in the Cynopolite nome with a cargo of wheat and thence back to Oxyrhynchus with a cargo of wine. The document has the air of a private contract between three shippers, named in line 8 , and a party whose name or title has been irrecoverably damaged in 21 and 27 . If that is so, it is the earliest surviving private contract for river transport. Some doubts arise because
 (27-8), and of a percentage charge of some kind (13). These are features otherwise found only in contracts for the transport of goods delivered to the state, see the synoptic table in A. J. M. Meyer-Termeer, Die Haftung der Schiffer, 90-103.' It is possible, therefore, that the person whose name, or perhaps title, is lost in 21 and 27 was an official arranging transport of state goods. But the payment in two instalments is also typical of private contracts, see Meyer-Termeer, op. cit. 12.
${ }^{1}$ Three Oxyrhynchite documents referred to in this book by their inventory numbers, see ibid. index p. 274, have now been published as P. Oxy. Hels. 14, 20, and 37.
c. 20 letters ]тa.[.....]. $\tau o v .[].$. .[.....].[..
c. 20$] \tau \iota \kappa \omega .[..] \nu \alpha, \ddot{\omega} \subset \tau[\epsilon \dot{\alpha}] \pi о к а \tau[\alpha-$
 cuرф $\omega \nu \eta \theta$ є́v $\tau$ ос $\pi \rho o ̀ c ~ a ̉ \lambda \lambda] \eta ́ \lambda o v c, ~[\kappa \alpha \theta] \alpha \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi[\dot{o} \pi] \alpha ́ \alpha \tau \tau[\nu$,





$$
\text { c. } 20 \quad \text { ]ọv } \delta \rho \alpha \chi \mu \dot{\alpha} с \text { трıакосíac, тọ̀ } \delta \grave{~}
$$








$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { c. } 18 \text { ]ọ каi } \pi \eta \delta \alpha \lambda i ́ o v ~ к \alpha i ̀ \tau o \hat{v} \epsilon \pi i \tau \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \theta \eta \text { - }
\end{aligned}
$$









каi тòv viđọ̀ тộ . . [. .]. ov cvv $\pi \epsilon \mu \phi \theta \eta<o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o v$



'...so as to deliver to Euergetis in the Cynopolite nome, at the freight charge agreed upon between each party, free from all charges, for the carriage of the wheat upstream and of the wine downstream, of . . . drachmas of silver in imperial and Ptolemaic coinage, from which the charterers, . . . iris, Gaius, and Publius, acknowledge that they have received on the spot from... in the city of the Oxyrhynchi from hand to hand out of the house three hundred drachmas..., but the remainder . . . is to pay to them in Euergetis (at the loading of the?) wine, as well as one ceramion of wine as a gratuity to the lads. And the charterers are to... as a percentage... on one hundred ceramia one ceramion. .expenses for the carriage of the wheat upstream...for the carriage of the wine downstream..., the charges for... and pilotage (?) and the sum that will be exacted at the.... as well as all the expenses of the carriage upstream and downstream and all other expenses, being the responsibility of...Therefore on the third of the . . month of Pharmuthi of the . . .teenth year of Tiberius Caesar Augustus the charterers are to supply for the carriage of the wheat upstream to Euergetis the boat ready for the loading of the wheat, and having taken on board the wheat and the supercargo who will be sent with it by...they are to sail off, providing for themselves...
 land actually in the Oxyrhynchite nome as belonging to the Cynopolite, the owners coming from a place called Euergetis. The editor's note on line 5 ends, 'an Euergetis in the Cynopolite, which might perhaps have caused the mistake, is unknown'. This new document reinforces the implied theory. The Euergetis in IV 814 (description) is arguably the same, since the excerpted text contains the passage, 'Kivvoc
 but it may be better taken as Kuvóc, the genitive of the personal name Kí $\omega v$, see $N B$ and D. Foraboschi, Onomasticon. Names in Herm- and Pan- are frequent in the Hermopolite and Panopolite nomes, so Cyon may well indicate a connexion with the Cynopolite nome, which adjoins the Oxyrhynchite. Similarly the name of Lycaena daughter of Lycas in P. Köln in 85 may well indicate that the Euergetis of that text is the one in the Lycopolite nome. For these and other places of the same name see A. Calderini, Dizionario geografico, ii, 1, pp. 183-4; add at least P. Köln 1 55, II 85.
 ıо) and сข $с \tau \epsilon \phi \omega \nu \eta \mu \epsilon{ }^{\prime} \nu o v(\mathrm{P}$. Oxy. Hels. 37. 4).

4-5 For the supplement cf. 15-16, 19, 24.
$7^{-8}{ }^{\prime} \phi^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \dot{\omega} . . \epsilon_{\chi} \notin \epsilon \iota \nu$. The payment in two instalments is typical of private freight contracts, see introd.
9 The name or title of the person hiring the boat was of c. 7 letters, of. [11], 21, 27.
ı It is not clear whether we should restore á $\rho \gamma v \rho i]_{\rho v}$ preceded by some other phrase or ápyvoiov
 бо́кциос, àpєсто́с, cf. P. Наmb. I 2. го, 14-15.

10-11 The exact amount outstanding is usually specified in the form tàc $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ doımàc $\delta \rho a \chi \mu a ́ c . .$. , with or without the addition of qoû vaúdov, cf. XLV 3250 14, P. Ross.-Georg. II 18. 130-1, 190, M. Chr. 341 .


${ }^{111-12}$ In these contracts the balance owing is usually payable on the unloading of the cargo, cf. XLV $3250{ }_{15}$, P. Ross.-Georg. il 18. 30, 149, M. Chr. 341. 7. In this case, however, Euerget is is the harbour at which the wheat is unloaded, see $24^{-5}$, and it looks as if the second instalment was to be paid at the loading of the wine, $[\hat{\epsilon} \pi i \tau \hat{\eta} \subset \dot{\epsilon} \mu \beta$ o $\lambda \hat{\eta} \subset$ тồ $]$ oîvov.

12 cтovjŋ̂c. Cf. Symb. Osl. 17 (1937) 26-48. One ceramion was a customary amount, see ibid. 37, XLIII 311115.
$\operatorname{viav}[i]$ скоис $=$ veavíскоис. Cf. F. T. Gignac, Grammar I, 249, 256.
${ }_{13} \nu^{\nu \in \nu a v \lambda \omega \kappa o ́ \tau o c ~}=-\tau \epsilon \epsilon$. Cf. F. T. Gignac, Grammar 1, 29 1. Similar spellings occur in lines 23-4, 26.
13-15 On غ́катостаí see Meyer-Termeer, op. cit. 17-19. The conclusion there is that these additions were extra charges to be del:vered to the state. Here the situation is still obscure. It is noticeable that the percentage is applied only, as far as can be seen, to the wine cargo on the return journey. In $r_{3}$ supply an imperative such as mapa入aß'́tccav or áva入aß'́tccav. At the beginning of 14 restore perhaps $\tau \hat{\eta} c$ $\kappa \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \subset \theta \epsilon i ́ \eta c$, cf. XXXIII $267035^{-6}$, Meyer-Termeer, op. cit. 107 n. 30 I.
${ }_{15}$ The form $\left.\dot{a} \nu\right] \eta \nmid \omega \dot{\omega} \mu a \tau a$, probably accusative, seems to suggest that the transporters were to receive an advance for expenses, which were to fall on the other party, see 18-2 I.

16 At the end of the line $\epsilon \tau \psi(=\tilde{\epsilon} \tau \iota$ ? ) is the obvious reading of the undamaged writing; $\epsilon v(=\tilde{\epsilon} v$ ?) might be possible, since $\ddot{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \iota$ occurs again in 18 .

17-21 The sense of this clause is well paralleled in P. Oxy. Hels. 37. 4-5 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu ~ к a i ~ a ́ v a \lambda \omega \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$

$17 \pi \eta \delta a \lambda i ́ o u$. Cf. S. L. Wallace, Taxation, 263 ('probably a fee for the services of the pilot'), 264, 275, $465 \mathrm{nn} .4^{2,} 44$.

17-18 This charge is possibly to be related to $\tau a \mu \epsilon$ iov, see S. L. Wallace, Taxation, 264 ('storage'), 265. If so, restore something like $\tau o \hat{v} \dot{\epsilon} \pi i \quad \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \theta \eta[$ caup $\hat{\omega} \nu \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\delta} \rho \mu \hat{\omega} \nu] \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \iota \tau \eta \theta \eta c o \mu \hat{v} v o v$.

 (26-7).
 that the safe date range is years 13 to 19, i.e. A.D. 27-33.
${ }_{25}$ Before $\dot{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\varphi} \mu o \nu=\dot{\epsilon} \tau o \hat{c} \mu o \nu$ alpha is virtually certain, and - $\tau \varphi v a ̨$ is easy to accept. This points to $\pi$ áк $\tau \omega \nu$
or скафота́ктшv as the type of the boat. The space would suit $\tau \dot{\nu} \nu \kappa к \alpha о \pi \alpha ́ к т \omega \nu a$ reasonably well, but this cannot readily be reconciled with the traces, though it might be right even so. The previous instances of cкафота́ктшv are all of the third century A.D., XII 1554 7, XLIII 3111 2, and $Z P E 20$ (1976) 163-4, lines 6 and 25. The phonetic spelling in the last-mentioned text, скатотáкт $\quad$, does not help to fit this word to the traces.



26-8 From this passage we may supply ка[i áva入a]ßóvтєc тòv yєvavג
28 є́ $\pi i \pi \lambda$ ouv. Cf. Meyer-Termeer, op. cit. 56 , P. Oxy. Hels. 20, Arctos 12 (1978) 5-17. Though they have appeared before only in state contracts, see introd., it seems quite possible that a private contractor should supply a supercargo to represent his interests on board.

28-9 For $\dot{\varepsilon} \alpha \tau \hat{\varphi}, \dot{\varepsilon} \notin \tau \ell \hat{c} c$ cf. F. T. Gignac, Grammar 1, 187 . The scribe has made an unsuccessful attempt to adapt his singular formulary to the plural. For the sense expected of. XLV 3250 21-2 $\dot{\epsilon} a v \tau \hat{\omega}$
 wording here was apparently not quite the same.
3485. Loan of Money

344 B. $76 / \mathrm{K}(4-5) \mathrm{c}+(7-9) \mathrm{a}$ $13.8 \times 36.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. 23 August A.D. 38
A loan of 108 silver drachmas from Ischyrion to Hermogenes and Hermias. A piece is missing from the middle of the document and what remains has been broken into several pieces, but the employment of standard formulas in these contracts means that most lines can be restored with confidence at least in their general sense.

The loan was drawn up in the standard form of a notarial contract, see P. Meyer, Fur. Pap., 88-9, and registered in the grapheion of Sinary.

On the loan in general see M.Gdz., if ${ }^{-65}$, R. Taubenschlag, Law ${ }^{2}$, 341-52, H.-A. Rupprecht, Untersuchungen zum Darlehen.














15 oị $\delta \epsilon \delta \alpha \nu[\epsilon \iota \subset \mu \epsilon ́ v o \iota ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \iota ~ ' I c \chi \nu \rho i ́ \omega \nu \iota \tau o ̀ ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \delta \alpha ́-$







 $\dot{\eta}$ cuvy $\rho \not{ }^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \iota . \operatorname{xxxxxx}$（vac．）










35 Kaícарос Сєßастои̂ Гєриалıкой，Мєсорウ̀̀ трıака́с．
ठıà＇$A \chi \iota \lambda \lambda \epsilon ́ \omega c ~ \tau o \hat{v} \pi \rho o ̀ c \tau \hat{\omega} \imath ~ \gamma \rho a \phi i ́ \omega ı ~ к \omega ́ \mu \mu \eta с$
Cıvapùı каì є́тє́ $\rho \omega \nu \tau o ̣ ́ \pi \omega \nu \kappa є \chi ฺ \rho \eta \mu a ́ \tau \iota ธ ฺ \tau a \iota$.
 Mєсорウ̀ 入．$(\delta \rho a \chi \mu \hat{\omega} v) \rho \eta$ ．＇Icхvрícuvoc

40


 35 1．Mєсори́ 36 l．үрафєíe 37 l．Cıvapú $\quad 40$ ํ $=\pi \rho$（óc）
＇Second year of Gaius Caesar Augustus Germanicus， 30 Mesore，in the village of Sinary in the Lower Toparchy of the Oxyrhynchite．Ischyrion son of Dionysius has Ioaned to Hermogenes junior，son of Hermogenes grandson of Demetrius，and to Hermias junior，son of Zenodorus，both Persians of the succession，in the street，ro8 drachmas of silver of Imperial and Ptolemaic coinage as principal to which nothing at all has been added．Let the debtors pay back the 108 drachmas of silver to Ischyrion on the thirtieth of Choiach of［the coming third？］year of Gaius Caesar Augustus Germanicus．If they do not pay it back［according to the contract］，let the debtors pay back the Ioan［to Ischyrion with a penalty of one half and the interest］for the overtime［also at the same rate］；and let them be mutual［guarantors for recovery］，Ischyrion［having right of execution against them together and singly and against
whichever one] of them [he chooses and against] all [their property as if in accordance with a legal judgment, the rights of Ischyrion being undiminished with respect to other debts which Hermias owes him or] his wife according to another pledge. The contract [is valid].'
(2nd hand) 'We, Hermogenes son of Hermogenes and Hermias minor, son of Zenodorus, have borrowed 108 drachmas of silver as principal and we shall pay it back as mutual guarantors, according to the above conditions.'
(3rd hand) 'We, Hermias minor, son of Zenodorus, and Hermogenes minor have borrowed 108 drachmas of silver as principal and we shall pay it back as mutual guarantors, according to the above conditions, with no diminution of your rights in respect of other debts which I owe you according to another pledge.'
(4th hand) 'I, Ischyrion, son of Dionysius, have made the loan according to the above conditions. Second year of Gaius Caesar Augustus Germanicus, 30 Mesore. The loan has been transacted through Achilles, overseer of the grapheion of the village of Sinary and the other places.'

Back (ist hand) 'Year 2 of Gaius Caesar Augustus Germanicus, Mesore 30; 108 dr. (Contract) of Ischyrion son of Dionysius with Hermogenes and Hermias.'
 added falsely. On $\eta \iota$ for $\eta$ and $\omega \iota$ for $\omega$ see Mayser $11^{2}$, pp. 106-8, II2-14.
 out his business seated on the ground by the roadside, see A. Traversa, SO 37 (1961) 102, n. 4. The phrase also indicates that the loan money had been paid over before the notary as witness instead of being

 109). At this period the adjectives refer respectively to the silver coinage of the Augustan period, issued on the Ptolemaic standard, and the billon tetradrachms of Tiberius, who resumed the minting of silver at Alexandria; see L. C. West and A. C. Johnson, Currency, i-12. By the third century, however, the term 'Ptolemaic' seems to have lost much of its original meaning: XXXI 2587, introd., and M. Crawford's note to XLI 2951 24-5.

9 Supplement, cf. P. Mich. 1 32. 9-10; P. Yale I 64. io.
12 Supplement exempligratia. Loans are found for varying periods of time, see Rupprecht, Darlehen, 21-2; 84, n. 55.

17-18 Cf. H. W. van Soest, De civielrechtelijke 'Ey $\begin{gathered}\text { ún, } 67-89 \text {; H.-A. Rupprecht, op. cit. I8; }\end{gathered}$ R. Taubenschlag, Law ${ }^{2}$, 303-7.
 the creditor's right of execution, see H. J. Wolff, $T A P A 72$ (1941) 418-38; H.-A. Rupprecht, op. cit. 104-17; M. Gdz. 119-22.
 some dispute. H. J. Wolff, Proc. XII Int. Congr. Papyrol. 527-35, argues that its meaning should be 'according to customary and accepted legal procedure' rather than 'as if in accordance with a legal judgment'.

21-3 The mention of Ischyrion's wife at this late stage in the contract is unusual but the reading is secure.

22 Hermias' name is secured here by the fact that the $\mu \dot{\eta}{ }^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \lambda a \tau \tau o v \mu \epsilon ́ v o v$ clause is repeated only in his subscription $(32-3)$, not in that of Hermogenes.

23-4 On this clause see M. Hässler, Kyria-Klausel, esp. ch. i.
36-7 For the various types of notation used by public notaries see M. Gdz. 60-1, H. J. Wolff, Das Recht, II 90-1. For an account of the grapheion at Tebtunis see P. Mich. II and V, Introductions; E. Husselman in Proc. XII Int. Congr. Papyrol. 223-38.

3486．Orderfor Payment in Grain
A B36／9 E
$8 \times 145 \mathrm{~cm}$.
A．D． $41 / 42$ ？
Order for the payment to an Alexandrian citizen of a quantity of grain from a private account，addressed to a sitologus．Cf．XXXI 2588－90，with the introd．to 2588－91．The back is blank．

［c］ıто［ $\lambda]$ ó $\gamma \omega \iota$ хаípєıv．סıácтı－

натос $\gamma є \nu \eta$ диатос $\pi \rho \underline{́ т о v}$
5 ［ётоv］с Tı $\beta \epsilon \rho$ íov Kגavסíov
$[\{K \lambda] a v \delta i ́ o v\}$ Kaícapoc $C_{\epsilon} \beta$ астой
［Г］єриалькои̂ $A$ v̇токра́торос

$\pi[v] \rho o \hat{v}$ сv́vта⿱亠乂兀ı à $\rho \tau \alpha ́ \beta a c$



［Айтокр］áтọp［о］с，и $\quad$ ขòс
［．．．．．］${ }^{\circ} \hat{y}$ ẹ．

## 

＇Diogenes，son of Theagenes，to Philotas，sitologus，greetings．Transfer to Antiochus，son of Theon， of the Zenian deme，altogether one hundred and ten artabas of wheat（tot．art．of wheat 110 ），from the credit you hold for me from the produce of the first year of Tiberius Claudius Caesar Augustus Germanicus Imperator．
＇The 2nd（？）year of Tiberius Claudius Caesar Augustus Germanicus Imperator，5th of the month．．．＇

 simply means the grain paid in，i．e．credited，to Diogenes＇account，and is thus effectively equivalent
 generally refers forward to $\pi v \rho o \hat{v}$（not so，however，with the plural version $\dot{a} \phi^{\prime} \dot{\omega} v$, e．g．XXXVIII 2869
 explained：presumably sc．$\dot{a} \rho \tau a \beta \hat{\omega} \nu$ ），but that this cannot be the case here with $\pi v \rho o \hat{v}$ in 9 ．For $\pi v \rho o \hat{v}$ in that position cf．XXXI 2588 m 2 ，but there its function is redundant whereas here it is（apart from the rivoveal－phrase in 1o）the only specification of the grain－type in the text．
 $\Lambda \eta \nu \epsilon i o v$ is a misreading for $Z \eta \nu \in i o v .261$ is dated A．D． 55 and it may be the same Antiochus，recorded there as Theon＇s father，who is himself active in the present earlier document．For the designation of Alexandrian citizens by their demotic see P．M．Fraser，Ptolemaic Alexandria，I，p． 43.

II (ढ̈тоис) $\beta$. It is obviously attractive, but equally not compelling, that the harvest from the produce of which the payment is made should be the most recent one. (ěrouc) a cannot be excluded.

14 The reading $]_{\varphi \psi}$, if correct, indicates that the month-name was a Roman one.

## 3487. Receipt for Part-repayment of Loan

374B.III/O(I) a
$13.7 \times 35^{\circ} 9 \mathrm{~cm}$. I October A.D. 65
Tausoreus acknowledges repayment of part of the money which she had lent her husband nearly two years before. The receipt is a cheirograph, with the bank-transfer of the money noted at the end; for this form (early and Oxyrhynchite) cf. XIV 1639 ( 73 b.c.? 44 b.c.?), various documents of the Archive of Tryphon (A.D. 20-57: H. J. Wolff, Das Recht d. griechischen Papyri 1197 and n. 76; M. V. Biscottini, Aeg. $46(1966) 60$ seqq., nos. $6,11,12,16,30,31,34)$, and P. Turner 17 . The original loan, which took the same form (12), must have resembled the document in which Tryphon acknowledges a loan from his wife, II $267=$ M. Chr. 281. There the loan stood in some relation to the ä á paфос $\gamma$ á $\mu$ oc between the two parties ( 26718 seq.); what exact relation is not clear (Wolff, Marriages in Hellenistic and Roman Law 69-72; Biscottini, loc. cit. 200). In 3487 also the parties are married á $\gamma \rho a ́ \phi \omega c$ ( $3,22 \mathrm{nn}$.); but the wording gives no hint that the loan was part or condition of the marriage.

The back is blank.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { : } 5
\end{aligned}
$$

стоv, ка[i] $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \epsilon \tau o ́ \chi \omega \nu[\tau \rho] a \pi \epsilon ́ \zeta \eta \eta \subset$ à $\rho \gamma v \rho i ́ o v$
$C_{\epsilon} \beta a<\tau o v ̂ \nu о \mu i ́ c \mu a \tau o c ~[\delta \rho a \chi] \mu a ̀ c ~ \tau \rho \iota a ́ к о \nu \tau а ~$
Nєр $\omega v \epsilon i ́ \omega \iota ~ C \epsilon \beta a c t \hat{\omega} \iota \mu \eta \nu \grave{\imath} \tau о \hat{v}$ ठєка́тои
є̈тоис Nє́pwvoc Kגavסíov Kaícapoc $C_{\epsilon} \beta$ астои
$\Gamma_{\epsilon \rho \mu а \nu ı к о \hat{v}}$ Av̀токра́торос, $\mu \grave{\eta}$ ѐ $\lambda а \tau \tau о \nu \mu \epsilon ́-~$ $\nu \eta \subset \mu o v$ ėv $\tau \hat{\eta} \pi \pi \rho a ́ \xi \iota \tau \omega \hat{\omega} \nu \lambda o u \pi \omega \nu \delta \rho a \chi \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ тєссєра́коvта кєфадаíov каi т $\omega$ ข àmò той













 Kגauסió Kaícapoс $C_{\epsilon} \beta$ астой $\Gamma_{\epsilon \rho \mu а \nu ı к о и ̆ ~}^{v}$ À̀токра́торос $\Phi$ аи̂фı $\bar{\delta}$. (m. 3) Kєфа入âc दُ-





'Tausoreus daughter of Panemgeus, one of those from the city of the Oxyrhynchi, with her kinsman Cephalas son of Cephalas as guardian, to Sarapion son of Ptolemaeus, who lives with me as husband according to the laws, one of those from the same city, greetings. I acknowledge that I have back from you, at the Serapeum by the city of the Oxyrhynchi, through the bank of Dionysius, sometimes called 'of Faustus', and partners, a capital sum of thirty-two drachmas of silver in imperial coinage, which are part of the capital sum of seventy-two drachmas of silver which I lent to you by a cheirograph and a bank-transfer through the bank of Apion son of Andromachus the representative of the aforementioned Dionysius, which is established at the same Serapeum, in the month Neroneus Augustus of the tenth year of Nero Claudius Caesar Augustus Germanicus Imperator: without detriment to my interests in the exaction of the remaining capital sum of forty drachmas and the interest on these from this point and also in my rights under the contract of marriage which you owe me, which is normative in all the points set out in it. This note of hand is normative. Twelfth year of Nero Claudius Caesar Augustus Germanicus Imperator, Phaophi 4.'
(2nd hand) 'I, Tausoreus daughter of Panemgeus, have back the capital sum of thirty-two drachmas of silver out of the seventy-two drachmas of silver, without detriment to my interests in the exaction
of the remaining forty drachmas and in my rights under the contract which you owe me as aforesaid. I, Zoilus son of Horus, wrote for her since she is illiterate. Twelfth year of Nero Claudius Caesar Augustus Germanicus Imperator, Phaophi 4.'
(3rd hand) 'I, Cephalas, have been appointed her guardian.'
(4th hand) 'Twelfth year of Nero Claudius Caesar Augustus Germanicus Imperator, Phaophi 4. The bank-transfer has been made through the bank of Faustus and partners.'

1 Before the stigma $=6$ there are two heavily inked dots in a colon pattern. The meaning of the annotation is unknown. It is not clear whether it is written in yet another hand, which would be the fifth. The ink does not vary noticeably with the hands. Cf. P. Turner 17. 1, which has $\zeta=7$.

2 Taucopevic. Another Tausoreus daughter of Panemgeus, presumably a relation, appears in PSI vill 874.6 (Oxyrhynchus, A.D. I $32 / 3$ ); this is the only example of the name in $N B$ and Onomasticon.
$3[K]_{€ \phi a \lambda \hat{d} T \rho \varsigma: ~ a l l ~ l e t t e r s, ~ v e s t i g i a l, ~ e x c e p t ~ t h e ~ c h a r a c t e r i s t i c ~ h i g h ~ r i s e r ~ o f ~ t h e ~ p h i . ~ T h e ~ n a m e ~ i s ~}^{\text {a }}$ guaranteed by 37. Tausoreus' husband would naturally act as her guardian; but he is excluded as an interested party (Taubenschlag, Law ${ }^{2}$, 174).

It has also been argued that the husband did not become the wife's guardian if, as in this case, the marriage was äypaфoc. But the documents cited, which all concern entry to the Alexandrian ephebate, are too specialized to prove a general rule. See H. J. Wolff, Marriages in Hellenistic and Roman Law, 29.
 64 (I23 B.C.), BGU VIII 1820. 5 ( $56 / 5$ B.C.), BGU I 232.2 (A.D. IO8). The last text has $\tau \hat{\eta} \pi \rho o o u ́ c \eta \kappa[a i$ cvv]oúcŋ av́т $\grave{\omega}$ кат̀̀ vópouc $\gamma$ vvaıкi; since the first part of this phrase regularly describes the wife of an 'unwritten' marriage (e.g. P. Strasb. 237, P. Mil. Vogl. II 7r), and since the authority cited is 'law' and not 'contract', it has been deduced that кaтà vó $\mu o v c$ effectively means áppáquc (Wolff, loc. cit. 67); the question remains whether this is simply a pious gesture or a reference to some definite law or laws. It is likely enough that Tausoreus and Sarapion have an ä $\gamma \rho a \phi o c ~ \gamma a ́ \mu o c$, see 23 n .

8 Dıovysiou. This banker is not listed by Calderini, Aeg. I8 (1938) 260 seqq., or in the indexes of later volumes of P. Oxy.
 'Aфро $\delta_{\iota \tau o}$ îoc, 'Aphrodite, called by some Aphroditus'. This is the interpretation of F. Preisigke in WB s.v. $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i$ ( I ), 'Aphrodite, von einigen auch Aphroditus genannt'. It is perhaps near enough right, since in that case the question is one of a variation of the same name. Here it seems more likely that there is a question of different identity. Otherwise tov кai would have been enough. Perhaps Faustus was the current representative of the principal figure Dionysius, like the Apion son of Andromachus who appears in $14^{-15}$ as a former representative of Dionysius. Perhaps $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i t \tau \nu \omega \nu$ means rather 'on some occasions, in some cases, in some circumstances', cf. LSJ s.v. $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i$ A. III. 3, i.e. the bank might be known by the name of the principal, Dionysius, or by that of his current representative. Sir Eric Turner has pointed


The comma may belong more properly after $\mu \epsilon \tau o ́ \chi \omega \nu$, i.e. 'bank of D., or of F and partners', rather than, 'bank of D., or of F., and partners'.

17-19 28 Nov. $/ 27$ Dec. a.d. 63.
23 ó $\phi$ єìıc ( 1. ó $\phi \epsilon i \lambda \epsilon \epsilon c$ ). If he 'owes' her a contract, the contract is in the future. This would fit the regular pattern, in which an 'unwritten' marriage is, after a time, converted into a 'written' marriage by the drawing up of a formal contract (Wolff, loc. cit. 58 ; e.g. PSI xil 1223. 10). P. Lund vi 3 (SB vi 9353) shows that the husband might bind himself to make such a contract in the future, as part of preliminary financial arrangements (receipt of dowry, presumably) with the bride's family ( G . Häge, Ehegüterrechtliche Verhältnisse, 163); the contract itself, as the examples make clear, would be largely concerned with adjusting the financial claims of husband and wife on one another (and so Tausoreus specifies that the present settlement will not affect her future claims). None the less, the phrase is odd, especially since oưc $\eta \mathrm{c}$ might imply that the contract already exists.

34 The same man acts as amanuensis in II 269 17 (A.D. 57).
37-8 Cephalas signs in the spindly capitals typical of the $\beta \rho a \delta \varepsilon^{\prime} \omega c$ c $\gamma \rho a ́ \phi \omega \nu$, see most recently $Z P E$ 40 ( 1980 ) 157-9.

# 3488. Lease of Land 

263 B. $51 / \mathrm{B}(1-2) \mathrm{a}$
$10 \times 27.5 \mathrm{~cm}$.
A.D. 70

It is especially for comparison with $\mathbf{3 4 8 9}$, a lease of only two years later also relating to land in the Oxyrhynchite nome leased to a villager of the Cynopolite for a two-year period, that this item has been included.

There are two parcels of land in 3488, which makes the arrangements for rent and rotation of crops seem complicated, but the main evidence of the two documents can be set out fairly clearly in a table, see below. Amounts in brackets are calculated from the other data on the same line. All these rents are in wheat.

| Year | Area | Total rent | Crop | Rent per arura |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 70/1 | 10 ar. | 75 art . | Aracus | (0.75 art.) |
|  | 5.125 ar. | 20 art . | Wheat | (c. 3.90 art .) |
| 71/2 | 10 ar . | 55 art. | Wheat | ( 5.5 art ) |
|  | 5.125 ar. | 10 art . | Aracus | (c. 1.95 art.) |
| 72/3 | 5 ar. | ( 11.25 art .) | Aracus | 2.25 art. |
| 73/4 | 5 ar . | (35 art.) | Wheat | 7 art. |

Elements that cannot be incorporated in the table are the extra rent of $\frac{3}{8}$ art. of loaves in 3489 and the loan of io art. of seed wheat returnable with the rents of the second year in 3488 . They seem to do nothing to give regularity to the figures. It is particularly clear from 3488 that the most important factor was the character of the land, and that the calculation of average rents from a comparatively small number of published leases is of use only to paint a very broad picture. I am indebted for discussion of this topic to Jane Rowlandson, whose Oxford D. Phil. thesis on land tenure in the Oxyrhynchite nome will shortly be available.

On the back are traces of ink which may be remains of an endorsement. Nothing is now legible.

This text was studied at a summer school in papyrology held in Princeton in 1966 and much work was done on it by Dr S. S. Foulk, to whom I am indebted for a draft edition.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Сара[......] } \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi \text { ' 'O乡ири́ } \gamma \chi \omega \nu \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon-
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text {.є.[.]. .[.. . .]с то̂ Kvvoтодєі́тоv Пє́ } \rho \text { - }
\end{aligned}
$$

> Av̉токра́торос Kaícapoc $O \hat{v} є є \pi a c ı a v o \hat{v}$
> $C_{\epsilon} \beta \alpha[c \tau] o \hat{v} \tau \grave{\alpha} c$ v́ $\pi \alpha \rho \chi \circ u ́ c a c a u ̉ \tau \hat{\omega} \pi \epsilon \rho i$



 форiov [ $\dot{\alpha}] \pi$ т̣т́́ктоv $\pi \nu \rho о \hat{v} \alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho \tau \alpha \beta \hat{\omega} v$ $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \tau \grave{a} \dot{\eta} \mu i ́ c o u c,{ }_{\mathrm{\epsilon}} \nu \delta \dot{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\omega}$ єícıóvтı ${ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \tau[\iota \subset] \pi \hat{\imath} \rho \in \pi v \rho \hat{\varphi} \dot{a} \pi \sigma о \tau \alpha ́ \kappa \tau о v \pi v \rho о \hat{v}$



 píov ảтота́ктои $\pi v \rho о \hat{v} a \dot{a} \rho \tau \alpha \beta \hat{\omega} \nu$
 $\mu \hat{\eta} \subset \alpha \iota$ áра́кс ảтота́ктоv $\pi v \rho о \hat{v}$ $\alpha{ }_{\alpha} \rho \tau \alpha \beta[\hat{\omega}] \nu$ ठ́́ка. ó $\mu о \lambda о \gamma \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ ठє́ ó $\mu \epsilon$ -



 $\dot{a} \pi \epsilon \rho \gamma a c i ́ a c ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \chi \omega \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\delta \epsilon ́ \kappa \alpha$ ả $\rho о \nu \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ каі $\tau \eta \rho \eta ́ \subset є \omega \subset$ ö $\nu \tau \omega \nu$ $\pi \rho o ̀ c ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ \mu \epsilon \mu \iota с \theta \omega \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o v, ~ o ̂ c ~ к а і ~$ $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta \dot{\omega} \subset \epsilon \iota \tau \alpha \hat{\tau} \tau \alpha$ à $\pi \eta \gamma \rho a \subset \mu \epsilon ́ v \alpha$

 танє́vac ảpүvpíov брахнàc ỏ $\gamma \delta о \eta$ ŋ́корта. ảкívסvva $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha$ $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \grave{o} \subset \kappa \iota \nu \delta[\dot{\prime}] \nu o v, \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{v} \pi \dot{\epsilon} \rho \tau \hat{\eta} \subset \gamma \hat{\eta} \subset$ § $\ddagger \mu \circ c i ́ \omega \nu$ oैv $\tau \omega \nu \pi \rho o ̀ c ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ \Delta ı o \nu u ́ c ı o v, ~$ öv ' $\kappa$ '[ai] кvрıєи́єıv $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha \tau$ ' ä $\tau \epsilon \epsilon \kappa \alpha \rho \pi \hat{\omega} \nu$
 $\mu \iota \theta \dot{\omega} \subset \epsilon \omega с \beta \epsilon \beta a \iota o v \mu \epsilon ́ v \eta с$ а̉ $\pi о-$ $\delta \dot{\iota} \tau \omega$ ó $\mu \epsilon \mu \iota \subset \theta \omega \mu$ є́voc $\tau \hat{\omega}$ Dıovvcíwı


тос є̈тоис каi $\tau \grave{\alpha}$ стє́ $\rho \mu a \tau \alpha$,
$\epsilon$ є $\pi i \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ \tau o ̀ ~ N i ́ \gamma \rho o u ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi o i ́-~$
ка ӓ入 $\omega \pi$ тоь๐òv vє́ov ка $\alpha \alpha$ -
$\rho[\stackrel{o}{o}] \nu$ ä $\delta u \lambda[o] \nu$ äк $\rho \iota[\theta o] \nu \mu \epsilon ́ \tau \rho \rho$
$\tau є \tau \rho а к \nu[\nu]$ і́гко .[.]. опрьшс
$\epsilon i ̉ a ̉ \pi о \tau і ̂ с \epsilon \tau \epsilon \iota \dot{\eta} \nu$ є̀ка́стךс
$\dot{\alpha} \rho \tau \dot{\alpha} \beta \eta c \hat{\eta} \subset \stackrel{\imath}{\nu} \nu \mu \dot{\eta} \alpha \dot{\alpha} \pi o \delta \hat{\omega}$
$\Delta \operatorname{\iota ov}[v \subset i ́ \omega \epsilon \not ้ \kappa] \quad \tau \epsilon \tau o \hat{v}[\mu \epsilon \mu \iota c-$
$\theta \omega \mu[\epsilon ́ v o v ~ к а i] ~ \epsilon ̣[к$

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

'Dionysius alias Petsiris son of Sara . . . from the city of the Oxyrhynchi leased to... son of Philiscus from $\mathrm{Pe} .$. in the Cynopolite nome, Persian of the succession, for two years, two inundations, from the present third year of Imperator Caesar Vespasianus Augustus the aruras which belong to him near the village of Thmoethothis (?) in the Upper toparchy, first from the allotment of Peitholaus ten aruras, so as to plant these with aracus in the present year at a fixed rent of seven and a half artabas of wheat, and in the coming year to sow with wheat at a fixed rent of fifty-five artabas of wheat, and from the allotment of... another five and one-eighth aruras, so as to sow these with wheat in the present year at a fixed rent of twenty artabas of wheat, and in the coming year to plant with aracus at a fixed rent of ten artabas of wheat. The lessee acknowledges that he has received from Dionysius ten artabas of wheat for seed, which he will return to him together with the rents of the coming year, the annual work and guard duty on the embankments of the ten aruras being the responsibility of the lessee, who shall also hand them over with the work finished and in sound condition in the coming year or he shall pay to Dionysius the agreed sum of eighty drachmas of silver; all to be free of all risk, the state taxes on the land being the responsibility of Dionysius, who shall also own the annual crops until he receives what is his own. If the lease is confirmed the lessee shall deliver to Dionysius the annual rents always in the month of Payni, and along with those of the coming year the wheat seed also, at the threshing floors of the hamlet of Nigrus, in wheat that is new, clean, unadulterated, and free of barley, by the four-choenix measure of Thoeris(?), or shall pay as the price of every artaba which he does not deliver four (?) thousand drachmas of bronze. And the right of exaction shall lie with Dionysius both upon the lessee and upon (all his property)...'
$3 \Delta\left[{ }^{\circ} \gamma^{\prime} \epsilon \nu\right]_{\xi}$, for example, might suit, but the first letter might be alpha as well as delta, and the penultimate letter is represented only by the end of a horizontal which could be from $\gamma, \theta$, or $\tau$ also.
$3-4 \Pi_{\epsilon, ~ \epsilon .[.] . ~ .[. . . .] c . ~ T h e ~ v i l l a g e ~ i n ~}^{9}$ could very well be Thmoethothis, as in 34899 , and perhaps in IV 794. Therefore we should look for Peretnuis here, as in 3489 3, see n., but the remains do not suit. Пє $\epsilon_{\ell \epsilon \tau}[$ would be tolerable, but the isolated remains of the middle of the name suggest ]. $\mu$ [ and the whole is too long. Of course a variant spelling or a mistake is always possible.
$9 \Theta_{\mu}[o \iota \theta] \hat{\omega} \theta[\imath] \nu$, while not absolutely certain, suits very well, see $3-4 \mathrm{n}$.
ıо $\Pi(\epsilon)$ todáov is not in the list of clerus names in Aeg. 55 (1975) 159-244.
$17 \ldots .$. . ... $\varsigma \kappa \lambda \dot{\eta} \rho o v$. We expect a second clerus name, see 10 ék $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu \tau 0 \hat{v} \Pi(\epsilon) \iota \theta$ òáov $\kappa \lambda \dot{\eta} \rho o v$, although
space seems very short for $\grave{\epsilon} \kappa$ то仑̂ plus a third declension masculine name in the genitive. A possibility is $\hat{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \sigma \hat{u}] \alpha \hat{\psi}[\tau]_{\rho \hat{Y}} \kappa$., but space is short for the tau, the final trace seems more likely to be sigma, and $\mu^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon}$ is then less apposite than it should be.

25-7 It is an oddity that the lessee received ten artabas of seed wheat when he has only $5_{8}^{\frac{1}{8}}$ arouras of land to sow in the first year, the usual seed ratio being one artaba per arura, see M. Schnebel, Landwirtschaft, 125-6. No doubt it made sense, but we can only make guesses at the circumstances.
 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{a} \rho \circ \nu \rho \bar{\omega} \nu \pi \rho \dot{o} c \tau \dot{o} \nu \mu \epsilon \mu \iota \theta \omega \mu \epsilon \dot{\nu} \nu \nu$. There may have been special difficulties over the irrigation of this land or, as Jane Rowlandson points out, this landlord may have been specially careful or even been worried by some unpleasant experience. The sense remains broadly the same. Note that the smaller parcel of land is not covered by any similar clause.
$42 \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon} \dot{i}=\dot{a} \in i$, but it is the direct phonetic equivalent of aíci, which does occur in a few instances in the papyri, see F. T. Gignac, Grammar, i 196. The diaeresis consists of a dot at mid-level on either side of iota, not the usual two dots above. Cf. CPR v 13 introd. for Latin examples of A.D. 395-6. My impression now is that this form is more common than I then realized.

45-6 $\frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \pi{ }^{2} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \ldots a ̈ \lambda \omega \nu$ or $\dot{a} \lambda \dot{\alpha} \omega \nu \omega \nu$. Cf. P. Lond. 111976.7 (p. 231), and for their plurality and extent see M. Schnebel, Landzuirtschaft, 171 and P. Tebt. I 84.8 n.

47-8 $\mu \epsilon \in \tau \rho \rho(=-\psi) \ldots$. [.]. oи́рıcuc (read -ьoc?). This may be a private measure, or there is a possibility
 see D. Hennig, Untersuchungen, 13-21, and especially the measure of Sarapis in P. Princ. III I47. The remains of the letter taken as tau are the foot of an upright and the right-hand part of a very long crossbar. If the crossbar extended as far to the left no letter need be wholly lost.

5I The damaged figure is possibly ' $4=4,000$. A similar penalty clause of A.D. 68 sets the price at 6,000 bronze drachmas per artaba: XLVII 3352. The conversion rate of bronze to silver in a document of A.D. 79 is stated to be 450 : I (II 243 42). At this rate $6,000 \mathrm{dr}$. in bronze equal 13 dr . 2 ob . silver; 4,000 does not convert so easily, but gives $8 \frac{8}{8} \mathrm{dr}$., which could perhaps be rounded up to 9 dr . For bronze currency in general see XXXVIII p. 50.

## 3489. Lease of Land

42 5B.78/J (15-16) a

$$
10 \times 18 \mathrm{~cm} .
$$ A.D. 72

A land lease of the private protocol type commonly used at Oxyrhynchus. On the lease in general see S. Waszyński, Die Bodenpacht (Leipzig, 1905) ; A. C. Johnson, Roman Egypt (Baltimore, 1936), 74-145; J. Herrmann, Studien zur Bodenpacht (Munich, I958); D. Hennig, Untersuchungen zur Bodenpacht (Munich, I967).

An outline of the form of the private protocol lease is given in P. Yale I 70 introd., together with a list of examples from Oxyrhynchus corresponding to the pattern. I have noted the following addenda:

30 October 4 B.c.
February/March A.D. 68
A.D. 70
A.D. $87 / 8$

I 6 October A.D. Io8
A.D. II9

I5 August A.D. 127
Before A.D. I6I
P. Mich. inv. 1427 (ed. J. C. Shelton, $T A P A$ Ior (I970) 489-96)
XLVII 3352
XLIX 3488
P. Princ. III $147=\mathrm{SB} \times 10532$

XXXVIII 2874
XXXVI 2776
Le monde grec (Hommages Préaux) 60I-8
P. Strasb. IV I/2 534

9 September A.D. 162
A.D. $172 / 3$

Second century
25 October A.D. 205
A.D. $25^{\circ}$
A.D. $259 / 60$
A.D. 282

Third/fourth century

XLI 2974
III 593 descr. (ed. T. T. Renner, $B A S P 7$ (i970) 23-7)
P. Palau Rib. inv. 150 (Stud. Pap. i 5 (1976) 126 )

SB x 10263
XXXVI 2795
P. Wisc. I 7
P. Mich. xi 6 io

SBx io2i 6

The back of the lease carries the name of the landowner, who is the wife of the lessor, and of the lessee, written along the fibres.




 $\chi \theta \dot{\eta} \varsigma \epsilon \tau \alpha!\tau \hat{\omega} \iota \mu \epsilon \mu \iota \theta \omega \mu \epsilon \nu \omega \not$ тò $\tau \alpha u ́ \tau \eta \subset$









```
        \betaíov \epsiloń\piоíķıov \piv\rhoòv v\epsilońov, ка0a\rhoóv, ä\deltao\lambdaov,
        . . .].ov, \mu'́т\rho\omega \tau\epsilon\tau\rho[а\chi]оьviкщ Cара\pií\omega\nuос . .
```



Back, upwards:

'Theon, son of 'Theon, grandson of Theon, from the city of the Oxyrhynchi, has leased to lollas, son of Pnepheros, grandson of lollas, from the village of Peretnuis in the Cynopolite nome, a Persian of the succession, for two years, two inundations, from the present fifth year of lmperator Caesar Vespasianus Augustus the five aruras belonging to his wife Apollonous, daughter of Sarapion, near Thmoethothis in the Upper toparchy, from the nine aruras in the northern sector, to plant these in the present year with aracus at a rent of $2 \frac{1}{4}$ artabas of wheat per arura, and in the coming year to sow them with wheat at a rent of 7 artabas of wheat per arura. The lessee will give to Theon annually three-eighths of an artaba of bread. The annual rents to be free from every risk, the annual water-guarding of the aruras being the responsibility of the lessee. If there is any land unflooded in the coming year the rent of this will be credited to the lessee. If the lessee is required to pay anything towards the public charges or towards anything else on behalf of 'Theon or his wife or the land, let him deduct it from the rents, and let Theon be owner of the annual crops until he receives the rents. The lease being guaranteed, let the lessee pay the annual rents to Theon every year in the month of Payni at the threshing floor at the steading of Archibius in wheat that is new, clean, unadulterated, and...., by the four-choenix measure of Sarapion... let him pay over to him for each [artaba...
' 5 th year; lease of Apollonous to Iollas.'
I A Theon son of Theon is also the lessor in P. Strasb. Iv i/2 534, but the name is so common that it would be unwise to identify them.
$3 \Pi_{\epsilon \rho \epsilon \tau \nu \cup \cup ́ \xi \varphi}$. This village name has appeared before but has been surrounded by difficulties which have kept it out of the lexica. In CPR vil 52, a business letter containing many difficulties of reading

 sheaves'. Oxyrhynchus seems a more likely provenance for this text than anywhere in the Cynopolite nome. A photograph of V111 105226 allows the possibility of reading Пєрє $\tau \nu \cup \cup \epsilon \omega c$ in place of Пєрєєขov́єшс. Surprisingly a photograph of P. Hamb. I 17 shows that the reading of the first edition
 and we may perhaps guess that the scribe who wrote it was not familiar with the Cynopolite village name. The place called $\Phi_{\epsilon р \epsilon \tau \nu o u ̂ c ~(X V 1 ~}^{1937} 7$ ) is probably the same, cf. F. T. Gignac, Grammar, I 91-2. In MPER 6 (1897) 98 and Mnemosyne ser. 4, 31 (1978) $353 \Phi_{\epsilon \rho \epsilon \gamma(\nu o u ́ l) ~ s h o u l d ~ p r o b a b l y ~ b e ~ c o r r e c t e d ~ t o ~}^{\text {(19 }}$ Фє $\rho \in \tau \vee \circ$ ûc. Cf. also 3488 3-4 n.

7-8 The property belongs to Apollonous but is leased out on her behalf by her husband acting as her replacement, cf. XXXV1 2776, Stud. Pap. 15 (1976) 126-9, see L. Wenger, Stellvertretung, 173-85, 249-55; cf. P. Wisc. I 7, in which a father leases his daughter's property without its being specified whether he is acting as guardian or deputy.
$8^{\prime} A \pi o \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \circ \hat{\tau} \tau L$. The last two letters have been added on a much larger scale in a space at first left
blank which would have taken about five normal letters．Probably there was some doubt about the wife＇s name in the mind of the clerk．
$9 \Theta_{\mu o \iota} \hat{\omega} \theta_{\iota v}$ ．Otherwise new，it is probably to be read also in $34889\left(\Theta_{\mu}[o t \theta] \hat{\omega} \theta[\iota] \nu\right.$ ，see note $)$ ．In IV 794 （description）a photograph shows that $\Theta_{\mu}[\circ \iota] \mid \theta \hat{\omega} \theta_{\iota \nu}$（20－21）is a possibility．

13 The rent charged here on the crop of aracus， $2 \frac{1}{4}$ artabas of wheat per arura，is slightly more than the usual rent for land under a fallow crop： 2 artabas in PSI IX 1029；XVIII 2188，XXII 2351．However， the rent for the following year，when the land will be under wheat，is slightly less than the average for this period，which is $7_{4}^{3}$ artabas per arura：A．C．Johnson，Roman Egypt，81．

17 äptuy．The crossbar and part of the vertical of tau are clear，as is the top part of the omega．The payment of a small bonus，either as a religious offering（ $\theta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o c$ ）or as a gratuity to the landlord（cTov $\delta \dot{\eta}$ ）， is provided for in several leases，see Symb．Oslo．i7（1937）26－48，Herrmann，op．cit． 115 －18；cf．Studi E．Volterra III，135－42．The small amount mentioned here（ $\frac{3}{8}$ of an artaba）suggests that this is something of the same sort，though not designated as such．For payments of this kind cf．P．Ryl．II 167．17－18， SB x 10535．12，and XIV 1744，in which，most unusually，the rents are calculated in terms of loaves． Thirty Ioaves were reckoned the usual equivalent of an artaba of wheat，see XII 14546 n ．

20－6 For clauses giving a degree of security to the lessee see Herrmann，op．cit．161－3．A list of Oxyrhynchite leases with the ä $\beta \rho 0 \chi$ oc clause is given in $B_{A S P}^{7}(1970) 24 \mathrm{n} .5$ ．
$3^{0-1}$ The steading of Archibius is listed as being in the Upper toparchy in X 128564.
 The trace looks most like the top half of a vertical，which suits neither．Perhaps äß $\beta$ ］$\lambda$ ov was written， with an unusually upright lambda．

On private measures see Herrmann，op．cit．103－5，Hennig，op．cit．13－21．This Sarapion is perhaps to be identified with the lessor＇s father－in－law，see 8 ．

33 ］．．．［．The second of these traces is part of a rounded letter，possibly omicron，the third the top of a verticaI．
$\dot{a}] \pi о \tau \epsilon ⿺ 廴 ⿱ ㇒ 日 勺 十 七 \omega \kappa \tau \lambda$ ．This is part of a penalty clause in case of failure to pay the rent in full．Cf．Herrmann，


34 The symbol interpreted as $\mu$ ic $(\theta \omega c k$ ？）is a cross with a sigma in the right－hand upper quadrant． The horizontal is taken to be a vestigial mu and the upright an iota．The symbol interpreted as $\pi \rho\left(\right.$ óc ？$^{\text {？}}$ ） is a cross with the top of the upright drooping over to the right．The horizontal is taken to be vestigial pi and the upright with the drooping head to be a version of rho．

## 3490．Loan of Money

223 B．14／G（7－10）d

$$
8.2 \times 8.7 \mathrm{~cm} .
$$

A．D． $140 /$ I
This fragment of a loan contract，in which no interest was stipulated，follows in all essentials the formula of XLVII 3351，a document more than one hundred years older．See 3351 introd．for bibliography and a short description of the type．The back is blank．

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 5 \pi] o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega \leftharpoonup \chi \alpha i ́ \rho \epsilon \iota \nu \text {. [ó } \mu о \lambda] o \gamma \hat{\omega} \text { еै } \chi \epsilon \iota \nu \pi \alpha[\rho \grave{\alpha} \text { сой }
\end{aligned}
$$




10




'Amois son of Pompeius grandson of Pompeius, mother Harseis daughter of Dio.... of Oxyrhynchus, Persian of the succession, to Theon son of Theon grandson of Panechotes, mother Apia, of the same city, greetings. 1 acknowledge that 1 have received from you by hand from your house in imperial silver coin the capital sum of two hundred drachmas, total two hundred drachmas, to which sum nothing has been added, which I shall also repay you on the thirtieth of... of the present fourth year of Antoninus Caesar the lord without delay. If I do not repay in accordance with the contract, I shall pay to you the aforementioned (capital)...'
$3 \dot{\epsilon} \pi \imath \gamma[o \nu \eta \mathrm{c}]$. The pi is not clearly written. There are remains above it and the following iota which could be from a formal pi replacing the unsatisfactory one below.

9 The initial letter of the lost month name was rounded. Only Choeac and Tybi are certainly excluded, though mu for Mecheir and Mesore is not very likely. All we can say about the terms of the loan is that it was for less than one year, see 10.

## 3491. Marriage Contract

455 B. $58 / \mathrm{J}$ (6) a
Fr. $140 \times 18 \mathrm{~cm}$.
A.D. $157 / 8$

The document is written on the back of a now very fragmentary account of land and grain, but along the fibres so that the two texts run at right angles to each other. A sheet join which runs horizontally between lines 13 and 14 here proves that the other side was the interior of the roll, which was a tall one 40 cm . in height. There is also a sheet join in fr. 4, which shows part of the lower edge with a blank margin of c. 7 cm ., and a short last line which appears to give the end of the text. It is not possible to estimate how much is lost between fr. 4 and fr. I .

Of the ten lines of which the beginnings are preserved at least seven are preceded in the left margin by a check mark in the form of a large clumsily made dot. Presumably the terms of the agreement and the figures were carefully checked. At first sight our document looks as if it were written by two different scribes, and the writing certainly changes towards the end of line 5 . It looks as if the broad pen point with which the first section was written began to give trouble here, whereupon the scribe sharpened the pen or changed it for one with a thinner point and at the same time abandoned the first style of writing, which is comparatively formal, for a more rapid and comfortable style. This careless copy of the document may be a draft, see the additions in lines 6,13 and 15 , or perhaps only a rough copy of the definitive version.

In the document, which according to 4 is a $\delta i \dot{a} \delta \eta \mu o c i o v ~ c u \gamma \gamma \rho a \phi \dot{\eta}$, Chaeremonis
is given by her parents in marriage to Dionysapollodorus, with whom she has already lived from the twelfth to the twenty-first year of Antoninus Pius, that is, from A.D. 148/9 to A.D. 157/8, and by whom she has had several children. It is stated that the couple have hitherto lived together каг̀̀ $\chi \epsilon \iota \rho o ́ \gamma \rho a \phi$ ov cuү $\rho a \phi \dot{\eta} \nu$, which they have cancelled, cf. XII 1473. The dowry, the paraphernalia, and some testamentary dispositions were specified in the earlier document, but it is possible that the property mentioned in ${ }^{15-17}$ was given through the present document for the first time, and that this change was the reason for drawing up a new contract. For a bibliography on marriage contracts see O. Montevecchi, Papirologia, 203-5. Add especially J. Modrzejewski, 'La structure juridique du mariage grec', in Scritti Montevecchi, 231-68.

Fr. 1




 $\Delta \iota o v u c a \pi о \lambda \lambda о \delta \dot{\omega} \rho \omega$,
$\dot{\alpha} \pi o ̀ ~ \tau(\hat{\eta} c) \alpha(\hat{v} \tau \hat{\eta} c) \pi o ́ \lambda(\epsilon \omega c)[\mu \eta \tau(\rho o ̀ c) \quad$ 10-1 5 letters ' $A \pi o] \lambda \lambda \omega v i o v ~ \tau o \hat{v}$



 $\chi \in \rho \circ \psi \epsilon ́ \lambda \iota o(\nu) \kappa \alpha i \ldots \phi .[$.






' $A \phi \rho о \delta(i ́ \tau \eta с), ~ с \tau а ́ \mu \nu о \nu, \kappa \alpha ́ \tau о \pi \tau \rho о \nu ~ \delta i ́ \pi \tau v \chi(o \nu) к а с ̣ \iota \omega[\tau \iota \kappa o ́ v, ~$




 $\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \eta \grave{ } \nu \dot{\epsilon} \alpha(v \tau \hat{\omega} \nu) \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \theta v \gamma(a \tau \rho i) X a \iota \rho \eta \mu o \nu i \delta \iota \iota$,





 $\dot{v} \pi \alpha ́ \rho \chi o(v c a \nu) a \cup \cup \tau \hat{\eta}$ є่v к[...
[ c. $35^{-40}$.] $\ddot{\epsilon} \xi \epsilon \iota \delta \dot{\epsilon}$ ó $\gamma а \mu(\omega \nu)$ ' $\phi^{\prime}$ 'öcov


[

## c. $35-40$ <br> ]. ( ) $\tau \hat{\eta} \gamma \alpha \mu о v \mu(\epsilon ́ v \eta)$ útò $\tau o \hat{v}$









## (vac.)

[



[ c. 30 ] каі ả $\mu \pi \epsilon \lambda \iota к o ̀ \nu \kappa \tau \hat{\eta} \mu \alpha$, öс $\omega \nu \stackrel{’}{\epsilon} \alpha ̀ \nu \hat{\eta} \nu$



 каі $\chi о \rho \eta \gamma \epsilon і ́ \tau \omega$ ó $\gamma[a] \mu(\hat{\omega} \nu)$




 iцатícu [ c. 20
 $\pi \epsilon \rho!. .[].$. .[. . .]. сŋс (vac.) [
[.........]................[.]的та日( ) ......[
[........] $\gamma \alpha \mu($ ) .. $\lambda($ ) ....[.....].[
[ $\tau \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \rho] \alpha ́ \phi \epsilon \rho \nu \alpha \dot{\omega} \subset \dot{\epsilon} \pi \alpha \dot{\nu} \omega \omega \delta \epsilon \delta[\eta \dot{\eta} \lambda \omega \tau \alpha \iota$
[. . . . . . .]. ..... $\gamma$ रaرov.[

Fr. 2

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ].....n } \gamma \text { ано( ) amo( ) ג.... } \\
& \text { ]..... } \tau(\quad \text { ) ả } \pi о \delta o ́ \tau \omega \text { ó } \gamma \alpha \mu(\hat{\omega} \nu) \tau \hat{\eta} \\
& \text { ] } \epsilon \xi \xi \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \lambda(\omega \nu) \tau \epsilon ́ \kappa \nu о и
\end{aligned}
$$

Fr. 3

30 ]. ( $\dot{\alpha} \rho o u \rho-$ ?) . (v.) .

]. $\alpha \nu \mu \in \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \eta \dot{\nu} \nu$ (vac.) [

Fr. 4

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ].[.]. .[ } \\
& \pi] \propto \rho \alpha \lambda \eta \mu \psi \text {. [ } \\
& \text { ]. . . . } \mu \text {. . . . . . . } \eta[ \\
& \text { ]. . } \alpha v \tau \eta \eta \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \epsilon \in \kappa v a \delta \iota a \text {.[ } \\
& \text { ]. } \grave{\epsilon} \dot{a} \nu \nu \dot{\eta} \gamma \alpha \mu o(v \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta) \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \epsilon \in \kappa \nu[a
\end{aligned}
$$


#### Abstract

    $\left.\left.o \lambda^{\kappa}, a \phi \rho \rho^{\delta}, \delta \iota \pi \tau v^{\chi} \quad 8 \mu \nu \rho \rho \theta \eta^{\kappa},\right] .{ }^{\mu},\right] \ldots a \rho \bar{\tau}, \gamma \epsilon \nu \nu^{\mu}, \gamma a \mu \bar{o}, \alpha \lambda \lambda \lambda^{n} \quad 9 \delta \iota o \bar{\nu}, o \iota \delta \bar{a}, \gamma a \mu \bar{o}, \eta \rho a \kappa \lambda \epsilon \iota^{\delta}, \delta \iota o \bar{v} ;$      


'Heracleides son of Seos grandson of Heracleides, his mother being Taonnophris, and his wife Dionysia daughter of Harpocration granddaughter of Heracleides, her mother being Massalina, both from the city of the Oxyrhynchi, Dionysia having with her as guardian her husband Heracleides, have given their daughter by each other, Chaeremonis, as wedded wife to Dionysapollodorus son of Dionysius alias Chresimus grandson of Dionysius, from the same city, his mother being..., an Alexandrian, daughter of Apollonius granddaughter of Hierax, with whom she (Chaeremonis) has been living previously in accordance with a handwritten contract concluded in the twelfth year of Antoninus Caesar the lord, which contract they have given up to each other for cancellation contenting themselves with this public contract, executed in the street. With her the bridegroom has received at the time of their coming together, first, from the father Heracleides as dowry, one talent of money and an armlet and a ...., both of gold, of two mnaeia by the Oxyrhynchite standard, valued at six hundred drachmas, and clothing valued at three hundred drachmas, as principal, to which no addition has been made, and second, from the mother Dionysia as paraphernalia, a pair of golden ear-rings of one quarter of a mnaeion, a dyed cloak, fifteen minas by weight of wrought tin, a statuette of Aphrodite, a jar, an inlaid(?) mirror of two leaves, chair(s?).... a wooden unguent-box, .... . . . women's chairs. . . . the children born to the couple by each other Athen . . . and Dion. . . and . . . and . .

After their death the said parents of the bride, Heracleides and Dionysia, apportion to their daughter Chaeremonis, if she is alive, if she is not, to her existing and future children by the groom, first, from the father Heracleides, the (so many) aruras that belong to him at Senepsay of the allotment of Dionysodorus ..., and at Netro the one-and-a-half aruras of the allotment of Philon and the seveneighths of an arura of the allotment of Bacchyl( ) and Carabus, second, from the mother of Dionysia, the (house) which she owns in the village(?) of...The bridegroom shall have, as long as he lives with the bride, as he had before, for their common livelihood, also from the present twenty-first year, the use of the five-and-one-eighth aruras ... (apportioned) to the bride by her father Heracleides, and the right of occupation and the rent of the house apportioned to her by her mother and of the light-well, and the...interest...to the amount of...drachmas of money, the couple having no power to mortgage or apportion to others or in any way dispose of the property which the parents have allotted in the aforesaid manner, or to appropriate the use or the right of occupation or the rent.
(He/she/they also give(s) through the present?) contract the house and courtyard and appurtenances which belong to him/her/them in the city of the Oxyrhynchi in the Lycians' Camp district...., and at...and a vineyard, of however many aruras it may be, together with the water sources and appurtenances and farmstead and sunning-ground, the bridegroom having no power to... or dispose of (these) without the consent of the bride.

Let the couple live together blamelessly and let the bridegroom supply to the bride and to their children by each other whatever is necessary according to his means. But if the couple should separate from each other and have no children by each other (surviving?), the bridegroom shall restore the paraphernalia at once, in whatever condition they happen to be, without any liability accounted against him for wear or loss, and in the case of the clothing.... ...the one talent and nine hundred drachmas in sixty days...'
r Macca入єiv $\overline{\text { c．This spelling is not attested before．Maccadeiva must be a variant of Meccaגiva，which }}$ is in NB and in D．Foraboschi，Onomasticon．

2 The name Dionysapollodorus is not attested before．His father，Dionysius alias Chresimus son of Dionysius，must be identical with the person of that name mentioned in III 478 as the father of a woman called Dionysia．

2－4 The width of the gap near the beginnings of the lines corresponds with about 13 letters of line I and 12 letters of line 5．The restorations of lines 2 and 4，as printed，seem too long．That in line 4， however，is virtually certain，see P．Bureth，Les titulatures，66－72，and that in line 2 is difficult to condense further．Probably，therefore，there was more drastic abbreviation，e．g．$\kappa v^{\rho}$ in 2 and $\kappa \alpha i^{c}$ тov $\kappa v^{\rho}$ in 4.

3 According to M．Gdz．72，$\chi \epsilon \rho o ́ \gamma \rho a \phi o v ~ a n d ~ c u \gamma \gamma \rho a \phi \dot{\eta}$ are mutually exclusive terms．If $\chi \epsilon \iota \rho o ́ \gamma \rho a \phi o c$ cuypoa申 $\eta$ is a real legal term and not just a confusion or an error of drafting－cf．the cancellation of the
 of document best known from Oxyrhynchite examples which includes features of both $\chi \epsilon \epsilon \rho o ́ \gamma \rho a \phi o v a n d$ cuyppaфи＇；see H．J．Wolff，＇The so－called private protocol＇，in Proc．XIV Int．Congr．Papyrol．349－54， especially 354，note 13 ，for references to Oxyrhynchite marriage contracts in this form；add 3500 ．

5 One talent，nine hundred drachmas seems a very large sum．Compare G．Häge，Ehegüterrechtliche Verhältnisse，230－2，where about twenty known sums are listed．

6 It is interesting that both weight and value of the gold are given here，contrast A．C．Johnson， Roman Egypt， 425 ．In the present case the money value of one mnaeion of gold is 300 dr ．Cf．CPR I 12 （A．D．93）（ $1 \mathrm{mn} .=288 \mathrm{dr}$ ）；III 496 （A．D．127）（ $\mathrm{Imn} .=$ c． 309 dr ）；BGU IV 1065 （A．D．97） （ $\mathrm{r} \mathrm{mn} .=35^{2} \mathrm{dr}$ ）．

7 The chi with an added vertical descending well below the base line is not understood．The preceding alpha has a long tail which suggests that it is final．The vertical may perhaps be intended to cancel a chi written in error．
 ci $\delta \dot{\eta}[\rho o] v$ éve $\rho \gamma 0 \hat{v}$ was translated as＇wrought iron＇and this was accepted by T．Reil，Beiträge z．Kenntnis d．Gewerbes， 69 ，though Preisigke（WB s．v．）took it as＇Eisen，das sich gut verarbeiten lässt＇．In 84 the guild of ci $\delta \eta \rho о \chi а \lambda \kappa \epsilon i c$ is supplying the iron for public works in the city．It seems unlikely that it supplied only the material and not also the labour．O．Montevecchi，in Aeg． 16 （1936）44，pointed out that
 of marriage contracts from Tebtunis in P．Mich．II，cf．P．Mich．v．343．5．This seems to confirm that the weight stated here was made up of various objects；cf．Reil，Beiträge，71，for a short list of vessels known to have been made in tin．

A jar，a mirror，and a statuette of Aphrodite occur also in CPR 27．10．
$\kappa \alpha \not \subset \iota[\tau \iota \kappa o ́ v$ ．Casiotic joinery is surprising in an object so small as a mirror；see I 556 n ．and the
 a＇beauty－box＇，but this implies кá $\delta \iota \omega[\nu$ for кá $\delta \iota o v$ ，a type of vulgar spelling which does not occur elsewhere in the document．The ambiguous letter is not damaged．

8 A סi申poc yvvacktioc is probably what Antyllus ap．Oribasius 10．9． 2 and Soranus 1． 35 more
 Kingdom is given in A．Andorlini，M．Manfredi，Atti del I congresso congiunto ．．dipsicoprofilassi ostetrica， a cura di M．Gerli，Perugia 1981.

8－9 In XII 1473 the couple who are renewing their marriage contract take care to acknowledge the legitimacy of their son．The verb is $\dot{\epsilon}_{\xi}{ }^{\prime} \mu о \lambda о \gamma \epsilon i с \theta a \iota(9,27)$ ．Here the word might be a cognate of $\mu a ́ \rho \tau v c$, e．g．$\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \mu \alpha \rho \tau(v \rho o \hat{u} c t)$ ．The subject would be the grandparents of the children，but the situation would be reminiscent of that in 1473.

9 In the second half of the line a new section begins，marked by 2 cm ．of blank space．The sense must be that the parents agree to apportion certain property to the couple．There is probably too little room
 $\pi \rho о с \mu \epsilon \rho i \zeta \epsilon \iota\langle\nu\rangle$, cf．F．T．Gignac，＇The loss of nasal consonants＇，in Akten des XIII．intern．Papyro－ logenkongresses，137－46，esp．141－2 and 146 ．Probably $\pi \rho \circ с \mu \epsilon \rho i \zeta \epsilon t$ is an error for the plural．
io For village and allotment names see Aegyptus 55 （1975）174－5，and the following note．


name see PSI xili 1328．30．The area is the difference between the total given in line 13 and the sum


For the village of Netro see P．Pruneti，I centri abitati， 119.
In Aeg． 55 （1975）204－5 there are five references to allotments of Philo in at least three toparchies，but none is connected with this village and it is not clear how many cleruchs of this name there were．

The other names do not appear in the list．Bакхú入（ov）or Baкхи入（ídou）would suit．For $K[a] \rho a ́ \beta o v$, which is not，however，certain，cf．XIV 1761 13，XXXI 25858.

II－12 Restore apparently $\kappa\left[\dot{\omega} \mu \eta\right.$ or $\kappa\left[\dot{\omega}^{12} \mid \mu \eta\right.$ ，followed by the name of the village．The village of Paomis is mentioned on a detached scrap，see 3I，where it might，but need not，be a reference back to


i2 Bıoтєía is so far only attested in Xenophon and Polybius in the sense＇way of life＇．Here the sense must be＇livelihood＇or＇maintenance＇．
${ }_{12-13}$ At the end of 12 restore $\kappa[a \rho \pi \epsilon i a v$, cf．14，perhaps running over into 13.
${ }_{13}$ The suprascript addition at the end of the line is imperfectly understood．The interest was probably on unpaid rent from tenants of the house，i．e．restore $a(\dot{v} \tau o i c)$ ，the dative depending on a participle beginning $\pi \rho o c-$ ，which appears to have meant＇accruing＇．This word is undamaged，but unread because the writing is rapid and tiny．

15 There is a comparatively wide space between 14 and $1_{5}$ ，probably because a new section began here．The real property described in 15－17 was probably called a $\pi$ 分oo $\phi o \rho a ́, ~ c f . ~ G . ~ H a ̈ g e, ~ E h e g u ̈ t e r r e c h t l i c h e ~_{\text {w }}$ Verhältnisse，257－9．

The damaged addition above the line comes before the raised lambda of $\Pi a \rho \epsilon \mu \beta \circ \lambda(\hat{\eta} c)$ ，but presumably belongs after that word．It may be a description of the house．

16 At the beginning there must have been a village name to contrast with $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu$＇$O \xi \xi(\nu \rho u ́ \gamma \chi \omega \nu) \pi o ́ \lambda(\epsilon \iota)$ in 15 as well as a description of property associated with the vineyard which follows．The village of Paomis is mentioned in 31，but the context is very broken，cf．II－12 n ．
${ }_{o}^{\circ} c \omega \nu \bar{\epsilon} \dot{\alpha} \nu \hat{\eta} \nu$（ $\dot{\alpha} \rho o v \rho \bar{\omega} \nu$ ）．For $\hat{\eta} \nu$ as the common equivalent of $\hat{\eta} \mathrm{cf}$ ．R．C．Horn，The Use of the Subjunctive，27－30，cf．line 19 here，oîa ধ̀à $\hat{\eta}_{\nu}$ ．
 like that in 13－14，but rather shorter．
$18 \delta \iota a \zeta v \gamma \hat{\omega} \iota \iota$ ．The verb is not recorded in this sense in the papyrological dictionaries，but this

oúk öv $\nu \omega \nu \kappa \tau \lambda$ ．According to $8-9$ the couple already had several children．If these words are not a mere error of drafting，they might envisage the early deaths of the children，cf．III 496 I 3 т́́к $\kappa \omega \nu$ av̇тoíc


A clause about wear and loss appears also in X 1273 32－3．On ámoucía as a technical term in metallurgy see XLIII 31217 n ．Here its precise meaning is not clear，and it looks as if it merely emphasizes $\tau$ pîuc，cf．our phrase＇wear and tear＇．
 party between accepting the original money valuation and taking the worn clothes at current valuation plus a money supplement to make up the original sum．Here the space occupied by the clause was much shorter and it must be recalled that the only clothing mentioned among the paraphernalia（év av̇roic）was one dyed cloak，to which no valuation was given．The sense to be restored here is therefore quite uncertain．

20 The scribe started to write $\dot{\epsilon}_{\nu}^{\nu} \dot{\eta} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \rho a u c$ too early and cancelled it．Cf． 6 for the correction of $\omega$ to خे．

## 3492. Account

45 5B. $54 / \mathrm{G}(5-8) \mathrm{a}$
$7.5 \times 22.5 \mathrm{~cm}$.
A.D. I6I-9

The nature of this account remains obscure, chiefly, it seems, because of the damage to the first line, see in., though it cannot have been of a common type. It stands on the back of $\mathbf{3 4 7 3}$, but has no obvious connexion either with the priest who submitted that declaration or with the administrators who might have been expected to receive it

It is set out in two sections, one beginning at the top of the papyrus, the other some way below the middle. Each entry is accompanied by a figure, which is always either 5 or 10 , except for 3 in line 27 . The persons in the upper section are listed in the nominative. All except four have check-marks in the margin. In the lower section the entries are in the dative and have no check-marks. Presumably the upper section concerns people liable to contribute, the check-marks indicating that they have done so. The lower part of the list must be of recipients. It is noticeable that several of the people in the first half are of the magistratorial class, while most of those in the second are tradesmen. Line three indicates that the account concerns one year. We might guess that the persons of the first section were members of some association, perhaps simply an association of neighbours, which was served by the tradesmen of the second section and which gave them on account of their services certain annual gifts or allowances. No helpful parallel text has been found.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {[\gamma] \rho a \phi \eta \grave{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \epsilon \nu . . \kappa . \varphi \tau \omega(\nu) \ldots \ldots()} \\
& \text {. (є̈тоис) } \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \text { кирі́ } \omega \nu \text { Аи̇тократо́р } \omega \nu
\end{aligned}
$$



```
, Є'є \(\omega \nu\) філо́софос
```




```
    Havvoç !
    , \(\Theta\) '́ \(\omega \nu\) 'Avтьóұov し
    \(\Delta \iota \delta v \mu i \omega v \nu \operatorname{M\epsilon \tau \tau io(v)\alpha \dot {\alpha }\rho \chi \iota \epsilon \epsilon (~)~}\)
    ; \(A \pi о \lambda \lambda \omega ́(\nu \iota o c) \Phi_{\iota} \lambda о с \tau \rho(\alpha ́ \tau о v) \kappa о с \mu \eta(\tau-) \quad \epsilon\)
    , \(\Theta \in \epsilon \omega \nu\) [..].( ) \(\gamma v \mu \nu(a c \iota a \rho \chi-) \iota, \dot{\alpha} \lambda(\lambda-) \iota, \gamma^{\prime}(\nu o v \tau \alpha \iota) \kappa\)
```



```
    \(\Delta \iota o v(v ́ c \iota o c) \mu \eta \tau(\rho o ̀ c) ~ \Lambda o \gamma \gamma \epsilon \iota v i(a c) \gamma v \mu(\nu a c ı a \rho \chi-) \quad \iota\)
    \(\Delta \operatorname{lov}(\text { v́cıoc })^{\prime} A \pi \phi \hat{v} \subset \quad \epsilon\)
```


'Нраклєі́ठ $\eta(с) \pi \rho . \kappa \tau($ ) $\epsilon$
Пала́рŋс Гєр $($ (avov̂) $\quad$
Птодєнаїо(с) макро́с є
20
- Артокра̂с Пот入íov
(vac.)

коvрєî $\epsilon \quad \gamma \epsilon \rho \delta i ́ \omega \bar{\epsilon}$
$\gamma \nu a \phi \in \hat{\imath} \epsilon \quad \beta a \phi \epsilon i \in$


Ми́ $\rho \omega \nu \iota$ ò $\rho \beta \iota[o] \pi \dot{\omega} \lambda(\eta) \quad \epsilon$
$\pi \epsilon \in \pi \tau \eta \quad \bar{\epsilon} \quad \Theta o ̣ ́ v \varepsilon \iota ~ \eta \dot{\eta} \pi[\eta] \tau \hat{\eta} \quad \bar{\gamma}$
$\triangle \eta \mu \eta \tau \rho^{\prime} \omega \operatorname{\pi a\tau \rho i} \Theta a \hat{i} \delta o(c) \epsilon$

'List of those contributing(?)... for the . . .th year of the lords emperors Aurelius Antoninus and Aurelius Verus:
Theon, philosopher ..... 5
The grandson of Harpocr( ) secretary of the gymnasium ..... 10
Ammonous, sister of Pannus ..... 5
Pannus ..... 10
Theon son of Antiochus ..... 10
Didymion son of Mettius, high-priest ..... 10
Apollonius son of Philostratus, (ex-?)cosmetes ..... 5
Theon ..., ex-gymnasiarch 10, plus another 10: total ..... 20
Diogenes son of Chaeremon...ex-gymnasiarch ..... 10
Dionysius, mother Longinia, ex-gymnasiarch ..... 10
Sarapion and Eudaemon, ex-gymnasiarchs ..... 5
Dionysius alias (or son of ?) Apphys ..... 5
Ammonius, mother Taseus(?) ..... 5
Heracleides, former owner (?) ..... 5
Panares son of Germanus ..... 10
Big Ptolemy ..... 5
Harpocras son of Publius ..... 10.
And of the goods which I sent in return(?):
To the shearer (or barber?) ..... 5
To the weaver ..... 5
To the fuller ..... 5
To the dyer ..... 5
To Eutych . . ., doctor ..... 5

| To Zoil( ), doctor | 10 |
| :--- | ---: |
| To Nicephorus, .. | Io |
| To Myron, vetch-seller | 5 |
| To the baker (?) | 5 |
| To Thoönis, tailor | 3, |
| To Demetrius, father of Thais | 5. |

 the end staфu $\lambda^{( }$) is the best solution so far suggested, but none of the letters is completely certain. The final superscript letter should be a lambda or a damaged mu. The phi might also be psi or some abbreviation involving iota. If $\varsigma \tau \alpha \phi \cup \lambda()$ is correct, it is difficult to guess what the unit of measurement was, and what the correct expanded form should be.

2-3 Only a tiny trace remains of the numeral, the bottom of a round letter. Figures from one to nine, or letters from alpha to theta, are historically possible. Gamma and eta are incompatible with what remains. Epsilon is unlikely, considering the angular shape it has in this hand.

The imperial title exactly in this form is not recorded in P. Bureth, Les titulatures.
4 Since Philosophus is attested as a name, we should perhaps consider the possibility that it was intended here, but the common noun is likely to be correct, cf. Aeg. 59 (i979) 78.

5 'Aрлок $\rho(\alpha \tau i \omega \nu \circ c)$ is the most likely expansion, but cf. 'A $A \pi$ ок $\rho \hat{\alpha}$ с in 20.
Since $\gamma \rho(a \mu \mu a \tau \epsilon ́ \omega c)$ or $\gamma \rho(a \mu \mu a \tau \epsilon v ́ c a \nu \tau o c) \gamma \nu \mu \nu(a c i o v)$ is a possible expansion - see P. Ryl. II Io1. 8 we probably need not consider expanding $\gamma v \mu \nu($ ) to $\gamma \nu \mu \nu(a c i a ́ \rho \chi o v)$ vel sim. Presumably Harpocr( ) was the person liable to contribute. If the grandson were himself the principal, his name would probably have been given.

6 The presence of a woman in the list excludes consideration of some types of association (cf. introd.), e.g. a gymnasium.

8 The names recall the Alexandrians Theon son of Antiochus in II 261 5-6 (A.D. 55) and Antiochus son of Theon in 34868 (A.D. $41 / 2$ ?). This man might be of the same family.

9 Either $\dot{a} \rho \chi \iota \epsilon \rho \epsilon(\dot{v} c)$ or $\dot{a} \rho \chi \iota \epsilon \rho \epsilon(\omega c)$ is possible, but in line $13 \gamma \nu \mu(v a c i ́ a \rho \chi o c)$ or $\gamma \nu \mu(v a c \iota a \rho \chi \eta ́ c a c)$ clearly applies to Dionysius and not to his mother, which encourages us to think that in lines 9, IO, II and 12 the titles should be expanded in the nominative rather than the genitive. Otherwise less ambiguous forms would have been written.

The other titles in $10-14$ indicate that the high-priesthood in question is the municipal office. The holder was actually in office at the date of this document, for $\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \tau \epsilon \rho a \tau(\epsilon \dot{v} c a c)$ cannot be read. On the other hand, five gymnasiarchs are mentioned in $1:-14$. Some must have been ex-gymnasiarchs, and probably all were, since the forms of the abbreviations do not distinguish them.

In VIII 1113 i 2-4 there appears a person called Didymus alias Didymion ex-high-priest, who might be the same as this man, although 1113 dates from A.D. 203.
ıо ' $A$ тод $\lambda \omega(v \iota o c)$. The other possibilities are much less likely. The same is the case with $\Delta \iota o v(u ́ c \imath o c)$, 13,15 , and $C a \rho a(\pi i \omega v), 14$.

11-14 The names are all too common to link with any individual in P. J. Sijpesteijn, Liste des gymnasiarques.

12 Above the beginning of $\gamma \nu \mu \nu(\quad)$, which is clumsily written or perhaps corrected, is ink which looks like the lower parts of a damaged lambda or chi. No solution to the problem has been suggested.

14 This is the only entry where more than one contributor is named. Nevertheless, it is not very likely that we should emend to $\left\langle{ }_{0}{ }^{\circ}\right\rangle \kappa \alpha i^{i} E \dot{v} \delta \alpha i \mu(\omega \nu)$.

15 It is doubtful whether we should emend to ' $A \pi \phi \hat{v} \tau o c$ or take ' $A \pi \phi \hat{v} c$ as an alias.
${ }_{1} 6$ Taç(ṽoc) is likeliest.
$17 \pi \rho . \kappa \tau()$. If the doubtful letter were lost, $\pi \rho[\alpha] \kappa \tau(\omega \rho)$ would be restored without a second thought. The writing - undamaged, but not well formed - looks like $\pi \rho \omega \kappa \tau($ ). There are no words from this root in the papyrological dictionaries, nor would it suit the context. The only suggestion for a solution is $\pi \rho \omega \kappa \tau(\dot{\eta} \tau \omega \rho)=\pi \rho \circ \kappa \tau \eta \prime \tau \omega \rho$, 'former owner'. What he might have formerly owned remains unclear.

The raised final letter is clear enough to exclude Прс́кк $\lambda(o v)=$ Про́кдоv.
$19 \mu$ ккоóc. It is hard to distinguish here between a description and a nickname. Also possible is Máкрос, in which case we would have the same problem as in 15 , see $n$.

2 I In the documents $\mathfrak{a} \nu \tau \iota \pi \epsilon \mu \pi \omega$ usually means 'send back in reply', or simply 'return (something to somebody)'. The fact that the following entries contain so many trade names suggests that it might be translated 'send in repayment' (LSJ s.v. 1. 3). However, 'distribute' or 'pass on' would suit the present understanding of the text better.

22 Possibly the коирєúc is not a barber, but a shearer of sheep, as we would thus have four stages in cloth-making represented: shearing the wool, weaving, dyeing, and fulling.

25 £кєv९...( ) might be a new word. The last letter, raised above the line, looks like tau or gamma; the one after the omicron looks most like lambda or mu. No likely solution has been suggested.
$27 \pi \dot{\epsilon} \pi \tau \eta c$ is not in the dictionaries, but has appeared once before, in P. Lugd. Bat. xIII 15.7, see


## 3493-3494. Loans of Wheat

Two interest-free loans of wheat in cheirograph-form, each in duplicate (note e.g.
 are the same, Sarapion and Diogenes, but in one of the documents (3493) Sarapion acknowledges receipt of $257 \frac{1}{2}$ artabas from Diogenes while in the other (3494), which is dated on the same day, Diogenes acknowledges receipt of $257 \frac{1}{2}$ artabas from Sarapion. The explanation of this curiosity remains uncertain. Had the contracts been written for the parties by a third person one might suppose that he had misunderstood the respective roles of his clients so that one of the pairs should have been invalidated and discarded, but this supposition may be excluded since each of the parties apparently wrote his own pair of acknowledgements; each loan is described as idoóypa申ov, and they are in hands which are probably different although resembling each other in parts. It is tempting to think that some surreptitious deal lurked behind the texts, but if so its nature is elusive. In any case the deal, whatever it was, seems not to have been implemented (but see 349439 n .); each pair of duplicates is written on a single large sheet that was never then cut, and furthermore the inventory-numbers imply that both pairs were found together in the excavations. Did one of the parties keep both contracts? Note that we may infer from $\delta \iota c c o ̀ \nu \gamma \rho a \phi \epsilon ́ \nu$ that no other copies existed.

Each of the two sheets is virtually complete; one has the remains of a 3-line annotation in the lower margin while the other has a docket on the back. Each was folded vertically to the same size. The similarity in their dimensions and appearance suggests that they were cut from the same roll, but the presence of (reversed-direction) kollema-joins down the extreme left edge of each piece makes it difficult to confirm whether they were once contiguous. The right-over-left overlap of the kollema-joins (a further detail of similarity) implies that both pieces were turned upside-down before writing, whether together (and cut subsequently) or separately.

Taken separately, the two contracts would not occasion much surprise, except for the substantial amount of grain concerned. It is to be noted that no fixed repayment time is set, although the stipulations regarding the grain to be repaid may imply that repayment was expected soon after the coming harvest or even before it. That the loans
are not of seed－corn is indicated by their date in late February（M．Schnebel， Landwirtschaft， 137 seqq．），as also by the possibility of repayment before the coming harvest and by the already large volume of grain which，if interpreted as seed－corn， would represent an enormous scale of operations．No mention is made of interest or taxes．For interest－free loans see P．W．Pestman， $\mathscr{Y}$ P 16 （1971）7－29．In the present instance（s）the fact that the quantity of grain is not a round figure and is so precisely fixed may imply that interest is already included；on the other hand we do not know whether the reciprocal nature of this curious transaction may have made the question of interest quite unnecessary．

## 3493

## 273 B．42／G（1）

$19.9 \times 33.9 \mathrm{~cm}$ ．
23 February A．D． 175
The duplicate main texts are well preserved，separated（14－15）by a gap of 5 cm ． There is a deep lower margin with a damaged 3－line annotation at its lower edge．The back is blank．

The only notable difference of wording between the two copies is that the first omits äкрı $\neq v$ found in 23 in the second．In identifying the harvest from which the wheat came，the writer in both copies（ 4,18 ）changed from using figures to using full wording．


 П入очта́ $\propto \chi \eta$ ¢

$\pi \alpha \rho a \mu \epsilon \mu \epsilon \tau \rho \hat{\eta} \subset \theta \alpha \iota$
 $\delta \eta \mu о c^{\prime} \omega$ $\dot{\eta \mu}$ и－

 \｛c\}oic $\in \mathfrak{\epsilon} \dot{a} \nu$
 $\hat{\epsilon} \nu \in \subset \tau \hat{\omega} \tau \sigma$

 10


${ }^{\prime} A \lambda_{\epsilon} \xi \alpha ́ ⿱ 亠 乂, \delta \rho o u$

 'Avтшdívov $C_{\epsilon} \beta$ астov̂
 (vac.)
 $\Delta \eta \mu \eta \tau \rho о \hat{v} \tau о с$
 $\mu \eta-$

 $\tau \epsilon с с а \rho а с к а \iota \delta є \kappa а ́ т$ [ov]


 $\gamma \in \nu \eta$ ŋ́натос то̂́




 iठっ-

 'Avт $\omega v i ́ v o u \quad C_{\epsilon}[\beta a<\tau o \hat{v}]$


## (blank space of 6 cm .)

30 (m. 2 ?)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ]атоутос каі .[.].[...].[ }
\end{aligned}
$$

4 1. тєссарєскаьбєа́тои; so in 7, 18, 226 с of äc corr.? v of таútac corr. from c. First $\omega$ of $\pi a \rho a \delta \omega ́ c \omega$


(Lines 15-29) 'Sarapion also called Alexander, son of Diogenes and grandson of Hermaiscus, his mother being Demetrous, from the city of Oxyrhynchus, to Diogenes also called Dionysius, son of Diogenes and grandson of Diogenes, his mother being Plutarche, from the same city, greetings. I acknowledge that I have from you and have had measured out to me by the public half-artaba measure two hundred and fifty-seven and a half artabas of wheat from the produce of the past fourteenth year, total two hundred and fifty-seven and a half artabas, which whenever you choose I shall give \{these $\}$ back to you or to whomsoever you choose without delay, either from the produce of the fourteenth year or (from the produce) of the present fifteenth year (in wheat that is) new, pure, unadulterated, free from earth and barley, sifted (and measured) by the aforesaid measure, in the village of Psobthis in the eastern toparchy, and you are to have the right of execution upon me and upon all my property as one does when bringing a dike. This cheirograph written in my own hand in duplicate by me Sarapion also called Alexander is irrefutable wherever it is presented and for everyone presenting it on your behalf. The 15 th year of Imperator Caesar Marcus Aurelius Antoninus Augustus Armeniacus Medicus Parthicus Germanicus Maximus, Mecheir 29.'

8 véov. Sc. $\pi v$ vóv, $^{2}$ as elsewhere in these documents. véoc is of course regular terminology in clauses relating to the repayment of grain, but here is specially significant, indicating that repayment at whatever time it occurred was to be made in grain from the most recent harvest, thus qualifying the alternatives of $7-8$.
 373.

30 ảлoঠıঠồvtoc. Cf. P. Mich. vili 515. 2 and 4 n .

The papyrus is well preserved except at the top right corner of the upper text. The two texts are separated by a narrow gap of little more than Icm . There is a lower margin, without annotations, of 5 cm . On the back, a short single-line docket.

There are two points of variation between the two copies: the first omits the mention of the grandfather and the mother of Sarapion, in 21 in the second ( $\tau \circ \hat{v}$
 first.





























 $\{\mu \eta\} \Psi \dot{\omega} \beta \theta \epsilon \iota \tau \hat{\eta} \subset \pi \rho o ̀ c ~ a ̀ \pi \eta \lambda \iota \omega ́ \tau \eta \nu$ тотархíac, $\gamma \epsilon \iota \nu о \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta \subset$


 còv $\gamma \rho \alpha \phi \grave{\epsilon} \nu \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \chi \hat{\eta}$ ध́ $\pi \iota \phi \epsilon \rho o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о \nu$ каì $\pi \alpha \nu \tau i ̀ \tau \hat{\omega}$

 Мךঠєкои̂ ПарӨıкой Гєриаขєкой Мєүі́стои, МєХєір $\overline{\kappa \theta}$.

Back (m. 2 ?) cı( ) $\Psi \stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \beta \theta(\epsilon \omega c)$


(Lines $19-38$ ) 'Diogenes also called Dionysius, son of Diogenes and grandson of Diogenes, his mother being Plutarche, from the city of Oxyrhynchus, to Sarapion also called Alexander, son of Diogenes and grandson of Hermaiscus, his mother being Demetrous, from the same city, greetings. I acknowledge that I have from you and have had measured out to me by the public half-artaba measure two hundred and fifty-seven and a half artabas of wheat from the produce of the past fourteenth year,
total two hundred and fifty－seven and a half artabas，which whenever you choose I shall give \｛these\} back to you or to whomsoever you choose without delay，either from the produce of the fourteenth year or （from the produce）of the present fifteenth year（in wheat that is）new，pure，unadulterated，free from earth 〈and barley〉．sifted（and measured）by the aforesaid measure，in the village of Psobthis in the eastern toparchy，and you are to have the right of execution upon me and upon all my property as one does when bringing a dike．This cheirograph written in my own hand in duplicate by me Diogenes also called Dionysius is irrefutable wherever it is presented and for everyone presenting it on your behalf． The 15th year of Imperator Caesar Marcus Aurelius Antoninus Augustus Armeniacus Medicus Parthicus Germanicus Maximus，Mecheir 29．＇

39 The docket on the back is presumably to be expanded cu（тo入ó $\gamma \omega \nu$ ）or cı（тoдоүíac）（or another case ？） or the like；specification of $\pi$ upóc in the document seemingly excludes a reference to citoc here．The docket will then refer to the completion of the transaction through the granary in Psobthis（cf．II，31） but it is not clear if it should indicate that the transaction was in fact completed；cf．the main introduction to 3493－4 above，where it is suggested that the arrangements were not implemented．

## 3495．Fishing Account

263 B． $52 /$ B（1）$+(2)+(3)$
A well－preserved account containing seven columns with day－by－day entries of receipts and outgoings in money connected with the business of fishing．For the fishing－industry in general see XLVI 3267－70 and C．A．Nelson，MPL 2 （1977） 233－43，and H．C．Youtie，ZPE 37 （1980）214，with references．See also P．Turner 25.

The account covers a 2 I－day period from Phaophi in to Hathyr i（＝October $8 / 9$ to $28 / 29$ ）of an unspecified year．Fishing activity was concentrated in the autumn and winter months，see P．Oxf．ı2．8－10 n．and C．A．Nelson，op．cit．242．Daily
 see notes on 2,5 and ro），and the outgoings under the word $\theta \epsilon a \gamma \hat{\omega}$（ 8 n ．）．From time to time the $\beta$ ódou－entries are followed not by a sum of money but by $\tau \alpha \rho \iota \chi(i a)$ or $\epsilon(i c)$ rapoxiav；that is to say，a batch was not immediately sold or taxed but was set aside for pickling．

It is not clear whether the document is official or private－that is to say，an administrative account of the $\epsilon \pi \not \approx \tau \eta \rho \eta \tau a i$ of fishing or the fishermen＇s record of income from sales．We may，I think，exclude its being a temple account：on that explanation， the $\theta_{\epsilon}$ coóc－payments（otherwise to be seen as corporate）would have to be interpreted as salary and the amount－133dr．i ob．in 21 days－is far too high．If it is the fishermen＇s account recording the gross proceeds from the sale of each catch，the amount－around 1700 dr ．in 21 days－seems reasonable and the $\theta \epsilon a \gamma^{\prime} c$－payments， a bit less than one－twelfth of the take，can be readily understood as payment for the hire of boats or the like：cf．P．Ryl．II 196．i3－14．The entries for ó ${ }^{\prime}$ óloyov，which record income，may be a problem on this explanation，see 5 n ．If the account is official and records the tax paid or due on the sale of each catch（not due on the catch simply， or the fish set aside for pickling would not escape taxation at this stage），the calculated
gross sales might seem excessive; but in fact the daily figures are not much different from those the $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau \eta \rho \eta \tau a i ́ r e p o r t$, for example in their five-day account P. Osl. III 89 which belongs to the same time of year as the present text. On this interpretation a difficulty is presented by the $\theta \epsilon a \gamma$ óc-payments, which would have to be interpreted as a government subsidy: whereas a daily payment on the part of the fishermen seems plausible, a government subsidy administered this way seems much less so. $\mathbf{3 4 9 5}$ may be the kind of official day-book from which the $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau \eta \rho \eta \tau a i ́ c o m p o s e d ~ t h e i r ~ f i v e-d a y ~$ returns such as P . Osl. III 89 ; in respect of the $\theta \epsilon a \gamma^{\prime}$ c-payments, it may be notional, in that the sums due were totted up.

It is not clear whether the account is the original day-by-day record or a fair copy of it. Only one hand appears to have been at work. The $\beta$ ódov-entries exhibit a regularity that suggests a fair copy, but the drachma-amounts by contrast are uneven and out of register in a way that suggests they have been added. Yet it is hardly conceivable that the $\beta o d^{\lambda} o v$-pattern could have been forecast.

The accounts are given in terms of a 7 -obol drachma. Obols as such nowhere exceed 5, and are expressed by the usual symbols; 6 obols are represented by the $\chi a \lambda$ кív $\eta$. Drachma-amounts are not multiples of four.

There is wide variation in the size of the sums entered beside the numbered $\beta$ ó $\lambda o \iota$, from I dr. I ob. (17) to 80 dr . ( I 76 ). Clearly the size of the catch varied accordingly, but we cannot easily judge the size of a catch because the evidence for fish prices is limited and they were naturally governed by quality as well as quantity (see e.g. A. C. Johnson, Roman Egypt, 317) and because of the uncertainty as to whether the sums represent income from sales or the tax thereon.

The calculations consist only of simple addition and subtraction but exhibit errors right through the text. These errors occur both in the daily totals and in the totting-up of the daily totals: in 27 the totting-up figure is doubly wrong, being neither the sum of the recorded daily totals (two out of three of which are wrong) nor the sum of what those daily totals should have been. Sometimes the errors are small, an obol only, sometimes possibly attributable to reading error - e.g. in 94 one might give the scribe the benefit of the doubt and suppose that he read the odd obol in 85 as an extended crossbar of theta. In 995 the daily total is stated roo dr. too little. The extent of errors is extraordinary, given the simplicity of the arithmetic. In every case the error is on the low side, which must arouse the suspicion that they may be deliberate rather than the result of incompetence.

The account is written across the fibres. Preceding col. i there is a wide space of 31 cm ., blank except that at the foot (below where an immediately preceding column would have come, had there been one) is written $\alpha \phi \lambda \beta$; i.e. 1,532 drachmas? The roll is composed of four kollemata whose width (before pasting) varies from 22 to 27.5 cm . This roll is referred to by E. G. Turner, The Typology of the Early Codex, 48, where the measurements from kollesis-edge to kollesis-edge ( $22-23 \mathrm{~cm}$.) are given. On the other side is a cession of A.D. I 39 which will appear in a later volume. In the margin
of the cession is a jotting apparently related to the fishing account, ( $\gamma$ ivoviau?) . © ( $\pi \epsilon \nu \tau \dot{\prime} \beta^{\beta} \beta \lambda o \nu$ ). There seems to be no drachma-sign. The first figure ought to be ' $A$, thus indicating $\mathrm{I}, 2 \mathrm{I}$ o dr. 5 ob . Neither this nor ' $A \phi \lambda \beta$ will accurately represent the overall total of the account, whether calculated from the total of the $\beta$ ódoc-amounts ( $\mathrm{I}, 693 \mathrm{dr}$.), from the daily totals as calculated on the papyrus ( $\mathrm{I}, 590 \mathrm{dr} .5 \mathrm{ob}$.), or from the totals calculated on the papyrus at the foot of each column (whether or not including col. vii for which the papyrus contains no total: so $1,588 \mathrm{dr}$. I ob. or 1,339 dr. 4 ob.).

ii
［ı］ $\bar{\delta}$ ．ó $\psi o \lambda o \gamma i ́ o v \quad ~(\delta \rho). ~ \eta$
$a$ 多 $\quad(\delta \rho$.$) ८ \chi \alpha(\lambda \kappa \iota \nu \eta)$
B Bó入 $[o] v$
（ $\delta \rho.) \quad \beta \quad(\delta \iota \omega ́ \beta$.
$\bar{\gamma} \beta o ̣ ̂ \lambda[o] v$
（ $\delta \rho.) \quad \eta$
$\bar{\delta} \quad \beta o ̣ ̂ d ̣ o v \quad(\delta \rho) \quad a \quad.(\pi \epsilon \nu \tau \omega \beta \beta$.
$\epsilon \quad \beta o ́ \lambda[o] v \quad(\delta \rho$.$) \quad 广 (\delta \iota \omega ́ \beta$.
àф＇$\dot{\omega} \nu \quad \theta \epsilon a \gamma \hat{\omega}$
（ $\delta \rho.) \quad \theta \quad(\pi \epsilon \nu \tau \omega \bar{\omega} \beta$.

（ $\delta \rho) ~. к \zeta \quad(\tau \rho \iota \omega \beta$.
$\overline{i \epsilon}$ ỏ óohoyíov（ $\delta \rho$ ．）$\eta$
$a$ ßódov $(\delta \rho$.$) ı (o \beta$ ．？）
$\beta$ $\beta$ ódov（ $\delta \rho$.$) a（ \pi \epsilon \nu \tau \omega ́ \beta$ ．）
$\bar{\gamma} \beta$ ódov $(\delta \rho.) \in \quad(\grave{o} \beta$.
$\dot{\alpha} \phi^{\prime} \hat{\omega} \nu \theta \epsilon a \gamma \hat{\omega}$
（ $\delta \rho.) \quad \theta \quad(\pi \epsilon \nu \tau \dot{\omega} \beta$.
$\lambda o \iota \pi \alpha i \tau \hat{\eta} \subset \dot{\eta}^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon}^{\prime} \rho\langle\alpha c\rangle$
$\overline{\iota \zeta}$ ． $\bar{\alpha}$ हọ́dov $(\delta \rho.) \quad \iota \quad(\delta \iota \omega \beta$.
$\bar{\beta}$ קóḍov（ $\delta \rho.) \quad \iota$
$\gamma \beta$ ódov $(\delta \rho.) \quad \iota \quad(\delta \iota \omega \beta$ ．）
$\delta$ $\beta$ ódov（ $\delta \rho$.$) ıа（ \rho \iota \omega ́ \beta$.
50
$\epsilon$ Bódov $(\delta \rho.) \delta$
$\dot{a}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \theta \epsilon \alpha \gamma \hat{\omega}$

$$
(\delta \rho .) \quad \theta \quad(\pi \epsilon \nu \tau \dot{\omega} \beta .)
$$

入oıтаi т $\hat{\eta} \subset$ $\grave{\eta} \mu \epsilon ́ \rho a c$

$$
(\delta \rho .) \quad \mu \beta \quad(\delta \iota \omega \beta .)
$$

55 i亏．$\nu v к \tau \epsilon \rho \iota \nu 0 \hat{v} \quad(\delta \rho.) \quad \iota$
$\beta$ ßódov（ $\delta \rho) ~. к \eta$
$\bar{\gamma}$ ßódov（ $\delta \rho$.$) 七ऽ$
$\alpha \phi^{\prime} \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \theta \epsilon \alpha \gamma \hat{\omega}$

$$
(\delta \rho .) \quad \eta
$$

60 （ $\delta \rho.) \quad \rho \lambda \epsilon \quad \lambda о \iota \pi \alpha i \tau \hat{\eta} \subset \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon ́ \rho a c$（ $\delta \rho.) \quad \mu \eta$
iii

| $\uparrow$ ． | $\nu v к \tau \epsilon \rho \stackrel{\text { vov }}{ }$ | （ $\delta \rho$. | $\kappa \eta$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\beta$ Bódov | （ $\delta \rho$. | $\lambda \beta$ |  |
|  | $\gamma$ Bódov | （ $\delta \rho$. | $\kappa$ |  |
|  | $\delta$ ßódov | （ $\delta \rho$. | $\kappa 0$ | （óß．） |
|  |  | （ $\delta \rho$. | $\rho \alpha$ | （ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{\beta}$ ） |
| $\bar{\iota}$ ． | $\nu \cup \kappa \tau \epsilon \rho \stackrel{\text { vov }}{ }$ | （ $\delta \rho$. | $\stackrel{\beta}{ }$ |  |
|  | $\beta$ Bódov | （ $\delta \rho$. | $\zeta$ | $\chi \alpha(\lambda \kappa i \nu \eta)$ |
|  | $\gamma \beta$ ßódov | （ $\delta \rho$. | ${ }^{\prime} \gamma$ | （ $\pi \epsilon \nu \tau \bar{\omega} \beta$ ．） |
|  | $\bar{\delta}$ ßódov | （ $\delta \rho$. | $\eta$ |  |
|  | $\epsilon \quad \beta$ ódov | （ $\delta \rho$. | 5 | （ $\delta \iota \omega$ ß．？） |
|  | $\bar{\zeta} \beta$ ódov | （ $\delta \rho$. | $\eta$ |  |

$\dot{a}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \dot{\omega} \nu \theta \epsilon a \gamma \hat{\omega}$
（ $\delta \rho). ~ \kappa \delta$
入oıтaì $\hat{\eta} \subset$ 市 $\mu$ є́ $\rho a \subset$
$75 \quad(\delta \rho.) \quad \lambda \quad \chi \alpha(\lambda \kappa i \nu \eta)$
$\bar{\kappa}$ ．$\nu \cup \kappa \tau \epsilon \rho \iota \nu o \hat{v}(\delta \rho.) \quad \eta$
$\beta$ 觔о⿱ $\quad(\delta \rho.) \quad \iota$
$\gamma$ ßódov（ $\delta \rho.) \quad \theta$（ $\pi \epsilon \nu \tau \dot{\omega} \beta$ ．）
$\delta$ مó̀ov（ $\delta \rho.) \in(\pi \epsilon \nu \tau \dot{\omega} \beta$ ．）
$80 \quad \epsilon$ Bódov（ $\delta \rho$. ）к
$\bar{\varsigma} \beta$ م́גou（ $\delta \rho$ ．）ऽ
$\dot{\alpha} \phi^{\prime} \dot{\omega} \nu \quad \theta \epsilon a \gamma \hat{\omega}$
（ $\delta \rho.) \quad \beta \quad(\delta \iota \omega ́ \beta$.
入oıтаi $\tau \hat{\eta} \subset$ 市 $\epsilon \in ́ \rho a c$
85 （ $\delta \rho.) \quad \nu \theta \quad(\grave{\partial \beta})$
$\overline{\kappa \alpha} . \quad \nu \cup \kappa \tau \epsilon \rho \iota \nu o \hat{v} \quad(\delta \rho.) \quad \eta$
$\beta$ ßó̀ov（ $\delta \rho$ ．）« $\beta$
$\gamma$ ßódov（ $\delta \rho$.$) ıа（ \tau \rho \iota \iota ́ \beta$.
$\delta$ ßó̀ov тарıх（ía）
90
$\epsilon$ ßódou（ $\delta \rho$ ．）$\eta$
$\varsigma$ ßó̀ov（ $\delta \rho$.$) ィ (\delta \iota \dot{\iota} \beta$.
$\dot{\alpha} \phi^{\prime} \hat{\omega} \nu \theta \epsilon a \gamma \hat{\omega}$ （ $\delta \rho.) \quad \alpha \quad(\pi \epsilon \nu \tau \dot{\omega} \beta$.
$\lambda o \iota \pi a i \quad(\delta \rho.) \quad \mu \eta \quad c \lambda \theta$
iv

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 95 \kappa \beta \text {. ขvктєрıvov̂ }(\delta \rho .) \in \quad(\pi \epsilon \nu \tau \omega ́ \beta \text {.) } \\
& \beta \text { ßódov }(\delta \rho .) \quad a \quad(\pi \epsilon \nu \tau \omega \dot{\omega} \beta \text {.) } \\
& \gamma \text { Bódov }(\delta \rho .) \in(\pi \epsilon \nu \tau \omega \dot{\omega} \beta \text {.) } \\
& \delta \beta \text { ódov }(\delta \rho .) \quad \delta \\
& \epsilon \quad \beta o ́ \lambda o v \quad(\delta \rho .) \quad \eta \quad(\tau \epsilon \tau \rho \omega ́ \beta .) \\
& \bar{\varsigma} \quad \beta o ́ \lambda o v \quad(\delta \rho .) \quad \beta \quad(\delta \iota \omega \quad \beta .) \\
& \dot{a} \phi^{\prime} \dot{\omega} \nu \quad \theta \epsilon \alpha \gamma \hat{\omega} \\
& (\delta \rho .) \quad \beta \quad(\delta \iota \omega \beta .)
\end{aligned}
$$

$\lambda o \iota \pi a i \quad \tau \hat{\eta} \subset \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon ́ \rho a c$

$$
(\delta \rho .) \quad \kappa \epsilon \quad(\pi \epsilon \nu \tau \dot{\omega} \beta .)
$$

${ }_{105} \overline{\kappa \gamma} . \quad \nu \cup \kappa \tau \epsilon \rho \iota \nu 0 \hat{v} \quad(\delta \rho). ~ \iota \quad(\delta \iota \omega ́ \beta$.
$\beta$ Bódov（ $\delta \rho$.$) ィ \beta$
$\gamma$ ßódov（ $\delta \rho$.$) \quad ऽ (\delta \iota \omega \beta$.
$\delta \beta$ ódov（ $\delta \rho). ~ \iota \quad(\delta \iota \omega ́ \beta$.
$\epsilon \beta$ م́dov $(\delta \rho.) \quad \eta$
ıо ${ }^{2} \phi^{\prime} \hat{\omega} \nu \theta \epsilon a \gamma \hat{\omega}$
（ $\delta \rho.) \quad \beta \quad(\delta \iota \omega \beta.) \quad \lambda o \iota \pi \alpha\langle i\rangle \quad(\delta \rho.) \quad \mu \delta$
$\overline{\kappa \delta} . \quad \nu v \kappa \tau \epsilon \rho \iota \nu o \hat{v} \tau \alpha(\rho \iota) \chi(i$ ía $)$
$\beta$ 乃ódov（ $\delta \rho.) \quad \iota \beta$
$\bar{\gamma}$ Bódov（ $\delta \rho$.$) ıऽ$
115
$\delta$ Bódov（ $\delta \rho$.$) \quad ィ \beta \quad(\tau \epsilon \tau \rho \omega ́ \beta$.
$\epsilon \beta$ ódov（ $\delta \rho) ~. к \eta$
$\bar{\varsigma}$ Bódov $(\delta \rho.) \in \quad(\pi \epsilon \nu \tau \omega \dot{\beta} \beta$ ．）
$\zeta \beta o ́ \lambda o v \quad(\delta \rho.) \quad \gamma \quad(\tau \rho \iota \omega \dot{\beta}$ ．）
$\dot{a} \phi^{\prime} \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \theta \epsilon a \gamma \hat{\omega}$
120
$(\delta \rho.) \quad \beta \quad(\delta \iota \dot{\omega} \beta$.

（ $\delta \rho$.$) оє（ \tau \rho \iota \omega ́ \beta$.
$\overline{\kappa \epsilon} . \quad \nu v \kappa \tau \epsilon \rho \iota \nu o \hat{v} \quad(\delta \rho.) \quad \zeta$
$\beta$ 乃óлоv（ $\delta \rho$.$) ィ \beta$
$\gamma$ Bódov（ $\delta \rho.) \quad \eta$
$\bar{\delta} \quad \beta$ ódov（ $\delta \rho.) \quad \iota \beta$
$\epsilon \quad \epsilon(i c) \tau \alpha \rho \iota \chi i a \nu$
（ $\delta \rho.) \quad \rho \mu \epsilon \quad(\dot{o} \beta$.
vi
$\theta$ ßódov（ $\delta \rho) ~. к \delta$ $\dot{\alpha} \phi^{\prime} \hat{\omega} v \theta \epsilon \alpha \gamma \hat{\omega}$
$(\delta \rho.) \quad \gamma \quad(\tau \rho \iota \omega \beta$.

（ $\delta \rho$.$) сє（ \delta \iota \omega ́ \beta$. ）
кө．$\quad$ а $\beta$ ódov（ $\delta \rho) ~. к \delta$
$\bar{\beta}$ 阝ódov（ $\delta \rho). ~ \kappa$
$\gamma \quad \beta o ́ \lambda o v \quad(\delta \rho.) \quad \lambda \beta$
$\bar{\delta}$ Bódov $(\delta \rho$.$) ьऽ$
$\epsilon \beta$ ódov $(\delta \rho) ~. к \eta$
$\varsigma \beta o ́ \lambda o v \quad(\delta \rho.) \quad \nu \varsigma$
$\zeta \beta$ ódov（ $\delta \rho.) \lambda \zeta$
$\eta$ ßódov àvтi
175
$\bar{\lambda}$ ．a $\beta$ ó入ov $(\delta \rho) ~$.
$\beta$ Bódov $(\delta \rho$.$) 七$
$\gamma$ ßódov（ $\delta \rho.) \quad \mu$
$\delta$ Bódov（ $\delta \rho$.$) к$
$\epsilon \beta$ ódov（ $\delta \rho). ~ \iota 5$
$\varsigma \beta$ ódov $(\delta \rho.) \quad \iota$
$\zeta \beta$ Bódov $(\delta \rho.) \quad \mu \quad \phi \nu \zeta$
vii
$\eta \quad \beta o ́ \lambda o v \quad(\delta \rho.) \quad \kappa \eta$
$\theta$ ßódov（ $\delta \rho$. ）$\mu \eta$
$\iota$ ßódov（ $\delta \rho$. ）$\mu \eta$
«a $\beta$ ódov $(\delta \rho.) \quad \theta \quad(\pi \epsilon \nu \tau \dot{\omega} \beta$.
$\theta \epsilon \alpha \gamma \hat{\varphi} \quad(\delta \rho.) \quad \delta$

PRIVATE DOCUMENTS
$\lambda o \iota \pi \alpha i \quad \tau \hat{\eta} \subset \dot{\eta} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \rho(a c)$
( $\delta \rho.) \quad \rho \upharpoonleft \zeta$ ( $\pi \epsilon \nu \tau \omega ́ \beta$.
${ }^{\prime} A \theta \dot{v} \rho \bar{\alpha}$
$\alpha$ ßódov ( $\delta \rho$.$) ! ( \delta \iota \omega ́ \beta$.)
$\beta$ 阝ódov ( $\delta \rho$.) к.
$\gamma$ Bódov ( $\delta \rho$. ) $\eta$
$\bar{\delta}$ ßódov ( $\delta \rho$. ) $\delta$
$\epsilon$ ßódov ( $\delta \rho.) \quad \gamma \quad(\tau \rho \iota \omega ́ \beta$.
$\varsigma \beta o ́ \lambda o v \quad(\delta \rho.) \quad \beta$ ( $\delta \iota \dot{\omega} \beta$.
$\zeta$ ßó̀ov ( $\delta \rho$.$) a \quad(\pi \epsilon \nu \tau \dot{\omega} \beta$.)
$\eta$ ßódov ( $\delta \rho.) \quad \beta \quad \chi \alpha(\lambda \kappa i ́ \nu \eta)$ $\theta \epsilon \alpha \gamma \hat{\varphi} \quad(\delta \rho) \quad a \quad.(\pi \epsilon \nu \tau \omega ́ \beta$.
 ( $\delta \rho.) \quad \nu \quad \chi \alpha(\lambda \kappa i \nu \eta)$

(1-27) 'For good fortune. Phaophi
inth. Ist cast
2nd cast
3rd cast
From opsologion
4th cast
5th cast
From which to the theagos
Remaining from the day
12 th ist (cast), nocturnal
2nd cast
3rd cast 4th cast From opsologion
From which to the theagos Remaining
13th Nocturnal
2nd cast
From opsologion
3rd cast
From opsologion 4 th cast
5th cast
From opsologion
From which to the theagos Remaining from the day
(28-60) 'I4th From opsologion ist cast 2nd cast

8 dr .
io dr. 6 ob.

$$
2 \mathrm{dr} .2 \mathrm{ob} .
$$

6 dr .2 ob .
8 dr. 4 ob. I dr. 5 ob.
10 dr .2 ob.
27 dr. 2 ob. (!)
8 dr .
8 dr .
11 dr .3 ob. 4 dr . 5 dr . I ob.
16 dr .
20 dr . (!)
1 dr . ob .
20 dr .
2 dr. 2 ob.
8 dr .
1 dr . I ob.
14 dr. 2 ob. 5 dr .5 ob .
I dr. 5 ob .
12 dr .
42 dr .2 ob.
89 dr. 3 ob. (!) 8 dr .
10 dr .6 ob . 2 dr. 2 ob.

|  |  | 3 rd cast | 8 dr . |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 4 th cast | 1 dr .5 ob . |
|  |  | 5 th cast | 6 dr. 2 ob . |
|  |  | From which to the theagos | 9 dr .5 ob. |
|  |  | Remaining from the day | 27 dr. 3 ob. |
|  | 15 th | From opsologion | $8 \mathrm{dr} .$ |
|  |  | Ist cast | $\text { I } 3 \text { dr. I ob. }$ |
|  |  | 2nd cast | I dr. 5 ob. |
|  |  | 3 rd cast | 5 dr .1 ob . |
|  |  | From which to the theagos | 9 dr .5 ob. |
|  |  | Remaining from the day | $17 \text { dr. } 2 \text { ob. (!) }$ |
|  | 16th | ist cast | 10 dr .2 ob. |
|  |  | 2nd cast | $12 \mathrm{dr} .$ |
|  |  | 3rd cast | 14 dr. 2 ob. |
|  |  | 4 th cast | 11 dr .3 ob . |
|  |  | 5 th cast | 4 dr . |
|  |  | From which to the theagos | 9 dr .5 ob . |
|  |  | Remaining from the day | 42 dr .2 ob. |
|  | 17 th | Nocturnal | 12 dr . |
|  |  | 2nd cast | 28 dr . |
|  |  | 3rd cast | 16 dr . |
|  |  | From which to the theagos | 8 dr . |
|  |  | Remaining from the day | 48 dr . |
|  |  |  | 135 dr . (!) |
| $(6 \mathrm{I}-94)$ | '18th | Nocturnal | 28 dr . |
|  |  | 2nd cast | 32 dr . |
|  |  | 3 rd cast | 20 dr . |
|  |  | $4^{\text {th }}$ cast | 21 dr. i ob. |
|  |  | Total for the day | IOI dr. 1 ob. |
|  | I 9 th | Nocturnal | $12 \mathrm{dr} .$ |
|  |  | 2nd cast | 6 dr. 6 ob. |
|  |  | 3 rd cast | 13 dr .5 ob. |
|  |  | $4^{\text {th }}$ cast | 8 dr . |
|  |  | 5th cast | 6 dr .2 ob. |
|  |  | 6th cast | 8 dr . |
|  |  | From which to the theagos | 24 dr . |
|  |  | Remaining from the day | 30 dr .6 ob . |
|  | 20th | Nocturnal | 8 dr . |
|  |  | 2nd cast | 12 dr . |
|  |  | 3 rd cast | 9 dr .5 ob. |
|  |  | 4 th cast | 5 dr. 5 ob. |
|  |  | 5 th cast | 20 dr . |
|  |  | 6th cast | 6 dr . |
|  |  | From which to the theagos | 2 dr .2 ob . |
|  |  | Remaining from the day Nocturnal | 59 dr . ob . 8 dr . |
|  | 2 ISt | Nocturnal | $\begin{gathered} 8 \mathrm{dr} . \\ 12 \mathrm{dr} . \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  | 3 rd cast | I I dr. 3 ob . |
|  |  | 4 th cast for pickling |  |
|  |  | 5 th cast | 8 dr . |
|  |  | 6th cast | 10 dr .2 ob . |
|  |  | From which to the theagos | 1 dr .5 ob . |
|  |  | Remaining | 48 dr . |
|  |  |  | 239 dr. (!) |




2 The distribution of supralinear strokes over numerals appears to be quite random.
Bódov. A $\beta$ ódoc is a station for fishing with a cast net according to L. Robert, BCH 102 (1978) 533-5, cf. $R E G 92$ (1979) 457 no. 286. This geographical sense cannot be the meaning though in 3495 : the ordinals indicate time and not place, as is clear from where in place of $\bar{\alpha}$ Bódov we find $\bar{\alpha} \nu v \kappa \tau \epsilon \rho \iota \nu o \hat{v}$ or $\nu v \kappa \tau \epsilon \rho \iota v o \hat{v}$ simply ( 10,17 etc.: see 10 n .).
$5{ }^{\circ} \not{ }^{\circ} \psi o \lambda o ́ \gamma i o v$ poses a problem whether the account is private or official. Apart from the fragmentary P. Tebt. III 867.41 (the context there at least seems fishy, cf. 37), the word is evidenced from papyri by P. Lond. III 856 (pp. $9 \mathrm{I}-2$ ) where it appears to be an excise tax paid in money, and by P. Turner 25. 16-17 where it is a money surcharge on a lease-rental, explained ( 16 n .) as perhaps a tax payable
by owners of fishing rights which was passed on to their lessees. Neither meaning will suit an account of fishermen's receipts, were that what we have here: suitable might be receipts from the sale of fish sent for pickling. Note that ó ơo ó́ $_{\text {tov-amounts are entered from Phaophi II-15 }}$, and tapıxía from Phaophi 21-7. Such a sense will equally not suit P. Lond. 856 and P. Turner 25. The tax explanations will obviously suit an account of $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau \eta \rho \eta \tau a i ́$, but the distribution of the entries remains curious, especially the three entries on Phaophi 13.

8 According to W. Otto, Priester und Tempel $195^{1}$, the $\theta \epsilon a \gamma o i$ are to be identified with the mactoфópot, but PSI IX 1039 argues against this. The word receives no entry in G. Ronchi, Lexicon Theonymon. In the present account there is one entry each day except one for a payment to the $\theta \epsilon a \gamma o ́ c$, and the amounts vary from 1 dr .5 ob . to 24 dr . a day. These amounts bear no consistent relation to the sum of the Bódou-entries for each day. The day with no $\theta \epsilon a \gamma \hat{\varphi}$-entry - Phaophi 18 - is followed by the top payment of 24 dr ., which is perhaps for two days. If the payments relate to a specific service rather than a more general tax or subsidy, the reference to $\pi \lambda o i \omega \nu \theta \epsilon \alpha \gamma \hat{\omega} \nu$ in P. Ryl. II 196. 13-14 may be relevant; if that is not a fossilized tax, the relationship to the boats of taxpayers, village officials and government remains problematical.

9 The total is an obol short.
 17-27. This appears to be the only papyrological evidence for nocturnal fishing. If fishing took place only when there was adequate moonlight, this might explain the cessation in this account of nocturnal activity after Phaophi 27. Oppian refers to fishing with the aid of torches (Cyn. iv 140-3; Hal. iv $640-6$ and v 428-31); for nocturnal fishing see also Hal. iii 85-7.

16 The total ignores all obols.
${ }_{27}$ This is the total for Phaophi 11-13. It is not the sum of the daily totals, which would be 89 dr . 4 ob . The true total of the individual $\beta$ ólo etc. would be 9 odr .2 ob .

45 The total is a drachma short.
60135 dr . is the correct addition of the given daily totals for Phaophi 14-17. The true figure, however, should be 136 dr .

94239 dr . is the total for Phaophi 18-21. The daily totals for these days are correct, but the sum given in 94 is an obol short.

II I The total given for the day, 44 dr ., is 4 ob . short.
128 This is the correct addition of the daily totals given for Phaophi22-24. See 111 n .; the figure should have been 145 dr .5 ob .
$138 a \ddot{a} \lambda \lambda o(v)$. Is anything meant other than a seventh Bódoc?
${ }_{161}$ This is the total for Phaophi 25-7.
${ }^{174-5} \eta$ Bódov àvzi $\epsilon$. We are at a loss for the explanation of this curious statement.
$188 \phi \nu \zeta^{\prime}$ is given as the sum of the correct daily totals for Phaophi $28-9$ but is 2 dr .2 ob . short.
195 The total is 100 dr . short.

## 3496. Notice of Credit in Grain

Notice of grain credited to Zoilus, to meet his metropolitan tax dues for the area of the village of Peenno in the middle toparchy. Cf. 3497. It is stated that the grain was debited from amounts already transferred to meet metropolitan taxes for Peenno. Possibly the holder of the account from which transfer had originally been made had paid in error taxes scheduled for settlement by Zoilus, perhaps through misunderstanding the terms of a lease or the like; if so the present document would be in essence simply the transference of a tax-account credit. $\dot{a} \pi \grave{o} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ (6) may suggest
that the original payer had met other tax liabilities in the Peenno－metropolitan category besides the amount paid in error；and if the above analysis were correct，the amount debited to him in favour of Zoilus would not need to be made up later．But we would expect such a third party to be named．A simpler suggestion is that Zoilus may have overpaid his taxes for the 21st year（A．D． $212 / 13$ ），and now the surplus instead of being refunded is being credited to him against his current tax obligations．

The back is blank．

```
                    \Deltal\epsilonc\tau\alphá\lambda(\eta<\alpha\nu) (\piv\rhoov̂) \gamma\epsilon\nu\etá\mu(a\tauoc)
                    \tauo\hat{v}\pi\rho\circ\delta\iota\epsilon\lambda\eta\lambda\nu0[ó\tauoc]
                    кạ (\epsilon̈\tauоис) Ма́\rhoкои
                    Aùp\eta\lambdaiou C\epsilonou\etaj\rhoou
5 'Av\tau\omegavívov Kaí[c]a\rhoo[c]
        \tauov̂ кvpíov ả\piò \tau\hat{\omega}v
        \pi\rhoос\tau\epsilon0(\epsilon\iotaс\hat{\nu}v)\dot{v}(\pi\grave{\epsilon}\rho)\pi\rho(ак\tauорєíac)
        П\epsilon\epsilon\nu\nu\grave{\omega}\mu\eta\tau\rhoо\pi(ó\lambda\epsilon\omegaс)
        - Z\omegaí\lambda\omega \pi\rho(\epsilonc)\beta(v\tau\epsiloń\rho\omega) Z\omegaí\lambda(ov)
10 П\epsilon\epsilon\nu\nu\grave{\omega}\pi\underline{O}\̣(\epsilon\omegac) \dot{\alpha}\rho\tau\alphá}\beta(\alpha\iota
        \tau\epsilońсса\rhoєс \etaँ\eta\iotaс\nu
        \tauє́\tauа\rhoто\nu \chi(оі́v\iotaкєс) ऽ',
```





```
        C€оv\etá\rhoоv 'A\nu\tauبч!̣![\nuov]
        \Piар0\iotaкой M\epsilonүі́сто⿱
        B\rho\epsilon\tauа\nu⿺ко\hat{v}M\epsilon\gammai[<\tauо⿱]
        \Gamma\epsilon\rho\muа\nu\imath[ко仑 M\epsilon\gammaíc\tauо⿱]
20 Eủc\epsilon\beta[oûc C\epsilon\betaactov̂,]
    T\hat{v}\beta\iota.[
        'Iс\chiv\rho[
        a\pi..[
        .[
```

$9 Z \omega i ́ \lambda \omega$ slightly inset and preceded by short horizontal mark，$\rho \beta$
＇Transferred，from the wheat－crop of the year before last－the 2 Ist year of Marcus Aurelius Severus Antoninus Caesar the lord，from the（artabas）credited to the tax－district of Peenno，for metropolitan taxes，to Zoilus the elder，son of Zoilus，for Peenno for metropolitan taxes，four and three－quarters artabas and 6 choenices，tot．art． $4 \frac{3}{4}$ ，choen．6．The 23rd year of Imperator Caesar Marcus Aurelius

Severus Antoninus Parthicus Maximus Britannicus Maximus Germanicus Maximus Pius Augustus， Tybi ．．．Ischyr－．．．＇

2－3 Why is the wheat transferred a year and a half old ？If the document represents an administrative correction of the records（see the introd．above）rather than a real physical transfer（see too 7 n ．below）， perhaps the original（and erroneous）payment had been made following the harvest of the 2 ist year（if the reading in 3 is correct）and in wheat of that harvest．
$7 \pi \rho o c \tau \epsilon \theta(\epsilon i c \hat{\omega} \nu)$ signifies an accounting transfer rather than a physical transference of the grain，see F．Preisigke，Girowesen， 120.
$8 \mu \eta \tau \rho о \pi(o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega c)$ ：cf．$\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega c$ in XLIV 31657,3169 passim and especially 31855 with n ．
9 The mark before $Z \omega i \lambda \omega$ is perhaps from a letter（e．g．the crossbar of a $\tau$ ）begun in error．
$\pi \rho(\epsilon \subset) \beta(v \tau \epsilon \in \rho)$ ：the form of the abbreviation suggests that the writer had $\pi \rho \circ \subset \beta$－compounds in
 reference to Mayser－Schmollıip． 45 lines $38-42(\S 6,5)$ ；also F．T．Gignac，A Grammar of the Greek Papyri of the Roman and Byzantine periods， 1 （Cisalpino－La Goliardica，1976），p． 290.

13 ［ $\chi$（oiv／кєс） $\bar{\zeta}$ ．］：a trace of ink does survive，but it remains unclear to what precisely it should be assigned．

14－15 These two lines are severely effaced．The year number in 14 is transcribed solely on the basis of $\kappa \underline{q}$（itself uncertain）in 3 ．

## 3497．Notice of Credit in Grain

Notice of credit in grain issued by the sitologi of Ophis in the eastern toparchy． The transfer was made from one private account to another，in all likelihood to meet tax－liabilities assessed on the latter under the village of Phoboou，in the same toparchy． The form follows familiar lines．Cf．XXXI 2591，with the introd．to 2588－91；further， III 613－18；XII 1539－40；XXXVIII 2871；O．Brüss． 65 ；SIFC 43 （i971）i57－8；and F．Preisigke，Girowesen， 143 seqq．An unexpected feature is provided in I I－I2，where the writer declares this notice of credit to be a duplicate．Presumably the original had been lost，see in n．

The back is blank．

$$
\delta \iota \epsilon \subset \tau \alpha ́ \lambda(\eta \subset \alpha \nu) \pi v \rho o \hat{v} \gamma \epsilon \nu \dot{\eta} \mu(a \tau o c)
$$


Aủpク入íou Cєouńpou
＇Avtwvivou Kaícapoc
тô кvpíov $\delta \iota a ̀$ cıтод（ó $\gamma \omega \nu$ ）


${ }^{`} Н \rho а к \lambda \hat{a} \tau \hat{\varphi} \kappa(\alpha i)$ Пєк［úcє七？］
ఆо白ıoc Фoßúov ．［．］．
 $\phi \theta a ́ v \omega$ §̀ $\tau o ̀ ~ a u ̛ \tau o ̀ ~ c u ́ \mu \beta o \lambda(o v) ~$

Io $\left.\varsigma^{\prime} \xi\right) \quad$ II $\nu$ of $\phi$ Qán $^{\prime} \omega$ corr．
'Transferred, of wheat from the produce of the present 24th (?) year of Marcus Aurelius Severus Antoninus Caesar the lord, through the sitologi of Ophis, from the deposit of Claudia Ptolema also called D. . , to Heraclas also called Pekysis (?), son of Thoonis, for Phoboou... $7 \frac{1}{4}$ artabas and 4 choenices, tot. art. $7 \frac{1}{4}$, choen. 4. I have already issued the same receipt. Signed by me, Horion.'
$2 \kappa \delta$ : less likely is $\kappa$. The 24th year of Caracalla corresponds to A.D. $215 / 16$, but the exact date of the present document must fall in 216 since the document was issued after the harvest of the 24 th year, which is said still to be current.

6-7 A Claudia Ptolema appears in IV 810 of A.D. 134/5, but that is hardly likely to be the same person unless her private account was kept up under her name after her death.
 Пєк-.

9 After the village-name, a low trace at the edge of the lacuna; beyond the lacuna a long horizontal trace, perhaps of a line filler (cf. 3, 5, i i and 12 where extended final strokes fill out the lines).
ı The abbreviations at the beginning of the line remain puzzling. $\varsigma$ (iтov) for the first seems excluded
 the need for these in this apparently simple single-quantity transfer is not apparent. Given the lacuna in 9 , attempts to understand these abbreviations separately may be pointless.

1I-12 The same sense, differently worded, is given by O. Bodl. II 549. I and the parallel examples to which the note there refers us; add O. Leid. 258. Obviously related are the phrases of dià tò фácкєiv $\pi a \rho a \pi \epsilon \pi \tau \omega \kappa^{\prime} v a l-t y p e$, discussed by H. C. Youtie, Scriptiunculae il 934-6. Further references are given by R. A. Coles, TAPA 97 (1966) 64. [The text to which that note refers has to be reconsidered in the light of P. NYU 5-11a: see N. Lewis, BASP 7 (1970) 112.]

## 3498. Sale and Cession of Land

$504 \mathrm{~B} \cdot 34 / \mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{I}_{3}\right) \mathrm{a} \quad 23.5 \times 3 \mathrm{I} 5 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad 27$ October A.D. 274
Of this large sheet of papyrus cut from a roll all four edges are represented, but the upper left portion, with more than a quarter of the text, is lost. There is a kollesis c. 7 cm . from the right-hand edge. The back is blank.

The loss of text from lines $1-25$ amounts to at least forty letters at the beginning of every line and is too extensive to allow a certain reconstruction. From the subscription we know that C. Julius Diogenes sells and cedes half of 2511 arouras. We can see that the buyer was a woman and that the land was at the village of Seryphis, but many details of previous ownership, boundaries, etc., which were clearly the subject of $5^{-18}$, seem lost beyond recovery (see commentary).

The price of the land is one interesting new fact to come from the document. Half of $25 \frac{11}{16}$ arouras of artificially irrigated arable land sold at five talents of silver money ( 30,000 drachmas) yields a price of $2,335 \%$ drachmas per arura. In Aeg. 23 (1943) $3^{8-44}$ O. Montevecchi has collected the known prices, qualities and areas of land, and A. C. Johnson, Roman Egypt, 146-7, made certain calculations from more limited material. Both agree that too many factors are unknown to begin to explain the enormous variations in prices. This is quite true, but it does seem consistent that a piece of overgrown land sells at $\mathrm{I}, 200 \mathrm{dr}$. per ar. in A.D. 249 (XIV 1636), while artificially irrigated land which seems to be in good order sells at about twice as much twenty-five years later in 3498.

For the type of document and a short bibliography see O．Montevecchi，La Papirologia，210－1I．The parallels which have proved most useful for supplying the missing parts are IX 1208，XIV 1636，XXIV 2723，and P．Wisc．I 9.

> Гáïoc 'Ioúdıoc $\Delta \iota o \gamma$ ér $\eta$ с
> c. $40-45$ letters
> ]..[.].[.]с $\tau \hat{\eta} \subset \lambda \alpha \mu \pi \rho o \tau \alpha ́ \tau \eta \subset$
> c. $30-35 \quad \tau \hat{\eta} \subset \lambda \alpha \mu \pi \rho \hat{\alpha}$ каi]

$\chi \rho \eta \mu \alpha \tau \iota \zeta$ ои́с $\eta к а \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \dot{\alpha}$＇$P \omega \mu \alpha i ́ \omega \nu$


 $\pi а \tau \rho o ̀ c ~ T \epsilon i ́ \rho \omega \nu о с ~ \eta ँ \mu ı с v ~$
c． $40-45$
$\alpha] \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi o \nu$ aủ $\tau o \hat{v}$

c． $35-40$
$\pi \epsilon \rho i] \kappa \dot{\omega} \mu \eta \nu C_{\epsilon \rho \hat{v} \phi \iota \nu}$

c．40－45 $\quad \hat{\epsilon}] \delta a \phi \hat{\omega} \nu$ cıтルк $\hat{\nu} \nu$
iठı $\omega \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\eta} \subset \dot{\alpha} \rho о \nu \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ єїкосı

| $\pi \epsilon \in \nu \tau \epsilon \dot{\eta} \mu$ ícouc ob $\gamma \delta$ óov є́ | c． $10-15$ | ］．．．${ }^{\text {apoupoaı }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| ठ́́като⿱ ঠvoтрıа́косто⿱ |  | ］．．．$\tau \rho \circ \chi$ о仑 к $\alpha$ |

$\lambda \alpha ́ к \kappa о и ~ к а i ̀ ~ \phi и \tau \hat{\omega \nu} \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \mu \eta \chi а \nu \hat{\eta} с$
c． $40-45$
$\left.\alpha{ }_{\alpha}\right] \rho о ч \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ єїкось
$\pi \epsilon ́ v \tau \epsilon \dot{\eta} \mu i ́ c o u c$ ỏ $\gamma \delta$ óov є̀ккаı $\delta \epsilon$－
ка́тоv с．35－40 ］a ảpoúpac



c． $40-45$ ］．$\lambda \omega \nu$ ，



 ßорра̂ каі $\frac{\epsilon}{\pi} \pi \eta \lambda \iota \omega ́ \tau о ⿱ ~ a i ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi a ́ \nu \omega ~$


 тồ $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \rho \circ \cup \subset \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{v} \delta \rho \epsilon \cup \mu \alpha ́-$
 vоніснатос $\delta$ рахиàс
 ठıà $\chi \epsilon \iota \rho o ́ c, ~ к а i ̀ \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ \tau о \hat{v}$




 $\mu \eta \chi a \nu \hat{\eta} \subset \kappa \alpha i \begin{gathered}\tau \hat{\omega} \nu\end{gathered}$
 aủ兀 $\hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\omega} \subset \epsilon \in \dot{a} \nu \quad \alpha i \rho \hat{\eta}, \mu \eta \delta \epsilon-$


 $\pi \alpha ́ v \tau \omega \nu$ та́сŋ $\beta \epsilon \beta \alpha \iota \dot{\iota} \subset є \iota$ каі ка-








 $\pi \rho o ̀ c ~ \eta ٌ \nu ~ к а і ~ \epsilon i ̂ v a ı ~ \tau \alpha ̀ ~ a ̉ \pi o ̀ ~ \lambda \eta \mu \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$












 Mєyíctou Eủcєßoûc Eủ̃uxoûc
$40 \quad C_{\epsilon} \beta a c \tau o \hat{v}, \Phi_{a \hat{\omega} \phi ı} \lambda$-.


 $\mu^{\prime \prime} \rho o u c$
 $\dot{a} \pi \dot{\epsilon} \subset \chi o v$
 па́cŋ $\beta_{\epsilon} \beta \epsilon \omega \dot{\omega} \subset \iota$
45
 ஸ́ $\mu о \lambda o ́ \gamma \eta с а$.

'Gaius Julius Diogenes... of the most glorious... of the glorious and most glorious city of the Oxyrhynchites, son of Gaius Julius Tiro... of the glorious cities of the Oxyrhynchites and the... to...daughter of... and however else he was styled, acting without a guardian according to the customs of the Romans by the ius liberorum, greetings. I acknowledge that I have sold and ceded to you henceforth and for ever the half share [that belongs to me by right of inheritance formerly] my said father Tiro's... division of property (with) his brother(?) Valerianus, the title from which... of $25 \frac{11}{16}$ aruras of private land in grain-growing ground . . near the village of Seryphis of the western toparchy... (of which the half share is) of $12 \frac{27}{32}$ aruras... and of the share of the wheel and the cistern and the plants and the irrigation machine $\ldots 5^{\frac{11}{16}}$ aruras... $3^{\frac{19}{98}}$ aruras, of which the boundaries are, on the south in the eastern section..., in the western section the following parcel, on the north private land belonging to... and others (?), on the east a dam, on the west in the northern section..., in the southern a canal ; in the other parcel the remaining $12 \frac{7}{24}$ aruras, of which the boundaries are, on the south private land belonging to ..., on the north and east the above (aruras?), on the west a canal(?). The sum agreed between us as
the price and cession-value of the half share of the aforesaid 2511 aruras and of the share of the water sources and irrigation machine and all the things named along with them, that is 30,000 drachmas of imperial silver coinage, which are five talents, I have received on the spot in full from your hand. And to your question whether I have been paid the whole sum I have given my agreement. You shall therefore own and possess with your descendants and successors the half share of the aforesaid aruras and of the water sources and irrigation machine and all the things named with them, which is sold and ceded to you by me as stated above, and shall have power to use and dispose of them as you may choose, no right to make claim upon them or any part of them being left to me or to any other on my behalf in any way, and of necessity I shall deliver these to you guaranteed from every claim in perpetuity with every guarantee and free from cultivation of royal or domain land and from every tax and from every debt and lien whether public or private or municipal or senatorial and from everything else whatsoever, further also from maintenance work and water guarding on the dikes and from the public dues payable on them, and from extraordinary imposts and compulsory cultivation of any kind up to and including the past fifth year, because the profits from the present sixth vear belong to you, to whom the land is ceded, and the public dues from the income of the present sixth year and the imposts of all kinds are for you to pay. If any debt or encumbrance upon them(?) appears... (with my?) whole fortune. Anyone who in any way whatsoever takes any legal action or makes any claim in respect of these or a part of them I shall of necessity oppose at my own expense as if in consequence of a legal decision.

The sale and cession written in three copies is authoritative, and you may submit it whenever you choose through a public office without the necessity of my further concurrence because I hereby give my consent to the future public registration. To your question whether this has been done rightly and properly I have given my agreement.

Sixth year of Imperator Caesar Lucius Domitius Aurelianus Gothicus Maximus Carpicus Maximus Pius Felix Augustus, Phaophi 3oth.'
(2nd hand) 'I, Gaius Julius Diogenes and however I am styled, have sold and ceded the half share of the aforesaid 2511 aruras and of the share of the water sources and the irrigation machine and all the things named with them, and I have received the five talents of silver money which are the price and cession-value, and I shall guarantee them with every guarantee, and I give my consent to the public registration, and as regards everything as aforesaid having been asked the formal question I agreed.'

1-2 C. Julius Diogenes, cf. 41, is known as prytanis of Oxyrhynchus in A.D. 279/80, see CE 43 (1968) 325-31, esp. 328-9. (Add to the references there P. Harr. 140.) None of the other documents gives him a long titulature, but here line 2 evidently attributed to him municipal office or offices, present or past, at Oxyrhynchus. Lines $1-2$ evidently attributed to him office in another city, a more important one, since it comes first. Presumably it was Alexandria, cf. e.g. BGU iv 1073 ( $=$ M. Chr. 198). 3-6. A long
 'A $A \epsilon \xi a \nu \delta \rho \epsilon \epsilon \omega \nu$, cf. ibid.

2-4 Line 2 gives Gaius as the praenomen of Diogenes' father, whose cognomen appears from 6 as Tiro. His nomen must evidently have been Julius. He held municipal office, as gymnasiarch or eutheniarch, in Oxyrhynchus and in another city whose lost name followed. Probably, therefore, it was not a more important place. No clear parallel to this has been located. Antinoite citizens could be councillors of Oxyrhynchus, see H. Braunert, Binnenwanderung, 238 and n. 179. Athletic victors could gain citizenship in cities other than their own, cf. e.g. XXVII 2476 32-3, $47^{-8}$. But holding office in two cities, except for the special case of Alexandrians acting in the nome capitals, is most unusual. There may be a connexion here with P. Harr. 140, a fragment containing subscriptions to a division of property among three persons, one of whom is C. Julius Diogenes. Another party, whose name is lost, had the title ларádoछoc, peculiar to athletic victors.
C. Julius Tiro does not occur in P. J. Sijpesteijn, Liste des gymnasiarques, or in its supplement, idem, The Family of the Ti. Iulii Theones, App. A. It is probable that his name should be read in XII 1537 ı, where the edition has the inexplicable form $\Gamma a i[0] v(\gamma \alpha i[o] v) \Delta \psi \delta \dot{v} \mu[o v] T i p \omega v o c$. A photograph has
 required.

4 This line began with каi, followed by the name of another city to match 'O乡v continued with the name, in the dative, of the woman to whom Diogenes ceded the land. After that came her patronymic. Her father was dead, as we see from the tense of каi $\dot{\omega} \subset \dot{\epsilon} \chi \rho \eta] \mu a ́ \tau \iota \zeta \epsilon \nu$.

5-6 Cf. IX 1208 8-9 for the restoration, but of course $\kappa \lambda \eta \rho о \nu о \mu \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \delta \iota \kappa \alpha i \varphi$ is no more than a likely guess, see $7^{-8} \mathrm{n}$.

7-8 Since so much is missing, the sense can only be guessed. Perhaps the most likely course of events is that the land came to Tiro as the result of a division of property between him and his brother Valerianus and that the right of ownership descended by inheritance to Diogenes. If so, restore e.g. $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \rho o c$ tò

 which he concluded with his brother Valerianus, the title from which came to me in accordance with my father's will'. Of course, this is sheer conjecture. To á $] \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi o ́ v, \pi a \tau \rho \alpha ́] \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi o v$ and $\mu \eta \tau \rho \alpha ́] \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi o v$ are
 $\kappa \tau \lambda$. The restoration of 8 is open even wider to other interpretations.

 Only cleruchic land was alienated by $\pi \alpha \rho a \chi \omega ́ \rho \eta<\iota c$.

9-1I The full extent of the land half of which is ceded is known from the subscription, 42. What follows in $10-11$ is evidently the area of the half ceded, cf. P. Wisc. 19. 15-16. The phrase that introduces it in P. Wisc. 9 is uncertainly read, see Pl. III there, but it plainly was not the same. Here restore something


11-12 This passage specifies appurtenances of the land and is shortened in 19-20, 24-5 and 42 to



12-18 The essential wording of this passage has not been recovered, but it is plain that the full extent of the land half of which is ceded was specified again in the genitive (12-13), as ar. $25 \frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{8}+\frac{1}{16}$, and it was said here that it comprised two parcels, cf. $\dot{\epsilon} v \delta \dot{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\eta} \dot{\epsilon} \tau \dot{\tau} \rho q q \not \subset \rho \alpha \gamma \hat{\delta} \delta \iota(16)$. The indispensable elements of the restoration of 14 , cf. e.g. XII $1537_{13-22}$, fill the lacuna there well enough to exclude the addition of the next fraction in the series ( $\delta$ votptaкoctov), and therefore show that the larger parcel was no bigger than the figures in 13 indicate, i.e. ar. $13 \frac{1}{3}+\frac{1}{16}$, from which by subtraction we can arrive at the area of the smaller parcel, i.e. ar. $12 \frac{1}{6}+\frac{1}{8}$, which is to be restored in 17. It is very unusual for the arura to be divided by three instead of the regular two, see W. Gdz. Lxix-Lxx, but $\tau \rho i \neq 0 \nu$ is certain in 13 and the arithmetic enforces the restoration of $\tilde{\epsilon}_{\kappa \tau о \nu}$ in 17 . For a discussion of irregular series of fractions see WO I 776.

The boundaries are not entirely recoverable, but we can see that the larger parcel formed the entire northern and eastern boundaries of the smaller, while the smaller formed only a part of the southern boundary of the larger. The canal which formed the southern part of the larger parcel's western boundary very probably also formed the whole of the western boundary of the smaller parcel. Fig. I shows two possible shapes:


Fig. I
 $i \delta \omega \omega(\tau \iota \kappa \eta)$ ó $\delta \epsilon v o \mu \epsilon \iota \nu \eta$, and there may well be other possibilities.

15 Restore probably каi ä] $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu$, preceded by the name or names of one or more owners of the adjoining private land. Cf. XV 1636 14 à $\ddagger \eta \lambda \iota \omega ́ \tau o v ~ \dot{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu ~ i \delta \iota \omega \tau \iota \kappa \grave{\eta}$.
$17 \dot{\epsilon} \pi \eta \lambda \iota \dot{\omega} \tau o v$. Read $\dot{a} \pi \eta \lambda \iota \omega \dot{\tau} \tau o v$. Eta has been corrected from alpha, which suggests that the writer anticipated $\dot{\epsilon} \pi a \dot{v} \nu \omega$ and failed to correct the error completely.
ai $\dot{\epsilon} \not \subset \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega$. This must mean the other parcel of land, cf. $\dot{\eta} \dot{\epsilon} \xi \hat{\eta} c(s c . c \phi \rho a \gamma i c)$ in 14. If it should not be corrected to $\dot{\eta} \dot{\epsilon} \pi a ́ \nu \omega$ (sc. cфрaүic), the noun to be understood is presumably ápoupai. That it was not written out in 18 is indicated by the fact that forty letters must be supplied in that line according to common form, leaving only about five for the specification of the boundary on the west. This boundary was probably the same as the southern part of the western boundary of the other parcel, i.e. a canal, $\delta \omega \hat{\omega} \rho v \xi$, see 16 . In a similar context in X11 153719 we find $\dot{\eta} \dot{\epsilon} \pi a ́ \nu \omega c \not \subset \rho a[\gamma i] c$. Here, however, the phrase
 there were more than two parcels and understand ai $\dot{\epsilon} \pi a ́ \nu \omega$ ( $\subset \phi \rho a \gamma i \delta \epsilon \subset$ ).

28-9 катохйс...ßоvдєขтькйс. Cf. IX $1208{ }_{21}$ (with BL vi $101=T A P A 97$ (1966) 61. 18 n .); PSI VII 771.16.

That a катохŋ̀ $\beta$ ou入єuтькท must be connected with the bouleutic funds seems necessary and A. K. Bowman, Town Councils, 41-2, shows that the bouleutic funds came from the $\epsilon i c \iota \tau \boldsymbol{\eta} \rho \iota o \nu$ paid by the members of the council and that the fund got interest on the cictrípov if it was not paid in a lump sum. The катохŋ̀ $\beta$ oùєuтькŋ́ must be the lien placed on the councillor's land until the eicıtи́pıov was paid in full. The natural implication of this would be that the seller was a member of the council, which he almost certainly was in the present document, see $1-2 \mathrm{n}$., but in 1208 this was not specified.
$30 \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{u} \pi \dot{\epsilon} \rho$ a $\dot{\tau} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon \lambda o \nu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$. The same should be read in IX 120821 , where $[\dot{\nu}] \pi \dot{\epsilon} \rho \hat{\rho}[\lambda \lambda] \omega \nu$ was restored.
 held liable for any outstanding debt or other encumbrance on the land which may be discovered after the sale. A similar clause stood in XXXIV 2723 24, where only ] $\phi a \nu \eta \epsilon \xi \alpha[$ survives, but no complete parallel has been located. In 34 a high trace before the first lacuna suggests that roúcol[c is better than $\tau o u ́ \tau \omega[$. The second letter after the bigger gap looks very likely to be hypsilon, the first could be omicron. No plausible restoration of the apodosis has been thought of.

## 3499. Synchoresis

223 B. 15/B ( $1-3$ ) C $10 \times 6.6 \mathrm{~cm}$. c. A.D. 298 ?

On the synchoresis see H. J. Wolff, Das Recht d. griechischen Papyri Ägyptens, II 91-5. According to that account (ibid. p. 93) the latest mention of the type dates from A.D. 259. That mention, in SB vili 9878 , concerns a contract actually of A.D. 242, while P. Tebt. II 319 is a synchoresis of A.D. 248 . The present scrap, in any case, may well be from the latest example so far known. Unfortunately the assigned date depends on the identification of Aurelius Tiro alias Apoll. . . (4) with Tiro alias Apollonius councillor in XII 1416 I, cf. XII 1515 4, and it is possible that the double name was not confined to one person, cf. 4 n . If the same person is meant, as seems most likely, this document might be some decades earlier than 1416, but even that would put it among the latest examples of the form.

The back is occupied by an account published here as 3502 .

$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \chi \rho \eta \mu a \tau \iota c \tau \hat{\omega} \nu] \kappa \alpha i ̀ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ä $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu \kappa \rho \iota \tau \eta \rho i \omega \nu$, (vac.)



<br> ] $\tau \hat{\eta} \kappa \alpha i \Delta \iota \delta u ́ \mu \eta$ àc $\pi \rho о с \nu \nu \eta \dot{\eta} \lambda \lambda a \xi \in \nu \quad a[$ ] ạ́cфádєıav $\gamma \epsilon \gamma о \nu v \epsilon i ́ a \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \pi \rho о \delta \iota \epsilon \lambda \eta[\lambda \nu \theta o ́ \tau \iota$<br>].[. . . .].[. . .].[..........]. . . . . . [


'To....alias Turbo, priest, archidicastes, and officer in charge of the chrematistae and other courts, from...alias Didyme daughter of Saras alias Diogenes... acting through Aurelius Tiro alias Apoll... (and from)... whose mother is Aline, from the same city, together with Aurelius Sa . . . from the same city, the guardian granted to her after the custom of the Romans. ....agrees...to....alias Didyme... for which she contracted previously...(according to) a deed executed in the . . .th year last past...'

1 This archidicastes is not in the list in P. J. Sijpesteijn, The Family of the Tiberii Iulii Theones, 129-49. The latest known archidicastes is now one of A.D. 289, see P. Coll. Youtie il 73, published later than the list. One unnamed archidicastes mentioned in P. Cair. inv. 1053 I. 4 is ascribed to the fourth century by Sijpesteijn.

1-3 The invariable formula shows that between the end of 1 and the beginning of 2 about 30 letters are missing, cf. 5-6 n., but the distribution of these between the lines is uncertain. In 3 after mapá we
 $A \dot{u} \rho \eta \lambda i c$ or another nomen as well as Turbo's first name, so that possibly more may have been lost at the beginnings of the lines and less at the ends than the printed layout suggests.

3-4 The gap must have been partly occupied by the mention of a city, cf. $\tau \hat{\eta} \subset a \dot{\tau} \tau \hat{\eta} \subset \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega \subset$ in 5 and 7. Oxyrhynchus is most likely. The form is uncertain.

4 Restore probably 'Amodㅅ[ $\omega v i ́ o v$. A councillor of Oxyrhynchus called Tiro alias Apollonius appears in XII 1416 I, which is now assigned to A.D. 298, see C. Vandersleyen, Chronologie des préfets, $67-70$, with $\mathcal{F} R S 66$ (1976) 160. The same combination occurs in XII 1515 4, undated. Apollonius is a very common name, but Tiro is certainly rare enough to encourage the presumption that all three texts refer to the same man, see also introd.
 5 ]. xtoc might be part of her alias, or part of her patronymic, or even papponymic.

5-6 Cf. SB inl 6291. 2 for the supplement, which agrees in extent with the necessary restoration of $1-2$.

7 ]. troc. This might be part of father's, mother's, or grandfather's name.
7-8 The subject of cuyх $\omega \rho \in \hat{\imath}$ was the woman whose name appeared in the gap between 4 and 5 .
8 Also possible is $\pi \rho \circ<\nu \nu \dot{\eta} \lambda \lambda a \xi \in \nu a[$.

3500. Marriage Contract

## 8 ェ B. 186/E(b)

Third century
 Oxyrhynchus, in 'private protocol' form (see H. J. Wolff, Proc. XIV Int. Congr. Papyrol. 349-54) like X 1273, where however the ${ }^{\epsilon} \kappa \delta$ оcıc of the bride is from the hand of one of her parents. 3500 is of interest for its formula of self- $\epsilon \kappa \delta o c i c$ of the
bride and for the profession of the two contracting partners．The two factors may be related；i．e．the peculiar status of the profession may explain the unusual formula which bears only a formal resemblance to the Greek type of $\epsilon \kappa \delta \delta с \iota \subset$（although that does not amount to an assertion that the éviadıactai were juridically a separate class， cf． 12 n ．）．There is no mention of any dowry；if simple poverty is not the reason，this may in turn be due to the self－$-\frac{\kappa}{\kappa} \delta$ осı arrangement（but contrast in．below）．

For self－$\check{\epsilon} \kappa \delta о с \iota<$ see also P．Dura 30 and especially J．Modrzejewski＇s account in Scritti Montevecchi，252－5．

The formula（ $\left.\dot{\alpha} \pi^{\prime}\right)$＇O乡v ${ }^{\prime} \dot{\gamma} \gamma \chi \omega \nu \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega c$ indicates a date earlier in the century， before the change of titulature in the late 260s：D．Hagedorn，$Z P E_{12}$（1973）esp． 278 and 28 I with n ． 19.

Broken off below．The back is blank．


```
            Kúpı入入а 'Icı \(\delta \dot{\omega} \rho o u ~ \mu \eta \tau \rho o ̀ c ~ C ı \nu \theta \omega ́ v ı o c ~ a ̉ \pi ' ~ ' O-~\)
```



```
    Пає̣ĩoc \(\mu \eta \tau \rho o ̀ c ~ T a v \hat{\eta} \tau o c\) ảnò \(\tau \hat{\eta} \subset ~ a v ̉ \tau \hat{\eta} \subset ~ \pi o ́-~\)
```



```
    \(\tau \omega c a \nu\) ov̉v ả入入ńdouc oi \(\gamma \alpha \mu o v ̂ \nu \tau \epsilon c ~ \phi u \lambda a ́ c-~\)
```





```
ı \(\quad[\lambda] \eta ́ \lambda о \iota<~ \mu \epsilon \tau о \xi \grave{v}[A \hat{u}] \rho \eta \lambda i ́ \omega \nu \Delta \iota o \gamma \hat{a} \tau о c \Delta \iota o \gamma \epsilon\) -
```





```
    [....].. тоит \(\alpha\) [....].oc \(\kappa[\) c. 7 letters ].[...]
```



```
    [ c. 5 ]...[
2-3 oछv \(v^{\prime} \gamma^{\prime} \chi \omega \nu \quad 7 \gamma\) of \(\gamma^{\prime} \mu \alpha v\) corr.?
```

＇For good fortune．Aurelia Cyrilla daughter of Isidorus，her mother being Sinthonis，from the city of Oxyrhynchus has given herself in marriage to Aurelius Pasigonis son of Paeis（？），his mother being Taues，from the same city；both of them are embalmers．Let husband and wife therefore live together with each other，observing the rights of the marriage．The husband is further to provide his wife with all the necessities as far as he is able．This mutual agreement was made in the presence of Aurelius Diogas son of Diogenes and Aurelius Sarapion son of Paulinus，both members of the same profession，on condition that if on the one hand Cyrilla without any reasonable cause．．．＇

I The self－$\check{\kappa} \kappa \delta$ оск of P．Giss． 2.8 is different in that the bride acts with her father as кúpıoc．That contract includes a dowry，unlike 3500 ：but the involvement of a кúploc in P．Giss． 2 may invalidate direct comparison．

4 Пaє̂iroc．Пáştoc might possibly be read．
5 évraфıactaí．Cf．H．C．Youtie，Scriptiunculae，I 90－7；also L．Koenen，ZPE 9 （1972）20－1．For women in such professions cf．M．San Nicolò，Äg．Vereinswesen（revised ed．，1972），I 99.
io $\mu \epsilon \tau \circ \xi u ́$. Cf．XLVII 334566 and F．T．Gignac，Grammar，I， 287.
12 cuvo $\mu \boldsymbol{\phi}[\dot{\nu} \lambda] \omega \nu$ ．Cf．P．Grenf．II 78．4，ó $\mu o ́ \phi u \lambda \downarrow \nu$ ，of a woman in the context of marriage to an
 separate treatment for the（there inferior）class concerned（ $\gamma \dot{\lambda} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ каi ca $\theta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu, \S 112.244$ ）．It is not clear whether the details and vocabulary of the present contract indicate that évtaфıactaí may have been similarly in a special juridical position．There were some restrictions on the marriage of priests，entailed by the position they held，cf．R．Taubenschlag，Laww ${ }^{2}$ ，Iog，but it seems unlikely that $\begin{gathered}\text { évtaфiactaí were }\end{gathered}$ rated as priests，see M．San Nicolò，op．cit．I 97.

13 Cf．P．Lond．vi7ir．43－4，$\chi$ wpic єủ入óyov aitíac．

## 3501．Invitation to an Epicrisis

223 B．14／G（7－10）c
The present document offers little variation from previously known specimens of invitations，except in line 6 ，which is still not understood，see $n$ ．On the type see $\mathcal{F} E A$ 6I（1975） 25 I－4．To the list there（p． 253 n．2）add XLIV 3202 and P．Coll． Youtie II 5I－2．The item from Cologne is now republished as P．Köln I 57．Other invitations specifically to celebrate an epicrisis are VI 926 （ $=$ W．Chr．486）and XXXVI 2792．On the institution of epicrisis see C．A．Nelson，Status Declarations in Roman Egypt．

The hand is an upright stylized cursive，more carefully written，but otherwise comparable with P．Mert．I 29 （third－fourth century A．D．）and 32 （early fourth century A．D．）．The papyrus is a palimpsest．The earlier text was written in a small cursive hand upside－down in relation to the invitation．It has been so thoroughly washed off that nothing more than an isolated letter or two can be read．The back is blank．

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { калєî caı Cúpoc ¢ } \mathbb{i} \text { 亿 } \\
& \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \text { éniкрıcıv [ } \tau \circ \hat{v} \\
& \text { viov̂ aủ } o \hat{v} \text { - cù ка!̣̀ } \\
& \dot{\eta} \gamma v \nu \dot{\eta} \text { cou- } \alpha \pi \grave{o} \text { [ } \\
& 5 \text { évvá } \tau \eta \subset \text {. .[ 2-3 letters } \\
& \text { §єvєıста. [ } \\
& \text { Mєсорѝ } \iota \in \text { [ }
\end{aligned}
$$

1．C€ 3 üĩov 5 l．є̇vát
＇Syrus invites you to his son＇s epicrisis－you and your wife－from the ninth hour．．．Mesore 15．＇
1－2 The restoration follows the wording of XXXVI 2792．A longer version appears in VI 926 －ка $\lambda_{\epsilon} \hat{\imath}$

 or absence of $\delta \epsilon \iota \pi \nu \hat{\eta}$ ca makes a significant difference to the nature of the invitation.

3 Wives are not usually mentioned in invitations, which may account for the broken syntax.
 there are also traces to the right above it which might suggest the monogram of omega and rho often used for this word, e.g. XXXIII 2678, or might be offsets or part of the palimpsest text. If $\tilde{\omega}_{\rho}(\alpha c)$ is to be read in 5 , the end of 4 may have held $\tau \hat{\eta} c$ or have been left blank. If not, $\dot{\omega} \rho a c$ or $\dot{\omega} \rho(a c)$ is to be restored in 4 . No likely longer version has been thought of, cf. 1-2 n.

For the spelling of évát $\begin{aligned} \text { c cf. F. T. Gignac, Grammar, } 1158 .\end{aligned}$
6 No satisfactory articulation of $\delta \epsilon v \epsilon i c \tau a$. [ has been achieved. In P. Fay. 132 the invitation is to dine
 that we expect the location to be given, but $\epsilon i$ c $\alpha \dot{\prime}$ would leave $\delta \epsilon v$ in unsatisfactory isolation. Cf. perhaps the syllable omitted in $\delta \in \hat{\nu}\langle\rho o\rangle \mu \epsilon \tau^{\prime}$ av̇тồ, X $1297{ }_{15}$. It is possible that the sigma has been cancelled very discreetly, but the extra ink may well be accidental.

7 Mesore $15=8$ August.

## 3502. Account

223 B. 15/B (1-3) c
The writing shows similarities to P. Mert. I 28 (late third century) and 38 (mid fourth century) and the account stands on the back of $\mathbf{3 4 9 9}$ (c. A.D. 298 ?). The text seems to have been an account of thread or other spun material, see in. on $\nu \eta \mu a \tau![$. At present little can be made of it.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 入óүo(v.) } \subset \nu \eta \mu a \tau![ \\
& \text { ठıà Capuáтov та } \\
& \text { кє̀ } \pi a \rho a ̀ ~ ' A \theta a v a[c i ́ o v ~ \\
& \kappa \grave{\epsilon} \pi \alpha \rho a ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \theta a \text {.[ } \\
& \text { (vac.) }
\end{aligned}
$$

$5 \kappa \grave{\epsilon}$ ä $\lambda \lambda \eta c \dot{\alpha} \gamma \omega \gamma \hat{\eta}[c$
Сарнáтךс (vac.) [
$\Theta \hat{\omega} \nu \iota \subset$ (vac.) [
Koтлєúc (vac.) [

$$
2 \pi \text { corr. ex incert. } \quad 3^{-5} \text { I. каí }
$$

'Account of thread(?)...through Sarmates from(?)... and from Athanasius....and from the... Another load...Sarmates, Thonis, Copreus...'

$\nu \eta \mu a \tau_{4}[$. Though interrupted in the middle, the tall vertical, which also descends slightly below the base-line, looks unlikely to be anything other than iota. The only recorded possibility is vquatıкóc, which occurs once in Athenaeus Mechanicus, see C. Wescher, Poliorcétique des Grecs, p. 34 line 7 . Since vé $\omega$ means to spin, the description by LSJ of the o̊ $\boldsymbol{\pi} \lambda о \nu \nu \eta \mu a \tau \iota \kappa o ́ v$ as 'a band of plaited rope or webbing' must be wrong. The material was spun.

The two references to $\nu \hat{\eta} \mu a$ in Daris, Spoglio, lead to epitaphs which mention the thread of Fate, but $\nu \eta \mu$ () occurs once in the papyri to mean thread, P. Masp. III 67339. I $\lambda o ́(\gamma o c) ~ \nu \eta \mu(\alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$ ?') каícт $\eta \mu(o ́ v \omega \nu)$, and once in P. Lond. iv 1433.25, where thread looks unlikely. At this point the account mentions boats, woods of various kinds, ropes, and perhaps stakes of wood. The $\nu \eta \mu()$, of which two hundredweights cost five solidi, looks likely to have been cordage of some different material than the rush ropes, cxol $(\nu-)$, mentioned along with it.

The form * $\imath \eta \mu a ́ \tau \iota o v$ is not yet recorded, but may be thought as likely here as vұиaтıкóc.
$2 \pi \alpha[\rho \alpha ́ ~ l o o k s ~ l i k e l y, ~ c f . ~ 3-4 . ~$
$4 \theta \alpha$. [. The traces at the end are much confused and damaged. Perhaps a name stood here, though most names in $\Theta a$ - are feminine, and the other names in the piece are masculine.

6-8 To the right of the names is a tall narrow blot, which extends to the broken foot, and other scattered flecks of ink.

# VII. PRIVATE LETTERS 

## 3503. Double Letter

344 B. $76 / \mathrm{K}(10) \mathrm{b}$
Later first century A.D.
The lower part of a double letter. The papyrus is broken at the top and a small amount is also missing from the left-hand edge. The bottom too is broken and stripped, but the last line on the papyrus contains at least the beginning of a farewell formula. The back is blank.

A date by month and day is given in line 5 . The hand looks first century, and this is supported by the use of epistolary formulas which are found only up to the end of the first century, see $3^{-4} \mathrm{n}$. The date can perhaps be narrowed down further, for the first prefect to adopt the title крátıcтос, which occurred in line 8, was L. Iulius Vestinus (A.D. 59-62), cf. 346428 n .

Lines I-5 preserve the close of a letter addressed to a woman. Leaving a slight space the writer began a new letter addressed to Zoilus, his 'brother'. This is a term which is often just epistolary usage and it need not imply any relationship, cf. XIV 16652 n., XVII 2148 introd. Examples of two or more letters written on the same sheet of papyrus and addressed to different members of a household are not uncommon, e.g. P. Tebt. II 416 , VII 1067, XXI 2599, SB in 6263 , cf. J. G. Winter, Life and Letters in the Papyri, 49.

In lines $8-\mathrm{II}$ the writer refers to a pronouncement by the prefect of Egypt, perhaps ordering that complaints against the strategus were to be heard in Alexandria by the prefect himself.

Lines II-I4 mention a problem over boundaries involving the inhabitants of a village called Psobthis.
.....].[........].[..].[.......]..[...
......]. a фоóvтıcov. $\pi \rho[\grave{o}] \pi a ́ v \tau \omega \nu$ сє-

......]. ov Tєх̣чсои̂v. (vac.)
5 ] (vac.) $\Phi a \mu \epsilon \nu \dot{\omega} \theta \bar{\kappa}$.
. . . .]. $Z \omega \dot{i} \lambda \omega \tau \hat{\omega} \hat{\omega} \dot{a} \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \hat{\omega}$
] $\chi$ aí $\rho \in \tau v$.
ó кра́] $\tau[\iota] с \tau о с ~ \dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \mu \dot{\omega} \nu \epsilon і ̈ \rho \eta \kappa \epsilon \nu[\tau]$ oùc
....] .] $\tau$ тас $\pi \rho o ̀ c ~ \tau o ̣ ̀ \nu ~ с т \rho а \tau \eta \gamma o ̀ v ~ є i ̣ c ~ ’ ~ A-~$


 ....]к[.]. . тро̀с тоѝc $\Psi \omega \beta \theta є i ́ \tau a c$

I 5
 $\nu \tau \epsilon \subset$ ікауоі аُ $\nu \eta к є . \tau . .[] ..[] ..[.] . .$.


'...take thought... Before all things take care of yourself. Farewell, my lady,...Techosous. Phamenoth...'
'...to Zoilus his brother, greetings. His excellency the prefect has said...against(?) the strategus...to(?) Alexandria and...to hear them. Therefore I am writing to you that you may know. If it is no trouble to you and... has need of you against the people of Psobthis in the matter of the boundary, do not hesitate. For they are encroaching on me by more than an arura, believing themselves able to carry out. . . Farewell...'

2-3 The closing formulas are typical of Ptolemaic and early Roman letters to the end of the first century a.d., see F. X. J. Exler, The Form of the Ancient Greek Letter, 115-16.

6 It is likely that the line began with the name of the sender in the nominative.
7 Before xaip $\epsilon \nu$ there is an isolated trace of ink, probably an offset. If not, mod $\lambda_{a}$ or $\pi \lambda \epsilon i c \tau a$ may have preceded $\chi$ aif $\rho \iota \nu$, cf. Exler, op. cit. 28-9, 62-4.

8-II Mr Parsons has suggested $\left.\epsilon^{\epsilon} \chi o\right] \nu \tau a c$ in 9 and $\left.\theta \epsilon \in \lambda_{\epsilon \epsilon}\right]$ in II. The text may then be translated, 'The...prefect has ordered those with complaints against the strategus to Alexandria and he is willing to hear them'. This is the best solution yet suggested, but the lack of an infinitive after $\lambda^{\prime} \gamma \boldsymbol{\gamma} \omega$ in this sense, cf. LSJ s.v. III, 5 , remains a difficulty till a parallel can be found.

12 For the supplement cf. VI 933 29, XXXIV 272718.
12-13 Here perhaps $\dot{o}$ followed by a title or a personal name, or even a personal name beginning with omicron.

13 There were four villages called Psobthis in the Oxyrhynchite nome, see Aeg. 59 (1979) 98-101. Correct the notes to XLVII $3333_{21}, \mathbf{3 3 5 8} 5$, and CPR vi3. 3, which cite only three.

14-15 For the division of mapopíbouct between the lines cf. Mayser-Schmoll I, I pp. 222-3.
 iii 13 (edited again in Le monde grec: hommages à Claire Préaux, p. 760, where this line becomes 23 by a continuous count).

17 Read perhaps $[\kappa \dot{v} \rho]_{\epsilon \epsilon}[$. No traces are visible on the projecting fibres below, but it is not sure that 17 is the last line of the letter.

## 3504. Private Letter

No inv. no.

$$
4.8 \times 8 \mathrm{~cm}
$$

First/second century
The end of a letter, broken at the top and down the right-hand side. The bottom margin and part of the left-hand margin are intact. Five or so letters are missing down the right-hand side but the few lines which remain can be reconstructed with a fair
degree of probability to reveal the writer giving household instructions to those back home. What survives of the back is blank.

The letter is written in a careful, regular hand of a rounded literary type. Several letters are made with loops and a diaeresis is written in line 4. The hand is probably to be dated to the first century, although early second century cannot be entirely excluded. P. Mil. Vogl. IV 235, dated by Foraboschi to the first century, is another example of the same type of literary hand used for a document, although its lettering is larger than that in this papyrus.
.....]..... [
. . . . . . .]. . $\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon .[$
. . $\left.{ }^{a}\right] \mu \epsilon \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} с \eta \subset \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \iota \varphi[$
. . .]. a iцáтıa oтє[
$\left.{ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \rho \rho \omega\right]$ ço. äc $\pi \alpha<a \iota \tau[o u ̀ c$
$\pi \alpha \rho^{\prime}{ }_{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha c\left[\kappa \alpha \tau^{\prime}{ }^{\circ}\right.$ -
vо $\mu$ ккаі $\gamma \rho \alpha ́ \phi є \mu о[\imath \pi \epsilon \rho i$

с $\omega \iota$. $\dot{\alpha} \subset \pi \alpha ́ \zeta_{\epsilon \tau \alpha \iota} \dot{v} \mu[\hat{\alpha} \subset$
$\mu o \hat{\theta} \theta \iota c$. (vac.)
(vac.) $\Phi_{a \rho \mu o u ̂ \theta \iota ~[~}^{\text {l }}$
4 їатьа
... Farewell. Greet all of our people by name and write to me about what you want. I shall do it with the greatest pleasure. ...muthis greets you. Pharmuthi....'

2 Perhaps there is a reference here to $\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \epsilon \rho \rho a$, 'unfinished business', cf. e.g. P. Lond. III 897. 20 (p. 207); P. Mich. Vili 476. 17; 477. 36-7; 479. 16.

3 For various common expressions involving ( $\mu \dot{\eta}$ ) á $\mu \epsilon \lambda \dot{\eta} \subset \eta \subset$ see Classica et Medievalia $1_{1}$ (1938) 162-5.
 free of dust and moths is common in letters, e.g. BGU i11 827. 22; SB v 7992. 17-18; XX 2273 15; P. Mil. Vogl. if 77. I3. In P. Ross. Georg. III I. 17 it is his books that the writer asks to be shaken out, perhaps to get rid of bookworms, cf. E. G. Turner, Greek Papyri, 79.

Supply perhaps ö $\tau \epsilon[\epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \nu \nu \delta \epsilon \in \eta$, 'whenever it is necessary'.
$5 \ddot{\epsilon} \rho \rho \omega]$ ¢o seems probable here, since there is no farewell at the foot.
8 In the second syllable of $\theta_{\epsilon} \lambda_{\epsilon \iota c}$ the diphthong is corrected, apparently from eta. The writer may have been thinking of an indefinite form, e.g. $\pi \epsilon \rho \dot{\iota} \dot{\omega} \nu \stackrel{a}{\omega} \nu$ (or $\epsilon^{\epsilon} \alpha ́ \nu$ ) $\theta \epsilon ́ \lambda \eta c$, though $\epsilon \iota$ and $\eta$ are also phonetic equivalents.

 1 85. 5), cf. the collection of such expressions in Classica et Medievalia 1 (1938) 128-30. The writer may have put $\dot{\omega} \subset \eta{ }_{\eta} \delta \iota c \tau\left[a \pi o \imath \eta^{\prime}\right] c \omega\{\imath\}$ by confusion of two types. Better would be $\dot{\omega} \subset \tilde{\eta} \delta \iota c \tau[a \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \pi o \iota \eta \quad] c \omega\{t\}$, though it seems too long.

9-1o Restore a name such as Patermuthis. For other possibilities see F. Dornseiff-B. Hansen, Rückläufiges Wb. d. griech. Eigennamen, 188.

435 B. 66/F (3) a
$14.2 \times 34.5 \mathrm{~cm}$.
Second century?
With its twenty-eight lines written along the fibres, the papyrus is complete with all four margins preserved, but lines 20-2 have suffered extensive damage. The letter was folded in half horizontally along line 20 , which has almost disappeared because of this. There are six vertical folds which were presumably made when the papyrus was rolled after folding and the roll flattened. There are no signs of a sheet join.

The hand is a large, sprawling, upright cursive, difficult to date. The greeting formula points to the middle of the first century as the earliest possibility, see in.

Пa
(vac.) $\chi a i ́ p \in \iota v$.



$\mu \iota \lambda \tau о \mu \epsilon ́[] \nu а с \overline{\lambda \eta}, \kappa[о] \iota \nu \omega \nu \iota \mu \epsilon ́ a c$

$\tau \iota \nu$ є́ $\mu о \hat{v}, \tau \hat{\eta} \subset \delta a \pi a ́ \nu \eta \subset \pi \alpha ́ c \eta c \tau \hat{\varphi} \nu$
$\tau \epsilon \beta$ отíwv каi $\pi \alpha с \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \psi \iota \alpha ́ \theta \omega \nu$
ойс $\eta$ с $\pi \rho o ̀ c ~ ‘ Н \rho а к \lambda \epsilon i ́ \delta \eta \nu . ~ \tau \grave{\alpha}$ à $\rho \gamma \dot{\rho} \rho \iota a$ є́à $\nu$



каï $\pi o ́ c a \iota ~ \psi i ́ a \theta о \iota ~ a ̉ \pi o ́ к \epsilon \iota\langle\nu\rangle \tau а \iota ~ a ̉ \pi o ̀ ~ \tau о \hat{v}$
$\pi \rho о \tau \epsilon ́ \rho о v$ фортíov, каi cùv тои́тоие тócaı
à $о$ о́кє $\iota \tau \tau \alpha \iota, \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha ~ \delta \grave{~} \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha$ ठıà $\tau о \hat{v}$
aن̉兀ov̂ $\Delta \iota \delta \dot{u ́ \mu o v ~ \delta \eta \lambda \omega ́ c ı c ~ \mu o \imath . ~ \epsilon u ̉ \theta ' \epsilon ́ \omega c ~} \delta \dot{\epsilon}$

$\pi \lambda i ̂ o \nu \pi a \rho \in ́ \lambda \kappa \eta \tau \alpha \iota ~ \pi a \rho a ̀ ~ c o i ́ . ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ \tau \omega ~$
[ c. 12 letters ]..... $\alpha \lambda \lambda$.[..]. $\epsilon p \alpha$
[ c. 12 ] $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \in \pi \iota \theta \dot{\eta} \kappa \eta \nu$.[..]. $\eta \delta$ оис
.[..].[. . . . . . .] Д七оскоурíঠŋı каі [. .] тьvє


$\mu \epsilon ́ ~ с \epsilon \Delta$ ıovúcıoc. (m. 2) $\stackrel{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \rho\langle\rho\rangle \omega c o$.
(vac.)
(m. I)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { lє (є̌тоис) Mєхєiр s' } \\
& \text { (vac.) }
\end{aligned}
$$

ò vavtıкóc, Sóc, каi $\delta \dot{\eta}[\lambda \omega c o ́] v \mu o \iota \pi o ́ c a$ eै $\lambda a \not ̣ \beta \epsilon$.

Back, upwards:


|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

' Papontos to his own Alexander, greetings. I have sent you through Didymus some sheepskins - the same Didymus will let you know how many of them there are - and some mats, of which thirty-eight, dyed red, belong to me alone, eighty-two I own together with Heracleides; half of these are mine, but all the expense for the sheepskins and for all the mats is for Heracleides to pay. If you collect the money, send it to me at once by letter of credit. Tell me how many sheepskins you received and at what price they are selling, and how many mats are in stock from the last shipment and how many are in stock counting these. You are to let me know all this through the same Didymus. Let the same Didymus go at once, so that he may not be detained longer with you...letter of credit . . . to(?) Dioscurides and... send me...Sarapias and all those in the household greet you. I, Dionysius, greet you. (2nd hand) Farewell. (ist hand) 15 th year, Mecheir 6th.
If the boatman Pausanias needs any money, give (it to him), and let me know how much he got.'
Back. 'To Alexander from Papontos.'
I F. X. J. Exler, A Study in Greek Epistolography, 31, collects instances of $\tau \hat{\omega} i \delta i \omega$ in the opening formulas. He has ten examples ranging from A.D. 50 to the second century. According to H. Koskenniemi, Studien zur Idee und Phraseologie des griechischen Briefes, i04, the word does not indicate any family connexion and letters beginning in this way are said often to concern economic affairs. The present letter is clearly not an exception. There is a strong suspicion that this use of i $\delta$ oc might be a translation of Latin suus.

4 Bótıa (= Bótєla), cf. 9, 12. The word Bótєloc has occurred so far only in P. Fay. 107. 4 referring to fleeces ( $\kappa \dot{\omega} \delta \iota a$ ). Since that papyrus comes from Philadelphia, the fact that the writer was called Papontos must be dismissed as coincidence.
 is new in the papyri; for references to $\mu$ idtoc see S. Daris, Spoglio Lessicale, II s.v.
${ }_{1}$ I On $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \theta \dot{\eta} \kappa \eta$ see F. Preisigke, Girowesen, 204-5, XLIII $31468 \mathrm{n} ., 30929 \mathrm{n}$.
${ }^{12-13} \pi \alpha \rho \epsilon ́ \lambda \epsilon \beta \eta c(=\pi \alpha \rho \epsilon ́ \lambda a \beta \epsilon c)$. Cf. F. T. Gignac, Grammar, I $244^{-6}(\epsilon>\eta), 27^{8-82}(a>\epsilon)$.
15 We expect qaútauc rather than тoúvouc, but the present consignment includes $\delta \dot{\epsilon} p \mu a \tau a$ as well as廿iatol and he may have intended to ask for an account of both.

19 After col we appear to have tau with a superscript delta. If the delta was intended to replace the tau, the intended words may have been $\delta \dot{\rho} \varsigma \alpha \dot{\psi} \tau \bar{\omega}$.
 from the sender Papontos, whose clumsy and ill-spelled farewell stands in 25 . See H. C. Youtie, Scriptiunculae, II Iо Io ( $=$ BICS II (1964) 24) for the elucidation of a parallel in P. Merton if 82. 19-20,

 read $\Lambda \epsilon \omega \nu a ̂ c ~ a ́ c \pi a ́ \zeta ́ \zeta о \mu a i ́ ~ c \epsilon, ~ \delta e ́ c \pi т о т а . ~$

26 The form of $\varsigma$ is that with a tail, which looks like the printed version. This is rarer in the papyri than the form which looks like a lunate sigma, but is not a good indication of date, see U. Wilcken, Observationes, 49-50.

# 3506. Harbaethus to Thermuthion 

$\mathrm{A},{ }_{4} \cdot 5 \mathrm{~A} / 7$

$$
9.1 \times 22^{\circ} 4 \mathrm{~cm} .
$$

Third century
Harbaethus writes to his daughter Thermuthion reproving her for not acting in accordance with his instructions. The context remains obscure, in part due to the damaged condition of $8-\mathrm{ro}$. Further down, Harbaethus declares that he will hold his daughter and two others responsible if they do not make reparation. It is not clear if the subject-matter remains the same in the lower part of the letter, where Harbaethus writes that he hopes to settle an affair regarding his children before the archiereus.

The practised semi-cursive hand may be assigned to the third century. Cf. VII 1019 = E. G. Turner, GMAW, no. 66 ; PSI v $472=$ M. Norsa, Scritt. doc., pl. xixd. The address is written along the fibres on the back.

```
'Apßaî̈oc Tєprov日í
    \(\tau \hat{\eta} \theta v \gamma a \tau \rho i ̀ \chi \alpha i ́ \rho \epsilon \iota v\).
```



```
廿á coı \(\pi\) oıท̂caı, ä \(\lambda \lambda \alpha\) є́c-
каі \(\beta є \beta a с т а ́ \chi a \tau \epsilon . ~ т а и ̆-~\)
та а’ттокатасти́саv-
```



```
\(\epsilon i \delta^{\prime}\) á \(\rho a \mu \eta \prime, \pi \rho[\grave{o}]\) с сє ка!
```




```
\(\tau \epsilon a ̈ \chi \rho \iota ~ o ч ̣ ~ \alpha ’ y \alpha \beta \hat{\omega} \pi \rho o ̀ c\)
```



```
є̇пі́стацаı \(\begin{aligned} & \text { à } \rho ~ \tau \grave{\nu} v ~ є ́ \kappa \alpha ́ c-~\end{aligned}\)
```



```
\(\pi \rho о\) ढ่̣ \(\lambda \theta \eta\) ф \(о\) огт! \(\hat{\omega} \pi \hat{\omega} c\)
\(\dot{a} \pi \alpha \rho \tau \iota c \theta \hat{\eta} \eta\) тò \(\pi \epsilon \rho i \quad \tau \hat{\omega} \nu\)
\(\tau \epsilon \in \kappa \nu \omega \nu \mu o u . \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota \delta \epsilon\)
```


## öтı кат’ ővона т $\omega \nu \pi \alpha \iota \delta i \omega \nu$

$\tau \omega$ о仑̂v $\delta \dot{\eta} \lambda \omega c o ́ v \mu o c i \not v \alpha$
$\epsilon i \delta \hat{\omega}$. äстаса! Dıovvcíav каi

Back, upwards:

'Harbaethus to his daughter Thermuthion, greetings. What I have written to you to do is one thing, what you have done is another. Know then that I learnt that you together with Harsus went up... in our possession and you appropriated them. Put them back(?) where they were; if you do not, I shall hold you and Harsus and Thompsois responsible. But if you put them back any time before I come up, no responsibility whatever shall fall on you: for I know the disposition of each one (of you). Whenever the archiereus comes forth, I shall see how the matter regarding my children may be settled. It is said that they are demanding 120 drachmas per child. In the meantime, then, inform me that I may know. Greet Dionysia and Pamunis. I pray for (your) health.'
(Back) 'Deliver to Thermuthion from Harbaethus her father.'
I Tєp
$7{ }^{\prime} A_{p}[$ co $] \hat{\psi} \tau t:$ cf. 15.
9-10 Possibly єن́ $\eta^{\text {́ }} \kappa а \tau \epsilon$ ?
12-1 3 Perhaps $\dot{a} \pi о к а \tau а с \tau \dot{\eta} c \alpha\{\nu\} \tau \epsilon\{c\}$ was intended, especially since the persons concerned are women.
$13 \stackrel{\varrho}{\circ}[\psi \rrbracket \pi \% \psi:$ i.e., if correct, ov corr. to ö ơov?
14 For $\epsilon i \delta^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \mu \eta$ as a self-standing protasis cf. SB III 6299. 3.
16 גóyoc: cf. e.g. SB x 10293. 23.
20 ff . The mention of the archiereus poses the question: is the circumcision of the writer's children possibly the issue? But that implies a change of subject-matter from the first half of the letter, far from certain. The misdoings of the first half (e.g. $\beta \epsilon \beta a c \tau a ́ \chi \alpha \tau \epsilon$, I I) may have had to do with temple property. For the archiereus see P. J. Parsons, CE 49 (1974) 135-57.

28 For the absence of pronoun from the closing greeting see F. Ziemann, De epistularum Graecarum formulis, 336 n . I.

29 Between $\dot{\alpha} \pi \delta^{\prime}$ and ' $A_{\rho}[\beta] \alpha\left[\hat{i} \theta_{0}\right] \psi$ a cross in the form of four oblique lines nearly converging at a centre, perhaps marking the point where a seal was affixed: cf. Ziemann, op cit. 282. The centre of the cross is missing, as often. See XLVIII 339632 n .

## 3507. Apollonius to Sarapodorus

12 1B.139/E(b)

$$
13 \times 30.5 \mathrm{~cm}
$$

Third/fourth century
This letter is mainly concerned with matters of business, both municipal and commercial. In the first part of the letter Sarapodorus is asked, presumably in his capacity as exegetes, to look out for the interests of one Andromachus when the $\beta$ oudí comes to apportioning days of duty among the panel of gymnasiarchs. On the municipal $\beta$ oudai and their functions see E. P. Wegener, Mnemosyne I (1948) 15-42, 115-32, 297-326; Symbolae Van Oven, 160-90; A. K. Bowman, Town Councils; and A. H. M. Jones, $C E R P^{2}, 484-5$ n. 40.

After dealing with commercial matters relating to wine and carpets the letter takes up the subject of an appeal made in connexion with the office of the procurator usiacus. It may well be that, besides their municipal responsibilities, the brothers also had a responsibility to the central government as $\mu \iota \theta \omega \tau$ ai oúcıaкoí, see 32 n .

There are three main indications of the approximate date; the appearance of the procurator usiacus, the reference to 'new' coinage, and the price of wine. G. M. Parássoglou (Imperial Estates, 85) finds the latest reference to the ratio usiaca in A.D. 285 and concludes that it disappeared in the reforms of Diocletian. References to 'new' and 'old' coinage cover the period A.D. 266-302, see 31 n . The price of wine does not specify the quantity, but if it cost as much as 900 or 1,000 drachmas per ceramion, as seems likely, the date cannot be much, if at all, prior to A.D. 300 .
$\chi \alpha i ̂ \rho \epsilon, \kappa u ́ \rho \iota \epsilon ́ \mu о v$ ä $\delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \epsilon \subset а \rho a \pi o ́ \delta \omega \rho \epsilon$,

 ${ }_{o}^{\circ} \pi \omega c \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \delta \hat{\omega} \subset$ каi $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ ảv $\tau \iota \gamma \rho a \phi \dot{\eta} \nu$
 aủ $о \hat{v} \pi \epsilon \rho i$ тov $\tau \eta \rho \eta \theta \hat{\eta} v a \iota ~ a v ̉-~$ $\tau \hat{\varphi} \tau o ̀ \psi \eta \dot{\eta} \nless \mu a \tau \hat{\eta} \subset$ ảva $\delta o \chi \hat{\eta} \subset \tau \hat{\eta}[c$ रv $\mu \nu a c ı a \rho \chi[i ́ a] c$, каí, єỉ $\mu$ ย̀v oîóv $\tau \epsilon$
 $\kappa \alpha ́ c \theta \eta \pi о \imath \hat{\eta} \subset \alpha[\iota \pi]$ ¢́ $\rho v<\iota, \pi a \rho a \delta \in \chi \theta \hat{\eta}-$
 с $\eta$ c. $\epsilon i ̉ \delta \dot{\epsilon} \mu \dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon$, кäv ả $\pi o ̀ ~ \tau o v ̂ \nu \hat{v} v$ $\tau \eta \rho \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \psi \eta ́ \phi ı c \mu a$. тои̂тo




 $\mu \epsilon ́ \chi \rho \iota \gamma$ à $\rho(\delta \rho a \chi \mu \hat{\omega} \nu)$ ' $A$ каi خे $\tau v \gamma \chi a ́ v \epsilon \ell, \check{\omega} \subset \tau \epsilon$,






 $\tau \iota \mu \hat{\eta} \subset \ddot{\eta} \alpha \dot{\alpha} \pi о ф \epsilon ́ \rho о \mu \epsilon \nu \tau \hat{\varphi} \alpha \dot{\alpha} \delta \epsilon \lambda-$
 бшка. ò ү̀̀ $\rho$ спиноура́фос ои̉к є"-



 $\kappa \lambda \eta ́ \tau о ⿱ ~ \pi \rho o ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\eta}[c] \pi \rho o \theta \epsilon с \mu i ́ a c \kappa а \tau \grave{\alpha}$
 є̇ $\pi \iota \tau \rho о \pi \hat{\eta} \subset$ ỏ $\phi \phi \iota \kappa \iota a ́ \lambda \iota o c ~ \epsilon ̇ \nu \theta \alpha ́ \delta \epsilon$ $\zeta \eta \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho[o ́ c] \tau \iota \mu о \nu \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \tau \omega \nu$,
Left margin, downwards:

( $\tau \alpha \lambda \alpha ́ v \tau \omega v)$ ऽ каi $\mu \epsilon ́ \chi \rho \iota ~ \nu v ̂ v$
 $\delta \eta \lambda \omega \theta \dot{\eta} \subset \in \tau a i ́ ~ c o 九 . ~ a ̉ c \pi a ́-~$
 $c \in \epsilon$ є̈ðo
Back, downwards:

> Capaтoठ $\omega \rho \omega \iota$ (design) $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \xi \eta \gamma \eta \tau \hat{\eta}$ $\pi(a \rho \grave{a}) \quad$ ' $A \pi o ̣ \lambda \lambda \omega v i ́ o u$.

'Greetings, my lord brother Sarapodorus. I, Apollonius, salute you. I have sent Andromachus' letter to you so that you may present it and send us the reply quickly. His request is about having the decree on the undertaking of the gymnasiarchy observed in his case. Also, if it is possible, do not hesitate to have the extra days which he was compelled to serve last year credited to him towards those of the present year, but if not that, at any rate to have the decree observed from now on. For this is indeed the most just course.

Achilles has neither taken any action about the wine nor has he exacted any payment. But it was decided to make a written contract(?) in respect of this (i.e. wine?). The price of wine here is very much in decline. For it is as low as $\mathrm{I}, 000$ or 900 drachmas, so that, if it is possible for you to ask Alexander the Acorite to have(?) as much as you can find brought for me on his boat to the places you mention in your letter, do so. However, the middlemen from Antinoopolis have given me their instructions as follows, "We either buy up your goods at the same price or we return them to your brother".

I gave the carpets out. For the shorthand writer did not give me a carpet. However, he promised to give me ninety-... drachmas of new coinage.

However, you ought to know that you must go before the tribunal about the appeal before the end of the appointed time according to the ordinance. There is an official of the department of the procurator usiacus here seeking the deposit for appeals, who is also pestering us about the former (appeal) against (?) the procurator concerning the contract(s?) for six talents, and up to now we have not taken any action regarding him, but if anything new is done, you will be informed. Our children and their mother and all our household greet you. I pray that you are well, my lord.'

Back. 'To Sarapodorus, exegetes, from Apollonius.'
1-2 For the opening formula see F. X. J. Exler, A Study in Greek Epistolography, 35-6, 60-8, 74-5.
No Sarapodorus is listed as a bouleutes in A. K. Bowman, Town Councils, App. i, but one has appeared subsequently in XLIIl 3110 2 (c. A.D. 253-7). That man, Aurelius Apollonius alias Sarapodorus, could possibly be identified with either of the correspondents here, or might be a member of the same family. Note that there is also an 'Avסро $\mu a \chi$. [ in $3110_{11}$, see here 3 n .

Although XXXVI 2768 also mentions an Apollonius and an Achilles, the names are common ones and we cannot suppose a connexion.

3 No Andromachus is listed in P. J. Sijpesteijn, Liste des gymnasiarques. Cf. $1-2 \mathrm{n}$.
4 dua $\delta \hat{\psi} c$. Possibly the letter was to be delivered to the boule or to the prytanis for tabling on the agenda of the boule. As exegetes Sarapodorus may have had a particular interest in and responsibility for the smooth running of the gymnasiarchy, cf. I 54, in which contractors apply for reimbursement for repairs done on the baths to the exegetes as well as the gymnasiarch (see P. Jouguet, Vie municipale, 309-12), or XXXI 2569, where exegetae are responsible for supervising the provision of water to the baths (cf. VI 891). P. Ryl. II 77, in which an exegetes and gymnasiarch jointly sign a letter to the strategus, is probably not helpful in this connexion; as the editors point out ( 52 n .), they are acting as representatives of the whole кошóv, not as officials jointly responsible for a particular administrative area

On the other hand Sarapodorus may be expected to act on Andromachus' behalf simply because he is a friend who could be influential; for a good parallel for the exegetes upholding the rights of a liturgist in a council meeting cf. XII 1415 i 20 seqq.

5-13 The gymnasiarchy became a shared liturgy in the second century and remained so until the end of the third century, when it rapidly declined in importance as an office (B. Van Groningen, Le Gymnasiarque, $86-101$, Actes du $V^{e}$ Congrès Int. de Papyrologie, 505-11). It was one of the duties of the boule to apportion days of service among the college of gymnasiarchs (A. K. Bowman, Town Councils, 109-21 ; cf. XXXVI 2796 - contributions by several gymnasiarchs to the cost of heating the baths). On the rôle of the gymnasium see F. Delorme, Gymnasium (Paris, 1960), 42 I-80, especially 430 seqq., with $\mathscr{F E A} 47$ (1961) 144 n. 26 for corrections to the Egyptian evidence.

8-9 $\epsilon \mathfrak{i} \mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu$ oióv $\tau \epsilon \in \in \in \tau \notin \nu$. The words seem to indicate that for a gymnasiarch to carry over days of service from one year of office to the next was possible but not assured. XII 1413 19-24 may represent another instance of such a carrying over; a gymnasiarch who was to have provided oil on 30 Mesore failed to do so but made up for it by paying for oil for the following I Thoth. It is not known though whether his year of office was coterminous with the calendar year. Van Groningen, op. cit. sect. 28, believed that the liturgist's year of service regularly began and ended at the same time as the calendar year, but N . Lewis, Proc. IX Int. Congr. Papyrol. 239-42 and TAPA ioo (1969) 255-60, has now shown that this was not always the case.
 two successive years, although he would have been in office for only a few days in each year. This situation was not unusual in the third century, cf. XlI 1418 i5 n.
$\pi a p a \delta \epsilon \chi$ ' $\begin{array}{r} \\ \text { raal. For the }\end{array}$ Palaeography, 62 and E. G. Turner, GMAW 13 n. 3.
 is only a guess. Mr Parsons suggests instead, 'He seemed to be getting annoyed about it'. Cf. LSJ s.v.犭apácco I 3 .

18 катифє́єєє ( = каӨифє́сєє). The word is rare and new in the papyri. For the false aspiration see F. 'T. Gignac, Grammar, I 133-8.

19 The prices are probably those of a standard jar ( $\kappa є \rho a ́ \mu \circ \nu$ ). Third-century prices listed in
A. C. Johnson, Roman Egypt, 315 (cf. 466), are generally much lower, say c. 50 dr . per ceramion. Fourth-century prices show a rapid rise, see A. C. Johnson and L. C. West, Byzantine Egypt, 178-80; ZPE 24 (1977) 116-17, though there are very few examples of wine prices from the early part of the century. Some time in the reign of Diocletian, A.D. 284-305, seems suitable.

21 On Acoris see now M. Drew-Bear, Le nome Hermopolite, 291-6.
22 . . $\eta \subset \eta$. We expect $\pi \varphi \varphi \eta<\eta$, see translation, but the remains of a horizontal below the base line suggest only x 1 as the first or second letter. It may be stray ink, or part of an interlinear insertion. $25 \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \delta о \chi \epsilon i c$. The meaning of this term is discussed by P. M. Fraser, Ptolemaic Alexandria, II 319-20, n. 428 , but their function is still not clear. For a connexion with the marketing of wine cf. XIV 1673 7-10.

28 тáтŋтас. On carpets and carpet making in Egypt see T. Reil, Beiträge zur Kenntnis des Gewerbes, 121, S. Calderini in Aeg. 26 (1946) 13-83, E. Wipszyska, L'Industrie textile, 118-19.
 out wool to be spun.

30-1 It might be better to expand to ( $\delta \rho a \chi \mu \tilde{\omega} \nu$ ) rather than ( $\delta \rho a \chi \mu a ́ c$ ) and translate, 'However, he promised to give me (sc. a carpet) of the value of ninety-... drachmas'.

31 каlvoû (sc. vодісцатос). For dated instances of this coinage, ranging from A.D. 266 to 302, see L. C. West and A. C. Johnson, Currency, 69, and add XXXVI 2587 and 2600.

32-9 The involvement of the correspondents with the ratio usiaca (on which see W. Grz. 153-8, A. C. Johnson, Roman Egypt, 482, S. L. Wallace, Taxation, $338-9$, and most lately G. M. Parássoglou, Imperial Estates, esp. 84-90) may be best explained by the hypothesis that they have contracted with the treasury to undertake the cultivation of usiac land as $\mu \iota \epsilon \theta$ штai oúcıaкоi.

33 On the legal meaning of $\pi \rho о с \epsilon \lambda \theta \epsilon i v$, 'appear before a tribunal', see XXXI 2601 g n .
33-4 $\tau \hat{\eta} \subset \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \kappa \lambda \dot{\eta} \tau o v\left(\mathrm{sc}\right.$. Síknc). According to R. Taubenschlag, Lave ${ }^{2}, 521-2$, the term is used only of appeals to the prefect, with the exception of IX 1204, an appeal to the catholicus.
 than a fine.

 was 'against' the procurator rather than 'to' him, see CPR v 5. io n.
$\chi \in \rho_{\rho \circ \gamma \rho(\alpha \phi-)}$. Probably this refers to a contract or contracts for leasing usiac property. Six talents $(36,000 \mathrm{dr}$.) may be the value of the contract, but it is not a very large sum if $1,000 \mathrm{dr}$. is a low price for one ceramion of wine, see 19 and $n$., so that it may rather be the amount of the deposit, cf. CPR v 5.3 n .

41 The design, which probably indicated the place of a seal, is in essence a square box with diagonals. The ink is missing from the area where the diagonals should have intersected. See XLVIII 339633 n . for a possible explanation; cf. 350629 n.
$4 \mathrm{I}-2$ Line 4 I is in a good large cursive, 40 in a small rapid one. It looks as if they are by different writers, but it would be more normal for the address to be written by one person only.

## V II I. <br> TEXTS FIRST PUBLISHED ELSEWHERE

3508. Oath of a Dike Overseer, published by R. Hübner in ZPE 24 (1977) 43-53, with Tafel 11.504 B. 24/B (3-4) a. $15.9 \times 37.2 \mathrm{~cm}$. 16 April A.D. 70. The back is blank.
$T \iota \beta \epsilon \rho[i \omega \iota] K \lambda a v \delta i \omega \iota{ }^{\prime} H[\rho \dot{\omega}] \delta \eta \iota ~ с \tau \rho \alpha \tau \eta[\gamma \hat{\omega}]$ ' $O \xi v \rho v \gamma \chi(i ́ \tau o v)$.



 $\mu \epsilon ́ v o v ~ v i \pi o ̣ ̀ ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ a v ̉ \tau o ̂ ̣ ̂ ~ ' I o v \lambda i ́ o v ~ \Lambda v c ı \mu a ́ \chi[o] v, ~ o ̉ \mu \nu v ́ \omega \iota ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu ~ \tau o \hat{v}$














[...].ض.[......]...[..].....[..]..[..]. сарє[. . . .]. . .

[ $\tau] \hat{\eta} \subset \chi \omega \mu[a \tau \epsilon \pi \iota \mu \epsilon \lambda] \eta \tau \epsilon!!a c$ [. . . ]. . . . [. . .]. . . . . . .








 (та́ $\bar{\lambda} \alpha \nu \tau \alpha) \beta$ ( $\delta \rho a \chi \mu \dot{\alpha} c) ~ ' \Gamma$

[ $\dot{\alpha}] \phi \eta \lambda i ́ \kappa \kappa \nu \nu \rho o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu \tau о(\hat{v}) \pi \alpha \tau \rho o ̀ c ~ \alpha u ̉ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ к а i ̀ ~ \Theta ' \epsilon ~ \epsilon \nu о с ~ \alpha ̉ \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi о \hat{v}$

' $Е \phi$. єن̉оркойข-


 $30 \stackrel{\circ}{\tau} \kappa \lambda^{\eta} \quad 31$ [ $\left.c \iota\right] \tau \iota \kappa^{i} a \overline{\rho o} \pi=(\tau a ́ \lambda a v \tau a)$, equally lines $32,35 \kappa$ of $\hat{\epsilon} \kappa$ raised $\quad 32 a \overline{\rho o} \varsigma=(\delta \rho a \chi \mu a i)$, equally line $35 \quad 33$ capami ${ }^{\circ}$. $34 \tau^{\circ} \quad 35 \bar{a} a \overline{\rho \gamma}$

Three small fragments with parts of letters remain unplaced.
'To Tiberius Claudius Herodes, strategus of the Oxyrhynchite nome. I, Diogenes, son of Diogenes the son of Sarapion, resident of the city of Oxyrhynchus, appointed as overseer of the dikes of the Middle Toparchy by a letter of Iulius Lysimachus, head of the Idios Logos, in place of Theogenes, son of Theogenes who has been released by the same Iulius Lysimachus, swear by the fortune of Imperator Caesar Vespasianus Augustus and my ancestral gods that I shall manage the supervision of the dikes and shall with all diligence cause each of the men who are or will be assigned in this connexion to the work of restoration to discharge the naubia incumbent upon him according to the proper measure, that I shall furthermore cause those who effect the construction of the dikes to do it over a distance of eighteen cubits so that the dikes are built and made watertight as is proper, that I shall in the same manner give the proper care to the guarding of the irrigation and shall compel the men who will be appointed to accomplish the proper work of the guarding of the irrigation...each dike... ... of the Financial Department and the Idios Logos, completing everything which bears on the overseeing of the dikes in the proper manner, with my property, of which a detailed list follows below whereto an estimated valuation is attached, as security, as well as whatever I shall acquire in addition, and that the detailed list of my property up to the present day is: in the village of Senekeleu from the holding of Sosos and Agathon 15 arouras of grain land: italent, and from the holding of Kapistoteles and Diophantes from an overdue contract 8 arouras: 2,500 drachmae, and I possess 2 talents 3,000 drachmae secured on the property of Sarapion and Ptolemaios, both sons of Sarapion, minors, formerly belonging to their father and his brother Theon; altogether this makes 3 silver talents and 5,500 drachmae. If I observe the oath may it be well with me, but if I swear falsely, the reverse. In the 4th year of Imperator Caesar Vespasianus Augustus, 21 Pharmouthi, dies Augusta.
3509. Plato, Republic, I 330 a 2-b4. Published by R. Hübner in $Z P E 30$ (1978) 195-8, with Tafel vi. 162 B. $47 / \mathrm{D}$ (a). $9.3 \times 29.8 \mathrm{~cm}$. Third century A.D. The fragment has the top, foot and ends of 30 lines of a single column in a well-made Biblical Uncial hand. It has been collated with the texts of J. Burnet (Oxford, 1905) and E. Chambry (Paris, 1932, collection Budé). The back and the upper and lower margins were used subsequently for an account, see 3511 below.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { [к]aı тоぃс } \delta \eta \mu \eta \pi \lambda \text { оv } \\
& \text { [cı]ọc } \chi \alpha \lambda \epsilon \pi \omega c \delta \epsilon \\
& 5 \text { [тo] үךрас фєроисıv } \\
& {[\epsilon] \text { ч } \in \chi \in \iota \text { o avтoc } \lambda о} \\
& \text { रoc. o o l ov ' av } \epsilon \pi \iota \\
& \epsilon \iota \iota \eta \subset \pi \alpha \nu v \rho \alpha \iota \delta \iota \\
& {[\omega] ؟ \gamma \eta \rho a<\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \pi \epsilon} \\
& \text { ıо } \nu \iota a с ~ \epsilon \nu \epsilon \gamma к о \iota ~ o v \theta \text { ' o } \\
& {[\mu] \eta \tau \epsilon \epsilon \pi \iota \epsilon \iota \kappa \eta \subset \pi \lambda o v} \\
& \text { [ } \tau] \eta \text { пас єикодос } \pi о \\
& {\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\tau & a
\end{array}\right] \stackrel{\epsilon^{\prime}}{ } \nu^{\prime} \text { av } \alpha \omega \gamma \in v o u} \\
& {[\tau \circ \pi] o \tau \epsilon \rho \circ[\nu] \delta \epsilon \eta \nu} \\
& 15[\delta \epsilon \gamma] \omega\{\imath\} \omega K \epsilon \phi a \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu \\
& {[\kappa \epsilon \kappa] \tau \eta \subset \alpha \iota \tau \alpha \pi \lambda \epsilon \iota} \\
& {[\omega \pi \alpha] \rho \in \lambda \alpha \beta \epsilon \epsilon \eta \in \pi \epsilon} \\
& {[\kappa \tau \eta \subset] \omega^{\cdot} \pi о \iota \epsilon \pi \epsilon \kappa \tau \eta} \\
& {[c a \mu] \eta \varphi \epsilon \phi \eta \omega C \omega} \\
& 330 \mathrm{~b} \\
& \text { 20 }[\kappa \rho \alpha \tau \epsilon \subset \mu] \epsilon \subset о с \tau \iota c \\
& \text { [ } \gamma \in \gamma о \nu \alpha] \chi \rho \eta \mu \alpha \tau \iota \\
& \text { [ } \subset \tau \eta<\tau] o v \tau \epsilon \pi a \pi \\
& \text { [ } \pi \text { ои ка८ } \tau \text { ]ои } \pi \alpha \tau \rho о с . \\
& \text { [o } \mu \epsilon \nu \gamma \alpha] \rho \pi \alpha \pi \pi o c \\
& 25 \text { [ } \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha \iota \text { о] } \mu \varphi \nu v \mu \circ \prec \\
& {[\epsilon \mu \circ \iota<\chi \epsilon \delta o] \nu \tau \iota \stackrel{\circ}{\circ}} \\
& {[\subset \eta \nu \in \gamma \omega \nu] \text { ب̣v ovcı }} \\
& {\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\nu & \kappa \epsilon \kappa \tau] \\
\text { п }
\end{array} \boldsymbol{\mu} \alpha \iota \pi \alpha\right.} \\
& \text { [ } \rho \lambda \lambda \alpha \beta] \text { ] } \pi о \lambda \lambda \alpha \kappa \iota< \\
& 30 \text { [тосаv] } \tau \eta \nu \text { є } \epsilon \circ \stackrel{\eta}{ } \\
& 7 \text { Burnet, Chambry: ó after av. } 8 \text { Burnet, Chambry: } \pi \alpha ́ v v ~ \tau \iota I I[\mu] \eta \tau \epsilon \text { : Burnet, Chambry } \\
& \mu \dot{\eta} \text {. Scribe's error, probably influenced by line } 10 \text { ov̌ } \theta^{\prime} \quad 13 \xi^{\prime} \nu^{\prime} \text { avt } \omega \text { : Burnet, Chambry } \dot{\epsilon} a v \tau \hat{\varphi} . \text {. Stob. }
\end{aligned}
$$

3510. Notice of the deaths of slaves. Published by R. Hübner in ZPE 30 (1978) 198-200, with Tafel viia. 203 B. 34/B ( $1-3$ )a. $9.5 \times 19.7 \mathrm{~cm}$. A.D. $79 / 80$. The back is blank.

$\pi a \rho \dot{a} ~ ' H \rho \dot{\omega} \delta o v ~ \tau o \hat{v} ~ ' A \pi i ́ \omega v o c ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ a ̉ \pi ' ~ ' O-~$


$5 \pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \mu \beta o \lambda \hat{\eta} \subset$ ' $A \mu a \rho a \nu \tau o ̀ c ~ \delta o u ̂ \lambda o c ~ \tau o ̂ ̀ ~$
$\dot{\alpha} \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi$ о̂ $\mu$ ои 'Нраклєíסov каi $\Delta \iota о \gamma \epsilon ́ \nu \eta(с)$
Soûdoc тท̂c $\gamma$ vvaıкóc $\mu$ ои Вєрєขєі́кךс
$\tau \hat{\eta} \subset B \iota \theta a \rho i ́ \omega \nu$ ос $\mathfrak{a} \phi \hat{\eta} \lambda_{\iota} \xi$ ả $\mu \phi o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \iota$
ä $\tau \epsilon \chi \nu \circ \iota \dot{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \dot{\prime} \tau \eta \subset \alpha \nu \tau \hat{\omega}$ '̀v $\nu \subset \tau \hat{\omega} \tau \iota$


Aùтокра́тора Kaícapa Oùєстасıavòv


15 ảvaरمá $\psi \epsilon \subset \theta a \iota ~ \tau o u ́ \tau o ̣ u c ~ \grave{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \tau a ́ \xi \epsilon \iota$
$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \tau \epsilon \tau \epsilon[\lambda] \epsilon \nu \tau \eta \kappa o ́ \tau \varphi \nu \tau o \hat{v} a \cup ̣[\tau] o ̣ \hat{v}$

 K [aícapoc Ov̉ $\epsilon \subset \pi]$ acıavộ̂
$20 \quad[C \in \beta a c \tau o v ̂$ month $] \bar{\delta}$.
 to fill the line $\quad 14$ after ouv horizontal stroke to fill the line 15 routove: first $\tau$ corr. from $a$.
'To Apollophanes and Diogenes, city scribes, from Herodes, son of Apion, from the city of Oxyrhynchus. The slaves registered at the house I have in the Lycians' Camp quarter, Amarantos, slave of my brother Herakleides, and Diogenes, slave of my wife Berenike, daughter of Bitharion, minor, both without a trade, died in the present eleventh year of Imperator Caesar Vespasianus Augustus, and I swear by Imperator Caesar Vespasianus Augustus that they are in truth dead as aforesaid. I request therefore that you inscribe them in the list of those who have died in the same year as in like cases. (2nd hand), I, Diogenes [have certified] in the irth year of Imperator Caesar Vespasianus Augustus [month, day].'
3511. Private Account. Published by R. Hübner in $Z P E 30$ (1978) 200-4, with Tafel virb. 162 B. $47 / \mathrm{D}$ (a). $9.3 \times 29.8 \mathrm{~cm}$. First half of fourth century A.D. The account occupies the back and the top and bottom margin of 3509 .

## Col. i

## ] $\epsilon$

].
].
].
] $\beta$
] $\gamma$
] $\gamma$
]. . . . v
]a
10
] $\tau o \hat{v} \pi \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \circ u$
$S$

Col. ii
'Amọ̀ $\lambda \omega \nu$ íov єic $\lambda o ́ \gamma[o v$



(vac.) каланíạ[

$\tau \iota \hat{\eta} \subset \zeta \zeta \epsilon \cup ฺ \kappa \tau \eta[\rho \iota \hat{\omega} \nu$

ПаӨєриоитíov v[ (vac.) кадац[ía $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \beta \omega \lambda \hat{\eta} \varsigma \kappa$ к [. .] $\eta$ ciou ката. [ Пaךciov $\dot{v} \pi \grave{\epsilon} \rho \tau \iota \mu[\hat{\eta} \subset$ кофшрі́а кадан! $[$ ас (vac.) ска́ттогта $\epsilon[$ кофшрía каi a[ òvขŋ入áтаı $\bar{\eta}$ cк. [ $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \chi \alpha \rho a \xi o c \kappa[$ $\tau \iota \mu \hat{\eta} \subset \hat{\eta} \lambda$ ос $\epsilon^{\prime} \chi u c \iota a[\hat{i o c}$ $\tau \iota \mu \hat{\eta}^{\prime} c^{\prime} \lambda \iota \nu$ ока $\lambda a ́ \mu[\eta с$ $\Theta \rho a ́ c \omega \nu \iota \alpha \dot{\alpha} \gamma \rho\langle o\rangle \phi \dot{d} \lambda$ [акı $\tau \iota \mu \hat{\eta} \subset \tau \alpha \pi \eta \tau^{\text {' }}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \omega \varphi$ [ ф'́pov $\pi \omega \mu$ арícuy [ тєцŋ̂с ßovóc

A (Text on the bottom margin of 3509):
$\lambda o ́ \gamma o$ ¢̣ $\pi \rho[$
$\mu \epsilon \tau a \phi \omega \rho[\hat{a} c$
$\tau \iota \mu \hat{\eta} \subset$ o[ívou
$\tau \iota \mu \hat{\eta}$ c oilvo [v
B (Text on the top margin of 3509):
]. аŋсı $\chi a \lambda \gamma \iota c a[$
40

]aç $\delta i a ̀ ~ \eta \mu[$
]viov fívọu $\delta \iota a \chi \epsilon$. ovє $\lambda$ [.
] $\dot{\omega} \nu \stackrel{c}{\text { c }} \dot{\prime} \mu \omega \nu \quad$ ( $\delta \rho a \chi \mu a i) \phi[$

[^5]3512. Sale of wine. Published by R. Hübner in ZPE 30 (1978) 205-7, with Tafel vilia, b. 14 I B. $209 / \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{f}) .15 .6 \times 29.8 \mathrm{~cm} .27$ February A.D. 492.



 $\gamma \epsilon \circ v \chi[o] \hat{\varphi} \nu \tau \iota \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \alpha \hat{v} \theta a \tau \hat{\eta}{ }^{\prime} O \xi v \rho v \gamma \chi \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu A \dot{v} \rho \dot{\eta} \lambda \iota \circ c$



 $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \rho o ̀ c ~ a ̉ d \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \lambda o v c ~ c \nu \mu \pi \epsilon \phi \omega \nu \eta \mu \epsilon \in \varphi[\eta]$.
 $\kappa \omega \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \gamma \epsilon о \nu \chi \iota \kappa \hat{\omega}$ с $\rceil \kappa \dot{\omega}[\mu \alpha \tau] \iota \dot{\epsilon} \xi \dot{\eta} \kappa о \nu \tau \alpha$
 à $\pi$ ò $\gamma \lambda \alpha$ и́коис $\dot{\alpha} \delta o ́ \lambda o v ~ c o v ~ \pi а р є ́ \chi о v \tau о с ~ \tau \grave{\alpha}$





$\mu$ оı $\pi a ́ v \tau \omega \nu$. ки́pıov тò үраниатîov á $\pi \lambda o \hat{v} \nu$






vinèp av̉тov̂ $\gamma \rho a ́ \mu \mu a \tau a \mu \dot{\eta}$ єídọ́тoc.
$$
\text { (m. 3) 水 } \delta \iota^{\prime} \epsilon_{\epsilon} \mu \circ \hat{v} \ldots \epsilon o v \ldots
$$

Back (m. 4) $-\gamma \rho(a \mu \mu a \tau \epsilon i o v) ~ \Pi a \nu \epsilon \chi \omega ́ \omega \tau o(v) v i o v ~ M o v c \hat{\eta} \tau o c a ̉ \pi \grave{o}$


 teîov $21 \omega \mu \circ \lambda / 21-2$ a paragraphus on the left side between the two lines marks the beginning of

'In the year after the second consulship of the most illustrious Flavius Longinus, Phamenoth 2, 15 th indiction at Oxyrhynchus. To Flavius Johannes, the venerable member of the council, son of Martyrios of revered memory, landholder here at Oxyrhynchus, Aurelios Panechotes, son of Mouses and Anna, from the hamlet of Hipponamos, of the estate of your nobility in the same nome and there registered, greetings. I acknowledge that I have received from you on the spot the price jointly agreed upon and accepted by me, in full, of sixty-three sekomata of wine measured by the estate-sekoma, total 63 sekomata of wine. I shall be bound to deliver this wine to your nobility at the wine-press in the form of unadulterated must, you providing the jars, in the month Mesore of the present year $168=137$ from the wine-pressing of the, D.V., first indiction without delay, you having the right of execution upon me and all my property. This bond, of which a single copy is made, is valid, and having been asked I have given my consent.'
(2nd hand) 'I, Aurelios Panechosios, son of Mouses, the aforesaid, have received the price in full of sixty-three sekomata of wine and shall deliver at due term and I agree to everything as aforesaid. I, Aurelios Papnouthios, son of Phoibammon, have written on request for him since he is illiterate.'
(3rd hand) 'By me, . . . .'
(Back, 4th hand) 'Contract of Panechotes, son of Mouses, from the hamlet of Hipponamos, about 63 sekomata of wine.'

3513-3521. Orders to issue wheat and wine. Published by S. A. Stephens in ZPE 3 I (1978) 145-60. 273 B.42/F (3) a-c, (4) a-b. May A.D. 260 or 4.D. 282.

## 3513

273 B. $42 / \mathrm{F}(3) \mathrm{b}$ $11.2 \times 9.4 \mathrm{~cm}$.

25 May







a ( $\delta \rho a \chi \mu a ̀ c) ~ i s . ~$



'Nemesianus to Severus, greetings.
Give Silvanus, the $\phi$ роитıcт $\dot{c}$, for work for the month of Pachon, 16 ceramia of 6 th-year wine from Collouthus' plot, from the 2nd wine vat, and 12 artabas of 5 th-year wheat, the price of which Sarapiades the $\chi \epsilon \iota \rho \tau \tau \eta$ ¢ has been ordered to credit as: wine at 12 drachmas/ceramion; wheat at 16 drachmas/artaba. (2nd hand) Farewell and hand over the twelve artabas of wheat and sixteen ceramia of wine, that is, 12 art., 16 cer.

30 Pachon of the 7 th year.'

## 3514

273 B. $42 / \mathrm{F}(3) \mathrm{c} \quad 10.8 \times 12.4 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad 25$ May
X



 (ả $\rho \tau a ́ \beta \eta \nu) a$,
5 oivov $\kappa \epsilon \rho(a ́ \mu \tau o \nu) a \cdot N \epsilon \phi \epsilon \rho \hat{\varphi}(\pi v \rho o \hat{v})(a ̉ \rho \tau \alpha ́ \beta \eta \nu) a$, oivov $\kappa \epsilon \rho(a ́ \mu \iota o \nu) a$.
 (ả $\rho \tau \dot{\alpha} \beta \eta \nu) a$,


 oi้vov кєр（á $\mu \iota ⿱ 亠 䒑) \alpha$ ．
cєаuт $\hat{\omega}$ каї $\beta$ oŋ $\theta \hat{\omega}$ cov（ $\pi v \rho \circ \hat{v}$ ）（ $\dot{\alpha} \rho \tau \alpha ́ \beta \alpha c$ ）$\beta$ ，oivov

 （ $\alpha \rho \tau \alpha ́ \beta \alpha c) ~ «$,


（m．2）${ }^{\epsilon} \rho \rho \omega с о$ каi $\delta o ̀ c \tau \grave{\alpha} с \tau о \hat{v} \pi v \rho o \hat{v}$ ả $\rho \tau \alpha ́ \beta a c$




```
9 \delta<\deltaO
```

＇Nemesianus to Severus，greetings．
Give to the below－listed as an allowance for the present month of Pachon：to Doras，the slave，I art． of wheat，I cer．of wine；to Threptus，another（slave），I art．of wheat，I cer．of wine；to Nepheros，I art． of wheat，I cer．of wine；to Hermeros，I art．of wheat，I cer．of wine；to Neicetes，I art．of wheat，I cer． of wine；to Tychanus，the collector， 1 art．of wheat，I cer．of wine and on behalf of the festival in Pachon， the 1 cer．customarily supplied to him；to Psenamunis，the foreman of vinedressers，I art．of wheat，i cer．
 I cer．of wine；to Silvanus，I art．of wheat，I cer．of wine；to yourself and your assistant， 2 art．of wheat， 3 cer．of wine， 1 cer．of sour wine and the usual I cer．on behalf of the festival in Pachon．The total is 12 art．of wheat of the 5 th year； 15 cer．of wine of the 6 th year from the Watchtower plot，out of that left over by the dealers，I cer．of sour wine．＇
（2nd hand）＇Farewell and hand over the twelve artabas of wheat and fifteen ceramia of wine and one of sour wine，that is， 12 art．， 15 cer．and I of sour wine． 30 Pachon of the 7 th year．＇

## 3515

273B．42／F（3）e
$17.2 \times 8 \mathrm{~cm}$ ．
${ }_{25}$ May
X

 $\dot{\alpha} \mu \pi \epsilon \lambda(o v \rho \gamma \hat{\omega} \nu) \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ Пa ${ }^{\alpha} \omega \nu \nu \eta \nu o ̀ c$




$\lambda_{\iota} \beta \iota \kappa(o \hat{v}) \lambda \eta \nu(o \hat{v}) \delta^{-}$

Саратıá $\eta$ с о́ хєıрıстŋ̀с $\lambda \eta \mu$－

$\kappa \epsilon \rho(a \mu i ́ o v) a(\delta \rho a \chi \mu a ̀ c) ~ « \beta$ ，тov̂ $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ à $\pi o ̀ ~ \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta ́ \eta \mu(\alpha \tau o c) \epsilon^{\prime \prime}$
（ $\epsilon$＇тovc）$\tau o \hat{v} \mu \epsilon ̀ v$



$\delta \iota \pi(\lambda о к є ́ \rho \alpha \mu \alpha) \epsilon$.
（ ${ }^{\epsilon}$ тоис）$\zeta^{\prime \prime}$ Пахஸ̀v $\lambda^{\prime}$ ．

＇Nemesianus to Severus，greetings．
 vinedressers for the month of Pachon and for the payments of the irrigators for the 7 th year and for the other expenses of the care for the month of Pachon 97 ceramia of 6th－year wine from Collouthus＇plot from the 2 nd wine vat and 5 double ceramia， 8 single ceramia from the 5th－year crop from the Western plot from the 4 th wine vat，
Pachon 30 the price of which Sarapiades the $\chi \in i \rho i c \tau \eta \subset$ has been ordered to credit as： 6 th－year wine at 12 dr ．／cer．； 5 th－year wine at $16 \mathrm{dr} . / \mathrm{cer}$ ．and 24 dr ．／double cer．（2nd hand）Farewell and hand over the one hundred and five ceramia of wine and five double ceramia，that is， 105 cer．， 5 double cer．

30 Pachon of the 7 th year．＇

## 3516

273B．42／F（3）d
$14.5 \times 8.8 \mathrm{~cm}$ ．
25 May

 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \mu \pi \epsilon \lambda(o v \rho \gamma \hat{\omega} \nu) \kappa \alpha i$ т $\hat{\omega} \nu \dot{v} \delta \rho o \pi(a \rho o ́ \chi \omega \nu) \tau o \hat{v}$ Пaх⿳亠凶禸$\nu \mu \eta \nu(\grave{o} c)$ каі єic $\tau \dot{\alpha}$ ä $\lambda \lambda \alpha$
 （ $\alpha \rho \tau \alpha ́ \beta a c) ~ \delta$ каi oìvov $\gamma \epsilon \bullet \dot{\eta} \mu(a \tau о с)$
 aта．（）a $\alpha \pi \lambda_{0-}$

$\lambda \eta$ Capamıád $\eta c$ ó $\chi \epsilon \iota \rho \iota c \tau \grave{\eta} \subset \lambda \eta \mu(\mu a \tau i ́ c a \iota) \tau o \hat{v} \mu[\hat{\epsilon}] v(\pi v \rho o \hat{v}) \dot{\omega} c$
$\tau(\hat{\eta} c) a(\delta \rho a \chi \mu \dot{a} c) \iota \varsigma$




10
 $\zeta^{\prime \prime}$ Пах⿳亠凶禸 $\lambda^{\prime}$.

2 and $4 \phi \rho o^{-} \quad 5$ l．$\dot{\pi} \pi \lambda^{-} \epsilon \iota \phi \theta \epsilon \in \tau \omega \nu \quad a \pi q$ ）$\quad 7$（marg．）$\pi \alpha^{\chi} \lambda^{\prime} \quad 7 \lambda \eta^{\mu} \quad 8$ a corrected from $\iota \beta$ ．
＇Nemesianus to Severus，greetings．
Give Ammonius，$\phi$ povicct $\dot{c}$ of some sections of Souis，for the payments to the vinedressers and the irrigators for the month of Pachon and for the other expenses of the care 4 artabas of 4 th－year wheat and 46 ceramia of 6 th－year wine from the Western plot from the 3rd wine vat，out of the left－over．．．；the price of which
Pachon 30 Sarapiades the $\chi є \iota \rho \iota c \tau \not \subset c$ has been ordered to credit as wheat at 16 dr．／art．，wine at 12 dr ．／cer．（2nd hand）Farewell and hand over the four artabas and forty－six ceramia， that is， 46 （sic）art．and 4 （sic）cer． 30 Pachon of the 7 th year．＇

## 3517

273 B．42／F（3）a
I $1.9 \times 6.5 \mathrm{~cm}$ ．
25 May

ó oivoүєúcтךс $\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \in \delta \omega \kappa \epsilon \nu \tau \grave{a} \tau \hat{\eta} с$ Eủßoíac




$\kappa є \rho a ́ \mu \iota a ~ \delta \iota а к o ́ c ı a ~ \pi \epsilon \nu \tau \eta ́ к о \nu \tau а, ~(\gamma і \nu є \tau а \iota) ~ к(\epsilon \rho а ́ \mu \iota a) ~ с v . ~$ （є̈тоис）$\zeta^{\prime \prime}$ Пахळ̀v $\lambda^{\prime}$ ．
＇Nemesianus to Severus，greetings．
The winetaster has declared the Euboean wine to be unsuitable；so from this send up two hundred and fifty ceramia with the boatman Harachthes，that is 250 ceramia．（2nd hand）Farewell and send up the two hundred and fifty ceramia of wine，that is 250 cer． 30 Pachon of the 7 th year．＇

## 3518



 то仑̂ ővтoc $\mu \eta \nu o ̀ c ~ П а х \grave{\omega \nu}$ Пацоиิขı







 ảлò $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \epsilon \iota \phi \theta \epsilon ́ \epsilon \tau \omega \nu$ vimò $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi о ́ \rho \omega \nu \kappa \epsilon \rho(\alpha ́ \mu \iota \alpha) \eta \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \eta \grave{\eta}_{\nu}$

 $\mu a \tau i c a \iota ~ \tau o \hat{v} \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \pi v \rho o \hat{v} \dot{\omega} \subset \tau \hat{\eta} c$

 $\tau \dot{\alpha} \subset \tau о \hat{v} \pi v \rho o \hat{v} \alpha \rho \tau \alpha ́ \beta a c$ סє́ка
 $\kappa(\epsilon \rho \alpha ́ \mu \iota \alpha) ~ \eta$. ( $є \tau о и с) ~ \zeta " ~ П а \chi \grave{\omega \nu} \lambda^{\prime}$.

'Nemesianus to Severus, greetings.
Give to the below-listed monthly workers and others as salaries for the present month of Pachon to Pamunis the donkey driver, I art. of wheat, 2 cer. of wine; to Agathus, another (donkey driver) likewise, I art. of wheat, 2 cer. of wine; to Panechotes, 1 art. of wheat, 2 cer. of wine; to Petronius the teamster, I art. of wheat, 2 cer. of wine; to Phanamais the settlement guard, 2 art. of wheat; to Onnophris, another (settlement guard), 2 art. of wheat; to Dionysius, likewise, 2 art. of wheat. The total is ro artabas of 5 th-year wheat, 8 ceramia of 6 th-year wine from the Watchtower plot out of those left over by the dealers, the price of which Sarapiades the $\chi \in \iota \rho \iota \tau \eta$ c has been ordered to credit as 16 dr ./art. of wheat, i2 dr./cer. for wine. (2nd hand) Farewell and hand over the ten artabas of wheat and eight ceramia of wine, that is 10 art. of wheat, 8 cer. 30 Pachon of the 7 th year.'

3519


 $\pi v \rho o \hat{v} \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta \mu(\alpha \tau o c) \epsilon\left({ }^{\prime} \tau \sigma \cup c\right)^{\prime \prime}(\dot{\alpha} \rho \tau \alpha ́ \beta a c) ~ \iota \eta$ каi
 $\lambda \eta \nu o \hat{v} \beta \kappa \epsilon \rho(\alpha ́ \mu \iota \alpha) \kappa \delta \dot{\varphi} \varphi \tau \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \subset v \nu \alpha-$

Capaтıádךс ó хєıрıстѝс $\lambda \eta \mu \mu \alpha-$


(m. 2) $\stackrel{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \rho \rho \omega с о$ каì $\delta[\grave{o}] с \tau \grave{\alpha} с \tau о \hat{v} \pi v \rho о \hat{v}$

кєра́ $\mu а$ єїкось тє́ссара,
( $є$ тоис) $\zeta$ Пах $\grave{\nu} \lambda^{\prime}$.
' Nemesianus to Severus, greetings.
Give Collouthus the potter as payment for the present month of Pachon 18 artabas of 5 th-year wheat and 24 ceramia of 6 th-year wine from Collouthus' plot from the 2nd wine vat, the agreed-upon price of which Sarapiades the $\chi \epsilon \iota \rho \iota c \neq \eta$ c has been ordered to credit as 16 dr ./art. for wheat, 12 dr ./cer. for wine. (2nd hand) Farewell and hand over the eighteen artabas of wheat and twenty-four ceramia of wine. 30 Pachon of the 7th year.'

3520-3521
27 3B.42/F (4) b
$28.7 \times 9 \mathrm{~cm}$.
16 May, 25 May

## 3520

 $\pi \alpha \rho a ́ \delta o c ~ ' A \mu \mu \omega \nu \hat{a} \gamma \epsilon \circ v \chi \circ \hat{v \tau \tau \iota ~ \epsilon ̇ v ~ \kappa \dot{\omega} \mu \eta}$



 є́vòc ( $\delta \rho a \chi \mu \dot{a} c) \kappa \delta \cdot \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ cvvaүo $\mu(\epsilon ́ \varepsilon \nu \nu) \tau \epsilon \iota \mu \grave{\eta} \nu$




'Nemesianus to Severus, greetings.
Transfer to the farmer Ammonas in the village of Sespha the 26 ceramia and 16 double ceramia upon which agreement has been made with him, of 5 th-year wine from the Western plot from the 4th wine vat, at a rate of $16 \mathrm{dr} . / \mathrm{cer}$. and 24 dr ./double cer.; for all these he has paid the agreed-upon price to the $\chi \in \iota \rho \iota c \neq \eta$ ic of the estate amounting to eight hundred drachmas. (2nd hand) Farewell and transfer the twenty-six ceramia, sixteen double ceramia, that is, 26 cer., 16 double cer. 2 I Pachon of the 7 th year.'

## 3521

$X^{\text {Nєнєcıavóc Cєouńpy xaípєıv. }}$

 рá $\mu \omega \nu$ ऽ $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \epsilon \iota \mu \grave{\eta} \nu \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \beta a \lambda o \hat{v}$ (аратıá $\eta \eta$
 $\delta \rho a \chi \mu(\dot{\alpha} \subset) \delta \epsilon \kappa а \epsilon ́ \xi, \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \dot{\epsilon} \delta \iota \pi \lambda о к є \rho a ́ \mu \omega \nu$ 它 $\tau о \hat{v} a^{-}$



$$
4 \text { 1. } \tau \iota \mu \dot{\eta} \nu \quad 5 \kappa \epsilon \rho a^{\mu} \quad 6 \text { and } 7 \delta \rho a \chi^{\mu}
$$

'Nemesianus to Severus, greetings.
Pay Sarapiades the $\chi \in \iota \rho \iota \subset \tau \eta \subset$ of the estate the price of the 25 ceramia and 6 double ceramia of the 5 th-year wine from the Western plot from the 4 th wine vat sold by you at a rate of sixteen dr./cer. and twenty-four dr./double ceramia. (2nd hand) Farewell and pay the price as aforesaid. 30 Pachon of the 7 th year.'

## INDEXES

Figures in small raised type refer to fragments，small roman numerals to columns． An asterisk indicates that the word to which it is attached is not recorded in LSJ or Suppl．Square brackets indicate that a word is substantially restored，round brackets that it is expanded from an abbreviation or symbol．The article and（in the docu－ mentary texts）каí are not indexed．

## I．NEW LITERARY TEXTS

（a）New Comedy and Menander（3431－3433）

| ảyafóc［ 3433 17］ | סєitvov $3431{ }^{2+3} 5$ ？ | Aขๆ́искєเข $34311^{2+3} 20$ ？ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| à ¢avaктєiv［3433 5］ | бє́ка 3432 2－3？ | $\theta v \gamma a ́ t \eta \rho ~ 3431 ~{ }^{2+3} \mathrm{I} 8$ |
| àyvoeiv 3433 20， 21 ？ | ¢＇̆ 3433 15？ | Ov́pa［ $3431{ }^{2+3} \mathrm{I} 3$ ？］ |
| $\dot{\alpha} \delta \in \lambda \phi \chi^{\prime} 3431{ }^{2+3} 3$ | Sıate入eiv 34332 |  |
| àeí $3431{ }^{2+3}+3433{ }_{3}$ | Sioóval $3431{ }^{1} \mathrm{II},{ }^{2+3} 2,15$ |  |
| ब่ท่р 34337 | Sıєบтvхєi้ 34333 | ікєтєย́єル 34324 |
| ảкоข์єь 34324 | Siкaıo 3433 I3 | iцátıov $3431{ }^{2+3} 5$ |
|  | ¢́av．［ $3431{ }^{1} 2$ | iva［3433 8］ |
| ${ }_{\text {á }} \times 3432$ 3？ | ¢́áv $3431{ }^{1} \mathrm{I}_{3},{ }^{2+3} 5$ ？ |  |
| $\dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon^{\prime} i \nu \omega \nu$［ 34339 9］ | ¢́¢âv $34311^{2+3} 5$ ？ | каí 3431 ${ }^{2+3} \mathrm{I}$ ？，7， 15 3433 |
| àvá［ 3433 I 8 ］ | ＇่̇ $\gamma \dot{\prime} 3431{ }^{2+3} \mathrm{I}$ ，［19？］ 34322, | ［3］，［4］，［8］，9，1 1，［13］，［18］ |
|  | ［4？］，6， 7 ［3433 7］ | каı［3431 ${ }^{1} 3$ |
| ảvoırvíva؛ $3431{ }^{2+3} 13$ | єi 3433 ［ 1 ］，［6］ | како́ 3432－2－3？ 343317 |
| ${ }_{\alpha}$ | tivaı $3431{ }^{2+3} 3$ ？ 34335,10 ， | ка入óc 3433 г 5 |
| ӑтıстос $3431{ }^{2+3} 4$ ？ | 13,17 |  |
| áтоклєі́єь $3431{ }^{2+3}$ Іо | Eintiv 34322 | катастара́ссєь 3432 11－12？ |
| ăTo．${ }^{[ } 34311^{2+3} 26$ | eic $3431{ }^{1}{ }^{1} 7$ | катахєiv $3431{ }^{2+3} \mathrm{I}$ I |
|  | Eiciéval $34311^{2+3} 4$ | $\kappa \in \phi$ ádaıo［3433 ıо］ |
| ảтоци́ттє兀ข $3431{ }^{2+3} 20$ ？， 21 | ${ }_{\text {¢ V ¢ кк }} 34322-3$ ？ | коıо́c［3433 8］ |
| áторєiv 3432 г 3 | ＇EvХєє¢ídov 34329－10？ | коді＇¢єьข［3431 ${ }^{2+3} 6$ ？］ |
| ápectóc $3431{ }^{2+3} 4$ ？ |  |  |
| ӑристос $3431{ }^{2+3} 4$ ？ | $\epsilon \rho\left[3431{ }^{2+3} 22\right.$ | $\lambda a \lambda \epsilon i \nu 34338$ |
| ác $\theta \epsilon \nu \eta \chi_{c} 3433$ I 3 | $\dot{\epsilon} \rho \in i ́ \delta \epsilon \epsilon \nu 34311^{2+3} 14$ ？，26？ | да $\mu$ ßávєı $3431{ }^{2+3} 3,25$ ？ <br> 3433 I 2 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ácтє̂oc } 34311^{1} \text { rs } \\ & \text { äтотос }\left[\begin{array}{lll} 3433 & 6 \end{array}\right] \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| aúvóc $3431{ }^{2+3} 24.44336$ | $\epsilon_{\ell}{ }_{[ } 34311^{2+3} 6$ | $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \in \epsilon \frac{}{} 3431{ }^{1}$ I 6 |
| àфаขך¢ 34328 8？ | ¢́ $\chi \in \iota \nu\left[3431{ }^{2+3} 3\right.$ ？$]$ | 入оуıст́́ov 34339 <br> 入óyoc 3433 го |
|  | $\zeta \zeta_{\nu} \mathbf{3 4 3 1}{ }^{2+3} 20$ | $\lambda \cup \pi \eta$ оóc 343318 |
| $\beta$ ßoú $\lambda \epsilon \subset \theta a \iota[3433$ 3］ | ちゃّเov 343312 |  |
|  |  | нá 3432 3？ |
| ráp 3433 ［ 1 ］，5， 13 | ク̈ठıстос $3431{ }^{2+3} 4$ ？ | $\mu q\left[3431{ }^{1}{ }_{1}\right.$ |
| ripvectal $3431{ }^{2+3} 8$ ？ 34325 |  | $\mu a ́ \lambda \alpha\left[\begin{array}{ll} 3433 & 13 \end{array}\right]$ |
| ［3433 r］ | $\begin{aligned} & \theta \dot{a ́ \tau \tau \omega \nu} 3433 \text { і I } \\ & \theta \in\left[3431{ }^{1} 5\right. \end{aligned}$ | $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda$ о⿱ 3431 ＇ 123432 3？ <br> $\mu \epsilon ́ \gamma$ кстос 3433 г 4 |
|  | $\theta \epsilon \in \lambda \epsilon\left\llcorner 34311^{2+3} 5\right.$ ？ |  |
| $\left[\begin{array}{lll} 3432 & \text { г } 3 \text { ? }] ~ & 3433 \text { [6], [ } 10], \text {, } \end{array}\right.$ | $\theta \epsilon$ óc［ $34311^{2+3} \mathrm{I}$ ？］［3432 g？］ |  |
| 15？，［16］，21？ | ［3433 4］ | $\mu$ ¢́́poc $3431{ }^{2+3}{ }_{\text {I }}$ |

$\mu ́ \epsilon ́ c o c[343318]$
$\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \beta о \lambda \eta ́$［3433 11］
нє́тріос 3433 г 7
$\mu \eta \theta . .\left[3431{ }^{2+3}{ }^{2+}\right.$
$\mu \eta \theta \in\left[3431{ }^{1}{ }^{1} 9\right.$
$\mu \dot{\eta} \tau \epsilon 34311^{2+3} 26$
$\mu \dot{\eta} \tau \eta \rho 34322_{2} \quad[34332]$
Mídac 3433 ig？
нккоо́с $3431{ }^{2+3} 2$ ？
но́ дıс 3433 27？
нóvoc 3433 I
Мосхі́ $\omega \mathbf{\nu} 34311^{2+3} 22 \quad 34327$
$\mu \omega \kappa \hat{a} c \theta a \iota 3431{ }^{2+3}{ }_{1} 6$

ขо́нос 34336
$\nu$ v̂v［ $34311^{2+3} 2$ ？］
$\nu v v i ́\left[\begin{array}{lll}3433 & 17\end{array}\right]$

oікía $3431{ }^{1}$ I7
оікогонєіг 3433 I4
oicréov［34339］
ó $\mu$ vívaı 34329
о $\mu о$ ооуєiv 34334
д́ $\rho$ Óó［ 3433 5］
öc $3431{ }^{1}$ II 13433 2，［3］，［ 1 1］

оัтаข［ 3433 I5］
\％ั $\tau \in$［3433 2］
ov̉ $3431{ }^{2+3}{ }_{19}$
ov่．［ $34311^{2+3} 18$
oú $\delta$ é $3431{ }^{2+3} 2$ ， 20
ov $\delta \epsilon$ ．［ $34311^{2+3}{ }^{2} 6$
oú $\delta$ ধic $34311^{2+3}{ }_{1} 7$ ？
oủ $\theta$ єíc 3433 I2

оикк $\left[34311^{1} 7\right.$
oข้тย［3433 16］
ои์тос $34311^{2+3} 3,4,6,9$ ？， 19？ 34328 ，ir？ 3433
［4］，［9］
ơ่ $\omega\left[\right.$［ $\left.34311^{2+3}{ }_{17}\right]$
таí̊tov 3432 4－5？，9－10？
тá $\frac{1}{} 34311^{1}$ Io 3433 it
тара́ $34311^{2+3} 6$ ？
пâc 34329
$\pi \epsilon \pi\left[3431{ }^{1} 4\right.$
$\pi \epsilon \rho \iota ́ є \chi \epsilon \iota \nu 3431{ }^{2+3} 9$
$\pi i \pi \tau \epsilon \nu\left[\begin{array}{lll}3433 & 15\end{array}\right]$
$\pi \lambda$ єістос 3433 I5
тоєєi้［34336］
тоїос［ $34311^{2+3} 25$ ？］
тo入úc $34311^{2+3} 7$
тогұро́с $34311^{2+3} 5$
$\pi$ тоv［3433 18］
$\pi \rho\left[3431{ }^{1}{ }^{1} 4\right.$
$\pi \rho \hat{\gamma} \mu \mu{ }^{3433}{ }_{14}$
$\pi \rho$ а́ссєт 3432 7－8？［3433 3］
троаьрєі̂c $\theta a \iota 3431{ }^{2+3}$ 19
$\pi \rho о \nu о \in i v 34311^{2+3} 7$ ？
тоо́с $3431{ }^{2+3}$ I ？， 43433 І I
$\pi \dot{\pi} \pi о т \epsilon 3431{ }^{2+3} 17$
$\pi \hat{\omega} \subset 3431{ }^{2+3}$ I
ca $3432{ }_{2}$
C $¢\left[34311^{1} 18\right.$
cóc $3431{ }^{2+3}$ 2， 3
софıсти́с $3431{ }^{2+3} 24,25$
стầ 34337
старáccetv 3432 12？

```
cú 3431 2+31, [2 ?], 8, 11, 12?,
    22? [3432 4?] 3433 [1],
    [2], 4, 5, [8], [16], 17
cvvтрі́\beta\epsilon\iotav 3433 I5
татє\iotaขóт\etaс 343312
\tau\epsilon 3431 '2+37, 18 3433 2, [6],
    [17]
тย́кขоข 3432 5
тіктєІ\nu [3433 2]
т\iotaC [3433 4]
\tauic 3431 16, 14, '2+3
\tauo[ }3432
\tauо⿱亠ûтос 3432 II?
траү\iotaко́с 34338
\tau\rho\epsiloń\phi\epsilon\iota\nu 3431 '18
\tau\rho\iotaса́0\lambda\iotaoс 3432 }
\tau\rhoо[ 3433 25?
тоо́фч\muос 3433 1, 16
vióc 3431 '2+3}1
v̇\pi\epsilon\rho\betaád\lambda\epsilonє\nu 3433 16
\phiav[3432 8?
ф\epsiloń\rho\epsilonєv 3433 I8
]форєiv 343322
ф\rhoá\zeta\epsilon\tau\nu}3432
\phiúcic [3433 14]
\chi\rho\hat{\nu}\nu3431 2+3}\mp@subsup{}{25}{
\chiр\etáссцос 3431 '2+38
\psi\epsilonv́\delta\epsilon!\nu 34335
\check{\omegacte[3433 18]}
cú \(3431{ }^{2+3} 1,[2\) ？］， \(8,11,12\) ？，
22？［3432 4？］ 3433 ［ 1 ］，
［2］，4，5，［8］，［16］， 17
сиขтрі́ \(\beta\) єь 3433 I5
татєєข́тךс 343312
\(\tau \in 3431{ }^{2+3} 7,1834332\) ，［6］，
［17］
тย́кขวข 34325
тіктєІ［3433 2］
тис［3433 4］
［ \(34311^{1} 6,14,{ }^{2+3}\)
тоюข̂тос 3432 II ？
траүіко́с 34338
\(\tau \rho \epsilon ́ \phi \epsilon \iota \nu 3431{ }^{1}\) 18
трьса́日льос 34323
тоо́фццос 3433 1， 16
vióc \(3431{ }^{2+3}{ }_{18}\)
ข́тє \(\beta\) рá \(\lambda \lambda_{\epsilon \iota \nu} 3433\) 16
фаv［ 3432 8？
фє́́ \(\epsilon \iota \frac{\nu}{} 3433\) I 8
］форєív 343322
фрá̧є兀v 34326
фúcıc［3433 14］
\(\chi\) рầ \(3431{ }^{2+3} 25\)
хри́сцос \(3431{ }^{2+3} 8\)
\(\psi \epsilon \dot{\delta} \delta \epsilon \iota 34335\)
\(\stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \subset \tau \in\left[\begin{array}{ll}3433 & 18\end{array}\right]\)
```

（b）Unidentified Prose（3434）
ayvopu－ 3434 ıo？

ảло́ 34348 ？
а́токтєішєєン 3434 17？
үáp 3434 15？
fic 34349 ？
‘Ека́ßך 3434 6？
＇$E \kappa \alpha ́ \lambda \lambda_{\eta} 3434$ 6？

є̇пi 3434 І6？， 18 ？
$\mu \eta \tau \rho v a ́ a 344$ 14？
ойтє 34344 ？
оบ๋тос $34347-8$ ？
］тотє 34343
тач－ 34344
трои́（？） 3434 8－9？
Tроía 3434 8－9？
Tроґら̆ั้ 3434 8－9？
vine $\ddagger$ ！＇éval 34345 ？
итто́ $\theta$ єск 3434 7？

## II．METROLOGICAL TEXTS

ä $y \in \iota \nu 3455$ 3，4，37，［39］，
［41］ 3456 17， 22
á $\gamma \in \lambda a \hat{a} o c 3455$ 9，15， 20
ä $\lambda \lambda \eta$ дос 345544
ả аүขрıко́с 345545
ápyúpıov 345527
àpүupoù 34552 ？
ảpı $\theta \mu \eta \tau \iota к о ́ c ~ 345545$
а́ $\rho \tau \alpha \dot{\beta} \eta$［ 3455 36？］ 3457 ［1？］， $3 \quad 3458$ 7， 9
（à $\rho \tau \alpha ́ \beta \eta) 345537$
ă $\subset \eta$ осс $3455{ }_{2}$ I
Bacı入ıкóc 3455 detached fr．， 2 ？
ráp $3455+5$
rivectat 3455 25？，35－6？
$\gamma \rho а ́ \mu \mu а$
3455
25
3456
20
（bis）， 21,23
ба́кти才ос 3455 6，7，9，12，13， 14，17，18，19 3457 9， 10 $3458{ }_{\text {1 }}^{5}$ ，［16］ 34598,9
$\delta_{\text {é }} 34552$ ？？3，6，7，［10］，io， ［12］，［13］，15，［16］，17，［18］， 20，［21］，22，［24］，26，28， $30,32,33,35,38,[38], 40$ （bis）， 42 （bis），44，46； detached fr．， 33456 I $_{5}, 16$ （bis）， 17 （bis）， 18 （ter），19， 20 （bis），21， 22 （ter）， 23 3457 ［I ？］，2，4，［6］，［8］，II 3458 2，［3（bis）］，6，7，8， 1 1， 14，［17］ 3459 2， 73460 2， 5
ठє́ка $3456 \quad 15 \quad 34588$
§ $\eta \nu a ́ p \iota o v ~ 345526$
סıафє́pєьv 345544
$\delta_{\rho \alpha \chi \mu}{ }^{\prime} 3455$ 23，24， 25 ［3457 I？］ $34583,4,6$
（ $\delta$ рахнभ́） 345522 ？， 23 ？，24？， 25，［39］，40？，41，［41］，42，
$43,46 \quad 3456 \quad 18,22$（ter）
§ช́o 345623
б́́дєка 3456 г 6
eival 34558 ，I3，I8 3456 i6， $2134572-3,5,7,[9]$ 3458 5，9，12，16，18－19 3459 4，8－9
fic 3455 6，11， 17
єєкатóv［3458 3］
${ }^{\epsilon} \mathrm{E} \nu 3455$ го
 3
 $24,26,28,31,33,34,35$ ， ［43？］， 463456 15（bis）， 16 ， 19（bis）， 203457 ［1 ？］，2，［3］， 4，［5］，［6］，8，9，10，［1 1］ 3458 ［2］，4，7，8，10，12，13， 15，17，18 3459 1，3，6， $7 \quad 34603$

дияєктор 3457 6，［6］ 3458 іп （bis） 3459 1－2， 2
їнго́үкєор 3456 19，20，21， 22
（ $\left.{ }^{\circ} \mu \iota<\cup с\right)$［3455 37 ？$]$

Өє́ $\rho \mu$ ос 3455 29， $31,32,34$, 353456 15，16，17， 18 ［3457 if］ 34604
íloc 345543 ？
каí 345545 ；detached fr．， 2 калєì 3455 4－5
кєра́тсо⿱ 3455 30，32，33，34， 35345616 （bis），17， 18 34605
коти́dך 3457 ［4］，［5］ 3458 ［18］，［19］
кріө́⿱一兀寸 3455 38，40， 42
入íт $\quad 3455$ 21， 26 3456 19， 21， 22
 I3 3459 I， 4
$\mu^{\prime} \mathcal{L}_{\nu} 3455$ 3？，［5］，9，11，14，16， 19，34， 453456 I5，17，19， 21 345863460 ［1？］， 4
$\mu \epsilon \tau \rho \eta \tau \eta \ll 3457$ 3－4， 53458
［17］， 19
нє́троь 3455 7， 39 3457［1 ？］， 23458 7－8， 8
$\mu \hat{\eta}$ кос 3455 ［5］，іг， 16 $\mu \nu \hat{a} 3455$ 3？， 27 ？， 38 ，［43？］， 44，［45］ $3458{ }_{2}$
ниаєion 3455 27？， 283456 15，16－17，17［3457 1о］ 3460 I

Nıкон $\begin{aligned} & \text { бıаїос } 3455 \text { 13－14？}\end{aligned}$
 ขои̂ $\mu$ ос［3455 30？］
sßoдóc $3456 \quad 23 \quad 3457$ I？ 34586
одкќ 3455 36， $37 \quad 3456$ г7， 22 ö öv 3455 38－9，41，［42］
ö́ 3455 го
ойүкі́а 3455 21， 223456 19 （bis）， 22

та入аıстท́c 3457 8，8－9 3458
14，14－15 34596,7
$\pi a ̂ c ~ 345543$
та́хос 3455 7，12？，12－13， 18
$\pi \hat{\eta} \chi$ ソ＜ 3455 6，11，14，16，17，
i9 34578 ，［9］ 3458 14，
［16］ 34596,9

тодvтласıá̧єє 34558
по́сос 3455 ［24？］， 36 ？
Птодєцаıко́s 34555
ти ро́с 3455 37，39， 4 I
сıঠŋpoûc 34553
стє́ $р$ ра 3455 36？
сто́рос 3455 36？
статі́р 3455 4，［22］，［23］，24， 3I， 463458 ［2］，3－4， 5
стєрєóc 3455 16， 19
тádavтov 3455 37， $43 \quad 34585$
$\tau \epsilon \tau \alpha ́ \rho \tau \eta \quad 3455 \quad 29,33 \quad 3456 \quad$ 15
（bis）， 183457 ［10］，I I
3460 2， 3
трı $\omega$ ßодо⿱ 3456 I 8
халкойс 3456 19
रoive 34554 1 3457 2，3，7， 78345889,10 ，12，13 34593,5
रoûc 3455 ［5］，8，1o？ 34574
（bis） 3458 ［17］， 18
хрисо́c 3455 26， 30
хрисоûc 345528
$\chi$ रбаîoc 3455 8－9，14， 19
$\dot{\omega} \nu \epsilon i ̂ c \theta a \iota ~ 3455$ I I
ш́с 3455 7，13， 18
ढ̈стє 3456 г $6,21 \quad 3457$［2］，
［4］，7，［9］3458 4，9，12，
15－16，［18］ $34593-4,8$

## III．EMPERORS AND REGNAL YEARS

## Ptolemy XII and Cleopatra V

 3482 ェ
 28－9

## Cleopatra VI

ßасі́入ıсса Клєола́тра（Year 6） 3461 ェ

## Tiberius

Tıß́́pıoc Kaicap Cєßactóc（Year 12） 34635 （Year lost） 3483 9？（Year 13－19） 3484223
GAIUS


## Claudius

 $\Theta$ єòc Kגaúdıoc（Year 14） 34644

Claudius or Nero


## Nero

 （Year 1о） 3487 18－19，（Year 12）25－7，35－7，39－40

## Vespasian

 （Year if） 3510 Іо－1 i，12－13，18－20

## Domitian


Trajan

Hadrian
Av̉токрát $\omega \rho$ Kaicap Tpaïavòc＇Aסpıavòc Cєßactóc（Year ı6）3470 37－8，46－8（Year ı6）3471 35－7，45－6 ＇A8pıavò Kaícap ó кúpıoc（Year 15）3470 18－19 3471 15－ı6

Antoninus Pius


Marcus Aurelius and Verus



Marcus Aurelius
 Мє́ $\gamma$ ıстос (Yeat 15) 3493 13-14, 28-9 3494 16-18, $3^{6-8}$

## Septimius Severus and Caracalla

 'Avтшvivoc Kaícap ảmoঠєঠєıүнє́voc Aùтокрáтшр (Year 6) 3474 25-30

## Caracalla





## Elagabalus



Macrianus and Quietus
 Eviтuxєíc Cєßactoí (Year i) 3476 12-1 3
 347678

## Claudius II

 3477 a 2-4? (Year 3) 3477 І 8-20

Aurelian
 Eủ̃uхŋ̀̀ Cє ${ }_{\text {Bačóc (Year 6) }} 3498$ 38-40

## IV. CONSULS AND INDICTIONS

## (a) Consuls

$\dot{v} \pi a \tau \epsilon i a c ~ \Phi \lambda \alpha o v i ́ \omega \nu ~ T a v ́ \rho o v ~ к а i ̂ ~ E u ̉ c \epsilon ß i o v ~ \tau \hat{\omega \nu} \lambda a \mu \pi \rho o \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu$ (A.D. 36 I ?) 3479 I

(b) Indictions
$\pi \rho \omega \dot{\tau} \eta{ }^{i \nu \delta \iota \kappa \tau i \omega \nu} 351217$
$\beta^{\prime}$ iv $\delta \iota \kappa \tau i \omega \nu 35122$

## V. MONTHS

'A A pıavóc $347048 \quad 347147$
'A Av́ 3495196
Síoc $3482{ }_{2}$
є่ $\pi \alpha \gamma o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu a \iota ~ 347222$

```
'Eтєí申 3469 г 9 3477а 4 \(\Theta \dot{\omega} \theta 3476 \quad\) I3 \(3481 \quad 13\) 34822 Mєсори́ 346123465 II ?
```

3472223485 2, 35, 39 350173512 I6
Мєхєі́р 3465 пт ? 3493 г4,
$29 \quad 349418,38 \quad 3505 \quad 26$

| Nєро́vioс Cєßасто́с 348717 | 2235193,143520 II |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Пaṽv $346621348842-3$ | 35218 | 535122 |
| 348930 |  | Фарной日ı 3484223504 I1 |
| Пахár 3513 3，ıо 3514 3，8， | $C_{\text {¢ }}$ Вастóc 346722350837 | 350837 |
| 14， 213515 2，3， 93516 | Têßı 346371346511 ？ 3496 | Фаӥфı 3487 27，37， 403495 |
| 3,8 ，о 3517835184 ， | 21 | $\left.\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 3498 & 40 \\ \text { Xơáк } 3465 \quad 11 \end{array}\right] \quad 3485 \quad 12$ |

## VI．PERSONAL NAMES

$A[$ ，f．of Harmiysis，gd．－f．of An．．．，gt．gd．－f．of Harmiysis 34715
＇Aүa日óc，donkey driver 35185

 $\kappa \lambda \hat{\eta} \rho о с$
＇Aסplavóc see Index III s．vv．Hadrian，Antoninus Pius；V
＇A却ácoo 35023
＇$A \theta \eta \nu$ ．［，child of Dionysapollodorus and Chaere－ monis 34918
＇A升vâ see Index VIII
Aǜloc＇Aфробєícioc，strategus 34721
Aǜıoc see also Index III s．v．Antoninus Pius
$A_{\iota} \lambda \circ[$ ，Aurelia，d．of Sarapion and Aelura 3477 7， ［2I］
Aỉ̊oúpa 3477a го－1 134778
Ai $\mu \lambda \lambda_{\ell}$ 人óc，Marcus Aur．，s．of［Marcus］Aur． Besammon and Aurelia Petronia，gd．－s．of Serenus and Eudaemon，gt．gd．－s．of Pe．．． 3476 1о，20， 33
Aipìidıoc Catoupvivoc，prefect 3474 11， 21
＇Aїù 3480 4， 12
＇A $A$＇́ $\xi a \nu \delta \rho o c$, Sarapion alias，s．of Diogenes and Demetrous，gd．－s．of Hermaiscus 3493 i，II， 15， 263494 2， 20
＇$A \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \xi \operatorname{\xi } \alpha \nu \delta \rho o c$, f．of ．．is 347053
＇A入́́ $\xi \alpha \nu \delta \rho \circ<3505$ 1， 29
＇A入́́ $\xi a v \delta \rho o c ~ 350720$
 $\kappa \lambda \hat{\eta} \rho o{ }^{\circ}$
＇A入ívך 34995
＇A $A \alpha \rho a v \tau o ́ c$, slave 35105
＇A $A \mu \omega \nu$ áptoc，f．of Aur．Sarapammon 347617
＇$A \mu \mu \omega \nu \hat{a} c$, s．of Hierax 34613
＇A $A \mu \omega \nu a ̂ c$ ，land－owner $3520{ }_{2}$
＇A $A \mu$ úvıoc，alias Pets．．．，s．of Ammonius，gd．－s． of Pecysius 3472 2
＇A $\mu \mu \dot{\prime} \nu l o c$, f．of Ammonius alias Pets．．．，s．of Pecysius 34723
＇$A \mu \mu \dot{\omega} \boldsymbol{v} \imath o c$, s．of Panetbeus 3469 II
＇A $A \mu \dot{\prime} \nu \iota o c$, f．of Aur．Sarapammon 3476 i9
＇A $A \mu \dot{\prime} \dot{v} \iota o c$, f．of Dionysia 348227
＇A $A \mu \mu \dot{\omega} \nu_{l o c}$ s．of Taseus（？） 3492 i6

＇A Ани́льос 351411
＇$A \mu \mu \omega \nu o \hat{v}$ ，sister of Pannus 34926
＇A $A$ óic，f．of Ptollis，gd．－f．of Amois，h．of Thaesis 3470 7，34， 50
＇A ${ }^{\prime}$ óic，s．of Ptollis and Ta．．．，gd．－s．of Amois 347033
＇$A \mu$ óic，s．of Pompeius and Harseis，gd．－s．of Pompeius and Dio．．． 3490 ı
$A \nu[$ ，s．of Harmiysis and Tayris，gd．－s．of A．．．，f． of Harmiysis，priest 3471 4，29，［48］
＇Avסр́́ac，Aur．，s．of Demetrius，ship＇s captain 3481 1， 14
＇Avঠро́ $\mu а \chi о с$ ，f．of Apion 3487 15
＇Avסоо́иахос，gymnasiarch 35073
＇Avé́ctioc，f．of Harmiysis（？），gt．gd．－f．of Harmiysis（？） 34716
＂Avva，m．of Aur．Panechotes，w．of Mouses 35126
＇Avtivooc see Index VII（a）s．v．＇Avtıvóov módıc
＇Avtioxoc，f．of Theon 3482 3， 29
＇Avтioxoc，f．of Theon 34928
＇Avtioxoc，s．of Theon 34868
＇Avт $\quad$ vivoc，priest，archidicastes，superintendent of the chrematistae 3466 I
＇Avt $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { vivoc see also Index III s．vv．Antoninus Pius，}\end{aligned}$ Marcus Aurelius and Verus，Marcus Aurelius， Septimius Severus and Caracalla，Caracalla， Elagabalus
＇Ania，m．of Theon，w．of Theon 34904
＇Amicu，s．of Andromachus 348714
＇Ami $i \omega \nu$ ，f．of Herodes 35102
＇Aтíuv，троvoŋтท́c 3514 ：о 35152
＇$A \pi o \lambda \lambda \underset{[ }{ }$ ，Aur．Tiro alias 34994
＇Amodдофávךс，city scribe 3510 i
＇Amód入шy see Index VIII
＇Amod入ćvloc？，f．of Poseidonia，gd．－f．of Theon 34636
＇Amodג ${ }^{\prime} \nu \iota o c(?), \quad \mathrm{f}$ ．of Thaesis，gd．－f．of Harmiysis（？） 3471 28－9
＇Amo入入ஸ́vıoc，f．of Dionysius 3482 3，зо
 dorus 34913
＇Amod̀ć（voc），s．of Philostratus，（ex－？）cosmetes 3492 го
＇Aтодл́́vıос $3468{ }_{2}$
＇Aтоддஸ́vıос 3507 2， 42

＇Amod入évıoc，f．of Colluthus．See also Index VII（d） s．v．Kodдoúधou
＇$A \pi$ od $\lambda \omega \nu o u ̂ c$, d．of Sarapion，w．of＇Theon 3489 8， 34
＇A $A$ oh $\lambda \hat{\omega} \mathrm{c}$, Aur．，elder（？） 3479 7，17， 21
＇Ambûc，Dion（ysius）alias or f．of Dion（ysius） 3492 I 5
－AрáхӨŋc，boatman 35174
＇Ap $\beta$ aitoc，f．of Thermuthion 3506 1， 29
＇Apuıv̄cuc，f．of An．．．，gd．－f．of Harmiysis，s．of A ．．．，h．of Tayris 3471 4．30， 48
＇Apuıv̄cıc（？），s．of Thaesis，gd．－s．of Harmiysis（？） and Apollonius（？），gt．gd．－s．of Anthestius 3471 26－7
＇Ap $\begin{gathered} \\ \text {＇vicıc，s．of An．．．，gd．－s．of Harmiysis } 3471\end{gathered}$ 29
＇Apuivcıc（？），gd．－f．of Harmiysis（？），s．of An－ thestius 3471 27－8，48－9
＇Aртокр（ ），secretary of the gymnasium（？） 3492 5
＇Артокра̂c，s．of Publius 349220
＇Apтократi $\omega \nu$ ，f．of Dionysia，gd．－f．of Chaeremonis， s．of Heracleides，h．of Massalina 3491 ı
＇Apceic，d．of Dio．．．，m．of Amois，w．of Pompeius 34902
＇Apcincıc，s．of Hasychis，h．of＇「eteuris 3468 7， 9，í i，22， 29
＇Apcoûc 3506 7， 15
＇Apxißıoc see Index VII（b）
＇Acıvavóc，Gaius Julius，strategus 3464 г
＇Acкえךтьá $\eta$ с，strategus 3470334713
＇Acûuıc，f．of Theon and Harsiesis 3468 5－6
Aùpךдía see Aıдо［，Kúpı入入a，Пєтршvía
Aúp $\eta$ dıavóc see Index III s．v．Aurelian




 Capa－，Сарапо́ $\mu \mu \nu$ ，Саратíwv，Сирíwv，T＇єip $\omega \nu$, Xє $\mathrm{\omega} \mathrm{c}$ ；Index III s．vv．Marcus Aurelius and Verus，Marcus Aurelius，Septimius Severus and Caracalla，Caracalla，Elagabalus，Claudius II
＇Aфрoסcícooc，Aelius，strategus 3472 i
＇Aфроסírq see Index VII（d）s．v．ä $\gamma \boldsymbol{\text { via }}$ Kגєотáтрас
＇Aфроঠítךс；VIII
＇Aфîरxic，f．of Dorotheus 34806
＇Axı入ोєíc，Aur．，praepositus pagi 34793
＇AХı $\lambda \lambda \epsilon \dot{v}$ ，overseer of grapheion 348536
＇$A_{\chi \iota}$ 入入єúc $3507{ }^{14}$
Bакхид（ ）see Index VII（d）
Bá $\lambda \beta_{i} \lambda \lambda$ oc，Tiberius Claudius，prefect 346428
Bacidєíd $\eta \mathrm{c}$ ，Aur．，alias Philantinous，s．of Hierax 3477 11， 22
Bєрєขєiк $\eta$ ，d．of Bitharion，w．of Herodes 35107
Bخcá $\mu \mu \omega \nu$ ，［Marcus］Aur．，s．of Serenus，h．of Aurelia Petronia，f．of Marcus Aur．Aemilianus and Marcus Aur．Eudaemon 3476 3， 14
Bخcâc see Index VIII
$B \iota \theta a p i \omega v$, f．of Berenike 35108
Гáioc＇Ioúdıoc＇Acıvıavóc，strategus 34641
Гáioc＇Ioúdıoс $\Delta$ เoүє́̀ $\eta$ ¢，s．of C．［Julius］Tiro 3498 1， 41
Гáioc＇Ioúdıoc＇Iód入ac，priest，gymnasiarch of Alexandria 346412
「áioc［＇Ioúdıoc］Ttíp $\omega v$ ，f．of C．Julius Diogenes 3498 2－3， 6
Гáioc 34848
「áioc see Index III s．v．Gaius
 Nero，Domitian，Trajan，Marcus Aurelius， Caracalla，Claudius II
Гєриа⿱亠乂óc，f．of Panares 349218
$\Gamma_{\text {＇́тac，Lucius Lusius，former prefect } 3464 \text { I1，17，}}$ 35？
Го申ıкóc see Index 111 s．v．Aurelian
$\Gamma$ Гôv $\begin{aligned} & \text { oc，Aur．，s．of Penephis } 34803,27\end{aligned}$
$\Delta \eta \mu \eta \tau \rho i a$, d．of Sarapion $34666,7,9-10$ ，10－1 I， 15，21，23， 24
$\Delta \eta \mu \dot{\eta} \tau \rho l o c$, f．of Aur．Andreas 34812
$\Delta \eta \mu \dot{\eta} \tau \rho \iota \circ$ ，f．of Hermogenes，gd．－f．of Hermogenes minor $34854^{-5}$
$\Delta \eta \mu \eta_{\tau} \quad$ юoc，f．of Thais 349228
$\Delta \eta \mu \eta \tau \rho o \hat{c}, \mathrm{~m}$ ．of Sarapion alias Alexander，w．of Diogenes 3493 I， $15 \quad 349421$
$\Delta \iota \delta \dot{\mu} \eta$ ，d．of Saras 3499 3， 8
$\Delta i \delta v \mu i ́ \omega v$, s．of Mettius，high－priest（？） 34929

Аі́бинос 3505 3，5，17， 18
$\Delta$ to ．．［，f．of Harseis，gd．－f．of Amois 34902
$\Delta ı o \hat{a} c$, Aur．，s．of Diogenes，embalmer 350010
$\Delta t o \gamma a ̂ c$, s．of Horus，gd．－s．of Horus，priest 3471
7． 49
Aıơâc 347131
$\Delta_{\text {to }} \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\prime} \nu \eta \mathrm{c}$, s. of Theagenes 3486 i
$\Delta$ юo $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\prime} \nu \eta \mathrm{c}$ (?), s. of Philiscus 34883
$\Delta \operatorname{to\gamma } \epsilon \nu \eta \mathrm{c}$, s. of Chaeremon, ex-gymnasiarch 3492 12
$\Delta \iota o \boldsymbol{c}^{\prime} \varphi \eta$ с, f. of Sarapion alias Alexander, s. of Hermaiscus, h. of Demetrous 3493 I, 15 3494 2, 21
$\Delta_{\iota 0} \gamma^{\prime} \downarrow \eta$ с, alias Dionysius, s. of Diogenes and Plutarche, gd.-s. of Diogenes 3493 2, 16 3494 I, 14, 19, 34
$\Delta \iota o \neq \epsilon \emptyset \eta$ с, f. of Diogenes alias Dionysius, s. of Diogenes, h. of Plutarche 3493 2, 163494 г, 19
$\Delta \iota o \gamma^{\epsilon} \iota \eta$ с, f. of Diogenes, gd.-f. of Diogenes alias Dionysius 3493 2, 163494 1, 19
 41

$\Delta \iota o \neq \kappa \nu \eta$, f. of Aur. Diogas 3500 io- I I
$\Delta_{\imath} \circ \gamma^{\epsilon} \downarrow \eta$ с, s. of Diogenes, gd.-s. of Sarapion 3508 2
$\Delta \iota o \neq \epsilon \nu \eta$, f. of Diogenes, s. of Sarapion 35082

$\Delta \iota o \gamma^{\prime} \nu \eta$, slave 35106

$\Delta \iota о \mu \dot{\gamma} \delta \eta<346112$
$\Delta_{\operatorname{Lov}}()$, child of Dionysapollodorus and Chaeremonis 34919
Дıovvсатоддó8 $\omega \rho \frac{c}{}, \mathrm{~s}$. of Dionysius alias Chresimus, gd.-s. of Dionysius and Apollonius, gt. gd.-s. of Hierax, h. of Chaeremonis 34912
Stovvcia, d. of Ammonius, w. of Theon 348227
Sıovucia, d. of Harpocration and Massalina, gd.-d. of Heracleides, m. of Chaeremonis, w. of Heracleides 3491 i, [2], 7, 9, i1
Sıovcía 350627
Sıovúcioc, f. of Theon 34663
Dıovúcioc, f. of Aurelius Theon, h. of Es... 3477
Stovécıoc,'s. of Apollonius, Macedonian 3482 3, 7,8 , 11, 14, 16, 16-17, 21, 23, [30]
Stovícioc, f. of Ischyrion 3485 4, 33, 40
$\Delta \iota o v v_{c} \iota o c$, alias Petsiris, s. of Sara... 3488 I, 24, 33, 37, 41, 52
 Dionysapollodorus 34912
$\Delta \iota o v u ́ c ı o c$, f. of Dionysius alias Chresimus, gd.-f. of Dionysapollodorus 34912
Sıovícioc, Diogenes alias, s. of Diogenes and Plutarche, gd.-s. of Diogenes 3493 2, 16 3494 I, 14, 19, 34
Sıovícıoc, banker 3487 8, 16
$\Delta$ ıovúcıoc 350525
$\Delta$ avícioc 3518 ıo
$\Delta \operatorname{lov}(u ́ c t o c)$, s. of Longinia, ex-gymnasiarch 3492 I 3
$\Delta \iota o v($ úcıoc), alias (or son of?) Apphys 3492 is
-
$\Delta \iota o v v c o ́ \delta \omega p o c ~ s e e ~ a l s o ~ I n d e x ~ V I I ~(d) ~$
山оскоирі́дүс 350522
 $\Delta$ ıoфávтov ( $\kappa \lambda \hat{\eta} \rho о с)$
Doultıavóc see Index III s.v. Domitian
Dopitтioc see Index III s.v. Aurelian
$\triangle \omega \rho a ̂ c$, s. of Pibekis, ship's captain? 34697
$\Delta \omega \rho a ̂ c$, slave 35143
$\Delta \omega$ р́ $\theta$ єoc, s. of Aphynchis 3480 5-6, 13
'Epцаїскос, f. of Diogenes, gd.-f. of Sarapion alias Alexander 3493 I, is 3494 2I

'Epuiac, s. of Zenodorus 3485 5, [22 ?], 25, 28, 40
${ }^{\text {'E }}$ © $\mu \boldsymbol{\sigma} \gamma^{\prime} \nu \eta \mathrm{\eta}, \mathrm{f}$. of Melas, s. of Melas 3467 22, 23
'Eppoүє́vךс minor, s. of Hermogenes, gd.-s. of Demetrius 3485 4, 25, 29, 40
${ }^{`}$ Eриоү' $\left.\iota \eta\right\rangle$, f. of Hermogenes minor, s. of Demetrius 3485 4, 25
$E \subset[$, w. of Dionysius, m. of Aurelius Theon 34774
Eù $\delta a i \mu \omega \nu$, s. of Pe . . . , f. of Aurelia Petronia, gd.-f. of Marcus Aur. Aemilianus and Marcus Aur. Eudaemon 34765
Evidai $\mu \omega \nu$, Marcus Aur., s. of [Marcus] Aur. Besammon and Aurelia Petronia, gd.-s. of Serenus and Eudaemon, gt. gd.-s. of $\mathrm{Pe} .$. 3476 10, [21]
Eúdaí $\omega \nu$, official in charge of registry of military settlers' land grants 34825
Eủdaí $\omega \nu$, ex-gymnasiarch 3492 I4
Ej̀лдоía, slave 3477 I 3
Eủć́ßıoc see Index IV (a)
Eủтvх. ( ), doctor 349224
Zєúc see Index VIII
$Z_{\eta \nu o ́ \delta \omega \rho o c, ~ f . ~ o f ~ H e r m i a s ~} 3485$ 5, 25, 28
$Z \omega \ddot{\lambda} \lambda()$, doctor 349224
$Z$ wî̀loc, s. of Horus 348734
Z $\omega$ î̀oc, s. of Zoilus 34969
$Z$ wî̀loc, f. of Zoilus 34969
$Z$ wî̀loc 35036
"Hpa see Index VIII
'Hpa\&icu, f. of Ischyrion, s. of Ischyrion 3474 6, 32, 40
'Нракда̂с, alias Pekysis(?), s. of Thoonis 34978
'Hрак $\overline{\text { ' } i \delta \eta с, ~ s . ~ o f ~ H e r a c l e i d e s, ~ f . ~ o f ~ T h e o n, ~ h . ~ o f ~}$ Poseidonia 34634
＇Нрак $\overline{\text {＇}} \mathbf{i} \eta<$ ，f．of Heracleides，gd．－f．of Theon 34634
＇Нрак $є$＇íŋ $\rangle$ ，f．of Isidorus，gd．－f．of Isidorus 347434
＇Нраклєі́ठ $\rangle$ с，s．of Seos and Taonnnphris，gd．－s．of Heracleides，h．of Dionysia，f．of Chaeremonis 3491 I，2，5，9， 10
＇Нраклєíð $\eta$ ，f．of Seos，gd．－f．of Heracleides，gt． gd．－f．of Chaeremonis 3491 i
＇Нрак $\overline{\text {＇i }}\rangle \eta \kappa$ ，f．of Harpocration，gd．－f．of Dionysia， gt．gd．－f．of Chaeremonis 3491 i
＇Нраклєíð $\eta<$ ，strategus？ 3469 г， 20
＇Нраклєíß $\eta$ ，city secretary 347213

＇Нраклєіठŋс 3505 7， 10
＇Нраклєі́ठךс 35106
＇Hракגєíß $\eta$ c see also Index VII（a）s．v．＇Hракגєíov $\mu \in$ рí
${ }^{`}$＇Нрак $\lambda \hat{\eta}$ ，s．of Menches and Tatas，f．of Panemgeus，h．of Tathonas，priest 3470 5， 3 I ， 49
＇Hракגท̂c see also Index VIII
＇Hpatíwv，s．of Maron 3464 6，8，15， 22
＇Hр $\dot{\delta} \eta \uparrow$ ，Tiberius Claudius，strategus 3508 I
＇Hṕ́ $\delta \eta<$ ，s．of Apion，h．of Berenike 35102
＇Hp ${ }^{\prime}$
＇H申аıctíwv，Tiberius Claudius，strategus 3465 I， 5
$\Theta a .[35024$ ？
Qañctc，m．of Ptollis，gd．－m．of Amois，w．of Amois 34708
ఆa $\bar{\eta} c \iota$, m．of Harmiysis（？），d．of Apollonius（？） 347128
＠aïc，d．of Demetrius 349228
$\Theta \epsilon a \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta \kappa$ ，f．of Diogenes 3486 I
$\Theta \epsilon \sigma \gamma \epsilon \downarrow \eta c$, s．of Theogenes $3508{ }_{5}$
$\Theta_{\epsilon \sigma \gamma^{\prime} \nu \eta}$
$\Theta \epsilon \rho \mu \circ \dot{\theta} \theta_{\imath o v}$ d．of Harbaethus 3506 i， 29
$\Theta \epsilon ́ \epsilon \nu$ ，Aur．，alias Philemon，acting nomarch 3477 2， 21
$\Theta^{\epsilon} \epsilon \nu$ ，Aur．，s．of Dionysius and Es ．．． 34774
$\Theta_{\epsilon} \epsilon \nu$ ，Aur． 348027
$\Theta^{\epsilon} \epsilon \omega \nu$ ，s．of Heracleides and Poseidonia，gd．－s．of Heracleides and ？Apollonius 34636
$\Theta^{\epsilon} \epsilon \nu$ ，f．of Mnesitheus 34643
$\Theta \epsilon \epsilon \omega v$ ，s．of Dionysius 34663
$\Theta \epsilon ́ \epsilon \nu$ ，s．of Hasychis 3468 5，6，20， 28
$\Theta^{-\epsilon} \omega \nu$, s．of Antiochus，h．of Dionysia，Macedonian 3482 3，5，10，12，16，［20］，22，23， 27 （bis）， 29
$\Theta^{\prime} \epsilon \omega v$ ，f．of Antiochus 34868
$\Theta \epsilon \omega \nu$ ，s．of Theon，gd．－s．of Theon，h．of Apollonous 3489 1，16，24－5，27， 29
$\Theta^{\epsilon} \epsilon \nu$ ，f．of Theon，s．of Theon 3489 I
$\Theta_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \omega v$ ，f．of Theon，gd．－f．of Theon 3489 i
$\Theta \epsilon \epsilon \omega \nu$ ，s．of Theon and Apia，gd．－s．of Panechotes 34903
$\Theta \epsilon \epsilon \omega \nu$ ，f．of Theon，s．of Panechotes，h．of Apia 34903
$\Theta \epsilon \epsilon \omega v$ ，vir spectabilis 34813
$\Theta_{\epsilon} \epsilon \omega \nu$ ，philosopher 34924
$\Theta^{\epsilon} \epsilon \omega v$, ex－gymnasiarch 3492 i1
$\Theta^{\prime} \epsilon \omega v$ ，s．of Antiochus 34928
$\Theta_{\epsilon} \epsilon \omega \nu 350834$
Qoŋ̂pıc see Index VIII
ఆонио́к 350615
ఆойขıc，f．of Heraclas alias Pekysis（？） 34979
$\Theta_{0} \omega \hat{\nu} \iota$, tailor 349227
＠مáccuv，á $\gamma \rho o \phi u ́ \lambda a \xi 351131$
© $\rho \epsilon \pi$ тóc，slave 35144
$\Theta$ ©́vıoс，mill－master 34816
$\Theta \hat{\omega} \nu$ ис 35027
＇T€́ $\rho a \xi$ ，f．of Ammonas 34613
＇I＇́ $\rho a \xi$ ，f．of Aurelius Basilides 3477 I2
＇I＇́pa $\xi$ ，f．of Apollonius，gt．gd．－f．of Dionysapollo－ dorus 34913
＇Tヒ́pa乡，royal scribe $3470 \quad 3-4 \quad 34713$
＇Iódдac，Gaius Julius，priest，gymnasiarch of Alexandria 346412
＇Iód $\lambda a c$, s．of Pnepheros，gd．－s．of Iollas 3489 2， 34
＇Iód入ac，f．of Pnepheros，gd．－f．of Iollas 34893
＇Ioúdıoс Aucíнахос，head of the Idios Logos 3508 3， 6
＇Ioúdıoc，Gaius Julius Asinianus，strategus 3464 i
＇Ioúdıoc，Gaius Julius Diogenes，s．of C．［Julius］ Tiro 3498 I， 4 I
＇Ioúdıoc，Gaius Julius Iollas，priest，gymnasiarch of Alexandria 3464 I2
［＇Ioúdıoc］，C．［Julius］Tiro，f．of C．Julius Diogenes 3498 2－3， 6
＇Iov́dıoc，Lucius Julius ．．．，prefect 3468 I
＇Ioúvıoc see Index III s．v．Macrianus and Quietus
＇Ioûctoc，Claudius，idiologus 34724
＇Intóvapoc see Index VII（b）
＇İıסwpıavóc，Flavius，beneficiarius 3480 i
＇Icíסupoc，s．of Isidorus，gd．－s．of Heracleides 347433
＇Icíswpoc，f．of Isidorus，s．of Heracleides 3474 33－4
＇Icíd 10 oc，f．of Aurelia Cyrilla，h．of Sinthonis 3500 I
＇IcХvo［ 349622
＇Ičupicuv，s．of Heradion，gd．－s．of Ischyrion 3474 6，31， 40
＇Icxupíws，f．of Heradion，gd．－f．of Ischyrion 3474 6
＇Icұupícv，s．of Dionysius 3485 3，10，［ 15 ？］，I9， ［22？］，33， 39
＇I $\omega$ ávv $\quad$ c，Flavius，s．of Martyrios，member of the council 35123

Kaícap see Index III
Kád入ıттoc see Index VII（d）
Kaтıcтotéג $\eta$ c see Index VII（d）
Kaлiт $\omega \nu \quad 346524$
Kápaßoc（ ？）see Index VII（d）s．v．Bакхи入（ ）
Kâpoc，ex－iuridicus 3466 8，13， 17
Kєфа入âc，s．of Cephalas 3487 3， 37
Kєфaגàc，f．of Cephalas 34874
Kגavסía Пто入є́ $\mu a$ ，alias D ．．． 3497 6－7
$K \lambda a u ́ \delta ı o c ~ ' I o v ̂ c t o c, ~ i d i o l o g u s ~ 34724 ~$
Kגaúdıoc，Tiberius Claudius Balbillus，prefect 346428
Kגaúdıoc，Tiberius Claudius Hephaestion，stra－ tegus 3465 I， 5
Kגaúdıoc，Tiberius Claudius Herodes，strategus 3508 ı
Kגaúdıoc，Tiberius Claudius Potamon（？），priest， hypomnematographus，exegetes，former archi－ dicastes，strategus of Alexandria 3463 i
Kגaúdıoc see also Index III s．vv．Claudius，Nero， Claudius II
Kлєота́тра see Index III s．vv．Ptolemy XII and Cleopatra V，Cleopatra VI；VII（d）s．v．ä $\gamma v{ }^{2}$ $K \lambda є о \pi \alpha ́ \tau \rho а с ~ ' A ф \rho о \delta i ́ \tau \eta с ~$
Kоддoúも $\eta$ с 351112
Ko入入oû ${ }_{\text {ooc，}}$ s．of Apollonius see Index VII（d）
Ko入入oûӨoc，potter $3519{ }_{2}$
Kоддои̂ Өoc 351343515435195
Котрєи́с 35028
Кои́тьос 34668 ？
Kuiñoc see Index III s．v．Macrianus and Quietus Kúpı $\lambda \lambda a$ ，Aurelia，d．of Isidorus and Sinthonis，w． of Aur．Pasigonis，embalmer 3500 i－2， 13

Aєúкıос＇Ioúגıoс ．．．，prefect 3468 ı

＾oryєivia，m．of Dion（ysius） 3492 I 3
Aoyyinoc see Index IV（a）（A．D．492）
Аои́кьос Aoúcıoс Г＇́тас，former prefect 3464 i i
Aoúkıoc see also Index III s．vv．Marcus Aurelius and Verus，Septimius Severus and Caracalla， Aurelian
תои́тtoc 3466 8？
Aov́cioc，Lucius Lusius Geta，former prefect 3464 II
Aucípaхoc，Julius，head of the Idios Logos 3508 3， 6

Maкрıavóc see Index III s．v．Macrianus and Quietus
Ма́крос 3492 19？
 Besammon and Aurelia Petronia，gd．－s．of Serenus and Eudaemon，gt．gd．－s．of Pe．．． 3476 го
 Aurelia Petronia，f．of Marcus Aur．Aemilianus and Marcus Aur．Eudaemon 34763
 Besammon and Aurelia Petronia，gd．－s．of Serenus and Eudaemon，gt．gd．－s．of Pe．．． 3476 10，［21］
Мâpкос see also Index III s．vv．Marcus Aurelius and Verus，Marcus Aurelius，Septimius Severus and Caracalla，Caracalla，Elagabalus，Claudius II
Maprúpıoc，f．of Flavius Johannes 35124
Máp $\omega \nu$ ，f．of Heration 34646
Mapwvic，w．of Mnesitheus 3464 7，io
Macca入civa，m．of Dionysia，gd．－m．of Chaeremonis， w．of Harpocration 3491 i
Mé $\lambda a c$, s．of Hermogenes，gd．－s．of Melas 346722
Mé $\lambda a c$ ，f．of Hermogenes，gd．－f．of Melas 346723
Mє $\chi \chi \hat{\eta} c$ ，f．of Heracles，h．of Tatas，gd．－f．of
Panemgeus 3470 5－6，32， 49
Mévour see Index VII（d）
Mє́ттıoc，f．of Didymion，high－priest（？） 34929
M市ciӨєoc，s．of Theon，h．of Maronis 34643
Mouc $\bar{c}$ ，f．of Aurelius Panechotes，h．of Anna 3512 6，22， 29
Múp $\omega v$ ，vetch－seller 349226
$M_{\psi \varsigma .[ } 3464{ }_{21}$

## Nєוки́т $\tau<35146$

$\begin{array}{lllllllll}N \epsilon \mu \epsilon с \iota a \nu o ́ c ~ & 3513 & \text { I } & 3514 & \text { I } & 3515 & \text { I } & 3516 & \text { I }\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllll}3517 & \text { I } & 3518 & \text { I } & 3519 \text { I } & 3520 \text { ェ } & 3521\end{array}$
Népouac see Index III s．v．Trajan
N＇́ $\rho \omega \nu$ see Index III s．v．Nero

Níypoc see Index VII（b）
Nікךфо́рос 349225
＇Ovvळ̄фрıc，settlement guard 35189
＇Ocєipavtivooc see Index VIII
Oủa入єpıavóc 34987
Oúєctaciavóc see Index III s．v．Vespasian
Oủjpoc see Index III s．v．Marcus Aurelius and Verus
Oú〈入〉тเос 34668 ？
Пaॄ̧ic（or Пâçıc），f．of Aur．Pasigonis，h．of Taues $3500_{4}$

## Пайсıc 351123

Пaөaтрŋ̂c 3511 I6
Паөєриои́тьос 3511 І4， 19
Пацои̂vıc，donkey driver 35184
Панойขıс 350628
Пaváp $\eta \mathrm{c}$ ，s．of Germanus 3492 ： 8
Пavє $\mu \gamma \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \subset$ ，s．of Heracles and Tathonas，gd．－s．of
Menches and Panemgeus 347031
Пavє $\mu \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \dot{c}$ ，f．of＇Tathonas，gd．－f．of Panemgeus 347033
Пavє $\mu \gamma \epsilon \dot{c} c$, f．of Tausoreus 3487 2， 28
Паขєт $\beta є v$ c，f．of Ammonius 3469 i i
ПаvєХஸ́cıoc，Aur．（＝Пavєұ́́тخс），s．of Mouses and Anna 351222
Паขєұळ́тทc，f．of Theon，gd．－f．of＇Theon 34904
 29
ПаขєХш́тךс 35187
Пávoo 3492 6， 7
Пatvov́धloc，Aur．，s．of Phoibammon 351226
Патоутйс 3505 ı， 29
Пасıү⿳⺈⿴囗十七c，Aur．，s．of Paeis（？）and Taues，h．of Aurelia Cyrilla，embalmer 35003
Пâcıc see Пaçic
ПатєрнойӨıс 3504 9－ıо？
Mavגєivoc，f．of Aur．Sarapion 3500 in
Пavcavíac，boatman 350527
$\Pi \epsilon \ldots$ ．f．of Eudaemon，gd．－f．of Aurelia Petronia， gt．gd．－f．of Marcus Aur．Aemilianus and Marcus Aur．Eudaemon 34765
ПєiӨódaoc see Index VII（d）
Пєки́cioc，f．of Ammonius，gd．－f．of Ammonius alias Pets．．． 34723
Пєки̂cıс（？），Heraclas alias，s．of Thoonis 34978
$\Pi_{\epsilon \nu \eta}^{\eta} \phi \iota$, f．of Aur．Gunthus 34803
Пєртivás see Index III s．v．Septimius Severus and Caracalla
Пєтєйpıc，Aur．，dike superintendent 3475 2， 32
Пєтршгía，Aurelia，d．of Eudaemon，gd．－d．of Pe．．．，w．of［Marcus］Aur．Besammon，m．of Marcus Aur．Aemilianus and Marcus Aur． Eudaemon 3476 4， 15
Пєтри́vıoс，teamster 3518 7－8
$\Pi_{\epsilon \tau \mathcal{L}}$ ．［，Ammonius alias，s．of Ammonius，gd．－s．of Pecysius 3472 2－3
$\Pi_{\epsilon \tau<i p ı c,}$ Dionysius alias，s．of Sara．．． 3488 ו
$\Pi_{\iota} \beta \bar{\eta} \kappa \iota<$ ，f．of Doras 3469 7－8
Пגоитá $\rho \chi \eta$ ，m．of Diogenes alias Dionysius，w．of
Diogenes $3493_{2,17} 3494_{1}$ ，19－20
Плочтi $\omega \nu$ ，archephodus 3467 I5
$\Pi \nu \epsilon \phi \in \rho \bar{\omega} \subset$ ，f．of Iollas，s．of Iollas 3489 z
Пouт $\dot{\imath} i o c$, f．of Amois，s．of Pompeius，h．of Harseis $3490{ }_{1}$
По $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ йioc，f．of Pompeius，gd．－f．of Amois 3490 i

По́тдьос 34848
По́тльос 3492 го
Посєıठшvía，w．of Heracleides，m．of Theon，d．of ？Apollonius 3463 5－6
Потá $\mu \omega \nu$ ，Tiberius Claudius（？），priest，hypomne－ matographus，exegetes，former archidicastes， strategus of Alexandria 3463 i
Пои́тьo 34668 ？
Птод［ 3465 2，［5 ？］
Птодє́ $\mu а$, Claudia，alias D．．． 3497 6－7
Птодєнаїко́с see Index XI（b）s．v．vóдисца
Птодєнаioc，f．of Sarapion 34875
Птодєнаіос，s．of Sarapion 350833
Птодєнаїос 349219
Пто入єнаîoc see also Index III s．v．Ptolemy XII and Cleopatra V
Птód $\lambda \iota c$ ，s．of Amois and Thaesis，f．of Amois，h． of $\mathrm{Ta} \ldots$ ．．priest $34707,34,50$
｀Poútıoc 34568 ？
Ca［，Aur． 34996
Capa．．．，Aur． 34762 I
Capq［，f．of Dionysius alias Petsiris 34882
Сарата́ $\mu \mu \omega \nu$ ，Aur．，s．of Ammonarius 3476 I6－17
Сарата́ $\mu \omega \nu$ ，Aur．，s．of Ammonius 3476 I 8
Caрата́ $\mu \omega \nu$ ，scribe 347220
Саратьá $\eta$ с，хєєрьсти́с $3513 \quad 5 \quad 3515 \quad 5 \quad 3516$ \％ $\begin{array}{llll}3518 & 16 & 3519 & 35214\end{array}$
Caparıác 350524
Cápatıc see Index VIII
Caparicv，Aur．，s．of Paulinus，embalmer 3500 11
Capari $\omega v$ ，f．of Phanias and Demetria 34665
Capariuv，Serenus alias，royal scribe $3472{ }_{2}$
Capariuv，f．of Aurelia Aelo．．．，h．of Aelura 34778
Capariwv，s．of Ptolemaeus 34875
Caparicu，f．of Apollonous 34898 9
Capamícv，alias Alexander，s．of Diogenes and Demetrous，gd．－s．of Hermaiscus 3493 i，in， 15， 263494 2， 20
Capamícv，f．of Diogenes，gd．－f．of Diogenes 3508 2
Caparíwv，s．of Sarapion 350833
Capari$i \omega \nu$ ，f．of Sarapion and Ptolemaeus 350833
Capaтíwn 348932
Capa（ $\pi i \omega \nu$ ），ex－gymnasiarch 3492 I4
Caparódwpoc，exegetes 3507 i， 41
Capâc，alias Diogenes，f．of Didyme 34993
Сариа́тךс 3502 2， 6
Caqovpvîvoc，Aemilius，prefect 3474 11， 21
 $C_{\epsilon} \beta$ асто́c； $\mathrm{XI}(b)$ s．v．vópıcда
 ${ }_{1} 3518$ І 3519 ı 3520 ı 3521 ı
Cєovîpor see also Index IlI s．vv．Septimius Severus and Caracalla，Caracalla
C $\epsilon \pi$ тínoc see Index IlI s．v．Septimius Severus and Caracalla
$C_{\epsilon} \rho \hat{\eta} \nu o c$, alias Sarapion，royal scribe 3472 i
C $\in \rho \hat{\eta} \nu o c$, f．of［Marcus］Aur．Besammon，gd．－f．of Marcus Aur．Aemilianus and Marcus Aur． Eudaemon 34763
C $\epsilon \hat{\omega} \mathrm{c}$ ，s．of Heracleides，f．of Heracleides，gd．－f．of Chaeremonis，h．of Taonnophris 3491 I
Cıдßavóc，фро⿱т兀стй́ 35132
Cı入ßavóc 351113
Cı入及avóc 351412
Cıv日ิ̀vc，m．of Aurelia Cyrilla，w．of Isidorus 35002
Ctpoutóc，f．of Aur．Cheos，gd．－f．of Aur．Syrion 3479 5， 16
Cupicur，Aur．，s．of Aur．Cheos，gd．－s．of Struthus 347920
Cúpoc 3501 i
Cûcoc see Index VII（d）
Ta．［，d．of ．．．allas，m．of Amois，w．of Ptollis 347035
Ta $\theta \omega \nu \hat{a} c$, d．of Panemgeus，m．of Panemgeus，w．of Heracles $3470 \quad 32-3$
Taovv $\omega \phi \rho \iota c, m$ ．of Heracleides，gd．－m．of Chaere－ monis，w．of Seos 3491 i
Tace（ ），m．of Ammonius 3492 ı 6
Ta $\boldsymbol{\tau} \hat{c}$, m．of Heracles，gd．－m．of Panemgeus，w．of Menches 34706
Tau $\bar{\eta} \subset$, m．of Aur．Pasigonis，w．of Paeis（？） 3500 4
Taûpıc，m．of An．．．，gd．－m．of Harmiysis，w．of Harmiysis 34715
Taûpor see Index IV（a）
Taucopê̂c，d．of Panemgeus 3487 2， 28
$T \epsilon i \rho \omega \nu$, C．［Julius］，f．of C．Julius Diogenes 3498 2－3， 6
Tєípov，Aur．，alias Apoll．．． 34994
Tєтє̂िpıc，w．of Harsiesis 3468 10， 30
TєХ $\omega<$ oùc 35034
Tı $\beta$ épıoc Kגaúd́ıoc Bá $\lambda \beta \iota \lambda \lambda$ oc，prefect 346428

Tıßépıoс Kגаи́ঠıoc＇Hфаıcтíwv，strategus 3465 1， 5
Tıß́́pıoс Kגaúdıoc Потá $\mu \omega \nu($ ？），priest，hypomne－ matographus，exegetes，former archidicastes， strategus of Alexandria 3463 i
Tı$\beta$ épıoc see also Index III s．vv．Tiberius，Claudius
Títoc see Index III s．vv．Antoninus Pius，Macri－ anus and Quietus

Toúp $\beta \omega \nu$ ，priest，archidicastes，officer in charge of the chrematistae and other courts 3499 I
Toúcкос 34728
Tpaïavóc see 1ndex IlI s．vv．Trajan，Hadrian
Tpúquiva see Index III s．v．Ptolemy XII and Cleopatra V
Tри́́ф 3483 І 2

Túxavac，collector 35147
Фаvaцáic，settlement guard 35189
Фavíac，s．of Sarapion 3466 5，7，9，11，18，20－1， 23，24－5， 27
Фаüctoc，banker 3487 8－9，40
$\Phi_{i} \lambda \dot{\delta} \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi$ oc see Index 111 s．v．Ptolemy XII and Cleopatra V
$\Phi_{i \lambda a v t i v o o c, ~ A u r . ~ B a s i l i d e s ~ a l i a s, ~ s . ~ o f ~ H i e r a x ~} 3477$ 11－12
$\Phi_{l \lambda} \eta_{\eta} \mu \omega \nu$ ，Aur．Theon alias，acting nomarch 3477 2
Фїдскос，f．of Diogenes（？） 34883
Фі́льскос 3465 2，［5 ？］
 Cleopatra V
Фi入óctpatoc 3492 го
Фíd $\omega \nu$ see Index VII（ $d$ ）
$\Phi_{\iota} \lambda \dot{́}$ tac，sitologus 3486 I
Фגáovïoc＇Icıסшpıavóc，beneficiarius 3480 ı
Фגáovïoc＇I $\omega$ ávvךc，s．of Martyrios，member of the council 35123
Фגáovïoc see also Index IV（a）（A．D．492）
$\Phi_{o \iota} \beta$ á $\mu \mu \nu \nu$ ，f．of Aurelius Papnouthios 351226
Фoúdßıoc see Index III s．v．Macrianus and Quietus
Xaı $\rho \eta \rho \circ \nu_{i}^{\prime}$ ，d．of Heracleides and Dionysia，gd．－d． of Seos，Taonnophris，Harpocration and Mas－ salina，gt．gd．－d．of Heracleides and Heracleides， w．of Dionysapollodorus 3491 2， 9
Xaı $\eta \dot{\mu} \mu \omega \nu$ ，f．of Diogenes 349212
Хаєр $\not \mu \omega \nu \quad 3474$ г ？
Xapía 3461 i 3
Xє $\epsilon$ с，Aur．，s．of Struthus，f．of Aur．Syrion 3479 $5,13,16,20$
X $\rho \overline{\text { ́cıнос，Dionysius alias，s．of Dionysius，f．of }}$ Dionysapollodorus 34912
$\Psi \epsilon \nu a \mu о \hat{v} \nu \iota$, foreman of vinedressers 35149
＇$\Omega$ рícu 349712
${ }^{*} \Omega_{\rho o c,}$ f．of Diogas，s．of Horus 3471 7， 50
${ }^{*} \Omega_{\rho o c, ~ f . ~ o f ~ H o r u s, ~ g d .-f . ~ o f ~ D i o g a s ~} 34717$
${ }^{\star}$ Spoc，f．of Zoilus 348734

## VII．GEOGRAPHICAL

## （a）Countries，Nomes，Toparchies，Cities，etc．

＇Aסıаßךиєкóc see Index III s．v．Septimius Severus and Caracalla
Aiyúntioc 3461 it
Aǐyuttos $3477{ }_{14} 4$

＇$A \lambda \epsilon \xi \alpha \nu \delta \rho \epsilon \dot{c} c[34982$ ？$]$

＇Avтıvoїтько́с $350724-5$
＇Avtıvóov тó入ıc 3477 a 6－7 34773
ăv $\omega$ тотархía 3488 9 34899
＇Apaßıкóc see Index III s．v．Septimius Severus and Caracalla
＇Aриєигако́c see Index III s．v．Marcus Aurelius
＇$A \rho с$ сооїтрс（nome） 34642
Aїуоистаницки́ 34802
Bрєта⿱ו兀кóc see Index III s．v．Caracalla
${ }^{\circ} E \lambda \lambda \eta \nu \quad 34762$
‘E入入ךขскóc 3471 9， 26
Evßоьa 35172
＇Нраклєі́סои $\mu \epsilon \rho і с 34648$
© ฤ阝aḯ 34823
$\Theta \mu$ отє $¢$ ф́ 347413
Kapтькóc see Index III s．v．Aurelian
Kacıuтıкóc 3491 7？
ка́тш тотархía $3470 \quad 29 \quad 3471 \quad 24-5 \quad 3485$ 2－3
Koтrítךc（nome） 34778

Kvvoтo久ítŋc（nome）$\quad 3484 \quad 3 \quad 3488434894$
Kıvoто入ítךс ка́тш（nome） 3477 5－6
Kヘ̂oc 348218
$\lambda_{\iota}$ ßòс тотархі́a 34754
Aúкıoc see Index VII（d）s．v．Auкі́wע Парєнßodīc ӑ $\mu \phi$ обo
Макє $\dot{\text { ún }} 3482$ 3，4，29， 30
нヒ́cך тотархía 3461 it 35085
M $\begin{array}{r}\text { Sıкóc see Index III s．v．Marcus Aurelius }\end{array}$


9？］3508 i see also Index XI（a）s．v．cтat $\mu$ óc


$\begin{array}{llllllll}2 & 34849 & 3487 & 2,7 & 3488 & 2-3 & 3489 & 3490\end{array}$
$23491_{2}, 153493_{1-2,16} 34942$ ， 203500 $\begin{array}{lllll}2-3 & 3508 & 3510 & 2-3 & 3512\end{array}$
$\pi \hat{a} \gamma$ ос $\gamma^{\prime} 3479$ 3，（6）
Парөıкóc see Index III s．vv．Marcus Aurelius， Caracalla
$\begin{array}{llllllll}\text { Пє́рс } \eta с & 3485 & 6 & 3488 & 4-5 & 3489 & 4 & 3490\end{array}$
$\pi \rho \grave{c}$ à $\pi \eta \lambda \iota \omega$ т́т $\eta \nu$ тотархі́a 3493 9， 243494 пі， 3 г
тро̀с дíßа тотархía 34988
Прос $\omega \pi i \not \tau \eta<$（nome） 34697
＇Ршнаїос 347663498434996
$\Phi_{\epsilon v \epsilon} \beta \hat{v} \theta_{i c}$（toparchy？－Panopolite） 3469 2，I5， 16
（b）Villages，etc．
＇Aкшрітךс（Hermopolite？） 350721
＇Apхıßiov є́тоі́кıоข 3489 30－1
Bоц阝а⿱㇒廾刂 3469 9， 10
（＇Eлта）кш（ $\mu$ ía） 34628 ？
Eủєрүє́тル（Cynopolite） 3484 ［3］，i 1，24－5
$Z . \varphi() 34628$
$\Theta_{\mu \circ \iota} \hat{\omega}_{\omega} \theta_{\iota c} 34889$ ？ 34899

$\Theta \hat{\omega} \lambda \theta_{\iota} 3474$ І 3
‘Imто⿱а́ $\mu$ ои є̇тоі́кьov 3512 7， 29
A $\eta$ тойс пóдıc（Arsinoite） 34648
$N \epsilon \tau \rho \dot{\omega} 3491$ i i
Nіүроч єттоікьог 348845
Паїдс 3462 6， 9
Пайцкс 3491 з1
$\Pi \epsilon . \epsilon$ ．［（Cynopolite） $34883^{-4}$
Пєєขvळ́ 3496 8，го
Пéda $3462 \quad 5 \quad 3473 \quad 5$

Пєрєтレoи̂ıc（Cynopolite） 34893
Cєуєкє入єú 3475 19， 24350830
Cєvéлта 3482 7， 34
Cevequú 3491 io
Сєуокйцис 3462 го
Сєри̂фに 34627 ？ 3475 zo 34988
Ć́ćфа 35203
Cıvapú 3462334852,37
Coûuc $3516{ }_{2}$
Cúpwu 3479 6， 8
Ta入aé 3470 ［1？］，9， 29 34711 1，8， 25
T $\rho$ ú申wuoc＇Icteîov 34624
Фовஸ́ou 34979
$\Psi \stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \theta_{\iota} 3493$ 9， 243494 п І， 3 г， 39

$\Psi \hat{\omega} \nu \mathrm{L}$（Panopolite） 3469 4，ro，I I
「Зфкс 34976

## （c）Tribes and Demes

＇A入өaєє́́ 3463 4， $23 \quad 347435$

Гєขєа́рхьос 347619
Zク́vıос 34868
$\Theta \in с \mu о ф о ́ \rho t о с ~ 347712-13 ~$
＇Icí＇ıoc［3476 6？］
Maтídoc 347712

Nєоко́снис 346323 ？
Nepoviávioc 347619
＇Oиó $\mathbf{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\prime}$ ıос 3476 ［6？］， 22
Пav入ívoc 3476 5， 22 ［3477a 6］
Caßívoc 347617
Сшсько́сцьос 3463 23？ 347434
Фıла $\delta$ б́ $\lambda \phi$ ıос 3477 а 6
（d）Miscellaneous


 ү $\rho а ́ \mu \mu а ~ \gamma ~ 34764 ~$
$\Delta \iota o v u c o \delta \dot{́} \rho o v$［ $\kappa \lambda \hat{\eta} \rho \circ c] 3491$ 10－1 I

$\Theta$ ойрк 348848 ？

Kaтıстотє́douc каi Dıoфávтov（ $\kappa \lambda \grave{\eta} \rho о с) 35083$ I



## VIII．RELIGION

á $\gamma v$ cía 347329
＇A苝 347219
＇A по́д $^{\lambda} \lambda \omega \nu 3473$ 3，10， 19
а́ $\rho \chi є є \rho \epsilon$ úc 349293506 zо
аं $\varnothing \chi$ เлрофйтทс 3470 25－6， $45 \quad 3471$ 21－2， 43
＇Aфpoסít 34917 see also Index VII（d）s．v．ärvıa Клєопа́трас＇Aфробítךс
В $\begin{gathered}\text { сâc } \\ 3473 \\ 20\end{gathered}$
$\beta \omega \mu o ́ c ~ 3473$ 14， 15 （bis）
১ıóскочроц 3467 го
боо́ оос 3473 г 6
є̇ортŋ́n 347326
Zєúc 3471 г，9， 25


$\theta$ єa óc 3495 8，15，25，34，42，51，58，72，82，92， ioi，ito，i19，129，139，149，163，178，193， 205
$\Theta \epsilon \nu \epsilon \pi \mu$ ót see Index VII（d）s．v．ఆоךрєîov $\Theta \epsilon \nu \epsilon \pi \mu o ́ \iota$
$\theta$ tóc 3470 го， $30 \quad 3473$ 4， $29 \quad 34768348229$

350883512 г．See also Index III s．vv．
Ptolemy XII and Cleopatra V，Claudius
$\theta \dot{\eta} \kappa \eta 3473$ I I
Oonpeiov see Index VII（d）
Өvuıaти́pıov 3473 19－20
ієрако́морфос 3473 го

16,293471 i，8，13， 253473 23，27， 323499 i
iєpóv 3467 го， 1234719,263473 4，17， 27 ， 29， 31
＇Ictîiov see Index VII（b）s．v．Toúфwvoc＇I．
＇Ocєıра⿱亠乂ívooc 34769
тастофо́poc 347330
$\pi \rho \circ \pi$ údaıov 3473 г6， 22
Capatєîov 3487 7－8， 14
Cápaтı 347319
Cєраті́дєог 34638
cúvvaoc 3473 3－4


## IX．OFFICIAL AND MILITARY TERMS AND TITLES

à үора⿱㇒́док 348232 ？
à $\gamma \rho \circ \phi u ́ \lambda a \xi 351131$

à $\rho \chi \in i o v 34777$


Baculéécy see Index III s．v．Ptolemy XII and Cleopatra V
Bacideúc see Index III s．v．Ptolemy XII and Cleopatra V
Bacıдекóv 3482 1 5，26，［39］
Васє入єко̀с $\gamma \rho а \mu \mu а т є$ и́c $3470+34711_{3} \quad 34722_{2}$
Bacíitcca see Index III s．vv．Ptolemy XII and Cleopatra V，Cleopatra VI
$\beta \in \nu є \phi$ гкь́́pıo 3480 I ？
ßou入ウ́ 34762
$\gamma \rho а \mu \mu а т є \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \omega 3469$ 15－16 3492 5？
 रрафєіо⿱二小 348536
रюáф $\omega \nu$ тòv voнóv 34657
रepvacıapұєiv 3492 i1？， 12 ？， 13 ？，14？ 34983 ？
रu $\mu$ vacıapхía 35078


$$
13 \text { ?, } 14 \text { ? }
$$

रu $\mu \mathrm{L}$ с́co 34925 ？
§пиócıov 349837
бьканобо́тךс 34668
бьоікпси 350824


є́тискє́тттс 34656
є́ $\rho \eta \mu \circ \phi \dot{\lambda} \lambda a \xi 3467$ 9， 15
$\epsilon \dot{v} \theta \eta \nu$ นар $\chi \epsilon i \nu 3498$ з？
$\dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \mu о \nu i ́ a 34802$
$\dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \mu \omega \dot{\omega} \boldsymbol{u} 3464 \quad 28 \quad 347412,20 \quad 35038$

imтархía 3482 3，4，［30］， 30
iாттध́ćc 34823
Kaıс́́pєєос 3463 3？
катадохıсно́с 34826
коснұтєи́єь 3492 ıо？
косиұтйс 3463 Із 3492 го？
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { кра́тьстос } & 3472 & 4 & 3476 & 2 & 3503 \\ 8\end{array}$
крєти́рьог 3466234992
$\mu \nu \eta \dot{\mu} \omega \nu 3482$ 32？
voнархіа 34773
oủсıакウ̀ є̇ $\pi \iota \tau \rho \circ \pi \grave{\eta} 3507$ 35－6
oúcıaкóc 350738
óффıкıádıoc 350736
$\pi \epsilon \rho i \beta \lambda \epsilon \pi \tau \sigma \subset 34813$
по́ $є \epsilon \omega<$ ураниатєи́c 3472 Із 3510 І
тодıтєчо́ $\mu є \nu$ ос 35123
траитócıтос 34793
тракторєі́а 34967
лра́ктшр 3469 2－3， 14

три́та⿱亠䒑c 3463

стратпүо́с 3463234641,35 ？ 3465 1， 5 ［3466 27 ？］ 3468 21， $27 \quad 3469$ 20？ $3470 \quad 3471$
$\begin{array}{lllll}3 & 3472 & 3503 & 9508\end{array}$
и́лоицпнатоура́фос 3463 п，8－9 3498 п？

${ }^{*} \chi \omega \mu a \tau \epsilon \pi \iota \mu \epsilon \lambda \eta \tau \epsilon i a 3508$ 23，25－6
$\chi \omega \mu а т о є \pi ц \epsilon \lambda \eta \tau \eta \ll 3475$ 3－4？，32？ 35084

## X．PROFESSIONS，TRADES AND OCCUPATIONS

$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { á } \mu \pi \epsilon \lambda \text { дич } \rho \text { о́c } & 35149 & 3515 & 2 & 3516\end{array}$
а̇таルтๆтグィ 35147
阝aфєúc 349223
ү́є $\rho \delta$ огс 349222
$\gamma \in \omega \rho \gamma$ óc $347333-4$
rvaфєúc 349223
रраниатєи́с 3472 zo 3475 34．See also Index
IX s．vv．Bacı入ıкò $\gamma \rho$ ．，по́дє $\omega c$ र $\gamma \rho$ ．

є́єтафıастй́ 35005


ఢєєขүך入а́тŋс 35188
グтทтท́c 349227
iaт oóc 349224 （bis）
кєрацєи́с 3519 д
коирє́́c 349222

$\mu \nu \lambda \omega \nu \alpha ́ \rho \chi \eta>34816$
ขаитıко́с $3505 \quad 28 \quad 35174$
oivoүєúctךc 35172

## INDEXES

```
òv\eta\lambdaá\tau\etaс 3511 Із, 27 35185
óр\beta\iotaо\pi\omegá\lambda\etaс }34922
*\pi\epsiloń\piт\etaс }34922
\piро\nuо\eta\tau\etáс 3514 го-1 І 3515 2
троста́т\etaс 3469 12
 с\eta\muгоүра́фос }35072
v\delta\rhoо\piа́\rhoо\chiос 3515 3 3516 3
фı\lambdaócoфос }3492
фроитіст\etáс 3513 2 }3516
\chiє\iota\rho\iotaст\etáс 35136 [13 3515 5
    8 3520 9 3521 5
```


## XI．MEASURES

## （a）Weights and Measures

äpovpa 3464 9， 22 （bis），［23］3482 6，7，17，［22］， 22，［34］，［35］ 3488 ІО－ІІ，І7－18， $29 \quad 3489$ Іо， ІІ，I3，I5，I8 34989,10 ，I2，I 3，16－17，［I9］， ［24］， $42 \quad 3503$ I5 3508 3 I， 32

а́ тт́́ß $34818 \quad 348693488$ ェ3，16，20，23，25，
 $5,6,24,25 \quad 3496$ го $\quad 35138 \quad 3514$ ı $8 \quad 3516$ $\begin{array}{llll}9 & 3518 & 20 & 3519\end{array} 12$
（ápта́ßך） 3469 i i 3473 з г， $36 \quad 3474$ i4 3481 $9 \quad 3486$ го 3496 г $3 \quad 3497$ го（bis） 3513 5，7， 935144 （bis），5， 6 （bis），7，ІО，І 1，І 2 （bis），І 3，

I5， 203516 4，Іо $35185,6,7,8,9$ ，Іо（bis）， I I，i8， 2 I 3519 4， 9
Вікос 34616
סıтлокє́рацоข 3515 5，7， 8 （bis） $3520_{4}$ ，6，Іо，І І 3521 3－4， 6
$\dot{\eta} \mu \iota \alpha \rho \tau \alpha ́ \beta$ เос 3493 4－5，19 3494 5， 24
$\pi \hat{\eta} \chi \cup<3508$ І 3
$(\pi) \rho() ? 34622,3,4,6,7,8,9,10$
си́кшна 3512 ІІ－12，12，13，23， 29
стаӨ $\mu$ ò＇O（vрvүхітךс） 34916
тєтрахоі́шккс 3488 48？ 348932
रoivı\} 3496 12，［13］（3497 1о（bis））

## （b）Money

（ $\delta \iota \omega ́ \beta \circ \lambda \circ \nu) 34954,5,8,9,19,22,26,30,33,45,46$ ， $48,54,70$ ？ $83,91,100,102,105,107,108$ ，III， I20，134，148，I66，197， 202
 34858 ，［II］，26， 303487 Іо，II， $20,29,30,32$ $\left.\begin{array}{lllllllll}3488 & 34 & 3490 & 7\end{array}\right] \quad[7] \quad 3498 \quad 20 \quad 352088351$ 6， 7
（ $\delta \rho \alpha \chi \mu \eta$ ） $3468 \quad 7,17 \quad 3473 \quad 36 \quad\left[\begin{array}{llllll}3482 & 15\end{array}\right] 3485$ 3934885 I 34916 （tris），13，20，31？ 3495 passim $3506 \quad 25 \quad 3507$ 19， 3 1 $3508 \quad 32$（bis）， $\begin{array}{llllllllll}35 & 3511 & 43 & 3513 & 7 & \text {（bis）} & 3515 & 6,7 & 7 & \text {（bis）}\end{array}$ 3516 7， 8 （bis） 3518 г 8 ， $19 \quad 3519$ 9，Іо 3520 6， 7
$\mu \nu \hat{\alpha} 34917$
mpalaiov 3466 6，Іо，24，30？ 34916
 3485 7－8；Cєßacто́v 3487 1о $34906-7 \quad 3498$ 20
ขоиıсиа́тьоข 3480 I 5
 131，I35
（ $\pi \epsilon \nu \tau \omega ́ \beta o \lambda o \nu) 34957,23,24,32,35,40,43,52,68$ ， $78,79,93,95,96,97,104,117,153,192,195$ ， 203， 205
та́入аขтоข 3491 （5），（6），（20） 3498 ［2 I ］， 44 （3507 38） 3508 （31），（32），（35）
（ $\tau \epsilon \tau \rho \omega \dot{\beta} \beta$ до⿱亠乂 ） 3495 6，99， 1 I 5
（три́́ßодоv） 3495 І2？，27，37，49，88，і І8，І22，І40， I50，164， 201
хадкív 3495 3，29，67，75，129，152，204， 207

## XII．TAXES

ßасı入ıка́ 3482 11， 12
ঠұно́сıа 3488373498 зо， 33


т $\eta$ бádıov 348417
саккофорєко́v 3481 І і


## XIII．GENERAL INDEX OF WORDS


ӑßрохос［3465 18？］ 3489 ІІ
ă $\beta \omega \lambda$ дос 3489 32？ $34938,23 \quad 3494$ го， 29
áyäóc 3495 I 3500 I
àүаклє七то́c 3473 з？
$\begin{array}{lllll}a \\ \alpha \\ \epsilon & \nu & 3463 & 19 & 3465\end{array} 18 \quad 34726$
á $\gamma \boldsymbol{v}$ eía see Index VIII
ả $\gamma \nu \omega \mu$ осúv 3480 I 7
áyopavónoc see Index IX
ảypoфúdał see Index IX
ă $\gamma v \iota a 348573491$ 4．See also Index VII（d）
à $\gamma \omega \gamma \dot{\eta} 35025$

á $\delta \epsilon \lambda \phi$ óc 3466
3507 г，27－8 35083435106
ádıaíрєтос 3482 6，［34］
ädoдос 3488473489 31 34938 8， $23 \quad 3494$ го，
293512 I4
áєíc $3488 \quad 42 \quad 3489 \quad 30$
à $\theta$ єTєiv 3482 14，［15］
ai̊ঠ́́́сиос 35123
ait plov $^{2} 3491$［ 12 ？］，I 3
aípa 3474 г 6
aifeiv 3474 3？ 3479 9， $19 \quad 3483 \quad 3 \quad[3485$ 20？］ 3493 6，7，21（bis） $34947,8,26,27349825$ ， $36 \quad 3508$ I
aǐ $\begin{array}{llll} & 34747 & 3506 & 25\end{array}$
aitía 3500 I3
ảкívסvvoc $\begin{array}{lll}3488 & 35 & 3489 \\ 19\end{array}$

3482 4－5，9， 32
àкои́єь 3503 Іо
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { ӑкрь } Ө \text { ос } & 3488 & 47 & 3493 & 23 & 3494\end{array}$ го
ӑкирос 3482 14， 25
а̇ки́ршсィ 34914
$\dot{a} \lambda \dot{\eta} \dot{\eta}_{\theta \epsilon \iota} 3510 \quad 13$
à $\lambda \eta \theta \dot{\eta} \mathrm{c} 3479$ I I
ă $\lambda \lambda \eta$ خ дoc 3476 g？ $34844 \quad 3485$ ェ8，27， $31 \quad 3491$ 4，8，17，［18？］， 18 （bis）， 29 ［3498 18？］ 35006 ， 9－10 3512 го
àд $\lambda о \delta \eta \mu i a 346418$
ä $\lambda$ дос 3463 3？ $34649,13,23 \quad 3465$ 19， $22 \quad 3466$ 23474 14，19 3482 2，7，9，12，［24］，29， 34
$\begin{array}{llllllllll}3484 & 20 & 3485 & {[22 \text { ？］，}} & 32 & 3488 & 17 & 3489 & 24\end{array}$
 $\begin{array}{lllllllll}29 & 3499 & 3502 & 3506 & 3,4 & 3515 & 3516\end{array}$ $3 \quad 35183$
ӓл $\lambda \underset{ }{3488} \quad 46 \quad 3489 \quad 30$

á $\mu \in \lambda \in i v 35043$
ӑ $\mu \epsilon \mu \pi т о с 3491 \quad$ і7
á $\mu \pi \epsilon \lambda_{\iota к о ́ с ~} 349116$
$\dot{\alpha} \mu \pi \epsilon \lambda$ íти 34649,2 I？
á $\mu \pi \epsilon \lambda$ ди $\rho \gamma$ о́c see Index X
а́ $\mu ф<\beta \dot{\eta} \tau \eta$ сис 34669
ä $\mu \phi$ oठov see Index VII（d）
а́цфо́тєрос 3470 8－9 3483 2？ 348563491 ı，2，
$\begin{array}{llllll}6 & 3500 & 5 \text { ，11－12 } & 3508 & 33 & 35108\end{array}$
 ćá $\nu$ ）， $50 \quad 3507$ 12，23？
àvá $3489{ }_{13}$ ， $15 \quad 3506 \quad 25$
ảvaßaivev 3506 7， 17
àvaßo入ń 3508 13
ảvаүка́ $\epsilon_{\epsilon \nu} 3507$ 9－10
а̀ раүкаїос 3466 26？ 3469 Із 3472 г 6 ？
àvaүvஸ́cтทс see Index IX

ảvaסıסóvaı 3491435074
ảvá $\delta$ ocuc 3474 3－4？
àva áx $^{\prime} 35077$
àvá ${ }^{\eta} \mu \mu 3473$ 5－6？
àvакріขєє 3477 16－17
àváкрıсıc 3477 I
ávaגац阝ávєє $347332348239 \quad 3484$［13？］， 26
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { ává } \alpha \omega \mu а & 3473 & 36 & 3515 & 3 & 35164\end{array}$
à $\nu a \pi є є \mu \pi \epsilon \omega 3517$ 4， 6
àváтдıuc 3484 ［5］，15，19， 24
ảขаф́є́єєン 3498 36－7
ảvaфผขєiv 348236
ảv $\delta \rho \iota a ́ c ~ 3473$ 1о

ăv $\in \cup \quad 34799 \quad 3500 \quad 13$
ảv $\downarrow$ ќкєレ 3480 I ？
ávض́кєстос 3503 16？
à $\nu \dot{\lambda} \lambda \omega \mu a 3484$ 15， 20

ả $\downarrow \dot{\rho} \rho 34645,9 \quad 347214 \quad 3475$ 19，20，23，24， 25 $\begin{array}{lllll}3487 & 5491 & 3500 & \text { i5 } & 3508 \\ \text { í，} 17\end{array}$

ảvтí3468 г8 $\quad 3473 \quad 36 \quad 3482$ го 3495 г74 $\quad 3508$ 5
ảvтıүрафŋ́ 35074
àviरfoa申ov 3464 26，32？［3465 3？］［3466 28？］ 3470143471 12 3475 1？ 3477 1
ảvтı入ацßávєь 34729
àvォı入oría 3479 ıо
àขนルтє́ $\mu \pi \epsilon \iota \nu 3492$ I
ảvтเтоเєiv 346421
ảvvாє́ $\rho \theta \in$ тос $3493 \quad 7,21 \quad 34948,27 \quad 351218$
ăv $v 3482$ 22．See also Index VII（a）s．v．ă $\nu \omega$ тотархі́a
ä Łос 3468 г 7
 $\begin{array}{lllllllll}3468 & 26 & 3477 & 16 & 3507 & 21 & 3510 & 14 & 3512\end{array}$

áтаルтє̂̀ข 3469 5，17－18 3484 І $8 \quad 3507$ 16
ảmaıтクтウ́c see Index X
àтад入ácсєєレ 3466 17
áта⿱亠乂兀̂̀ 34807 ？， 12
алтартіఢєш 350622
а̇тархท́ 3463223476 9，14， 16
$\begin{array}{llllllll}\text { атлас } & 3464 & \text { го } & 3482 & \text { а1 } & 3498 & 5508 & 25\end{array}$
à $\pi \epsilon \lambda \alpha \cup ́ \nu \epsilon \iota \geqslant 34676$


а̇тє́ $\chi є \downarrow \quad 3466 \quad 23 \quad 34876,28 \quad 3498$［21］， 43
àт $\eta \lambda \iota \omega ́ \tau \eta с 3482$ 20，23－4 3498 ［14］，15，17．See also Index VII（a）s．v．$\pi \rho o ̀<~ a ́ \pi \eta \lambda \iota \dot{́} т \eta v$ тотархía
а́тлокє́ $р а \mu о \nu ~ 35155$
á $\pi$ 入ov̂c 3512 zo
ảтó $34615 \quad 3462$ 1，3， 4 （bis？），5，7，8，9，10 3463 22？ 3464 II，21？ $346520 \quad 3466$ 3， 173468 3，31 34697 ，1о 34709 ，13 34718 ，í $3473 \quad 32,35 \quad 3474 \quad 7,15 \quad 3475 \quad 8 \quad 3477 \quad 5,8$ $3479{ }_{5} \quad 3480 \quad 3,6 \quad 3481734825,6$ ，12，19， $\begin{array}{lllllll}33 & 3484 & 4,7 & 3486 & 34872,5,11,21,30\end{array}$ 3488 2，3， $6 \quad 3489$ 1，3，5， $10(b i s), 25 \quad 34902$ ， $43491_{2}, 3,123493_{1}, 3,16,1734942,3$ ， 20， $2134958,15,25,34,42,51,58,72,82,92$ ， 101，I10，I19，129，I 39，I49，163 349663497 $63498_{5}, 14,[16$（bis）$], 27,28$（bis），29，29－30， $30,31,32 \quad 34995,7 \quad 35002,4 \quad 350143505$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}14 & 3506 & 29 & 3507 & 12 & 3508 & 2, & 32 & 3510 & 2\end{array}$ 3512 7，14， $29 \quad 3514$ 16 35156 （bis） 35165 $\begin{array}{llll}3517 & 3518 & 13 & 3521\end{array}$
àтоүра́фє兀 35103
$\dot{a} \pi o \delta \epsilon \iota \kappa v$ viva see Index III s．v．Septimius Severus and Caracalla
ämodıסóvaı 3474 22， $32 \quad 3480$ 25？ 3484 i i 3485
$\begin{array}{lllllllll}9,14,27,31 & 3488 & 26,40-1,50 & 3489 & 28 & 3490 \\ 9,[12] & 3491 & 18,28 & 3493 & 30 & 3506 & 29 & 3512\end{array}$ 15， 24
ảто́боскс $3480 \quad{ }_{13}$
ȧтокаӨıcтávaı 3484 2－3？ 3506 12－13
а́токєїсӨаı 3505 14， 16
áто́доитос 3480 14？
àто入ข́єเv 3505 І $8 \quad 3508$ 5－6
àтот入єї้ 348428
ȧто́тактоv 3488 13，15，20， 22
àтотөө́̀vaı 3506 16－17
ȧтотіขєцข $348514 \quad 3488 \quad 32-3,49 \quad 348933$
àтоисі́a 3491 19
áтофаі̀ен 3466 ı 3 ？ 3472 7－8
àтофе́ $\rho \in \iota \downarrow 350727$
ă $\rho a 350614$
ӑракос 3488 12， $22 \quad 3489 \quad 12$
á $\rho \gamma$ v́piov $3466 \quad 11,15,25 \quad 3468 \quad 7,17 \quad 3473 \quad 36$ 3482 15 3484 5－6，10？ 3485 7，11，26， 30 3487 9，11，29， 303488343490634915 ， $13 \quad 3498 \quad 20,44 \quad 3505$ 10， $27 \quad 350835$
á $\rho$ е́cкєєш 3512 п i
á $\rho \epsilon с т о ́ с ~ 3484$ го？
á $\rho \iota \theta \mu \in \hat{\imath}$［3498 22］
à $\rho \iota \theta \mu$ óc 35054
à $\rho к є$ ì 34914
$\dot{\alpha} \rho \mu o ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu 3464$ I
ăpoupa see Index XI（a）
$a \rho \tau() 3473 \quad 15$
ápтáß $\begin{aligned} \text { see } \operatorname{Index~XI~(a)~}\end{aligned}$
адртос $3489 \quad 17$
à $\rho \chi$ єiov see Index IX
ápхє́申одoc see Index IX
àpxıঠıкастйс see Index IX
àpXıє $\rho \epsilon$ úc see Index VIII
á $\rho \chi \iota \rho \circ \phi \dot{\eta} \tau \eta \subset$ see Index VIII
ӑ́с $\eta$ нас 3477 9－10？
 3506273507 39－40
ácтท́ $34636 \quad 34913$
ácфádєเa 3485 23， $33 \quad 34999$
ăтє $\chi^{\text {Voc }} 35109$
aủ入ท́ $34615 \quad 3467$ 5？ $3491 \quad$ 15
av่тó $\theta \in \nu 34847$
aùtó $\mathrm{\theta}_{\mathrm{c}}$［349821］
Av̉токра́тшן see Index II I
аขтонєข！ 3473 І 3
aủtóc 346314 （bis），16，17， 223464 ［18］，22，23， 26,303465 ［6？］，20？ 34666,14 ？，22－3，29， ［31］ $3468{ }_{15} \quad 34696-7,16 \quad 3470 \quad 23,28,54$ 3471 ［23］， 523473 5， $26 \quad 3475$ 3，7， $9 \quad 3476$ 183477 10， 16 （bis）， $2334796,8,12,18$ 34806 （bis？），13－14？， $28 \quad 34815,1434824$
（bis），6，7，10，11，12，14，19， 24 （bis），27，30， 36 3483 ［7？］， $8 \quad 3484$ іп 3485 ［19？］， 20 ［ 20 ？］， 233487 3，6，14，24， 343488 8，26， 333489 8， 33 ［34904］ $34913,6,9,10(b i s), 11,13$ （bis），15，18， 19 （bis），36？， 3834933,173494 3， 213497 10？， 113498 ［ 7 ？］，7，［9？］，25，26， $30,31,33,3534995,6,73500+35013$ 3503 10 3505 4，5，17，18，19？ 3507 6，6－7， 11，22，26，28，39， $4035086,11-12,34,35$ （bis） $3510 \quad 13,16 \quad 3512 \quad 8,273514 \quad 9,15$ 3518 II 35205
ảфагреîv $3491{ }_{14}$
ảфаиŋ́c 346415
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}\dot{a} \phi \hat{\eta} \lambda \iota \xi & 3470 & 15 & 3471 & 13 & 3508 & 34 & 3510 & 8\end{array}$
àфıкvєictaa 3464 20？
áфıcтávaı 34692349835
ă $\chi \rho \stackrel{ }{\circ} 3506 \quad 17$
Bacideúєiv see Index III s．v．Ptolemy XII and Cleopatra V
Bacideúc see Index III s．v．Ptolemy XII and Cleopatra V
Bacı入ıкóc 3474 I4 349828 see also Index IX s．vv． B．үраниатє́́c，Васıдıко́v；XII
Baciducca see Index III s．vv．Ptolemy XII and Cleopatra V，Cleopatra VI
Baccá̧̆єı 3506 i I
Ba申cúc see Index X
Вє́ßанос 349827
$\begin{array}{lllll}\beta \epsilon \beta a \iota o u ̛ v & 3488 & 40 & 3489 & 28 \\ 3498 & 44\end{array}$
вєваі́шск 3498 27， 44

Bíaıo $3468{ }_{25}$
$\beta$ вдiov 348024
阝iкос see Index XI（a）
Bíoc 3482 I I
Bıотєía 3491 I2
ßоך $\theta$ єiv 3467 18？
BoŋӨóc 346963514 13
Bódoc 3495 passim
Bо́рєاос 35152
ßoppâc 3482 ［19］， 203489 го 3498 14，［16］， 17
Bóteloc 3505 4，9， 12
$\begin{array}{llllll}\beta o u ́ \lambda \epsilon c \theta a t ~ & 3463 & 10 & 3468 & 16 & 3473 \\ 34\end{array}$
Bou入єutıкóc 349829
Boudí see Index IX
Boûc 351134
ßค́́ $\chi \in เ \nu\left[\begin{array}{lll}3465 & 1 & 8\end{array}\right]$
ßрохグ $3488 \quad 5 \quad 3489{ }_{5}$
$\beta$ شuóc see Index VIII
रaرєiv 3491 5，8，9，1о， 12 （bis）， 13 （bis），［17？］， 17
（bis），［18］， 18 （bis），22？，24？，27？，28， 373500 6， 7
$\gamma а \mu \epsilon \tau \eta ์ 34805,9,18,21 ? 34915$
үа́нос 35007
үáp 346943503 г 5 ［3504 8？］ 3506 І9 3507
14，19， 29
$\gamma \in 350712$
$\gamma \in$ ít $\omega \nu 3498$［14］，［17］

$2134944,8,23,27-8 \quad 3496$ г 3497 І 3513
3， $4^{3514} \quad 15,1635154$（bis）， 6 （bis） 35164
（bis） 3518 11， $12 \quad 35194,5 \quad 3520335212$ $\begin{array}{llll}\gamma \text { ยоихєî̀ } & 3512 & 5 & 3520 \\ 2\end{array}$
$\gamma$ үоихเко́с 3512 І2
үє́ $\rho \delta$ бoc see Index X
$\gamma \epsilon \omega \mu \epsilon \tau \rho \in \mathrm{i} \nu 3465$ I 3 ？
$\gamma \epsilon \omega \rho \gamma \epsilon i \nu 3474$ I2
$\gamma \in \omega \rho \gamma i a \quad[3498$ 28］
$\gamma \epsilon \omega \rho$ रóc see Index X
 $\begin{array}{llllllll}18 & 3488 & 36 & 3489 & 25 & 3498 & 28\end{array}$
fivectai 3462 （I I），（I2） 3463 ［2］，5， $9 \quad 3464 \quad 15$ 3465 16，22－3？ $34667,8,14$ ？（ 3475 25） $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}3476 & 9\end{array} \quad 3480 \quad 26 \quad 3481 \quad 9 \quad(3486 \quad$ 1о） 3487 $\begin{array}{llllllllll}41 & 3489 & 21 & 3490 & 7 & 3491 & 3,6,8 & 3492 & 11\end{array}$ 3493 5，10，20， 243494 6，12，25， 31 （ 3495
 $3512 \quad 13,18 \quad(3513 \quad 9)\left(\begin{array}{lll}3514 & 20\end{array}\right) \quad\left(\begin{array}{lll}3515 & 8\end{array}\right)$ （ $\mathbf{3 5 1 6}$ 10） 3517 （5），（7）（3518 21）（3520 11）
үเขи́скєєข $35065^{-6}$
$\gamma \lambda \epsilon \hat{\text { йкос } 3512 ~} 14$
rvaфєúc see Index X
$\gamma \nu \dot{\mu} \mu \eta 3506$ zo
$\gamma v \omega \rho i \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu[347620$ ？？

रvactíp 3476 II
roveúc 34919
$\begin{array}{lllllllll}\gamma \rho a ́ \mu \mu а & {\left[\begin{array}{llllll}3466 & 31\end{array}\right]} & 3467 & 24-5 & 3468 & 15 & 3470\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllll}55 & 3471 & 53 & 3476 & 18 & 3477 & 23 & 3480 & 28\end{array}$ 34838 ？ $348735 \quad 3493323512$ 27．See also Index VII（d）
रраниатєîov 3512 20， 29
үрациатєúєı see Index IX
रраниатє́́c see Index IX s．vv．Bacı入ıкòc $\gamma \rho$ ．，то́дєшс $\gamma \rho . ; \mathrm{X}$
$\begin{array}{llllllll}\text { रрáфєiv } & 3466 & 26-7,\left[\begin{array}{llllll}31] & 3467 & 23-4 & 3468 & 27\end{array}\right]\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}3470 & 54 & 3471 & 52 & 3476 & 17 & 3477 & 23 & 3480\end{array}$

 $\begin{array}{lllllllll}36 & 3503 & \text { i i } & 3504 & 7 & 3506 & 3-4 & 3507 & 24\end{array}$ 3512 21，26．See also Index IX s．v．ypá申ढv тòv voнóv
yoa申eiov see Index IX

| रраф́ | 3463 | 20 | 3473 | 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

रúŋс 348222


रu $\mu$ vaciap $\quad$ oc see Index IX
rupuáciov see Index IX
үираикєїос 34918

$\begin{array}{lllllllll}3482 & 27 & 3485 & 22-3 & 3489 & 8, & 25 & 3491 & 1,5\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llll}35008 & 3501\end{array} 435107$

баขєi弓єєข 346853485 3，Іо，15，26，29， 343487 12－13
Sáveiov 3466 ［i3］，if 5 15－16
סатávŋ 3498 35－6 35058
ס́́ $3463{ }_{9} 34649,15,20,22,[24$ ？］， $30 \quad 3465$ 16， 18，19， $2434667,10,17,2434678 \quad 3468$ го， ［20？］ 3469 5，9，ІІ， $13 \quad 3472$ го 3473 16， $\begin{array}{lllllll}27 & 3475 \text { I }_{3} & 3479 \text { 14 } & 3480 & 9(1 . \tau \epsilon) \text { ，} 6 & 3482\end{array}$ 2，14，［16］，18，19，21，22，23，26，37， 383484 10，13， $18348510,13,[16$ ？］ 34878,22 $3488{ }_{14}, 21,23,35,39,433489$ 14，16，20，23， 26,28 ［3490 it］ $34916,8,9,10$ ，［it ？］，［ir］， 12，15，18， 193497 II 3498 14，［16］，16，18，
 4，16，17， 213506 14，16， 233507 5，12，16， $\begin{array}{llllll}39 & 3508 & 15 & 28,36 & 3513 \\ 7 & 3515 & 6,7 & 3516\end{array}$ $8 \quad 3518$ 18 $\quad 3519$ го $35206 \quad 35216$
Seî $\mathbf{3 4 8 0}_{25} \quad 3491_{1} 8$ ？ $35008 \quad\left[3504_{4}\right.$ ？］ 3505 27350733
 20
סєкаби́o $35138 \quad 3514$ г9
бєкає́ध 3482 г $7 \quad 3513935204$ ，го 35216
бєкаокти́ 3519 І2
бєкалє́єтт 3514 г 9 －20
б́́катос 3465 го 3482 3，［3о］ 3487 г7
бєкат $\epsilon і$ іс $3498{ }_{13}$
$\delta \in \xi$ เóc 347715
бєо́ขт $\omega \boldsymbol{3 4 6 4} 6 \quad 34729$
бє́р $\mu \mathrm{a} 35053$
§єúтєрос 3467 і9［3477a 2 ？］ 3485 2， 34
§ŋ入oûv 3465 4， 123472 18？ 3487 24－5 3491 $\begin{array}{llllll}23 & 34986 & 3505 & 12,17,28 & 350626 & 3507 \\ 39\end{array}$
$\delta \eta \mu$ о́сıос $3465 \quad 6 \quad 3467$ 4－5？ 3470 23， $42 \quad 3471$ 19， $40 \quad 3475 \quad 7 \quad 3477 \quad 6 \quad 3489 \quad 24 \quad 3491 \quad 4$ 3493 4，19 3494 5， $243498 \quad 293508$ 4．See also Index IX；XII
ঠ$\eta \mu$ осьoûv 3466 I9
б $\eta$ оссі́шсис 3498 38， 45
סıá 3464 ［17］，［27］， $29 \quad 347331 \quad 34756,323477$ $7 \quad 3482$ 5，［32］，［33］， 36 ［3483 8？］ 34849

348527,3 1， $36 \quad 34878,13,24,4034906$ $3491_{4} \quad 34975 \quad 349821,27,31,37$（bis） 3499 $43502{ }_{2} \quad 35053,11,16,23$ ？ 3507223511 $41 \quad 3512 \quad 28 \quad 35174$
סıаүра́фєıv 3470 20－1 3471 І7
бьаүраф́ 3487 І 3， 4 І
ঠıаб́є Хєс $\theta a \iota 34772$
ઈıабохグ 347328
סıaらєข
ঠıáל๗ца 34626
бıаӨضкๆ［34988？］
Sıаєрєi้ 3482 I 6
бıаі́рєсıс 34987
ठ七акод入人ิv 3473 І 2 ？
бıа́котос 3475 І 6 ？
ঠıако́ттєє 3475 г 6 ？
Sıако́сıо 3468 ія $34907,8 \quad 3493$ 5，5－6，і9， 20 $34945,5,24,2535175,7$

## бıакои́єıข 3464 І 3

бьадацßа́⿱єєь 3467 г6－і7 34727
бıадоүıсно́с 3464 29？ 3465 І $3 \quad 34725$
бьáducı 3478 і
ঠıатє́ $\mu \pi \epsilon \iota \nu \quad 3505$ 3，І I－12

ठıасто入ıко́с 34645
бьа́таүиа 350735
Sıaтácceıv 3508 ıо（bis），ı6－17
Sıaтєivelv 3482 ［I9］， 20
ઈıатрофи́ 347329 －30
סıסóvai 3465 25？ 3472 ו7？ 3473 30， 343482 $\begin{array}{lllllll}5 & 3489 & 16 & {[3499} & 6 ? & 3505 & 19 \text { ？，} 28 \\ 3507\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllll}3 \text { I } & 3513 & 2,8 & 3514 & 2,9, & \text { 1 } 8 \\ 3515 & 2,7 & 3516\end{array}$ 2， $8 \quad 3518$ 2，I9 3519 2，II

$\begin{array}{llllllllll}\delta \iota \lambda \theta \epsilon i \nu & 3466 & 22 & 3472 & 5 & 3474 & 9 & 3493 & 4, & \text { I } 8\end{array}$ 3494 4， 23349831 （bis）
סıєขтvХєiv $3468 \quad 33 \quad 3477$ І 7
Sucтávaı［3484 4 ？］
бıкаьобо́т $ך с$ see Index IX
$\begin{array}{llllllllll}\text { Síкаьос } & 3464 & 30 & 3468 & 32 & 3476 & 6 & 3487 & 22-3,\end{array}$ 333498 ［5］，［6？］，7？ 35007350714
 $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}3494 & 13 & 3498 & 36\end{array}$
б七ó 3465 9 $\quad 3467$ I6 $63468 \quad 26 \quad 3503$ I I
ঠьоікךсьс see Index IX
бıонодоүєiv 3482 I 5
Кı๐ ®ойข 3468 8－9
סıóp日wcıc 3482 I I
бьори́ссєь 3467 3－4？
бıтлокє́ $\rho$ ацоv see Index XI（a）
反iтт兀ұос 34917
Sıccóc 3493 12， $27 \quad 3494$ 15， $34^{-5}$
бi申рос 34918 （bis？）

Súúßoخov see Index XI (b)
$\delta \iota \omega \rho \nu \xi 34622_{2} 3498$ I6
бокєi้ 3467 г 8 ? 3474 го 3507 г 6
бо́кьнос 3484 10?
סócic 3515 2, $3 \quad 3516$ 2 35193
Soúdخ 3477 I 3
бой入oc 3510 5, 7
брауратод(оу ) 3473 32-3
бpax $\mu$ й see Index XI (b)
бро́дос see Index VIII
би́vадис 3491 г $8 \quad 35009$
Súvactaı 34729
Súvaroc 3507 zo
Súo 3472 il $3482+3487$ il, i2, 29, $30 \mathbf{3 4 8 8}$ 5, $6 \quad 34895$ (bis), 13
ঠvorрıа́костос [3498 І І]
б́́бєка 3498 го, [17]
бшб́єккатос $3463+348725,35,38-9$
téáv $\begin{array}{lllllllll}3461 & 7 & 3464 & 28 & 3467 & 16 & 3468 & 26 & 3472\end{array}$ 1о $\mathbf{3 4 8 2} 14,25,37[3483$ 3 ?] 3485 13, 20 3489 20, 23 [3490 11] 3491 10, 16, 18, 19, 3734936 (bis), 21 (bis) $34947,8,26,27$ $3498 \quad 25,33,36 \quad 3500 \quad 12 \quad 3503$ II $\quad[3504$ 4?] $3505 \quad 10, \quad 27 \quad 3506 \quad 16 \quad 3507 \quad 23$ ?, 39 350828
éautô̂ $3466 \quad 12,21 \quad 34828 \quad 3484 \quad 28,293488$ $\begin{array}{llll}39 & 3491 & 9 & 3500\end{array}$
є $\beta \delta$ они́коута 3487 12, 30

$\stackrel{\text { п́ }}{6} \boldsymbol{\gamma}$ иста 346429
єॅүүрафос 3472 16 [34999?]
є̌ ধүरvầ $347435 \quad 3479$ 7, 17, 20
'่ $\gamma \gamma \dot{\eta}{ }^{\prime} 34665,18,20$
єॅ $\gamma$ үиoc 3485 [17 ?], 27, 31
दे $\gamma к а \lambda \in \hat{\imath} \hat{\nu} 3464 \quad 24,27 \quad 3478 \quad 2$ (bis)

єॅүк $\lambda$ خск 3464 4, 25
є $\gamma к о \iota \lambda а і \nu є \iota \nu 3473$ 18?
є่ $\gamma к о \iota \tau а ́ \zeta \epsilon є \theta a \iota ~ 347318$ ?


єं є̣́́ $3463_{5}, 1634647,13,[14], 20,23,303465$ $3,734665,8,17,19$ ? $34676,8,13,[24]$
 3472 13 $347327 \quad 3475$ 6, 32-3 3476 9?, 15, 163478 І 3479 12 34807 ?, 9, 15 ? , 17 (bis), 19 $34815 \quad 3482$ 33, 38, [39] 3483 [2?], 3?,4, [7? ? $3486334874,20,23,31,33 \quad 3492$ 21 3493 10 (bis), 11, 25 (bis), 263494 12, 13, 14, 32, 33, 343498 [6?], 6, [7?], [8?], [22], 23, 26 (bis), 34, 37 (bis) $35031_{5} \quad 35046,7 \quad 35055$, 8, 12 (bis), 17, 23, 283506 3, 10, 16, 23, 26

3507 1, 5, 22, 25, 30, 31, 38, 40 (ter) 350824 , 26, 29, $36 \quad 35106,7 \quad 3511$ 40 3512 11, 19, 20, 25, 28. See also Index III s.v. Macrianus and Quietus

 $6 \quad 3514$ 8-9
fi $3470 \quad 22 \quad 3471 \quad 18 \quad 3479$ 14 3491 1о 3505 $\begin{array}{llllll}27 & 3506 & 14 & 35078,12,20\end{array}$
 $\begin{array}{llllllll}3470 & 55 & 3471 & 52-3 & 3476 & 18 & {\left[\begin{array}{lll}3477 & 23\end{array}\right]}\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllll}3480 & \text { г6, } 28 & 34838 & 3487 & 34 & 3503 & \text { п } 1 & 3506\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}27 & 3507 & 32 & 3512 & 27\end{array}$
 15] 349828
єікасі́а 350827
єїкось 3466 6, 10, 243488213498 9, 12, [19], $\begin{array}{llllll}42 & 3519 & \text { 13 } & 3520 & 4\end{array}$, 1о 35217
tival 34617 ??, 1о 3463 13, 19, 23 [3466 30?] 3467 г 8 ? 3468 г3, 323469 г 63470 14, 24, $43,46347112,20,41,[44] 34735,9,16$, (18?) 3475 13 3479 I1, 1434827 , 14, [16], $17,19,25,34,39 \quad 3483$ 1 $348420 \quad[348518$ (bis)?] 3487 11, $24 \quad 3488$ 29, 37, 513489 183491 1о, 16, 18, 19, 263498 [21], 32 (bis), 37 [3503 12?] $3505 \quad 7-8$, 103506 3, 4-5, 15-16, $1835079,18,20,353508$ 28?, 28, $\begin{array}{lllllllll}36 & 3514 & 3 & 3517 & 3 & 3518 & 4 & 3519 & 3\end{array}$
єinteiv 346315
${ }_{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\kappa} 3461 \quad 5 \quad 3463$ 5, 21 (bis?) 3464313465 20?,
 $17 \quad 3471$ 1о, $15 \quad 3473$ 29, $37 \quad 3474$ 5?, [8?], 9, 16, 18, $36 \quad 3475 \quad 6 \quad 3481434828$, 15, 26, [35], [39] 3484 [3], $24 \quad 3485$ 18? $3488 \quad 5$
 [8?] 3501 1, 6? 350393507 i1, 243508 9, 293511 11, $18 \quad 3513$ 2 3515 2, 3 (bis) $\begin{array}{llll}3516 & 2,3 & 3518 & 35192\end{array}$
fic $\begin{array}{llllllllll}3469 & 14 & 3473 & 9 & 3480 & 15 & 3484 & \text { [13 ?], [15], }\end{array}$ 16? [3485 19?] $3506 \quad 18 \quad 3508 \quad 27,28 \quad 3514$ 20 35207
єiciévaı 34676348421 ? [3485 12? ?] 3488 14, 21, 26-7, 32, 43-4 3489 14, 21
єїскрись 3463 го, 16
єіскритєко́v $3470 \quad 20 \quad 3471$ 17
єйсобос 34618

є́к $34615 \quad 3463$ 5, 2I (bis?) $34643 \quad 3465$ 20?, $223468 \quad 19,223469 \quad 2,3,9 \quad 3470 \quad 23,41$ 3471 ı9, [40] $347335 \quad 3474$ г 3, 22, $33 \quad 3476$ 9 ? $\quad 34805,14 \quad 3481$ І2 3482 3, 4, 17, 21, 29, 303483 [2?], [3?], $3,[5$ ?] 348493485 [19 (ter)?], [20?], [21 ?] 3488 ıо, [52], 53? 3489

```
'̇к (cont.)
    1о 3490634918 , 10 (bis), I I (bis), 18 (bis),
    293493 1о (bis), 11, 25 (bis), 26349412 (bis),
    13, 32 (bis), 333498 [7?], [9?], [14], 14, 15,
    [16], 21, [22], \(36 \quad 35079 \quad 35083,30,31 \quad 3512\)
    17, 1935148
є̋кастос \(\begin{array}{llllllll}3473 & 28 & 3488 & 49 & 3489 & \text { І2, 15, } 33 & 3506\end{array}\)
    19-20 3508 іп, 19
єккато́ข 3484143485 [8], [II], 26, \(30 \quad 3486\) Іо
    35158
єккатостй 348413
є̋куорос \(34828 \quad 3498\) 22-3
\(\begin{array}{lllllllll}\text { єєк } \delta \iota \delta o ́ v a \iota ~ & 3491 & \text { I } & 3497 & \text { г } 2 & 3500 & \text { I } & 3507 & 28-9,\end{array}\)
    29-30
є́кбохєи́с 350725
є́кєิิปос 346429
є́ккаьбє́катос 3498 [10], 10-11, 12-13, 13, 19, 42
єॅккдŋтоく 3507 33-4, 37
є́кцартирєі้ 34918 ?
є̇ктє́ \(\mu \pi \epsilon \iota \nu 346828\)
єкктро́Өєсиос 350832
є̇ктє \(\lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu 3503\) І 7
є́ктьа́ссєь 3504 з?
є̌кт兀с兀с 347436 [3485 18 ? ? ]
є゙ктос [3498 І7]
є́кфо́рıор 3488 12-13, 19-20, 27, 423489 12, 14,
    \(20,23,26,27,29-30\)
є́кхисьаîoc 351129
є́̉aт兀oûv 3485 [21 ?], 323487 19-20, 3 I
є̀ \(\lambda a ́ \tau \tau \omega v[34617\) ? ?]
є́ \(\lambda_{\lambda \epsilon i ́ \pi}^{\pi \epsilon \iota} 346320\)
є́入入оүєi้ข 3491 I9
є́цаитои̂ 349835
```



```
є́ \(\mu\) ßод \({ }^{\prime} 3484\) [ 12 ?], 25-6
द́ \(\mu \mu \epsilon ์ \nu є \iota \nu[3482\) 26 ?]
\(\begin{array}{llll}\dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi о \iota є \hat{\imath} & 3482 & 12 & 3498 \\ 35\end{array}\)
є \(\mu \pi\) ооос see Index X
```



```
є́ \(\mu \phi \dot{v}\) єıa 3479 9, 22
є่ข 3461 то 34638 , 2034657, 15, 22? 3467
    [4? ?], 8, II 3469 4, I2, I3, I6 3472 4, I6
    3473 , ІІ, 15, 21, \(28 \quad 3476\) 4 3477 a 63482
    2 (bis), 1о 3484 [9], 11, 293485 2, 6, 32
    3487 20, 22, 3 I, 323488 i1, 14, 2134914 ,
        \(5,6(b i s), 7\), ІІ, І 3, I 5, 19, 2034939,243494
        \(\begin{array}{llllllllll}\text { II, } & 30 & 3498 & 16 & 3500 & 9 & 3505 & 24 & 3506 & 25\end{array}\)
        \(3507_{5}\), \(8 \quad 3510_{15} \quad 3512\) 2, 16, \(24 \quad 3520\) 2,
        8
є̇vavtíoc 350836
є̀vaтóүрафос 35128
є้ขатос \(3482 \quad 2 \quad 35015\)
є́vঠ́єкатос \(34817 \quad 3510\) іо
```

е́к（cont．）
10 3490634918 ， 10 （bis）， 11 （bis）， 18 （bis）， 293493 10（bis），11， 25 （bis）， 26349412 （bis）， ［16］，21，［22］， $36 \quad 35079 \quad 35083,30,31 \quad 3512$ 17， 1935148

| є̈кастос |
| :---: |
| 3473 |
| 28 |$\quad 348849 \quad 3489$ г2，15， $33 \quad 3506$ 19－20 3508 і1， 19

като́ข 3484143485 ［8］，［1 1］，26， 303486 го
катостท́ $3484{ }_{13}$
є̈куорос $34828 \quad 3498$ 22－3
 29－30
є́кбохєи́c 350725
є́єкєìvос 346429
є́ккаьঠ́єкатос 3498 ［10］，10－11，12－13，13，19， 42
ккдทтос 3507 33－4， 37
еккєє́цлєєц 346828
є́ккло́өєєсиос 350832
ѐктєєєє̂̀ 350317
éктьขácceเv 3504 3？
єัктос［ 3498 17］
є́кфо́рıог 3488 12－13，19－20，27， 423489 12，14， 20，23，26，27，29－30
є́кхисьаîoc 351129
גa $\tau$ ouv 3485 ［21？］， 323487 19－20， 31

へєimetv 346320


є́ $\mu \beta$ одخ́ 3484 ［ 12 ？$], 25-6$
є́ $\mu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu є \iota \nu[3482$ 26？］
$\begin{array}{llll}\mu \text { тоєєì } 3482 & \text { I2 } & 3498 & 35\end{array}$
ধ゙رпторос see Index X

мфаข $\operatorname{la} 3479$ 9， 22 3473 5，ІІ，15，21， $28 \quad 347643477$ a $6 \quad 3482$ 2 （bis）， 103484 ［9］，11， 293485 2，6， 32 3487 20，22，31， 323488 11，14， 2134914 ， $\begin{array}{llllllllll}11 & 30 & 3498 & 16 & 3500 & 9 & 3505 & 24 & 3506 & 25\end{array}$ 35075 ， $18 \quad 351015 \quad 3512$ 2，16， $24 \quad 3520$ 2， 8
＇̇vavтioc 350836
є̀vanóypaфос 35128


ซีขєкєข 350733,38

ѐvєрүо́с 34917
ย่ขย́ $\chi \epsilon เ \nu 3472$ і1
évexvpacía 3468 I 5
èvӨádє $35073^{6}$
ヒ้ทเoc 35162
èvictával 3463 7，II 3464 ig［3467 2 ？］ 34736 3474 5，10， $24 \quad 3475$ 11 348232348421 ？ 3488 6，І1，18－19 3489 5，11－12 3490 10 $\begin{array}{llllll}3491 & 12 & 34937,22 & 34949,28 & 34972 & 3498\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}32,33 & 3508 & 29 & 3510 & 3512 & 16\end{array}$
＇́vขє́a 3482 7，22，［35］ 3489 го
є̇ьоікпси 3491 13， 14
є́voíкьov $34911_{13}, 14$
є่ขохдєî 350738

＇̇v่
є́vгафıacrй́ see Index X
$\begin{array}{llll}\dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \epsilon \hat{v} \theta \epsilon \nu & 3498 & 37 & 3512\end{array} 9$

єैขтокас 3466 12？
＇́vтóc 3472 6，II ？，15， 18

évย́тเov 34917


є́乡ако́сьоє 3466 12，16， 25
द́ $\xi a v \tau \hat{\eta}<~ 346520 ?$
$\begin{array}{llllll}\epsilon \\ \xi & \xi \in i v a l & 3482 & 24 & 349113,16-17\end{array}$ ？
द́ $\xi \in \tau \alpha ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu 347021 \quad 347118$
$\mathfrak{\epsilon} \xi \xi \in \neq$ тасьс $3470 \quad 12 \quad 3471$ 1о
＇$\xi \xi \eta \gamma \eta \tau \epsilon \dot{u} \epsilon \iota \nu$ see Index IX
$\dot{\epsilon} \xi \eta \gamma \eta \tau \eta \dot{c}$ see Index IX
є́乡そ̆коута 3512 12， 24

ё̧́oסoc［3461 8］

${ }^{\epsilon} \xi \xi \omega \theta \epsilon \nu 3470$ 24， 423471 20， 40
غ́opr白 see Index VIII
є́тaүó $\mu \epsilon v a \imath$ see Index V
єттакодоиӨєiv 3474 I7

єтта́ンаүкєс 3498 27， $35 \quad 3512$ 15
$\begin{array}{lll}\epsilon \in \pi a ́ v \omega & 3491 & 23 \\ 3498 & 17\end{array}$

є́тєivaı 3491 ıо

$\begin{array}{lllll}\epsilon \pi \pi \epsilon \rho \omega \tau \hat{\alpha} \nu & 3478 & 3479 & 19,22 & 3498 \text {［22］，38，} 45\end{array}$ 351221

є่тย́тєєос 3507 і і
 27？ 34668 （bis），26？ 3468 17， 28 34727，8， 19 3474 ［3？］，19 3482 11，16，［19］，19， 20 （bis） 3484 ［12？］， $17 \quad 34877,8$ ？，14， 243488 453489 зо 3491 5，6，12，15，19 3497 ıо？ $\begin{array}{lllllllll}3498 & 26 & \text {（bis）} & 3500 & 12 & 3505 & 18 & 3507 & 17\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}3508 & 33, & 35 & 3510 & 4\end{array}$（bis），13， $17 \quad 3514 \quad 15$ 3518 II
＇́ $\pi \iota \beta \dot{\beta} \lambda \lambda_{\epsilon \iota \nu} 3468$ го $\mathbf{3 4 8 2} 6,33$
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \beta$ Вод́ 347521


є̇ $\pi \iota \gamma \rho a ́ \phi \epsilon \iota \nu 3487$ 37－8
є̇т $\iota \gamma \rho a \phi \dot{\eta} 346518$
є̇ँเ $\delta$ єєкvúvaı 3467 I2
є̇ $\pi \iota \delta \iota \delta o ́ v a \iota ~ 346425$ ？ 3465 ［3？］，17， 18346723 3470 50－1 3471 50 34729 ？ 3474323475 $\begin{array}{llllll}34 & 3477 & 21 & 3480 & 24,27\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\dot{\epsilon} \pi \text { тí́val } & 3480 & 17 & 3498 & 34\end{array}$
$\dot{\epsilon \pi} \iota \zeta \eta \tau \epsilon i v \begin{array}{llll}3465 & 26 & 3467 & 7\end{array}$

$\epsilon \pi \iota \theta \dot{\eta} \kappa \eta 3505$ II， 21
＇єтькласно́с $3465 \quad 22 \quad 3498$ 30－1， 33
єтлкратєір $3464 \quad 6-7 \quad 3468 \quad 16 \quad 3472$ 14， 18
$\begin{array}{lllllll}\dot{\epsilon} \pi \text { тккрiveiv } & 3463 & 17 & 3470 & 26-7,44 & 3471 & 22,42\end{array}$
єтікрисис 35012
＇่ $\pi \iota \kappa+\hat{a}<\theta a \iota ~ 350828$

$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i \subset \theta a \iota 35033$
єтгцєрєсно́с 3498 з1
є̇тьоркєї $3508{ }_{3} 6$（єфьорк－рар．）
єт $\pi$ íтлоис 348428
єтіспиос 3484 Іо？

єтіскє山ь 3465 г $6-17$
є́тьскотєiv 346314
єтістасөаı 350619
èmıctátクィ see Index X
є̇тıcтatıкóv see Index XII
 $\begin{array}{lllllllll}3482 & \text { 1о } & 3513 & 5 & 3515 & 5 & 3516 & 6-7 & 3518\end{array}$ 1535197

є́тルтáccєเv 350725

$\bar{\epsilon} \pi i ́ \tau \eta \delta \epsilon \subset 3464$ І 9
еттітццоข 3482 15，［26］

єттьє́ $\rho \in \iota \nu 3472$ 11， $20 \quad 3493$ 12，13，27， 283494 15，16，35， $3^{6}$

$\begin{array}{llllllll}\text { є่тоікıор } & 3469 & 12 & 3488 & 45-6 & 3489 & 31 & 3491\end{array} 16$ 3512 7， 29


$\dot{\epsilon \pi \tau a ́} 3488$ 14 3489 I5 3493 5，6，19， 203494 $6,7,25,26$
ітттакаьঠ́́катос 3484 22？
є̇ти́vขрос $347323-4$
є́ $\rho \gamma a ́ \zeta \epsilon є \theta a \iota 34755$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { ёруоу } 3508 & 18 & 3513 & 2\end{array}$
ép $\eta \mu$ офúda $\xi$ see Index IX
$\begin{array}{llllllllll}\tilde{\epsilon} T \epsilon \text { рос } & 3464 & 5, & 9 & 3465 & 21, & 23 & 3472 & 12 & 3473\end{array}$
 3498 16， $37 \quad 3514$＋ 35186 ， 10
 349829
є́тоі̂дос $3484 \quad 25$
 3467 19 34688 ［347221］［3477a2？］ 3482 1 ［348422］ $3485_{1,12}, 34,38 \quad 34865_{5} 3487$ 18，25，35， 383488 5， $6,12,15,19,21,27$（bis）， $32,38,42,4434895,6,12,14,16,18,20,21$ ， 26， $29 \quad 3490$ го 3493 4，7，8，19， 22 （bis） 3494 5， 9 （bis），24，28，29 3510 го， $17 \quad 3512$ 16
（ （๕тос） 346123462 4？ 3465 го 3470 1，13，18， 463471 1，ІІ，15，［45］ $3472 \quad 5 \quad[34736]$ 3474 5，9，10， 243475 11， $27 \quad 3476$ 4，5， 12 3477 9，14， 18 ［ 3483 g ？］［ 3486 II$] \quad 3489$ 34， $3491_{3}, 1_{2} \quad 3492_{2} \quad 3493_{13}, 28 \quad 349416,3^{6}$ $34963,14 \quad 34972349831,32,33,38 \quad 3505$ $2635083_{3} 63510$ I8 $3513_{3}$ ， 5 ，10 3514 I5， 16， 213515 3， 4 （bis）， 6 （bis）， 935164,5 ， 10 351783518 I1，12， 223519 4，5，14 3520 3，II 35212,8
Є ${ }^{*} 350836$

$\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { єủ } \delta \text { окєì } 34777 & 22 & 3482 & 31 & 3498 & 37,45\end{array}$
єủठо́кпск $3491 \quad 17 \quad 3498 \quad 37$
єข่єрүєтєiv 3466 30？ 346832

єv่ $\theta v \mu \epsilon \tau$ рía 346512
єย่Өúvєเข 348238
єùもúc 3505 i i， 17
єйкипнос 3477 9－Іо？
є ̇̀ $\lambda a \beta$ म́c 35124
єйдабос 3500 І 3
єข่оркєї 3508 35－6
єن́óфөад $\mu$ ос 3477 9－10？
єи́ріскєєн $34678 \quad 35069$－10？ 350723

Eüceßйc see Index III s．vv．Antoninus Pius，
Septimius Severus，Septimius Severus and Caracalla，Elagabalus，Macrianus and Quietus，
Claudius II，Aurelian
єútuxєî 3464 3I 346719 ？

Eủtuxи́c see Index III s．vv．Elagabalus，Macrianus and Quietus，Claudius II，Aurelian
$\begin{array}{llllll}\epsilon u ̈ \chi \epsilon \epsilon \theta a \iota & 3469 & \text { ig } & 3506 & 28 & 3507\end{array}$ 40
єüхрךстєî̀ 3482 וо
є́фך $\beta$ єía 3463 г 6
єфๆ $\beta \in$ ย́єш 34635
${ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \phi \eta$ Пос 346312,14
${ }^{\epsilon}$ фо
 16，［20］，21，［23］ 3484813486 $3490 \quad 5 \quad 3491 \quad 5$ ？， $12 \quad 3493 \quad 3,17 \quad 3494$［3］，
 3512 9， 23


ऍєй
ఢєบктйрьоข 3511 ı 7
$\zeta \grave{\eta}_{\nu} 3491$ Іо
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { 弓⿱一𫝀口єiv } & 3472 & 17 & 3507 \\ 37\end{array}$
ऍ白ठっоข 34917
 3482 14， 25 ［3485 22（bis）？］ 3488 32， 49 3489 24， 25 （bis），［33 ？$] \quad 3493$ 6， 7 （bis），21，〈 21$\rangle$ ， 2234948 （bis），9， 27 （bis）， 283498 ［26］，26， 33， 35 （bis） $3507 \quad 26,27 \quad 3508$ г
ض̀ $\gamma \epsilon \mu$ о⿱宀㠯́a see Index IX
$\dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \mu \dot{\omega} \nu$ see Index IX
$\tilde{\eta} \delta \eta 346528$
そ̇ $\delta$ úc 35048

$\eta$ गे ос 351129
 $2034959,26,36,44,53,60,65,74,84,103$ ， 121，130，141，151，165，180，194， 20635079 350829
$\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о$ с $3480_{5}$ ，9，18， 23
خ̀цıартáßıoc see Index XI（a）
ŋ̀ $\mu$ іо́ $\iota$ っс［ 3485 16？］
п̈ $\mu$ сис $34617_{7} 3468$ г9 3482 6，7，8，［19］，20，23， ［24］，33，［35］， $35 \quad 348814 \quad 3493$ 5，6，20（bis） $34946,7,25,263496$ í 34986 ，［10］， 10 （bis？），12，18，［19］，［24］，41， 4235057

іи і́ка 348238
$\dot{\eta}^{\boldsymbol{\eta}} \boldsymbol{\eta} \tau \dot{\eta} \mathrm{c}$ see Index X
$\ddot{\eta}<c \omega \nu 3468$ І7 7482 I 5
$\theta$ Gayóc see Index VIII
$\theta$ єíoc 3478 a 3479 II， 15
Өє́ $\lambda \epsilon \in \nu$［3503 11 ？］ 35048
$\theta$ ө́ $\mu$ а 34976

Atóc see Index III s．vv．Ptolemy XII and Cleopatra V，Claudius；VIII
$\theta \eta^{\prime} \neq$ see Index VIII
$\theta \eta<a u \rho o ́ c ~ 3484$ г7－18？
Өvरaти́p 347653491 2， $9 \quad 35062$
$\theta v \mu \iota a \tau \eta \eta^{\rho} \imath v$ see Index VIII
$\theta$ úpa 3473 17， 22
iarpóc see Index X
idóópaфос 3493 12，26－7 3494 14， 34
íroc 3505 i．See also Index IX s．v．¿̂ í九oc dóyoc
í九んтько́с 3498 9，14，17， 29
í́vaı［3498 8 ？］
iєрако́норфос see Index VIII
í́pa $\xi 3473$ r
ієратько́с 3473 14， 24
iєpé́c see Index VIII

ífóv see Index VIII
iє ¢óc 3472 7， 15
iкаขóc 3503 1 6
iцáтıо 3491 6，19， 2635044


$\begin{array}{llllllll}\text { г } 6 & 34828 & 3503 \text { if } & 3505 & \text { I8 } 8 & 3506 & 26\end{array}$
ivठıктíw see Index IV（b）
immapxía see Index IX
imteúc see Index IX
іттько́с 3482 5，［33］
ícoc $3482_{15}$ ， 26
$\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { icтávaı } & 3464 & \text { I } 3 & 3488 & 33-4 & 3500 & 9\end{array}$
кáठıo 3491 7？
ка日á［3485 I4 ？？［3490 I2］
каӨа́тєр［3465 12？］［3483 4－5？］［3485 21 ？］
$3493_{\text {II }} 2_{25} \quad 3494_{13}, 33_{3498}^{36}$
$\begin{array}{llllllllll}\text { каөаро́c } & 3481 & 8 & 3482 & \text { ІІ } & 3484 & 4 & 3488 & 46-7\end{array}$
3489 31 $\quad \mathbf{3 4 9 3} 8$ ， $23 \quad 34949,29 \quad 3498 \quad 27-8$


каӨıcтávaı 3464 І $8 \quad 3508 \quad 3-4$
каӨо́доv［34859 ？］
каӨо́ть 3482 г $3 \quad 3485$ 28，31， 34
каАи́фєс兀с 350718
кан⿱宀́c 3507 3 1， 39
каıро́с 346419
какотє $\chi^{\nu \epsilon і \text { iे }} 3482$ г $3, ~[36] ~$
калаці́а 3511 15，20， 24
калєì 3482 І $8 \quad 3501$ І
кадóc 349838
картєі́a 3491 12？？ 14
карто́с $3488 \quad 38 \quad 3489 \quad 26$
кассітєрос 34917

```
катá [3461 13 ? ? ] \(3463 \quad 6 \quad 3464 \quad 5,6,243465\)
    \(173466 \quad 25 \quad 3468 \quad 8,14^{-15} 3472 \quad 14,16\)
    3473 9, 28, 34, 37 ? 3474 го, 20347663477
    6 , 17 \(\quad 3480\) 19, \(26 \quad 3482\) го, \(14,[27], 31,32,37\),
    [38] \(348523,32,33 \quad 34874,13 \quad 348827,38\),
    423489 г6, 17-18, 19-20, 26, 293491 3, 18
    \(34984,[8 ?], 26,3434996\), [9?] 35009
    [3504 6?] \(3506 \quad 243507343508 \quad 26-7,27\),
    \(28 \quad 351414\)
катаßо入ŋ́ 351121
катако́ттєє 348019
ката入єітєєン \(\begin{array}{llllllll}3466 & 29 & 3498 & 26 & 3514 & \text { г } 6 & 3518 & 13\end{array}\)
катадохıсно́с see Index IX
катанєт \(є\) iv 3465 І 4
катанйขьос 3518 2-3
катаขтâv [3498 7 ? ]
катámגочс 3484 5, 16, 19
катасторá 3474 [3?], 5, 10, 19
кататьӨ́̂vaı 3474 г 6
кататоцй 3465 I \(_{4}^{-15}\)
катафєи́yєє 3466 26?
катахр \(\mu \mu \tau і \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu 3491\) 14, 17
катахшріًєь 346426
```



```
катоєкєко́с 3475 14?
ка́тоєкос 34823
ка́тоттроข 34917
катохท́ 349828
кáтш see Index VII(a) s.vv. кáт \(\omega\) тотархía,
    Kvvoто入íтךс ка́тш
кєі́рєьข 34636
кєїсөaı 3482 з \(\quad 3506\) г 3
\(\kappa є \lambda \epsilon ย є \epsilon \nu[348414\) ?]
кєранєи́c see Index X
\(\kappa \in \rho a ́ \mu \iota o \nu 3484\) 12-13, 14 (bis) 3513 4, 7, 9 (bis)
    3514 4, 5 (bis), 6, 7, 8, 9, Іо, 11, 12 (bis), 14 (bis),
        15, 17(bis), 19, 20,21 3515 4, 6,7(bis), \(8 \quad 3516\)
    6,8 , 9, іо 3517 4, 5, 7 (bis) 3518 5, 6, 7, 8,
    14, 19, 21, 2235196 , 10, 13 3520 4, 5, 10,
    \(\begin{array}{ll}11 & 3521 \\ 3\end{array}, 5\)
кєфádaıov \(34858,27,303487 \mathrm{II}, 12,21,29\)
    3490834916
кivঠขvoc \(\begin{array}{lllllll}3479 & \text { 15 } 5 & 3488 & 36 & 3489 & 20\end{array}\)
кдпророиєко́с [3498 6?]
```



```
        II, 16, [18], [22], [34], 35, 383488 10, 17
        3491 [it], it [3498 9?] 350830
клпройхос 347334
коь入о́фӨаднос 3477 9-10?
коเvóc \(\begin{array}{llllllll}3465 & 12 & 3482 & 2 & 3491 & 12\end{array}\)
коьшшขцаїос 35056
коเข \(\nu\) ขó 346813
коккодоүєì 3474 І 5
катá［3461 13 ？？］ \(\begin{array}{lllllllll}3463 & 6 & 3464 & 5,6,24 & 3465\end{array}\) \(17 \quad 3466 \quad 25 \quad 3468 \quad 8,14-15 \quad 3472 \quad 14,16\) 3473 9，28，34，37？ 3474 го， 20347663477 \(6,17 \quad 348019,26 \quad 3482\) го，14，［27］，31，32，37， ［38］ 3485 23，32， \(33 \quad 3487_{4}\), 1 \(3 \quad 348827,38\) ， 423489 г 6, г 7 －18，19－20，26， 293491 ， 18 3498 4，［8？］， 26,3434996 ，［9？］ \(3500 \quad 9\) ［3504 6？］ \(3506 \quad 243507343508 \quad 26-7,27\) ， \(28 \quad 3514\) I4
катаßодŋ́ 351121
катако́ттєь 3480 ı 9
катадєітєєь \(3466 \quad 29 \quad 3498 \quad 26 \quad 3514\) г 6
катадохıсно́с see Index IX
катанєтрєї 3465 I4
катанййос 3518 2－3
катavтâv［34987？］
катáтдочс 3484 5，16，19
катастора́ 3474 ［3？］，5，10， 19
кататıӨ́ย́vaı 3474 г 6
кататонท́ 3465 1 \(4^{-1} 5\)
катафє́́yєє 346626 ？
катахр \(\mu а \tau і \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu 3491\) 14， 17
катахшріًєьข 346426
катєХєル 3466 12，14－15 3469 I4 34809
катоькєко́к 347514 ？
ка́тоькос 34823
катоттроь 34917
катохท́ \(3498 \quad 28\)
```



``` Kvvoто入ítךс ка́тш
кєірєьш 34636
кєїс才аı 3482 з1 3506 13
кeגeverv［3484 14．］
\(\kappa є \rho a ́ \mu \iota o \nu 3484\) 12－13， 14 （bis） 3513 4，7， 9 （bis） 3514 4， 5 （bis），6，7，8，9，10，11， 12 （bis）， 14 （bis）， 15，17（bis），19，20，21 3515 4，6，7（bis）， \(8 \quad 3516\) 6,8 ，9，іо 3517 4，5， 7 （bis） 3518 5，6，7，8， 14，19，21， 2235196 ，10，13 3520 4，5，10， II 3521 3， 5
кєф́á入aıov \(34858,27,303487 \mathrm{if}, 12,21,29\) 3490834916
```



```
клпрогонкко́［3498 6？］ II，16，［18］，［22］，［34］，35， 383488 10， 17 3491 ［í］，it［3498 9？］ 3508 3о
клทрои̃хос 347334
косіо́фөаднос 3477 9－10？
коเvóc \(\begin{array}{llllllllll}3465 & 12 & 3482 & 2 & 3491 & 12\end{array}\)
коьшшขцайос 35056
коккодоүєì 3474 I5
```

коддиßьстько́с 3477а 7？
коньঠウ́ 3466 гз，16， 30
$\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { коціцєьข } 3468 & 29-30 & 3488 & 39 & 3489 & 27\end{array}$
ко́т рос 3467 і І
коскєขєข́єь 34938 ， 233494 го， 30
кос $\mu \boldsymbol{\eta} \epsilon \dot{\jmath} \epsilon \iota \nu$ see Index IX
косцךтйс see Index IX
＊кои́ $\mu$ оидоข 3481 ıо
кoupeúc see Index X
кои̂фov 3512 I5
кратєî̀ 349822
кра́тьстос see Index IX
$\kappa \rho ı \theta \dot{\eta} 3474$ I 5
кріреє 346525
крі́ст 3464 19
крıテท́pıov see Index IX
$\kappa \rho i ́ \tau \eta c 3464$ і і
критто́c 3467 І I－12
ктâc $\theta a \iota ~ 3472$ 7？
$\begin{array}{llllllllll}\kappa \tau \hat{\eta} \mu \alpha & 3491 & 16 & 3512 & 7 & 3513 & 3 & 3514 & 17 & 3515\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}4 & \text {（bis）} & 3516 & 5 & 3518 & 12 & 3519 & 5 & 3520 & 3\end{array}$ 35212
$\kappa \nu \beta \epsilon \rho \nu \eta \tau \eta \dot{\kappa}$ see Index X
кขрเєía 3464 I4
кขрเєヒ́єєン $3488 \quad 38 \quad 348927 \quad 3498 \quad 22$

$\left.\begin{array}{lllllllll}3476 & 6 & 3482 & 9, & 15 & 27 & 38 & 3483 & 5\end{array}\right][3485$
23］ 3487 3，24，25， 38 ［3491 2？］ 3493 1I， $26 \quad 3494$ 13， $33 \quad 3498$ 4， 36 ［3499 5？］ 3503 3，17？ 3507 I， 403512 20．See also Index III s．vv．Hadrian，Antoninus Pius，Marcus Aurelius and Verus，Septimius Severus，Elagabalus， Macrianus and Quietus
$\kappa \omega \lambda \nu ́ \epsilon \iota \nu 346822$
$\begin{array}{llllllllll}\kappa \dot{\omega} \mu \eta & 3461 & \text { го } & 3467 & \text { г } 4 & 3470 & 94718 & 3473\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}33 & 3479 & 6,8 & 3485 & 2,36 & 3489 & 3491 & \text { i ？}\end{array}$
 35202
лаві́c 34732 I
入а́ккос 3498 I
$\begin{array}{lllllllll}\lambda \alpha \mu \beta a ́ v \epsilon \iota v & 3470 & 28 & 3471 & 24 & 3473 & 22-3 & 3505 & 28\end{array}$
 33498 1，［2］，2， 3 3512 i．See also Index IV（a）（A．D．492）
$\begin{array}{lllllll}\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \epsilon \nu & 3482 & 17 & 3503 & 8 & 3506 & 23\end{array}$
$\lambda \hat{\eta} \mu \mu \alpha 349832$
$\begin{array}{llllllll}\lambda \eta \mu \mu a \tau i \zeta \epsilon \omega & 3513 & 6 & 3515 & 5-6 & 3516 & 7 & 3518\end{array}$ ェ6－17 3519 8－9
$\begin{array}{llllllllll}\lambda \eta \nu o ́ c & 3512 & \text { 13 } & 3513 & 4 & 3515 & 4 & \text {（bis）} & 3516 & 5\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllll}3519 & 6 & 3520 & 3521 & 3\end{array}$
$\lambda \eta<\tau \rho \iota \kappa о ́<~ 3467 ~ з$

入ítivoc 3473 I4
$\lambda_{i}$ Ooc 3473 I2
入ıขокада́ $\mu \eta 3511$ зо
入í 3482 I8（bis）， 233498 14，15，［18］．See also Index VII（a）s．vv．גıßòc тотархía，трòc 入íßa тотархіа
入о́үццос 34734
入ó $о$ ос 3465 16？［ 3466 29？］ 3469 17 3470 13 $\begin{array}{lllllllll}3471 & \text { II } & 3473 & 31 & 3475 & 5 & 3480 & 16 & 3502\end{array}$ 13506 16， 183511 II，［18］， $353513 \quad 2$ 351833519 2．See also Index IX s．v．ítoo 入ó 3 oc
 3495 9，16，26，36，44，53，60，74，84，94，103， III，121，130，141，151，165，180，194， 206 3498 г 6
лvхиía 347312
$\mu a ́ \gamma \delta \omega \lambda$ ос 3467 9－10．See also Index VII（d）
накро́с 3492 ıя？
$\mu a ̂ \lambda \lambda_{o v}$［3464 24 ？？］
на入入óc 34636
$\mu a \nu \theta \dot{v} \nu \epsilon \iota \geqslant 35066$
нартиреїน 3483 II， 12
 3476 8．See also Index III s．vv．Marcus Aurelius，Septimius Severus，Claudius II， Aurelian
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}\mu \text { fíc } & 3466 & 21 & 3467 & 2-3\end{array}$ ？， $22 \quad 347048 \quad 347147$ 3472 ir？ 3475 го［3477a4？］ 3482 2 3484

 3518435193
$\mu \epsilon \lambda a v o ́ \phi \theta a \lambda \mu$ ос 3477 9－по？
$\mu \in ́ \lambda \lambda a \xi 346320$
 37 ［3485 15？］ 3488 10，II， 183489 II 3490123491 5， $10(b i s), 15,[19] 3498$［14］， $\begin{array}{lllllllll}15 & 3500 & 12 & 35078 & 3508 & 36 & 3513 & 3515\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}6 & \text {（bis）} & 3516 & 7 & 3518 & 17 & 3519 & 9 & 3520 & 5\end{array}$ 35215
$\begin{array}{llllll}\mu \epsilon ́ v \in \epsilon \nu & 3464 & \text { I } 3 & 3482 & 38\end{array}$
$\mu$ ќvтоı 3507 24，31， 32
$\mu \in \rho i \zeta \in \epsilon \nu 3491$ ェ3， 14 （bis）
$\mu \in$ í $^{\boldsymbol{c}} 3475$ 4－5，7．See also Index VII（a）s．v． ＇Нраклєі＇ঠov $\mu$ ．
нє́ рос 346163468 І2，16－17 $34826,7,8,13$ ， ［19］，20，23，［24］，33，34， 383489 1о 3498 ［7？］，10？，［14］，［16］，18，19，［24］，26，35，41， 42 3515235162
$\mu$ écoc see Index VII（a）s．v．$\mu$ éc $\eta$ tomapxía
 16？ $3487 \quad 3 \quad 3491$［2？］，9， 32 ［3499 5 ？］］ 3505735089 ．See also Index IV（a）（A．D．492）
нєтаßád $\lambda_{\epsilon \iota v} 352083521$ 4，7
$\mu \epsilon \tau a \delta \iota \delta o ́ v a \iota 346426,32$ ？ $34657,8,9346627$ ？
3469 3－4 3470 1I－12，28－9 3471 10， 24
3472 וг 3505 4 3517 2
$\mu є \tau$ व́docıc 34645
$\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \iota \nu 3464$ zo $\quad\left[\begin{array}{lll}3498 & 23\end{array}\right]$
$\mu є \tau а ф о р \alpha ́ ~ 351136$
$\mu \in \tau \in ́ \omega \rho \circ<3504$ z？
$\mu \in \tau$ iéval 3466 9，i i 3472 14？
$\mu \epsilon \tau \circ \xi \dot{u} \quad 3500$ Іо
нє́тохос 3472 з 3487 9， 4 I
$\mu \epsilon \tau \rho \in i \nu 34813$
н́́т $\rho \eta \mu а 3486$ 3－4
$\begin{array}{llllll}\mu \text { ќтрог } 3473 & 24 & 3488 & 47 & 3489 & 32 \\ 3493 & 4,9,19 \text { ，}\end{array}$
$2334945,10,24,30$
$\mu \epsilon ́ \chi \rho \iota ~ 3464$ г $8 \quad 3466$ гг， $15 \quad 3507 \quad 19,38$
 $\begin{array}{llllllllll}3469 & 6 & 3470 & 54 & 3471 & 52 & 3472 & \text { i I } & 3474\end{array}$
 37 ［3483 8？］ 3485 13，［21 ？］ 348719,31 ， $\begin{array}{lllllllll}34 & 3488 & 50 & 3490 & 12 & 3491 & 10 & 3503 & 14\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllll}3505 & 18 & 3506 & 14 & 3507 \\ \text { i1，} 12 & 3512 & 27\end{array}$
$\left.\begin{array}{llllllllll}\mu \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon} & 3463 & 20 & 3464 & 17 & 3470 & 43 & {[3471} & 41\end{array}\right]$ 347823482 12， 13 （bis），［24］，24，35， 36 （bis） 349119
$\begin{array}{llllllllll}\mu \eta \delta \epsilon i ́ c & 3466 & 28 & 9 & 3468 & 23 & 3470 & 39 & 3471 & 37\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllll}3478 & 2 & 3482 & 14, & 37 & 3485 & 32 & 3491 & 19\end{array}$ 3498 25－6， 26 （bis）
$\mu \eta \theta$ єíc 3482 15，35， 36
$\mu \hat{\eta} к о с 3465$ I4
$\mu \dot{\eta} \tau \epsilon 3466 \quad 29 \quad 34782$
$\mu \eta \dot{\eta} \tau \rho 34706,7^{-8}, 32,34^{-5} 3471 \quad 5,28,30$ 3477 а $10 \quad 34777_{4}, 8 \quad 3490[1], 4 \quad 3491$ I（bis）， ［3］，6，if，i3 3492 i3， 163493 i，2，i5， 16－17 $3494 \quad 1,19,213499 \quad 5 \quad 3500 \quad 2,4$ 35074035126
$\mu \eta \tau \not a ́ \delta \epsilon \epsilon \lambda \phi$ ос 34987 ？

$\mu \eta \chi \alpha \nu \eta \dot{\prime} 3498$ II，［20］，24， 43
$\mu$ ккро́с 3473 4？，І 3
$\mu \iota \lambda \tau о \hat{\nu} 35055^{-6}$
щıСӨóc 34808 ， 14
$\mu \iota \theta o \hat{\nu} 3^{2488}$ I，23－4，30，41，52－3 3489 I，16，19， 22，23－4， 29

$\mu \nu \hat{\alpha}$ see $\operatorname{Index~XI~}(b)$
$\mu \nu a \_a i ̂ o v ~ s e e ~ I n d e x ~ X I ~(b) ~$
$\mu \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \eta 35124$
$\mu \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \omega \nu$ see Index IX
цоขท́n 3479 9， 21
$\mu$ н́voc $3505{ }_{5}$
$\mu \nu \lambda \omega v a ́ \rho \chi \eta с$ see Index X
нирıác（3469 8？）［3498 21］
$\mu \nu \rho \circ \theta$ и́к $\eta 34918$
vaúpıov 3475 6，16？？，17，19，20，21，23，24， 263508 12
vaûגov 3481 1о 34843
vavגoûv 3484 7－8，13，23－4
vavtıкóc see Index X
vєаиі́скос 3484 12
véoc $\begin{array}{lllllllll}3474 & 22, & 33 & 3476 & 2 & 3488 & 46 & 3489 & 31\end{array}$
3493 8， 2234949,29
$\nu \epsilon \dot{\omega} \tau \epsilon \rho \circ<3485$ 5，5－6，25，28， 29
ขпиатєко́с 3502 I ？
＊ข $\downarrow \mu$ áтьov 3502 I ？
vouapxía see Index IX
ขоціцєє 3503 І 5 －1 6
vó $\mu с с$ а see Index XI（b）
ขоцıсиátıov see Index $\mathrm{XI}(b)$
ขо́ цос $3487+$
гоно́с［3465 6？］ 3468 ［4］，21， $27 \quad 347253477$ 63479 4， 6 ［34989？］35128．See also Index IX s．v．үpáфwv tòv vouóv
vótıvoc $3475+$
vótoc 3461503482 19（bis）， $20 \quad 3498$［14］，［16］， ［17］
ขขктєрєо́с 3495 го，і $7,55,6$ т，66，76，86，95，105， I 12，123，132， 143
vv̂v $\begin{array}{llllllll}3464 & \text { ı } 8 & 3468 & \text { го } & 3472 & \text { i } & 3487 & 22 \\ 3498\end{array}$ 53507 12， 38
vvví $3464 \quad 20 \quad 347214$
$\nu v ́ \xi[3467 \mathrm{x}$ ？］

छúdıvoc 3473 II，I3 34918
छúdov 350812
дßß入óc see Index XI（b）
ö $\gamma$ бойкоขта 348835
 （13） 3498 ［10］，12，［17］，［19］， 42
$\begin{array}{llllllllllll}\text { ö } \delta \epsilon & 3464 & 25 & 3466 & 28 & 3482 & 16 & 34914\end{array}$

${ }_{\text {ö }} \theta_{\epsilon \downarrow} 3480{ }_{2}$
оікєiv $3476+$
оікєтท่с 3480 І 8
оікіа 3461 ， 63468 13， 173491 ［12？］，13，15 35104
оікоронєір 3482 5， $33 \quad 3498 \quad 25$
оіко́тє боข 3472 7，15， 18
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { оікос } 34849 & 3490 & 6505 & 24\end{array}$
oivápıov 35173
oivoyєúctクc see Index X
oivoc 3484 5，12， 163507 15，17 351137 ？， 38 $3512_{\text {II }}$ I 3 （bis），17，23， $29 \quad 3513_{3}, 6,93514$ 4， 5 （bis），6，7，8，10，І 1，I2（bis），13，16，I9 3515 $4,6,7 \quad 35164,8,9 \quad 35176 \quad 3518 \quad 5,6,7,8$ ， 12，18， 213519 5，10， 123520 3， 9
otoc 3491 ig 35078
óкขєiv $350314 \quad 3507$ II－12
о́ктано́сьоя 35208
 21
ó $\lambda \kappa \dot{\eta} 34917$
д́ло́клпрос［3498 22］
ӧ дос 3480 г9 3482 9， 35

 3510 I
$\begin{array}{lllllllllll}\text { ӧноьос } & 3462 & 7 & 3473 & \text { 21 } & 3482 & \text { 19，} & \text { I } & 3510 & \text { 17 } 7\end{array}$ 3514 п 135186 ， 10
д́ нодоүєір $3466 \quad 22 \quad 3478 \quad 3 \quad 3479$ 7，19， $22 \quad 3482$ 3，［16］，［30］$\quad 34847 \begin{array}{llllll}74876 & 3488 & 23 & 3490 & 5\end{array}$ ［34919？］ $3493_{3,17} 3494_{3}, 22 \quad 3498$［5］，22， 38， 4535129,2 1


о̆̀она 3504 6－7 $3506 \quad 24$
ốvoc 3469 іо
oै ${ }^{\text {goc }} 3514$ 14，17，20， 21
отпиіка 3493 6，го 3494 7， $26 \quad 3498 \quad 36$
óтóта⿱ 3479 9，18－19
ӧтоข $346428 \quad 3506$ 1 3 ？
$\begin{array}{llllllll}\text { öт } \pi \omega c & 3465 & 9 & 3466 & 28\end{array} \quad 3468$ з1 3507 4， 21
ópầ 3464 І $8 \quad 3469$ І6－17

ó $\rho \theta$ Óc 349838
бо $\hat{\prime} \zeta \in \epsilon \nu 3472$ 12．？
${ }^{\text {ópıov }} 3503$ I 4
 153482 ［32 ？］，［37 ？］， 39
о́р $\mu$ óc［3484 г 8 ？$]$
óc［3461 II？］ 3462 （4？），（ 12 ？） 3463 22？ 3464 3，13，i4 3465 3？，7，28？ 3466 5，［19］ 3467 123468 7，13，19， 22346933470 14， 25 3471 12， $21 \quad 3472$ ， $18 \quad 3473$ 9，12，18，25， 31 ， 35， 363474 г2，19 3475 ェ8， $22 \quad 34825,7$ ，10， II，［13］，14，17，25，32， 34 ［3483 3？］ 34847 3485 8，［19？］，22？， 32348633487 í，12，23， $3334881,26,30,38,5034908$ ， 934913 ， ［4］，5，6， 143492 21 34936 （bis），20， 21 $34947,8,26,2734958,15,25,34,42,51,58$ ， $72,82,92,101,110,119,129,139,149,163$ 3498 ［7？］， 7, ［10？］，［14］，［17］，［21］， 3234998 350483505735063,5 ，13？，17 35079,24 ， $\begin{array}{lllllllll}38 & 3508 & 26\end{array}$ ？， $26,28 \quad 35104351353515$ $\begin{array}{llllllll}5 & 3516 & 6 & 3518 & \text { I4 } 4 & 3519 & 6 & 3520\end{array}$
о́c $\delta \eta$ тотои้̂ 349834
 $16 \quad 3507$ 23？
$\begin{array}{llllllll}\text { ö } с т \epsilon \rho & 3474 & 15 & 3498 & 27 & 36 & 3512 & 13\end{array}$
ӧстレく 3469 2
ócticoû̀ 349829
öтav 350620
ö $\tau \epsilon \quad 3504$ 4？
оึть 3506 6， $24 \quad 3507$ 25， 32
oú 3463 г $8 \quad 34646,23 \quad 3469 \quad 3 \quad 3472$ го $\quad 3480$
$16 \quad 3491_{13}, 16,18 \quad 349837350729$
oủdé 3463 i9 3491 ［14］（ter） 3506 18
$\begin{array}{llllllll}\text { oú } \delta \text { eic } & 3469 & 3485 & 34908 & 34916 & 3507 & 15\end{array}$
39

$\begin{array}{lllllllllll}\text { ỡv } & 3470 & 21 & 3471 & 18 & 3472 & 12 & 3484 & 21 & 3498\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}22 & 3500 & 6 & 3506 & 6,26 & 3510 & 14 & 3517 & 3\end{array}$
oủcía $35208 \quad 35215$
ои̉cıaкóc 3498 28．See also Index IX s．vv．ov̀cıaкウ̀
غ̀т兀тролй，ои̇сıакóc
ойтє 3507 15， 16
 $\begin{array}{lllllllll}10 & 11 & 3468 & 9-10 & 3472 & 12 & 3474 & 18 & 3477\end{array}$ ${ }_{15} 33479 \begin{array}{llllll}15 & 3481 & 3482 & 14,24,\end{array}$［29］，［32］， ［37］ $3487 \quad 22 \quad 3488 \quad 12,19,31 \quad 3489$ 11， 22 3491 г 634936 ，21 $34947,26-7 \quad 3498$ 26， $32,34,35,38 \quad 3505{ }_{15}, 16 \quad 3506_{11-12} 3507$ $13,17 \quad 35089351015 \quad 35173$

офєєі̀єเv 3485 ［22？］， $32 \quad 3487$ 23， $33 \quad 3498 \quad 33$ 350732
ó $\phi \epsilon \iota \lambda \dot{\eta} \quad 349828$
óфөа入но́я 3477 I 4
óффıкıá̀loc see Index IX

ö $\psi$ с́v七о 35183
$\pi$ âyoc see Index VII（a）
таıס́ápıov 35143
тaidiov 350624
паíc 3476 10，20，［23］
та́кт 348425 ？
тадаıóc 347335
тá $\lambda \lambda \iota o v 34917$
таvท́rvpıc 3514 8， 14
$\pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \hat{\eta} 3493$ 12， $27 \quad 3494 \quad 15,35$
тavтoîoc 3498 31， 33
$\pi \alpha ́ \nu v 3507$ І 8
tapá $3461 \quad 12 \quad 3463$ 4，18， $20 \quad 34643,26 \quad 3465$ $23466_{3}, 12,23,24 \quad 3468 \quad 2,6 \quad 34705,19$ ， 283471 4，16，［23］ 3473 ［1？］，23，27， 33
 $3 \quad 34816348214,24,40$［3484 9］ 3487
 3493 ［3］， $22349821,[23] \quad[34993] 35022$ ？？ 3， $4 \quad 35046 \quad 3505 \quad 19,29 \quad 350610350742$ $35102351140 \quad 3512$ 9，13，19
тараßаivєiv 3482 24，25，［26 ？］

тараүүєлía $3468 \quad 24-5$
тараүүє́ $\lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu 3472$ Іо
$\pi а \rho a \gamma i v \in \subset \theta a \iota 3464$ 16， 27
тараүрáфєıг 3465 20， 2 I
тара́ঠєicoc 3482 18，19－20


3494 7， $27 \quad 3520$ 2， 9
таракєісӨаи $3465 \quad 24 \quad 3508 \quad 27$
$\pi а \rho а \lambda а \mu \beta a ́ \nu \in เ \nu[3484 \quad 13$ ？］ 349134 ？ 3505 12－1 3
тарацєтрєіข 34747 7－8 3493 3， $18 \quad 3494$ 3－4，22－3
таратобi̧єь 3463 І 8
тара́стась 3463 г9 3479 12， 18
тарасиуүрафєì 348235
таратıӨ́́vaı 3472 го， 17
$\pi \alpha \rho a ́ \phi \epsilon \rho v a \operatorname{3491} 7,19,23$
тарaxapakoc（sic）？ 351128
тарахарáccєь 351128 ？
тарахр $\boldsymbol{\eta} \mu$ а 3491 і9 949835
тарахшрєì 3482 4，13， 323498 5，23，32， 4 1

тарахшрךтько́ข 3498 18， 44
таре ìvaı $34638 \quad 34668 \quad 347328$ ？ 3477 I5
таре́ $\lambda_{\kappa \epsilon \iota \nu} 3505$ ı9

ă $\mu \phi о \delta o v$
тарєи́рєск 3482 13，［37］

$\begin{array}{llll}3498 & 27 & 351214\end{array}$
$\pi a \rho \eta \gamma \epsilon i ̂ c \theta a \iota 346824$
тарıcтávaı 3463 гя 348423
тароріॅєєш 3503 14－15 ？
$\pi a ̂ c ~ 34616,[9 ?] \quad 346516,19 ? 347273478 \quad 2$ 3479 1о 3482 9，12， 263483 4 3484 4，19， $\begin{array}{llllllllll}20 & 3485 & 21\end{array} \quad 3487 \quad 24 \quad 3488 \quad 35,36 \quad 3489$ 203491383493 10，12，25， $2734941_{13}, 1_{5}$ ，
33， 353498 ［20］，25， 27 （ter）， 28 （bis），29， 34 （bis），43，44， $45 \quad 350093503235046 \quad 3505$ 8 ，9，16， 243507403508 9 3512 20， 25 35207
тастофо́pos see Index VIII
татท́p $3491_{5}$ ，10，13 $349228 \quad 34986$ ，［8？］ 3506
29350834
татра́бєффос 3498 7？
тат $\hat{\varphi}$ ос 35088
$\pi \dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi \epsilon \iota \nu 350523 \quad 3507$ 3， 5
$\pi$ т́ $\mu \pi \tau$ ос 34896
$\pi_{\pi \epsilon \nu} \theta \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \rho \circ \mathrm{oc}(3475$ 25）
$\pi \epsilon ́ \nu \tau \epsilon 3481 \quad 9 \quad 3488$ 16，18 3489 II 3498 ［10］， 12，［19］，［21］，42， 4435158 （bis）

$\pi \epsilon \nu \tau \dot{\eta} \kappa о \nu \tau а 3488$ г $6 \quad 3493$ 5，6，19， $2034946,6-7$ ，
25， $26 \quad 35175,7$
$\pi \epsilon \nu \tau \omega ́ \beta o \lambda o \nu$ see Index $\operatorname{XI}(b)$
${ }^{*} \pi \epsilon \in \pi \tau \eta$ с see Index X
$\pi \epsilon ́ \rho a c 348220$
 3474 12 3479 15 $34827,13,34,[36], 36$ ， ［37］［3485 21！］$\quad 3488 \quad 8,45 \quad 3489 \quad 9, \quad 30$ 3491 1о，［11 ？］， 15 3498［8？］，21，25， 38 ［3504 7？］ $3506 \quad 22 \quad 35076,14,38 \quad 350830$
$\pi \epsilon \rho i \beta \lambda \epsilon \pi \tau o c$ see Index IX
$\pi \epsilon \rho i ́ \beta$ одос 34726, 15，18－19
$\pi \epsilon \rho t \in ́ \chi \epsilon \iota \nu 3465$ І 3
$\pi є \rho \iota$ ссо́с 35079
$\pi \epsilon \rho \imath \chi а \lambda \kappa i \zeta \epsilon \epsilon \nu \quad 347317,22$
$\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \chi \rho \cup с \circ \hat{v} \quad 3473$ І 3
тє́ рись 3507 го
$\pi \eta \delta a ́ \lambda \iota o v$ see Index XII
$\pi \hat{\eta} \chi u c$ see Index XI（a）
$\pi \iota \pi \rho a ́ c к є \iota \nu 3498$［5］， 4 I 3505 13 35212
$\pi$ にくтóc 3474 I7
$\pi \lambda$ еістос $3465 \quad 23 \quad 35037$ ？
$\begin{array}{lllllll}\pi \lambda \epsilon i \omega v & {[3461} & 7 ?] & 3482 & 10 & 3503 & 15 \\ 3505 & 19\end{array}$
$\pi \lambda$ єогасно́с 3475 2 І
$\pi \lambda \epsilon$ чрıсио́с 3498 І 5
$\pi \lambda \eta \gamma \eta{ }^{\prime} 3480$ 19

$\pi \lambda \iota \nu \theta \epsilon i o v$ see Index VII（d）
$\pi$ גоїо $3481 \quad 2,5 \quad 3507 \quad 22 \quad 3511$ וо
$\begin{array}{llllllllll}\text { motề } & 3464 & 3, & 29 & 3468 & 23 & 3469 & 9 & 3472 & 4-5\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllll}3478 & 3479_{12,18} & 348237 & {[34987}\end{array}$ ？］ 3504 $8-9$ ？ $3506+3507$ ıо， 22 ？， 243508 ıи，із， 16
$\begin{array}{lllllllll}\text { по́ } 九 \iota с & 3463 & 2,7 & 3480 & 6 & 3487 & 6 & 3490 & 5\end{array} \mathbf{3 4 9 1}$
 2？］ 3499 5， $735004-5$ ．See also Index VII （a）ş．vv．＇Avtıvoéwv módıc，＇Avтıvóov módıc，


то入ıтєчó $\mu \epsilon$ сос see Index IX
тодเтєко́с 349829
тодúc 34642435037 ？
тореі́а 3465 17
то́рос 349834
то́ррш 3508 і2
то́сос 3505 12，13，14，15， 28
тотє 3466 г 8
$\pi \rho \hat{a} \gamma \mu \alpha 3467{ }^{17}$
$\pi \rho а \gamma \mu a \tau \epsilon ч т ь \kappa o ́ c ~ s e e ~ I n d e x ~ X I I ~ s . v . ~ \chi \rho u c a ́ p \gamma u \rho o ̀ ~ \pi \rho . ~$
траıтócitoc see Index IX
тракторєі́a see Index IX
$\pi \rho a ́ \kappa т \omega \rho$ see Index IX
$\pi \rho a ̂ \xi ı c ~ 3483$ I？［3485 18？］ 3487 20，31 3488
${ }_{51} 3493$ го， $25 \quad 3494 \quad 12,32$

$\begin{array}{lllllllll}\pi \rho a ́ c c є \iota v & 3489 & 23 & 3498 & 38 & 3506 & 3507 & 39 & \text {（bis）}\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllll}\pi \rho \epsilon \subset \beta \dot{u} \tau \epsilon \rho \text { ос } & 3462 & 5 & 3479 & 8 & 3496\end{array} 9$
$\begin{array}{lllllll}\pi \rho o ́ & 3503 & 2 & 3507 & 34 & 3508 & 13\end{array}$
трóßaто⿱ 3462 2？， 3 ？， 4 ？， 6 ？， 7 ？， 8 ？， 9 ？，1о？ 3467
7
тро́yovoc 348229
$\pi \rho о ́ \gamma \rho а \mu \mu \alpha 346417$
$\begin{array}{llllllll}\pi \rho о \gamma \rho a ́ \phi \epsilon i v & 3464 & 16 & 3465 & 8 & 3470 & 40-1 & 3471\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllllll}38 & 9 & 3474 & 36 & 3482 & 37 & 3487 & \text { г } 6\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{ll}\pi \rho \circ \delta \iota \epsilon \lambda \theta \epsilon i v & 3496 \\ 2 & 3499\end{array} 9$
$\pi \rho о є \iota \pi \epsilon i v 3479$ І 3
$\pi \rho о є \iota \rho \eta \kappa$ ќvą 3480 11， 12
$\pi \rho о є ́ \chi \in L \nu 349112$
$\pi \rho о \eta \gamma \epsilon \mu о \nu \epsilon \cup \in \epsilon \iota$ see Index IX
$\begin{array}{lllllll}\pi \rho о \theta \epsilon с н і а ~ & 3470 & 19-20 & 3471 & 16-17 & 3472 & 17\end{array}$
3507343512 24－5 $^{-5}$
трагévą 3466 4， $21 \quad 350621$
троıcтávaı 35088
$\begin{array}{lllllllll}\pi р о к є і с Ө а и ~ & 3463 & 15 & 3466 & \text { го } & 3474 & 33, & 37 & 3476\end{array}$ $1_{5}, 16,2134782347913,16,17,213482$ 13，［16］ $3485 \quad 28,31,34 \quad 348733 \quad 3490$ 12？ 3491 г $4 \quad 3493$ 9，23－4 3494 го－11， $30 \quad 3498$ ［19］，24，［24］，42， $45 \quad 351014 \quad 3512$ 22， 25 35218
троко́ттєє 34693
$\pi \rho о к т \eta \dot{\tau} \omega \rho 3492$ 17？
$\pi \rho o v o \eta t$ そ́c see Index X
$\pi р о т u ́ \lambda a \iota o v ~ s e e ~ I n d e x ~ V I I I ~$
 8 ，із 【3469 8】 3470 пі 3471 го 34724 $34807,12,15,17,22$ ？ $34826,8,18,[20], 22$ ， 23 （bis） 3484 ［4？］，15，16，21，24， 253485 36， $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}40 & 3487 & 7 & 3488 & 30, & 37 & 3489 & 19, & 34 \text { ？} 3491\end{array}$ 19 3498 ［7？］，［18？］， 32 ［3499 1］ 3503 9， 13 3505 1о 3506 14， 173507 38， 393508 3，9， $14 \quad 3512$ 10 3520 5．See also Index VII（a）

$\pi \rho о с \alpha ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu 347715 \quad 34859$ ？ 3490834916
тросауорє́́єєン 35072
тросатоті́чєь 3482 г4，25－6
$\begin{array}{llllll}\pi \rho о \beta a i v e l v & 3470 & 17 & 3471 & 14\end{array}$
$\pi \rho \circ \subset \delta \in i \nu 349837$
$\pi \rho о с \delta \dot{\epsilon} \chi \in \subset \theta a \iota 3463$ I 3
тросєі้раı 3498 п ？
$\begin{array}{lllllll}\pi \rho о с \eta ́ к є є \nu & 3474 & 19 & 3487 & 3508 & 16\end{array}$
тросі́́vą 350733
$\pi \rho о с к и \rho \epsilon і ̈ ~ 34614$ ？
$\pi \rho о с \mu \in \rho і \zeta \epsilon \epsilon \nu 34919$
тро́собос 34736

$\pi \rho o c \tau a ́ t \eta c$ see Index X
$\pi \rho о с т$ Ө́́vaı 34967
тро́стєцог 350737

тросурад入áccє七ข 34998
$\pi$ pocuveival 34913
$\pi \rho о с ф є ́ \rho \epsilon \iota \nu 3480 г о$
$\pi \rho о с \phi \in \tilde{y} \gamma \epsilon \omega 346626$ ？
тро́сфорои 349832
$\pi \rho о \circ \phi \omega \nu \epsilon \hat{i v} 3470 \quad 36 \quad 347134$
тросфө́v рск 34654
$\pi \rho о ́ \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu(347514$ ？） $34827,34 \quad$［34986？］ 3508 34
$\pi \rho о ́ \tau є \rho о с 3505$ 1 $_{5}$
$\pi \rho о \tau о \mu \dot{\eta} 3473$ І 8
$\pi \rho о т \rho о \pi \eta ́\{34805$
$\pi р о ф а с і \zeta \epsilon с \theta a \iota ~ 34695$
$\pi \rho о \chi є \iota \rho i \zeta \epsilon \epsilon \nu 347418$
$\pi \rho о \chi \rho a ̂ \nu 3478$ ェ
три́тадı see Index IX
$\pi \rho \hat{\text { тос }} 3488643495$ I53 $\quad 3512$ г7
$\pi v \rho \eta \nu 347320$
$\pi$ и рóc 3473 （31），（36）（34749） 3484 5，［16］，24， 26 （bis） 3486 9，（10） 3488 13， 15 （bis），19，20， $22,25,4634891_{3}, 14,15,3134934,18$ 3494 4， 23 （3496 1） 3497 г 3513 4，7， 8 3514 （4）（bis），（5），（6）（bis），（7），（10），（11），（12） （bis），（13），（15），18，（20） 3516 （4），（7），9，（10） 3518 （5），（6），（7），（8），（9），（10）（bis），11，17，20， （21） 3519 4， 9,11
$\pi \omega \lambda \epsilon i \nu 3477{ }_{15} 5 \quad 3498 \quad 23$
тшна́рьò 351133
$\pi \hat{\omega} \mathrm{c} 35062 \mathrm{I}$
f́ivoc（＝גívoc？） 351142 ？
¢и́иך 34675
ри́cı $3512 \quad 17$
pócuvúval 3469 19 3503 3， 1735045 ？ 3505 $\begin{array}{llllllllll}25 & 3506 & 28 & 3507 & 40 & 3513 & 8 & 3514 & 18\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}3515 & 7 & 3516 & 8 & 3517 & 6 & 3518 & 19 & 3519 & \text { 11 }\end{array}$ 3520935217

саккофорько́v see Index XII
са入лєүү $\omega \tau$ о́c 347320
cá $\lambda \pi \iota \gamma \xi 347314$
сєаขтай 3503 2－3 3514 І 3
сєßácнıo＜ 3479 10－1 I
Cє $\beta$ actóc see Index III；V s．vv．Nє ро́̀loc $C_{\epsilon} \beta$ астóc， $C_{\epsilon}$ ßастóc； $\mathrm{XI}(b)$ s．v．ขó $\mu с$ сиа
с $ŋ \kappa \omega \mu a$ see $\operatorname{Index} \mathrm{XI}(a)$

$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { с } \mu \text { єьой } 3481 & 15 & 3497 & 12 & 3510 & 18\end{array}$ ？
с $\quad \mu \in \rho о \nu 3469$ 9， 12

cıঠŋ $\eta$ рoûc 3473 21， 25
с七но́с 3477 го
сєтєко́c 34989350831
ciтodoyía 349439 ？
cıтo入óyoc see Index IX
сíroc 34818 ， 9
ска́лтєเข 351125
скафота́ктшц 3484 25？
скєпа́לఢєน 346521
соро́ 34807
cóc $348234 \quad 3507 \quad 26 \quad 35128$ 8，15

стє́риа 3474 4？， $8 \quad 3488 \quad 25,44$
стог $\delta \dot{\eta} 3484$ I2
ста笠óc 34916
стव́ $\mu \nu$ ос 34917
стафuд（ ） 3492 г ？
＜тєүข
ст $\boldsymbol{\mu} \mu \nu \mathbf{~} 351143$
стратпүóc see Index IX
cú 3463 〈8〉？，〈го〉 $3464 \quad 26 \quad 3465$ 3， 4 ［3466 26？］［3467 18？］ 3468 26，28， $31 \quad 346919$
 $32,33 \quad 34877,133490[5], 934933,6$ ，1о， 12，18，21，25， 273494 ［3］，7，12，16，22，27，32， 363498 5，21，［22］，22，［23］，23，27，32， 38 3501 i，3， 43503 i1，［12？］，12 350493505 3，4，19，24， $2535064,5,6,14,183507$ 2， 3，20，28，33，39， 40 （bis） 3512 9，14， 183514 $13 \quad 35212$
сиүүраф $\begin{gathered}3461 \\ 14\end{gathered} \mathbf{3 4 8 2}$［27］，31， $36 \quad 3485 \quad 24$ 3487 23－4， $33 \quad 34913,4,15 \quad 350832$
сขүки́рєьц 34619
$\begin{array}{lll}c v \gamma \chi \omega \rho \epsilon i v & 3482 & 37 \\ 34997\end{array}$
cu入入є́ $\gamma \epsilon \iota \nu 3505$ II
${ }^{\iota} \mu \beta \beta \beta$ аıой 3477 ıо
сขи阝ıо̂̀ 3491 і 73500 5－6
син
си́ц阝одог 3497 I I
си́итас 3486 9 3497 го？
сч $\mu \pi є ́ \mu \pi є \iota \nu ~ 348427$
с $v \mu \pi \lambda \eta$ и́ршскс 3482 8，［35］
сข $\mu \phi \omega \nu \in і \nu\left[\begin{array}{lllll}3484 & 4\end{array}\right.$ ？］［3498 18？］ 3512 1о， 25 35205
cúv $3468 \quad 5 \quad 3473 \quad 25 \quad 347422 \quad 3475 \quad 3 \quad 34829$ 3491 ［II！］， $26 \quad 3498 \quad 22 \quad 3505 \quad 15 \quad 3506 \quad 6$ 351217
cvขá $\gamma \epsilon \iota \frac{3473}{36} \quad 3518$ 15 $535196-7 \quad 35207$
cvขaтaıтєiv 34696
сขขа́ттєє 34728
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { cuveival } & 3487 & 3491 & 12\end{array}$
сขขย́̀єยсıк 34915
сขขєvסокєї้ 348226
cuขグ $\theta$ єıa 3514 I 5
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}\text { cvín } \theta<3470 & 25 & 3471 & 21 & 3473 & 30\end{array}$
cuviévaı 346713
cuvictávaı 3477 ıо， 16348714 ， 15
cúvvaoc see Index VIII
＊cuvo $\mu$ ó ${ }^{\text {u }}$ خoc $3500{ }_{12}$
＊сvvovoнáלєเข 3498 ［20］，25， 43
cúvтaぞc 35142
cuvтáccetv 3463 г $2 \quad 3466 \quad 26 \quad 34837$
cuvтı日́̀va، 34808
сиขтірךскс 3472 8－9 34916 （bis）$\quad 3508 \quad 27$
cvv $\omega \nu$ еíc $\theta$ aı 350726
сфрауic 3482 17，19，［20］，20，21，［22］，22，［23］
349816
с $\bar{\omega} \mu a 3480$ I 9 ？
tádavtov see $\operatorname{Index} \operatorname{XI}(b)$
$\tau \alpha ́ \xi \iota c ~ 3470 \quad 22-3,39-40 \quad 3471 \quad 19,[38] \quad 3480$ ェ 3510 15
та́тŋс 3507 28， 30
тали́тוov 351132
тарıхía 3495 89，I 12，127，133，I43
тáccelv $34632234769,14,15$
та́хос 35075
тахúc $3465 \quad 27$
$\begin{array}{lllllllllll}\tau \epsilon & 3463 & 13 & 3464 & 5, & 13 & 3465 & 20 & 3466 & 7, & 20\end{array}$
 ［3483 2？］［3485 19？］ $3488 \quad 52 \quad 3493$ го， 25
 19
тєîðос 34675
тєкvív 350740
тє́кvov $347663480+34918$ ， 10 ，［18？］，18，29，
36， 37 ［34985］ 350623
тєлєiv 349830
тө́лєсиа 347424
$\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon u \tau a ̂ \nu 35109,13^{-1} 4,16$
$\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \cup \tau \eta \eta^{3} 34919$
т́́ ${ }^{\text {doc }} 3461$
тєссара́коута 3487 21， 323516 9－1о
téccapec 3464 33？ 3496 II 3516 35217
（тєссарєскаибєкаєтйс） 3470 17 347115
тєссарєскаьঠє́катос 3464 4， 33 ？ 3493 4，7，18， 22 $3494+-5,8,23,28$
тєссєра́коута 3487 21
т́́тартос 3463 I I $34826,7,8,33,343489$ I3， 173490 го 3491 （7），［（II）？］，（II） 3496 12 3498 г
тєтрахоірикос see Index XI（a）
$\tau \epsilon \tau \rho \omega \dot{\beta} \beta$ odov see Index XI（ $b$ ）
т $\eta \lambda є к \lambda \epsilon เ т о ́<~ 34733$ ？
тทрєì 3507 6，13
тท́р $\ddagger$ скく 348829
$\begin{array}{lllllllllll}\tau \iota \mu \eta & 3463 & 7 & 3488 & 49 & 3498 & 18, & 44 & 3505 & 13\end{array}$
3507 17， 273511 17，23，29，30，32，34，37， 38
$\begin{array}{llllllllll}3512 & \text { if，} & 23 & 3513 & 5 & 3515 & 5 & 3516 & 6 & 3518\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllll}15 & 3519 & 7 & 3520 & 7 & 3521\end{array} 4,8$
тіноор 34829
$\begin{array}{lllllllllll}\text { тル兀 } & 3463 & \text { 21 } & 3466 & \text { I8 } & 3467 & 4 \text { ？，} 11 & 3470 & 22\end{array}$ 3471 19 3478 I（bis） 34806, I6， $18 \quad 3482$ I4， 243487 8？ 3489 20，23，24 $349833 \quad 3500$ 13 3505 22？， $27 \quad 350739$
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { tic } & 3470 & 24 & 3471 & 20\end{array}$
тoívev 348089
тоьоиิтос 3470 43－4 3471 ＋1－2
 ［12 ？？， 13
то入رâ้ 3466 I9 3468 I4
 $\tau ., \mu \epsilon ́ \subset \eta \tau$ ．，$\pi \rho o \grave{c}$ à $\pi \eta \lambda \iota \omega \dot{\omega} \tau \eta \nu \tau$ ．，$\pi \rho o ̀ c \lambda i ́ \beta a \tau$ ．
то́тос 3467 І і $\quad 3472$ го 348537
тосои̂тoc $3506 \quad 25-6$
то́тє $3507{ }_{3} 8$
тоитย́стィ $3480 \quad 15$
тра́тє弓а 3477а $7 \quad 3487$ 9，16， 4 I

трєака́с 3485 2，і г， 3534909
тра́коута 3487 го， 29
трьако́сьо 3484 го
трıскаıб́єкатос 3484 22？
триссо́c 349836
трі́ттєүос 34614
тргсхі́入ьo؛ 3466 12，16， 25
трі́тос 3467 г 3484 гі 3485 ıг？ 348863498 г 3 трифорєiv 3469 го
трї́с $3491 \quad 19$
$\tau \rho \iota \omega ́ \beta$ oдov see Index XI（b）
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}\text { тро́тос } 3466 & 18 & 3467 & 3 & 3480 & 16 & 3498 & 27,\end{array}$ $34 \quad 3508 \quad 26$
тро́фицос $\mathbf{3 4 8 0} 23$
трохі́скос 347325
трохо́к 3498 І
тиชХávєш［3464 30？］ $346831 \quad 3507$ 19
тúx $\eta$ see Index VIII
シ̈ßpıc 348026

vँ $\delta \rho \in \cup \mu а 3491$ г 6 3498 ェ9－20，24， 43
ن́ঠिoтápoхoc see Index X

 6，22， 29
víwóc 34925
íтápХєє 3464 7，io 3468 12， $25 \quad 34828 \quad 3483$ 4？［ $\begin{array}{llllll}3485 & \text { 20？}] & 34888 & 34897 & 3491 & \text { го，і і，}\end{array}$ 15 3493 1о， 253494 12－13， 32 ［3498 6？］ 3508 26，29， 333512 г 9
ínateía see Index IV（a）（A．D． 36 I ？），（A．D． $49^{22}$ ）
ขтлє́ $\gamma \gamma$ voc 350828
 $\begin{array}{lllllllll}3471 & 52 & 3476 & 18 & 3477 & 23 & 3480 & 28 & 3482\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllllll}12 & 3487 & 34 & 3488 & 36 & 3489 & 24 & 3493 & \text { 12，} 27\end{array}$
$3494 \quad 16,3634967 \quad 3498$［18］，26，30 3511
$23 \quad 351 \not 227 \quad 35142,8$ ， 14

иттөпіттєル 3485 г $6-17$
ย́т $\eta \rho \in \tau \epsilon$ î̀ 3473 3I
і́тทре́тๆс $346+27$
íтıсхขєíc $\theta a \iota 350730$
íтó 3463 17 $\begin{array}{llllllll}3464 & 17 & 3465 & 3 & 3466 & 14\end{array}$ ？，［15］ $3470 \quad 25,44347121,42 \quad 3472 \quad 12 \quad 3478 \quad 1$
 ${ }_{15} 53491$ 13（bis） 3498 ［22］，23， $38 \quad 35086$ $\begin{array}{lllll}3514 & 16 & 3518 & 13 & 3521\end{array}$
 3475 6？ 3476 І I 3514 2 3518 2
ímó
$\begin{array}{llllllll}\text { íтокєісөөaı } & 3470 & 22,39 & 3471 & 18,37 & 3473 & 26-7\end{array}$ 350827
ข́тодацßа́vєıข 3482 Іо
íтодєітєєン 35165
ข̇тодоүєi้ 348925
 $28 \quad 34825$
і́тонгпнатьсно́с 3463 9－10， 153464153466 14？，17－18
і்тоицпиатоурáфос see Index IX
иँтоттос 3469 4－5， 17
ísтори́ссєе䒑 3467 3－4？
итто́стравос 3477 I4
йтота́cceıv［3465 3？］
ข́тотөө́val 3491 I4
фaiverv 3467 i6 6
фє́ $\rho є \iota \nu 3466$ 19？［3467 i ？］3492 1？ 3507 22－3
$\phi \epsilon \rho \nu \grave{\eta} 3491$ 5，6， 25
$\phi \theta$ ável 3497 i I
$\phi \stackrel{\lambda \alpha \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \epsilon i \nu 346324}{ } 24$
фı入áv $\theta \rho \omega \pi$ ov 34829
$\phi \iota \lambda$ ócóoc see Index X
фópoc 351133
фортion 350515

$\begin{array}{llll}\text { фоогтíc } 3508 & 3515 & 35164\end{array}$
фроитıctク́c see Index X
фи入áccєıг 3500 6－7
фитóv 3498 I I
$\begin{array}{lllllll}\chi \alpha i \rho \epsilon \iota \nu & 34656 \text { ？］} & 3469 & 1 & 3472 & 34796 & 3486\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}2 & 3487 & 6 & 3490 & 5 & 3493 & 3, & 17 & 3494 & 3,\end{array}$

$\begin{array}{lllllllllll}3512 & 9 & 3513 & \text { I } & 3514 & \text { 1 } & 3515 & \text { I } & 3516 & \text { I }\end{array}$

$\chi^{\text {a }}$ кiv $\eta$ see Index XI（b）
халко́с 3461 14？ 34885 1
$\chi^{\text {алкойс }} 3473$ ІІ（bis），13，14，15，16，18， 20 （bis），
24
хара́ссєьш $3507 \quad 16-17$
ха́рıс $3498 \quad 35 \quad 3503$ г4
$\begin{array}{llllllllll}\chi \text { єí } & 3483 & 5 & 3484 & 3487 & 25 & 3490 & 6 & 3498 & \text { 21 }\end{array}$
$\chi є \iota \rho i \zeta \epsilon \iota \omega 3482$ 5，［33］
$\chi є เ \rho \iota \subset \tau \dot{\eta}$ see Index X
$\chi$ хєо́र $\rho a \phi$ оv 3466 5，20， $22 \quad 3487$ 13 350738
$\chi$ єьро́ ррафос $3491 \quad 3 \quad 3493$ ІІ， $26 \quad 3494$ 13－14， 33
＊$\chi \in \iota \rho \circ \psi e ́ \lambda \lambda \iota o \nu 34915$
$\chi$ х́рос 3465 і9
xoivı $\xi$ see Index XI（a）
Хо $\rho \eta \gamma \in$ iv 3491 17
$\chi \rho a ̂ c \theta a \iota$［3498 25］
Х $\varnothing$ є́a 350312
хрєшстєіि 3480 14， 25
$\begin{array}{lllllllll}\chi \rho \eta \mu а т і \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu & 3476 & 6 & 3477 & 13 & 3485 & 37 & 3498 & 4\end{array}$
（bis）， 41
хрұнатьсно́с 3463 г－17 $7 \quad 347027 \quad 347123 \quad 3477$ $6 \llbracket 3491$ 3】
$\chi \rho \eta \mu a \tau \iota \subset \eta ́ c$ see Index IX
хрұсти́рьои 3491 15， 16
$\begin{array}{lllllllll}\chi \text { Хoóvoc } & 3463 & 21 & 3466 & 4 & 3473 & 35 & 3482 & 9, \\ 12\end{array}$
［3485 17？］ 34985
रoucápropov see Index XII
रøució 3466 6，10，17，20， 24
xpucóc 34916
хрисойс 3491 7， 26
хршна́тьขo 34917
хขтóc 347312

12－13，14， 19
${ }^{*} \chi \omega \mu a \tau \epsilon \pi \iota \mu \epsilon \lambda \eta \tau \epsilon i ́ a$ see Index IX
$\chi \omega \mu a \tau о \epsilon \pi \iota \mu \epsilon \lambda \eta \tau \eta$ й see Index IX
$\chi \omega \rho \in i \nu 34744$ ？
$\begin{array}{lllllllll}\chi \omega \text { рíc } 3476 & 34825 & 3490 \text { I I } & 3491 & \text { I7 } & 3498\end{array}$
4
$\chi$ бфорía 3511 24， 26
$\psi \epsilon v ́ \delta \epsilon \iota v 347431 \quad 3479$ I4
廿и́巾фсра 3507 7，І 3
廿íäoc 3505 5，9， 14
む̀veictaı［3461 ir ？］ 34776
凶̀vŋ́ 351143 ？
ש̈pa 3501 ［4？］， 5 ？
ц́c $34617 \quad 3464$ 6，［19？］ 3467 17？［3472 ıз？］
3474 33， $373476[4], 5,15,16,2134779,13$,
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## $3583976$


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ I am indebted to Dr M. Apthorp for discussion of the variants of this text.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ I am indebted to W. E. H. Cockle and D. Traill for the placing of some of the small fragments.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ See now BGU XIII 2265 (c. A.D. 206)

[^3]:    
    
     22 1. ${ }^{\epsilon} \mu \phi$ арєíac

[^4]:    14 Read probably $\phi a \nu i \eta\langle\nu\rangle=\phi a \nu \epsilon i \eta \nu$, with the routine loss of a final nasal, cf. F. T. Gignac, Grammar, 1 III-14.

    20-3 It seems odd to find the guarantor's son, who is not mentioned above, giving the same guarantee as his father. What is expected is that the official scribe's work should have ended with 15 and that there should follow a subscription to the same effect as the body of the document in the hand of the guarantor or, if the guarantor was illiterate, in that of an amanuensis, who would also subjoin a statement that he wrote on behalf of an illiterate. Possibly this is what should have happened here and the drafting was bungled, cf. 1-3 n.

[^5]:    io 1. $\pi$ hoíou (?). A curved vertical stroke below the last line $12-15$ two deleted letters above
    
     36 1. $\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha ф о \rho[\hat{\alpha} \subset \quad 43$ 1. $\dot{\omega} \eta \dot{\eta}$ ст $\eta$ ноvос (?)

